

107

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATIONS FOR 1994

Y 4. AP 6/1: M 59/6/994/

PT. 3

Military Construction Appropriation... **NGS**

BEFORE A

SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES ONE HUNDRED THIRD CONGRESS FIRST SESSION

SUBCOMMITTEE ON MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATIONS

W. G. (BILL) HEFNER, North Carolina, *Chairman*

THOMAS M. FOGLIETTA, Pennsylvania

CARRIE P. MEEK, Florida

NORMAN D. DICKS, Washington

JULIAN C. DIXON, California

VIC FAZIO, California

STENY H. HOYER, Maryland

RONALD D. COLEMAN, Texas

BARBARA F. VUCANOVICH, Nevada

SONNY CALLAHAN, Alabama

HELEN DELICH BENTLEY, Maryland

DAVID L. HOBSON, Ohio

WILLIAM A. MARINELLI, HENRY E. MOORE, and MARY C. ARNOLD, *Subcommittee Staff*

PART 3

Justification of the Budget Estimates

AIR FORCE

Appropriation Requests for Active Forces:	Page
Military Construction	1
Defense Business Operations Fund	728
Family Housing	805
Appropriation Requests for Reserve Components:	
Air National Guard	923
Air Force Reserve	1303

Printed for the use of the Committee on Appropriations

DEFENSE BUDGET ESTIMATES
FOR 1994

DEFENSE BUDGET ESTIMATES
FOR 1994



MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATIONS FOR 1994

HEARINGS BEFORE A SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES ONE HUNDRED THIRD CONGRESS FIRST SESSION

SUBCOMMITTEE ON MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATIONS

W. G. (BILL) HEFNER, North Carolina, *Chairman*

THOMAS M. FOGLIETTA, Pennsylvania

CARRIE P. MEEK, Florida

NORMAN D. DICKS, Washington

JULIAN C. DIXON, California

VIC FAZIO, California

STENY H. HOYER, Maryland

RONALD D. COLEMAN, Texas

BARBARA F. VUCANOVICH, Nevada

SONNY CALLAHAN, Alabama

HELEN DELICH BENTLEY, Maryland

DAVID L. HOBSON, Ohio

WILLIAM A. MARINELLI, HENRY E. MOORE, and MARY C. ARNOLD, *Subcommittee Staff*

PART 3

Justification of the Budget Estimates

AIR FORCE

Appropriation Requests for Active Forces:	Page
Military Construction	1
Defense Business Operations Fund	728
Family Housing	805
Appropriation Requests for Reserve Components:	
Air National Guard	923
Air Force Reserve	1303

Printed for the use of the Committee on Appropriations

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

66-722 O

WASHINGTON : 1993

For sale by the U.S. Government Printing Office
Superintendent of Documents, Congressional Sales Office, Washington, DC 20402

ISBN 0-16-040684-6

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

WILLIAM H. NATCHER, Kentucky, *Chairman*

JAMIE L. WHITTEN, Mississippi,

Vice Chairman

NEAL SMITH, Iowa

SIDNEY R. YATES, Illinois

DAVID R. OBEY, Wisconsin

LOUIS STOKES, Ohio

TOM BEVILL, Alabama

JOHN P. MURTHA, Pennsylvania

CHARLES WILSON, Texas

NORMAN D. DICKS, Washington

MARTIN OLAV SABO, Minnesota

JULIAN C. DIXON, California

VIC FAZIO, California

W. G. (BILL) HEFNER, North Carolina

STENY H. HOYER, Maryland

BOB CARR, Michigan

RICHARD J. DURBIN, Illinois

RONALD D. COLEMAN, Texas

ALAN B. MOLLOHAN, West Virginia

JIM CHAPMAN, Texas

MARCY KAPTUR, Ohio

DAVID E. SKAGGS, Colorado

DAVID E. PRICE, North Carolina

NANCY PELOSI, California

PETER J. VISCLOSKEY, Indiana

THOMAS M. FOGLIETTA, Pennsylvania

ESTEBAN EDWARD TORRES, California

GEORGE (BUDDY) DARDEN, Georgia

NITA M. LOWEY, New York

RAY THORNTON, Arkansas

JOSÉ E. SERRANO, New York

ROSA L. DeLAURO, Connecticut

JAMES P. MORAN, Virginia

DOUGLAS "PETE" PETERSON, Florida

JOHN W. OLVER, Massachusetts

ED PASTOR, Arizona

CARRIE P. MEEK, Florida

JOSEPH M. McDADE, Pennsylvania

JOHN T. MYERS, Indiana

C. W. BILL YOUNG, Florida

RALPH REGULA, Ohio

BOB LIVINGSTON, Louisiana

JERRY LEWIS, California

JOHN EDWARD PORTER, Illinois

HAROLD ROGERS, Kentucky

JOE SKEEN, New Mexico

FRANK R. WOLF, Virginia

TOM DeLAY, Texas

JIM KOLBE, Arizona

DEAN A. GALLO, New Jersey

BARBARA F. VUCANOVICH, Nevada

JIM LIGHTFOOT, Iowa

RON PACKARD, California

SONNY CALLAHAN, Alabama

HELEN DELICH BENTLEY, Maryland

JAMES T. WALSH, New York

CHARLES H. TAYLOR, North Carolina

DAVID L. HOBSON, Ohio

ERNEST J. ISTOOK, Jr., Oklahoma

HENRY BONILLA, Texas

FREDERICK G. MOHRMAN, *Clerk and Staff Director*

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE



**FY 1994
BUDGET
ESTIMATES**

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING

*JUSTIFICATION DATA
SUBMITTED TO CONGRESS
APRIL 1993*

FY 1994 VOLUME 1

INSIDE THE UNITED STATES
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES
VARIOUS WORLDWIDE
FAMILY HOUSING

TABLE OF CONTENTS
FY 1994 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET

General:	PAGE
Table of Contents.....	A
Program Summary.....	B
State List (List of Projects).....	C-1
New Mission/Current Mission Exhibit.....	D
Military Construction	
Installation Index.....	E-1
Special Program Considerations	
Statements.....	F-1
Congressional Reporting Requirements.....	F-2
Third Party Financing.....	F-3
Non-MILCON Construction	F-4
Research and Development.....	F-5
Operations and Maintenance.....	F-8
Budget Data:	
Appropriations Language.....	G-1
Program and Financing Schedule.....	G-2
Object Classification Schedule.....	G-4
Projects Inside the United States.....	1
Projects Outside the United States.....	544
Planning and Design.....	577
Unspecified Minor Construction.....	579
Defense Access Roads.....	581
\$One Million and Under.....	586
Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF).....	659
Family Housing.....	720

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 1994

	PROJECT AUTH	AUTH FOR APPROP	APPROP
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	(SEC 2301)	(SEC 2304)	
Inside the United States	729,152	729,152	729,152
Defense Access Roads (Whiteman)	23 USC 210	7,150	7,150
Maxwell Runway Extension	(1)	(1)	9,200
Eglin Climatic Test Chamber	(2)	57,000	57,000
Outside the United States	33,852	33,852	33,852
Planning and Design	10 USC 2807	63,180	63,180
Unspecified Minor Construction	10 USC 2805	6,844	6,844
TOTAL MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	736,004	897,178	906,378
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING	(Sec 2302/2303)	(Sec 2304)	
New Construction	110,264	110,264	110,264
Improvements	53,070	53,070	53,070
Planning and Design	<u>9,901</u>	<u>9,901</u>	<u>9,901</u>
Subtotal	173,235	173,235	173,235
Operations, Utilities, and Maintenance		735,625	735,625
Leasing		118,260	118,260
Debt Payment		<u>21</u>	<u>21</u>
Subtotal	173,235	853,912	853,912
TOTAL MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING	173,235	1,027,147	1,027,147
GRAND TOTAL AIR FORCE	909,239	1,924,325	1,933,525

(1) Project authorization and authorization for appropriation provided in FY 1993

(2) Project authorization provided in FY 1993

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	PROJECT <u>AUTH</u>	AUTH FOR <u>APPROP</u>	<u>APPROP</u> <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
ALABAMA					
GUNTER ANNEX					
	HAZARDOUS WASTE ACCUMULATION FACILITY	310	310	310	581
	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	2,700	2,700	2,700	1A
	EMERGENCY POWER GENERATOR PLANT	1,200	1,200	1,200	2
	SPILL CONTAINMENT CONTROLS	470	470	470	583
	<u>GUNTER ANNEX TOTAL:</u>	<u>4.680</u>	<u>4.680</u>	<u>4.680</u>	
MAXWELL AFB					
	EXTEND RUNWAY/UPGRADE	0	0	9,200	6
	TAXIWAY/RAMP	3,800	3,800	3,800	9
	AIR FORCE QUALITY CENTER	4,650	4,650	4,650	12
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	1,700	1,700	1,700	15
	SPILL CONTAINMENT CONTROLS	970	970	970	585
	UPGRADE UTILITY SYSTEMS, PHASE I	5,050	5,050	5,050	17
	<u>MAXWELL AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>16.170</u>	<u>16.170</u>	<u>25.370</u>	
	<u>ALABAMA TOTAL:</u>	<u>20.850</u>	<u>20.850</u>	<u>30.050</u>	
ALASKA					
CAPE ROMANZOF LRRS					
	REPLACE TRAMWAY SYSTEM	3,350	3,350	3,350	21
	<u>CAPE ROMANZOF LRRS TOTAL:</u>	<u>3.350</u>	<u>3.350</u>	<u>3.350</u>	
EIELSON AFB					
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	2,400	2,400	2,400	25
	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	5,400	5,400	5,400	28
	<u>EIELSON AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>7.800</u>	<u>7.800</u>	<u>7.800</u>	
ELMENDORF AFB					
	CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	5,975	5,975	5,975	32

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY INSTALLATION	PROJECT	PROJECT AUTH	AUTH FOR APPROP	APPROP AMOUNT	PAGE
	MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,100	2,100	2,100	35
	MUNITIONS EQUIPMENT FACILITY	1,860	1,860	1,860	38
	HAZARDOUS WASTE STORAGE FACILITY	3,900	3,900	3,900	41
	DINING FACILITY	6,800	6,800	6,800	44
	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	5,070	5,070	5,070	47
	ADD TO SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	5,100	5,100	5,100	50
	<u>ELMENDORF AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>30.805</u>	<u>30.805</u>	<u>30.805</u>	
	<u>ALASKA TOTAL:</u>	<u>41.955</u>	<u>41.955</u>	<u>41.955</u>	
ARIZONA					
	DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB				
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	650	650	650	587
	<u>DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>650</u>	<u>650</u>	<u>650</u>	
	LUKE AFB				
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	800	800	800	590
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	1,250	1,250	1,250	55
	DINING FACILITY	4,700	4,700	4,700	58
	<u>LUKE AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>6.750</u>	<u>6.750</u>	<u>6.750</u>	
	NAVAJO ARMY DEPOT				
	ALTER MINUTEMAN II STORAGE FACILITIES	7,250	7,250	7,250	62
	<u>NAVAJO ARMY DEPOT TOTAL:</u>	<u>7.250</u>	<u>7.250</u>	<u>7.250</u>	
	<u>ARIZONA TOTAL:</u>	<u>14.650</u>	<u>14.650</u>	<u>14.650</u>	
ARKANSAS					
	LITTLE ROCK AFB				
	ALTER JRTC OPERATIONS CENTER	1,050	1,050	1,050	66
	ADD/ALTER ENGINE INSPECTION AND REPAIR SHOP (DBOF)	1,200	1,200	1,200	69

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	PROJECT <u>AUTH</u>	AUTH FOR <u>APPROP</u>	APPROP <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
	ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER (DBOF)	2,250	2,250	2,250	71
	<u>LITTLE ROCK AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>4.500</u>	<u>4.500</u>	<u>4.500</u>	
	<u>ARKANSAS TOTAL:</u>	<u>4.500</u>	<u>4.500</u>	<u>4.500</u>	
CALIFORNIA					
EDWARDS AFB					
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS, PHASE II	5,400	5,400	5,400	75
	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	5,900	5,900	5,900	78
	<u>EDWARDS AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>11.300</u>	<u>11.300</u>	<u>11.300</u>	
MCCLELLAN AFB					
	FIRE PROTECTION AIRCRAFT FACILITIES (DBOF)	1,900	1,900	1,900	86
	<u>MCCLELLAN AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>1.900</u>	<u>1.900</u>	<u>1.900</u>	
TRAVIS AFB					
	AIRCRAFT GENERAL PURPOSE MAINTENANCE SHOP	11,200	11,200	11,200	89
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS (DBOF)	2,840	2,840	2,840	92
	<u>TRAVIS AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>14.040</u>	<u>14.040</u>	<u>14.040</u>	
VANDENBERG AFB					
	SLFI-TPQ-18 RADAR FACILITY	2,408	2,408	2,408	93
	HARDWARE STORAGE FACILITY	3,500	3,500	3,500	96
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	1,700	1,700	1,700	99
	SLFI-UPGRADE ELECTRICAL SYSTEM	11,520	11,520	11,520	102
	SLFI-UPGRADE FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	1,600	1,600	1,600	105
	<u>VANDENBERG AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>20.728</u>	<u>20.728</u>	<u>20.728</u>	
	<u>CALIFORNIA TOTAL:</u>	<u>47.968</u>	<u>47.968</u>	<u>47.968</u>	

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY INSTALLATION	PROJECT	PROJECT AUTH	AUTH FOR APPROP	APPROP AMOUNT	PAGE
CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS					
CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS					
	SPECIAL TACTICAL UNIT DETACHMENT FACILITY	5,540	5,540	5,540	109
	OMEGA FACILITIES	2,600	2,600	2,600	110
	<u>CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS TOTAL:</u>	<u>8,140</u>	<u>8,140</u>	<u>8,140</u>	
	<u>CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS TOTAL:</u>	<u>8,140</u>	<u>8,140</u>	<u>8,140</u>	
COLORADO					
BUCKLEY ANGB					
	COMMUNICATION DATA PROCESSING FACILITY	39,000	39,000	39,000	111A
	<u>BUCKLEY ANGB TOTAL:</u>	<u>39,000</u>	<u>39,000</u>	<u>39,000</u>	
CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN AFB					
	UPGRADE ELECTRICAL SERVICE	4,450	4,450	4,450	113
	<u>CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>4,450</u>	<u>4,450</u>	<u>4,450</u>	
PETERSON AFB					
	ADD TO AND ALTER INTEGRATION SUPPORT FACILITY, PHASE II	16,400	16,400	16,400	118
	PRECISION MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT LABORATORY	2,200	2,200	2,200	121
	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT FACILITY	2,430	2,430	2,430	124
	<u>PETERSON AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>21,030</u>	<u>21,030</u>	<u>21,030</u>	
USAF ACADEMY					
	ENHANCED FLIGHT SCREENER HANGARS	3,800	3,800	3,800	128
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	780	780	780	593
	ADD TO AND ALTER WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT	7,100	7,100	7,100	131
	<u>USAF ACADEMY TOTAL:</u>	<u>11,680</u>	<u>11,680</u>	<u>11,680</u>	
	<u>COLORADO TOTAL:</u>	<u>76,160</u>	<u>76,160</u>	<u>76,160</u>	

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	PROJECT <u>AUTH</u>	AUTH FOR <u>APPROP</u>	APPROP <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
DELAWARE					
DOVER AFB					
	INSTALL EMISSION CONTROL DEVICES	860	860	860	596
	DORMITORY (DBOF)	3,200	3,200	3,200	135
	ADD TO AND ALTER DINING FACILITY (DBOF)	2,500	2,500	2,500	138
	<u>DOVER AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>6,560</u>	<u>6,560</u>	<u>6,560</u>	
	<u>DELAWARE TOTAL:</u>	<u>6,560</u>	<u>6,560</u>	<u>6,560</u>	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA					
BOLLING AFB					
	ADD TO CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	2,000	2,000	2,000	142
	<u>BOLLING AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>2,000</u>	<u>2,000</u>	<u>2,000</u>	
	<u>DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA TOTAL:</u>	<u>2,000</u>	<u>2,000</u>	<u>2,000</u>	
FLORIDA					
CAPE CANAVERAL AFS					
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	400	400	400	599
	SLFI-BACKUP POWER	2,500	2,500	2,500	147
	SLFI-BACKUP POWER	800	800	800	601
	SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT	11,900	11,900	11,900	150
	SLFI-UPGRADE WATER SUPPLY MAINS	1,200	1,200	1,200	153
	SLFI-UPGRADE FIRE SYSTEM	2,400	2,400	2,400	156
	<u>CAPE CANAVERAL AFS TOTAL:</u>	<u>19,200</u>	<u>19,200</u>	<u>19,200</u>	
EGLIN AFB					
	UPGRADE HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	4,550	4,550	4,550	160
	REPLACE POL PIPELINE	3,300	3,300	3,300	163
	AIRCRAFT ENGINE TEST FACILITY	1,600	1,600	1,600	166

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	PROJECT <u>AUTH</u>	AUTH FOR <u>APPROP</u>	<u>APPROP</u> <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE/WAREHOUSE FACILITIES	2,600	2,600	2,600	169
	RENOVATE CLIMATIC TEST CHAMBER, PHASE II	0	57,000	57,000	172
	<u>EGLIN AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>12.050</u>	<u>69.050</u>	<u>69.050</u>	
EGLIN AUXILIARY 9					
	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES	4,479	4,479	4,479	176
	UPGRADE SANITARY SEWAGE SYSTEM	1,750	1,750	1,750	179
	UPGRADE STORM SEWAGE SYSTEM	1,600	1,600	1,600	182
	<u>EGLIN AUXILIARY 9 TOTAL:</u>	<u>7.829</u>	<u>7.829</u>	<u>7.829</u>	
PATRICK AFB					
	ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGAR	2,000	2,000	2,000	186
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	1,850	1,850	1,850	189
	<u>PATRICK AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>3.850</u>	<u>3.850</u>	<u>3.850</u>	
TYNDALL AFB					
	BASE SUPPLY LOGISTICS CENTER	2,600	2,600	2,600	193
	<u>TYNDALL AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>2.600</u>	<u>2.600</u>	<u>2.600</u>	
	<u>FLORIDA TOTAL:</u>	<u>45.529</u>	<u>102.529</u>	<u>102.529</u>	
GEORGIA					
ROBINS AFB					
	J-STARS ADD TO AND ALTER OPERATIONS COMPLEX	4,100	4,100	4,100	198
	J-STARS SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ AMU	7,500	7,500	7,500	201
	J-STARS ADD TO AND ALTER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	9,300	9,300	9,300	204
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PAINT FACILITY	970	970	970	604
	ADD TO AND ALTER LOGISTICAL SYSTEMS OPERATIONS CENTER	3,000	3,000	3,000	207

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	PROJECT <u>AUTH</u>	AUTH FOR <u>APPROP</u>	<u>APPROP</u> <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)	4,300	4,300	4,300	210
	J-STARS ADD TO AND ALTER UTILITIES	3,500	3,500	3,500	213
	UPGRADE INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL PLANTS	10,700	10,700	10,700	216
	<u>ROBINS AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>43.370</u>	<u>43.370</u>	<u>43.370</u>	
	<u>GEORGIA TOTAL:</u>	<u>43.370</u>	<u>43.370</u>	<u>43.370</u>	
HAWAII					
HICKAM AFB					
	MILSTAR COMMUNICATIONS GROUND TERMINAL	2,200	2,200	2,200	219A
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	2,100	2,100	2,100	220
	DORMITORY	5,950	5,950	5,950	223
	<u>HICKAM AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>10.250</u>	<u>10.250</u>	<u>10.250</u>	
KAENA POINT STS					
	POWER PLANT	7,350	7,350	7,350	227
	<u>KAENA POINT STS TOTAL:</u>	<u>7.350</u>	<u>7.350</u>	<u>7.350</u>	
	<u>HAWAII TOTAL:</u>	<u>17.600</u>	<u>17.600</u>	<u>17.600</u>	
ILLINOIS					
SCOTT AFB					
	INTEROPERABILITY TEST AND TRAINING FACILITY	5,000	5,000	5,000	231
	MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITY/ LAND ACQUISITION (DBOF)	2,450	2,450	2,450	234
	<u>SCOTT AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>7.450</u>	<u>7.450</u>	<u>7.450</u>	
	<u>ILLINOIS TOTAL:</u>	<u>7.450</u>	<u>7.450</u>	<u>7.450</u>	
KANSAS					
MCCONNELL AFB					
	CONTROL TOWER CAB	900	900	900	607

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	PROJECT AUTH	AUTH FOR <u>APPROP</u>	<u>APPROP</u> <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
	LAND RESTRICTIVE EASEMENT ACQUISITION	1,000	1,000	1,000	610
	<u>MCCONNELL AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>1.900</u>	<u>1.900</u>	<u>1.900</u>	
	<u>KANSAS TOTAL:</u>	<u>1.900</u>	<u>1.900</u>	<u>1.900</u>	
LOUISIANA					
BARKSDALE AFB					
	UPGRADE BULK STORAGE BASINS	1,600	1,600	1,600	239
	WEAPONS STORAGE AREA SECURITY	960	960	960	613
	<u>BARKSDALE AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>2.560</u>	<u>2.560</u>	<u>2.560</u>	
	<u>LOUISIANA TOTAL:</u>	<u>2.560</u>	<u>2.560</u>	<u>2.560</u>	
MARYLAND					
ANDREWS AFB					
	AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL (DBOF)	4,400	4,400	4,400	243
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)	1,000	1,000	1,000	616
	UPGRADE COMPOSITE ADMINISTRATION FACILITY (DBOF)	9,940	9,940	9,940	246
	UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEMS	2,650	2,650	2,650	249
	<u>ANDREWS AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>17.990</u>	<u>17.990</u>	<u>17.990</u>	
FORT GEORGE G. MEADE					
	ADD TO OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,450	1,450	1,450	253
	<u>FORT GEORGE G. MEADE TOTAL:</u>	<u>1.450</u>	<u>1.450</u>	<u>1.450</u>	
	<u>MARYLAND TOTAL:</u>	<u>19.440</u>	<u>19.440</u>	<u>19.440</u>	
MISSISSIPPI					
COLUMBUS AFB					
	UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING	2,900	2,900	2,900	257
	<u>COLUMBUS AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>2.900</u>	<u>2.900</u>	<u>2.900</u>	
KEESLER AFB					
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	690	690	690	619

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	PROJECT <u>AUTH</u>	AUTH FOR <u>APPROP</u>	APPROP <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	600	600	600	622
	UPGRADE STUDENT DORMITORY	4,500	4,500	4,500	261
	UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	2,920	2,920	2,920	264
	<u>KEESLER AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>8.710</u>	<u>8.710</u>	<u>8.710</u>	
	<u>MISSISSIPPI TOTAL:</u>	<u>11.610</u>	<u>11.610</u>	<u>11.610</u>	
MISSOURI					
WHITEMAN AFB					
	B-2 AIRCRAFT APRON/TAXIWAY UPGRADE	3,400	3,400	3,400	269
	B-2 HYDRANT FUEL LOOP II, PHASE II	2,700	2,700	2,700	271
	B-2 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE DOCKS	14,500	14,500	14,500	274
	B-2 VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,700	1,700	1,700	277
	B-2 ADD TO AND ALTER MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITIES	3,338	3,338	3,338	280
	B-2 UTILITY UPGRADE	4,850	4,850	4,850	283
	B-2 UPGRADE BASE ROADS, PHASE I	5,900	5,900	5,900	286
	B-2 DEFENSE ACCESS ROADS	0	7,150	7,150	289
	<u>WHITEMAN AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>36.388</u>	<u>43.538</u>	<u>43.538</u>	
	<u>MISSOURI TOTAL:</u>	<u>36.388</u>	<u>43.538</u>	<u>43.538</u>	
MONTANA					
MALMSTROM AFB					
	BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX (DBOF)	6,200	6,200	6,200	294
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS MINUTEMAN II FACILITIES	1,500	1,500	1,500	297
	<u>MALMSTROM AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>7.700</u>	<u>7.700</u>	<u>7.700</u>	
	<u>MONTANA TOTAL:</u>	<u>7.700</u>	<u>7.700</u>	<u>7.700</u>	

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY INSTALLATION	PROJECT	PROJECT AUTH	AUTH FOR APPROP	APPROP AMOUNT	PAGE
NEBRASKA					
OFFUTT AFB					
	REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS AND LIGHTING	8,700	8,700	8,700	301
	ADD TO EMERGENCY BACK-UP POWER	2,300	2,300	2,300	304
	<u>OFFUTT AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>11,000</u>	<u>11,000</u>	<u>11,000</u>	
	<u>NEBRASKA TOTAL:</u>	<u>11,000</u>	<u>11,000</u>	<u>11,000</u>	
NEVADA					
NELLIS AFB					
	UPGRADE POL TANKS	1,650	1,650	1,650	308
	<u>NELLIS AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>1,650</u>	<u>1,650</u>	<u>1,650</u>	
	<u>NEVADA TOTAL:</u>	<u>1,650</u>	<u>1,650</u>	<u>1,650</u>	
NEW MEXICO					
CANNON AFB					
	SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT PAD	665	665	665	625
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	1,000	1,000	1,000	628
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	1,100	1,100	1,100	312
	BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX	6,150	6,150	6,150	315
	<u>CANNON AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>8,915</u>	<u>8,915</u>	<u>8,915</u>	
HOLLOMAN AFB					
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	1,000	1,000	1,000	631
	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES	6,400	6,400	6,400	319
	SEWER EFFLUENT SYSTEM	1,800	1,800	1,800	322
	<u>HOLLOMAN AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>9,200</u>	<u>9,200</u>	<u>9,200</u>	
KIRTLAND AFB					
	AEROSPACE ENGINEERING FACILITY	3,167	3,167	3,167	326
	COMPOSITE MATERIALS LABORATORY	5,750	5,750	5,750	329
	SPACE STRUCTURES LABORATORY	6,200	6,200	6,200	332

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	PROJECT <u>AUTH</u>	AUTH FOR <u>APPROP</u>	APPROP <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
	ALTER DORMITORY	5,100	5,100	5,100	335
	UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	6,844	6,844	6,844	338
	<u>KIRTLAND AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>27.061</u>	<u>27.061</u>	<u>27.061</u>	
	<u>NEW MEXICO TOTAL:</u>	<u>45.176</u>	<u>45.176</u>	<u>45.176</u>	
NORTH CAROLINA					
POPE AFB					
	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES	4,300	4,300	4,300	342
	DINING FACILITY	4,300	4,300	4,300	345
	<u>POPE AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>8.600</u>	<u>8.600</u>	<u>8.600</u>	
SEYMOUR-JOHNSON AFB					
	MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE SUPPORT FACILITY	480	480	480	634
	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES	4,900	4,900	4,900	349
	<u>SEYMOUR-JOHNSON AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>5.380</u>	<u>5.380</u>	<u>5.380</u>	
	<u>NORTH CAROLINA TOTAL:</u>	<u>13.980</u>	<u>13.980</u>	<u>13.980</u>	
NORTH DAKOTA					
GRAND FORKS AFB					
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	2,600	2,600	2,600	353
	<u>GRAND FORKS AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>2.600</u>	<u>2.600</u>	<u>2.600</u>	
MINOT AFB					
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	2,000	2,000	2,000	357
	<u>MINOT AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>2.000</u>	<u>2.000</u>	<u>2.000</u>	
	<u>NORTH DAKOTA TOTAL:</u>	<u>4.600</u>	<u>4.600</u>	<u>4.600</u>	
OHIO					
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB					
	ADD TO AVIONICS RESEARCH LABORATORY, PHASE II	5,650	5,650	5,650	362

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	PROJECT <u>AUTH</u>	AUTH FOR <u>APPROP</u>	APPROP <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
	ADD TO AND ALTER ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT COMPLEX, PHASE II	12,850	12,850	12,850	365
	SEAL FUEL CONTAINMENT DIKES	1,500	1,500	1,500	368
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS, PHASE II	3,200	3,200	3,200	370
	RENOVATE ELECTRIC SUBSTATIONS	4,450	4,450	4,450	373
	<u>WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>27,650</u>	<u>27,650</u>	<u>27,650</u>	
	<u>OHIO TOTAL:</u>	<u>27,650</u>	<u>27,650</u>	<u>27,650</u>	
 OKLAHOMA					
ALTUS AFB					
	C-17 FIRE STATION (DBOF)	780	780	780	637
	C-17 ADD TO FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING FACILITY, PHII (DBOF)	2,850	2,850	2,850	377
	C-17 ADD TO AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY (DBOF)	3,300	3,300	3,300	380
	<u>ALTUS AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>6,930</u>	<u>6,930</u>	<u>6,930</u>	
 TINKER AFB					
	ALTER HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	4,129	4,129	4,129	385
	MILSTAR COMMUNICATIONS GROUND TERMINAL	800	800	800	638A
	SEAL FUEL CONTAINMENT DIKES	620	620	620	639
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	4,700	4,700	4,700	388
	ENGINEERING AND CONTRACT SUPPORT FACILITY	5,900	5,900	5,900	391
	INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER REGIONAL CONNECTION (DBOF)	5,400	5,400	5,400	394
	<u>TINKER AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>21,549</u>	<u>21,549</u>	<u>21,549</u>	
 VANCE AFB					
	UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING	3,300	3,300	3,300	398
	T-1 SPECIALIZED UPT MAINTENANCE SUPPORT	2,700	2,700	2,700	401

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	PROJECT <u>AUTH</u>	AUTH FOR <u>APPROP</u>	APPROP <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
	<u>VANCE AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>6.000</u>	<u>6.000</u>	<u>6.000</u>	
	<u>OKLAHOMA TOTAL:</u>	<u>34.479</u>	<u>34.479</u>	<u>34.479</u>	
SOUTH CAROLINA					
CHARLESTON AFB					
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)	1,100	1,100	1,100	405
	<u>CHARLESTON AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>1.100</u>	<u>1.100</u>	<u>1.100</u>	
SHAW AFB					
	CONTROL TOWER	2,700	2,700	2,700	409
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	520	520	520	641
	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	2,650	2,650	2,650	412
	<u>SHAW AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>5.870</u>	<u>5.870</u>	<u>5.870</u>	
	<u>SOUTH CAROLINA TOTAL:</u>	<u>6.970</u>	<u>6.970</u>	<u>6.970</u>	
SOUTH DAKOTA					
ELLSWORTH AFB					
	ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE DOCK	630	630	630	644
	<u>ELLSWORTH AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>630</u>	<u>630</u>	<u>630</u>	
	<u>SOUTH DAKOTA TOTAL:</u>	<u>630</u>	<u>630</u>	<u>630</u>	
TENNESSEE					
ARNOLD AFB					
	UPGRADE SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT	1,500	1,500	1,500	417
	<u>ARNOLD AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>1.500</u>	<u>1.500</u>	<u>1.500</u>	
MEMPHIS NAS					
	ADD TO AND ALTER HIGH-BAY TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY	3,000	3,000	3,000	421
	ALTER TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY	2,000	2,000	2,000	423
	RENOVATE DORMITORY	1,200	1,200	1,200	425

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	PROJECT AUTH	AUTH FOR APPROP	APPROP AMOUNT	<u>PAGE</u>
<u>MEMPHIS NAS TOTAL:</u>		<u>6.200</u>	<u>6.200</u>	<u>6.200</u>	
<u>TENNESSEE TOTAL:</u>		<u>7.700</u>	<u>7.700</u>	<u>7.700</u>	
TEXAS					
DYESS AFB					
	UPGRADE HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM, PHASE II	9,500	9,500	9,500	428
	WEAPONS STORAGE AREA SECURITY	890	890	890	647
	<u>DYESS AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>10.390</u>	<u>10.390</u>	<u>10.390</u>	
GOODFELLOW AFB					
	BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX	3,700	3,700	3,700	432
	<u>GOODFELLOW AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>3.700</u>	<u>3.700</u>	<u>3.700</u>	
KELLY AFB					
	UPGRADE TAXIWAY	3,550	3,550	3,550	437
	C-17 ENGINEERING TEST LABORATORY	2,600	2,600	2,600	440
	C-17 ADD/ALTER NONDESTRUCTIVE INSPECTION FACILITY (DBOF)	4,900	4,900	4,900	443
	C-17 ALTER DEPOT AVIONICS FACILITY (DBOF)	731	731	731	650
	ALTER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT CENTER, PHASE II (DBOF)	7,800	7,800	7,800	446
	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)	2,000	2,000	2,000	449
	UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER MAINS, PHASE I	3,000	3,000	3,000	452
	UPGRADE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM, PHASE I	2,900	2,900	2,900	455
	<u>KELLY AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>27.481</u>	<u>27.481</u>	<u>27.481</u>	
LACKLAND AFB					
	TRAINING SERVICES FACILITIES	5,800	5,800	5,800	459
	ALTER BASE SUPPORT FACILITY	5,400	5,400	5,400	462

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	PROJECT <u>AUTH</u>	AUTH FOR <u>APPROP</u>	APPROP <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
	MISSION SUPPORT CENTER	7,543	7,543	7,543	465
	BASE CONTRACTING CENTER	2,450	2,450	2,450	468
	7-LEVEL TRAINING DORMITORY	8,900	8,900	8,900	470
	<u>LACKLAND AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>30.093</u>	<u>30.093</u>	<u>30.093</u>	
LACKLAND ANNEX					
	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,200	1,200	1,200	474
	<u>LACKLAND ANNEX TOTAL:</u>	<u>1.200</u>	<u>1.200</u>	<u>1.200</u>	
LAUGHLIN AFB					
	UPGRADE AIRFIELD PAVEMENT	3,250	3,250	3,250	478
	FIRE STATION	2,400	2,400	2,400	481
	UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING	3,000	3,000	3,000	484
	<u>LAUGHLIN AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>8.650</u>	<u>8.650</u>	<u>8.650</u>	
RANDOLPH AFB					
	CONTROL TOWER	2,800	2,800	2,800	488
	UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	2,500	2,500	2,500	491
	<u>RANDOLPH AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>5.300</u>	<u>5.300</u>	<u>5.300</u>	
REESE AFB					
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	900	900	900	653
	<u>REESE AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>900</u>	<u>900</u>	<u>900</u>	
SHEPPARD AFB					
	ENJJPT ALTER FLIGHT TRAINING FACILITY	2,200	2,200	2,200	496
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	850	850	850	656
	7-LEVEL TRAINING DORMITORY	14,200	14,200	14,200	499
	ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	780	780	780	659
	<u>SHEPPARD AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>18.030</u>	<u>18.030</u>	<u>18.030</u>	

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY INSTALLATION	PROJECT TEXAS TOTAL:	PROJECT AUTH 105.744	AUTH FOR APPROP 105.744	APPROP AMOUNT 105.744	PAGE
UTAH					
HILL AFB					
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)		880	880	880	662
UPGRADE INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT (DBOF)		5,100	5,100	5,100	504
UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		2,400	2,400	2,400	507
HILL AFB TOTAL:		8.380	8.380	8.380	
UTAH TOTAL:		8.380	8.380	8.380	
VIRGINIA					
LANGLEY AFB					
FIRE STATION		3,850	3,850	3,850	511
ADD TO AND ALTER GARS OPERATIONS FACILITY		5,373	5,373	5,373	514
BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX, PHASE II		4,000	4,000	4,000	517
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		500	500	500	665
RESTORE KING STREET BRIDGE		4,100	4,100	4,100	520
LANGLEY AFB TOTAL:		17.823	17.823	17.823	
VIRGINIA TOTAL:		17.823	17.823	17.823	
WASHINGTON					
FAIRCHILD AFB					
INTELLIGENCE TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY		3,500	3,500	3,500	524
FAIRCHILD AFB TOTAL:		3.500	3.500	3.500	
MCCHORD AFB					
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)		6,500	6,500	6,500	528
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER COMPLEX (DBOF)		4,400	4,400	4,400	531

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	PROJECT <u>AUTH</u>	AUTH FOR <u>APPROP</u>	APPROP <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
	<u>MCCHORD AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>10.900</u>	<u>10.900</u>	<u>10.900</u>	
	<u>WASHINGTON TOTAL:</u>	<u>14.400</u>	<u>14.400</u>	<u>14.400</u>	
WYOMING					
F E WARREN AFB					
	RENOVATE SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS	6,000	6,000	6,000	535
	REMOTE MISSILE CREW FACILITIES	3,800	3,800	3,800	538
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS, PHASE I	2,200	2,200	2,200	541
	WEAPONS STORAGE AREA SECURITY	640	640	640	668
	<u>F E WARREN AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>12.640</u>	<u>12.640</u>	<u>12.640</u>	
	<u>WYOMING TOTAL:</u>	<u>12.640</u>	<u>12.640</u>	<u>12.640</u>	
	<u>INSIDE THE UNITED STATES TOTAL:</u>	<u>729.152</u>	<u>793.302</u>	<u>802.502</u>	

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	PROJECT AUTH	AUTH FOR <u>APPROP</u>	<u>APPROP AMOUNT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
ANTIGUA					
ANTIGUA AS					
	SLFI-UPGRADE BACKUP GENERATOR	1,000	1,000	1,000	671
	<u>ANTIGUA AS TOTAL:</u>	<u>1,000</u>	<u>1,000</u>	<u>1,000</u>	
	<u>ANTIGUA TOTAL:</u>	<u>1,000</u>	<u>1,000</u>	<u>1,000</u>	
ASCENSION ISLAND					
ASCENSION AAF					
	WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT	3,400	3,400	3,400	546
	<u>ASCENSION AAF TOTAL:</u>	<u>3,400</u>	<u>3,400</u>	<u>3,400</u>	
	<u>ASCENSION ISLAND TOTAL:</u>	<u>3,400</u>	<u>3,400</u>	<u>3,400</u>	
CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS					
CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS					
	WAR READINESS MATERIEL WAREHOUSE	5,500	5,500	5,500	550
	<u>CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS TOTAL:</u>	<u>5,500</u>	<u>5,500</u>	<u>5,500</u>	
	<u>CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS TOTAL:</u>	<u>5,500</u>	<u>5,500</u>	<u>5,500</u>	
GERMANY					
RAMSTEIN AB					
	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	3,100	3,100	3,100	553
	<u>RAMSTEIN AB TOTAL:</u>	<u>3,100</u>	<u>3,100</u>	<u>3,100</u>	
	<u>GERMANY TOTAL:</u>	<u>3,100</u>	<u>3,100</u>	<u>3,100</u>	
GREENLAND					
THULE AB					
	WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT	5,492	5,492	5,492	557
	<u>THULE AB TOTAL:</u>	<u>5,492</u>	<u>5,492</u>	<u>5,492</u>	
	<u>GREENLAND TOTAL:</u>	<u>5,492</u>	<u>5,492</u>	<u>5,492</u>	

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY INSTALLATION	PROJECT	PROJECT AUTH	AUTH FOR APPROP	APPROP AMOUNT	PAGE
GUAM					
ANDERSEN AFB					
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	4,100	4,100	4,100	560
	<u>ANDERSEN AFB TOTAL:</u>	<u>4,100</u>	<u>4,100</u>	<u>4,100</u>	
	<u>GUAM TOTAL:</u>	<u>4,100</u>	<u>4,100</u>	<u>4,100</u>	
INDIAN OCEAN					
DIEGO GARCIA AB					
	GPS INSTRUMENTATION FACILITY	1,700	1,700	1,700	564
	SATELLITE TRACKING STORAGE FACILITY	560	560	560	674
	<u>DIEGO GARCIA AB TOTAL:</u>	<u>2,260</u>	<u>2,260</u>	<u>2,260</u>	
	<u>INDIAN OCEAN TOTAL:</u>	<u>2,260</u>	<u>2,260</u>	<u>2,260</u>	
OMAN					
THUMRAIT AB					
	WAR READINESS MATERIEL COVERED STORAGE FACILITY	1,800	1,800	1,800	568
	<u>THUMRAIT AB TOTAL:</u>	<u>1,800</u>	<u>1,800</u>	<u>1,800</u>	
	<u>OMAN TOTAL:</u>	<u>1,800</u>	<u>1,800</u>	<u>1,800</u>	
TURKEY					
INCIRLIK AB					
	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES	2,400	2,400	2,400	571
	<u>INCIRLIK AB TOTAL:</u>	<u>2,400</u>	<u>2,400</u>	<u>2,400</u>	
	<u>TURKEY TOTAL:</u>	<u>2,400</u>	<u>2,400</u>	<u>2,400</u>	
UNITED KINGDOM					
RAF MILDENHALL					
	NAVAL AIR FACILITY	4,800	4,800	4,800	575
	<u>RAF MILDENHALL TOTAL:</u>	<u>4,800</u>	<u>4,800</u>	<u>4,800</u>	
	<u>UNITED KINGDOM TOTAL:</u>	<u>4,800</u>	<u>4,800</u>	<u>4,800</u>	

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
 INDEX
 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
 OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY	PROJECT	PROJECT	AUTH FOR	APPROP	PAGE
<u>INSTALLATION</u>		<u>AUTH</u>	<u>APPROP</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	
<u>OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES TOTAL:</u>		<u>33.852</u>	<u>33.852</u>	<u>33.852</u>	

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
WORLDWIDE

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	PROJECT <u>AUTH</u>	AUTH FOR <u>APPROP</u>	<u>APPROP</u> <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
VARIOUS VARIOUS LOCATIONS					
	PLANNING & DESIGN	0	63,180	63,180	578
	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	0	6,844	6,844	580
	<u>VARIOUS LOCATIONS TOTAL:</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>70.024</u>	<u>70.024</u>	
	<u>VARIOUS TOTAL:</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>70.024</u>	<u>70.024</u>	
	<u>WORLDWIDE TOTAL:</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>70.024</u>	<u>70.024</u>	
	<u>FY 94 TOTAL:</u>	<u>763.004</u>	<u>897.178</u>	<u>906.378</u>	

DEFINITIONS OF NEW AND CURRENT MISSION

NEW MISSION PROJECTS - These projects support the deployment and beddown of new weapons systems, new or additional aircraft, missile, and space programs and support of new equipment such as radars, communications, computers, satellite tracking and electronic security. New mission projects all support new programs and initiatives that do not revitalize the existing physical plant. The projects support new and additional requirements. Planning and design and minor construction are also included in this category.

CURRENT MISSION PROJECTS - These projects revitalize the existing facility plant by replacement or upgrading existing facilities and by alleviating long standing deficiencies not generated by new missions or equipment. Included are projects to improve the quality of life, upgrade the workplace and projects to increase productivity and achieve compliance with environmental, health and safety standards.

<u>FY 94</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>
NEW MISSION	\$312,863
CURRENT MISSION	\$593,515
TOTAL	\$906,378

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
CURRENT MISSION, NEW MISSION AND
WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

<u>STATE/COUNTRY</u> <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>APPROP</u> <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>
ALABAMA			
GUNTER ANNEX			
	HAZARDOUS WASTE ACCUMULATION FACILITY	310	CM
	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	2,700	CM
	EMERGENCY POWER GENERATOR PLANT	1,200	NM
	SPILL CONTAINMENT CONTROLS	470	CM
	GUNTER ANNEX TOTAL:	4,680	
MAXWELL AFB			
	EXTEND RUNWAY/UPGRADE	9,200	CM
	TAXIWAY/RAMP	3,800	CM
	AIR FORCE QUALITY CENTER	4,650	CM
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	1,700	CM
	SPILL CONTAINMENT CONTROLS	970	CM
	UPGRADE UTILITY SYSTEMS, PHASE I	5,050	CM
	MAXWELL AFB TOTAL:	25,370	
	ALABAMA TOTAL:	30,050	
ALASKA			
CAPE ROMANZOF LRRS			
	REPLACE TRAMWAY SYSTEM	3,350	CM
	CAPE ROMANZOF LRRS TOTAL:	3,350	
EIELSON AFB			
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	2,400	CM
	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	5,400	CM
	EIELSON AFB TOTAL:	7,800	

Legend: CM - Current Mission
NM - New Mission

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
 CURRENT MISSION, NEW MISSION AND
 WORLDWIDE
 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
 INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>APPROP AMOUNT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>
ELMENDORF AFB			
	CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	5,975	CM
	MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,100	NM
	MUNITIONS EQUIPMENT FACILITY	1,860	NM
	HAZARDOUS WASTE STORAGE FACILITY	3,900	CM
	DINING FACILITY	6,800	CM
	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	5,070	CM
	ADD TO SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	5,100	CM
	ELMENDORF AFB TOTAL:	30,805	
	ALASKA TOTAL:	41,955	
ARIZONA			
DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB			
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	650	CM
	DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB TOTAL:	650	
LUKE AFB			
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	800	CM
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	1,250	CM
	DINING FACILITY	4,700	CM
	LUKE AFB TOTAL:	6,750	
NAVAJO ARMY DEPOT			
	ALTER MINUTEMAN II STORAGE FACILITIES	7,250	NM
	NAVAJO ARMY DEPOT TOTAL:	7,250	
	ARIZONA TOTAL:	14,650	

Legend: CM - Current Mission
 NM - New Mission

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
CURRENT MISSION, NEW MISSION AND
WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES .

STATE/COUNTRY INSTALLATION	PROJECT	APPROP AMOUNT	TYPE
ARKANSAS			
LITTLE ROCK AFB			
	ALTER JRTC OPERATIONS CENTER	1,050	NM
	ADD/ALTER ENGINE INSPECTION AND REPAIR SHOP (DBOF)	1,200	CM
	ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER (DBOF)	2,250	CM
	LITTLE ROCK AFB TOTAL:	4,500	
	ARKANSAS TOTAL:	4,500	
CALIFORNIA			
EDWARDS AFB			
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS, PHASE II	5,400	CM
	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	5,900	CM
	EDWARDS AFB TOTAL:	11,300	
MCCLELLAN AFB			
	FIRE PROTECTION AIRCRAFT FACILITIES (DBOF)	1,900	CM
	MCCLELLAN AFB TOTAL:	1,900	
TRAVIS AFB			
	AIRCRAFT GENERAL PURPOSE MAINTENANCE SHOP	11,200	CM
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS (DBOF)	2,840	CM
	TRAVIS AFB TOTAL:	14,040	
VANDENBERG AFB			
	SLFI-TPQ-18 RADAR FACILITY	2,408	CM
	HARDWARE STORAGE FACILITY	3,500	NM
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	1,700	CM

Legend: CM - Current Mission
NM - New Mission

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
 CURRENT MISSION, NEW MISSION AND
 WORLDWIDE
 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
 INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>APPROP AMOUNT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>
	SLFI-UPGRADE ELECTRICAL SYSTEM	11,520	CM
	SLFI-UPGRADE FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	1,600	CM
	VANDENBERG AFB TOTAL:	20,728	
	CALIFORNIA TOTAL:	47,968	
CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS			
CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS			
	SPECIAL TACTICAL UNIT DETACHMENT FACILITY	5,540	NM
	OMEGA FACILITIES	2,600	NM
	CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS TOTAL:	8,140	
	CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS TOTAL:	8,140	
COLORADO			
	BUCKLEY ANGB		
	COMMUNICATION DATA PROCESSING FACILITY	39,000	NM
	BUCKLEY ANGB TOTAL:	39,000	
CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN AFB			
	UPGRADE ELECTRICAL SERVICE	4,450	CM
	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN AFB TOTAL:	4,450	
PETERSON AFB			
	ADD TO AND ALTER INTEGRATION SUPPORT FACILITY, PHASE II	16,400	NM
	PRECISION MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT LABORATORY	2,200	NM
	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT FACILITY	2,430	CM
	PETERSON AFB TOTAL:	21,030	

Legend: CM - Current Mission
 NM - New Mission

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
CURRENT MISSION, NEW MISSION AND
WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

<u>STATE/COUNTRY</u> <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>APPROP</u> <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>
USAF ACADEMY			
	ENHANCED FLIGHT SCREENER HANGARS	3,800	NM
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	780	CM
	ADD TO AND ALTER WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT	7,100	CM
	USAF ACADEMY TOTAL:	11,680	
	COLORADO TOTAL:	76,160	
DELAWARE			
DOVER AFB			
	INSTALL EMISSION CONTROL DEVICES	860	CM
	DORMITORY (DBOF)	3,200	CM
	ADD TO AND ALTER DINING FACILITY (DBOF)	2,500	CM
	DOVER AFB TOTAL:	6,560	
	DELAWARE TOTAL:	6,560	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA			
BOLLING AFB			
	ADD TO CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	2,000	CM
	BOLLING AFB TOTAL:	2,000	
	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA TOTAL:	2,000	
FLORIDA			
CAPE CANAVERAL AFS			
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	400	CM
	SLFI-BACKUP POWER	2,500	CM
	SLFI-BACKUP POWER	800	CM

Legend: CM - Current Mission
NM - New Mission

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
CURRENT MISSION, NEW MISSION AND
WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>APPROP AMOUNT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>
	SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT	11,900	CM
	SLFI-UPGRADE WATER SUPPLY MAINS	1,200	CM
	SLFI-UPGRADE FIRE SYSTEM	2,400	CM
	CAPE CANAVERAL AFB TOTAL:	19,200	
EGLIN AFB			
	UPGRADE HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	4,550	CM
	REPLACE POL PIPELINE	3,300	CM
	AIRCRAFT ENGINE TEST FACILITY	1,600	CM
	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE/WAREHOUSE FACILITIES	2,600	CM
	RENOVATE CLIMATIC TEST CHAMBER, PHASE II	57,000	CM
	EGLIN AFB TOTAL:	69,050	
EGLIN AUXILIARY 9			
	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES	4,479	CM
	UPGRADE SANITARY SEWAGE SYSTEM	1,750	CM
	UPGRADE STORM SEWAGE SYSTEM	1,600	CM
	EGLIN AUXILIARY 9 TOTAL:	7,829	
PATRICK AFB			
	ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGAR	2,000	NM
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	1,850	CM
	PATRICK AFB TOTAL:	3,850	
TYNDALL AFB			
	BASE SUPPLY LOGISTICS CENTER	2,600	CM
	TYNDALL AFB TOTAL:	2,600	
	FLORIDA TOTAL:	102,529	

Legend: CM - Current Mission
NM - New Mission

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
CURRENT MISSION, NEW MISSION AND
WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>APPROX AMOUNT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>
GEORGIA			
ROBINS AFB			
	J-STARS ADD TO AND ALTER OPERATIONS COMPLEX	4,100	NM
	J-STARS SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ AMU	7,500	NM
	J-STARS ADD TO AND ALTER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	9,300	NM
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PAINT FACILITY	970	CM
	ADD TO AND ALTER LOGISTICAL SYSTEMS OPERATIONS CENTER	3,000	CM
	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)	4,300	CM
	J-STARS ADD TO AND ALTER UTILITIES	3,500	NM
	UPGRADE INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL PLANTS	10,700	CM
	ROBINS AFB TOTAL:	43,370	
	GEORGIA TOTAL:	43,370	
HAWAII			
HICKAM AFB			
	MILSTAR COMMUNICATIONS GROUND TERMINAL	2,200	NM
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	2,100	CM
	DORMITORY	5,950	CM
	HICKAM AFB TOTAL:	10,250	
KAENA POINT STS			
	POWER PLANT	7,350	CM
	KAENA POINT STS TOTAL:	7,350	
	HAWAII TOTAL:	17,600	

Legend: CM - Current Mission
NM - New Mission

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
CURRENT MISSION, NEW MISSION AND
WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	APPROP <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>
ILLINOIS			
SCOTT AFB			
	INTEROPERABILITY TEST AND TRAINING FACILITY	5,000	CM
	MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITY/ LAND ACQUISITION (DBOF)	2,450	CM
	SCOTT AFB TOTAL:	7,450	
	ILLINOIS TOTAL:	7,450	
KANSAS			
MCCONNELL AFB			
	CONTROL TOWER CAB	900	CM
	LAND RESTRICTIVE EASEMENT ACQUISITION	1,000	CM
	MCCONNELL AFB TOTAL:	1,900	
	KANSAS TOTAL:	1,900	
LOUISIANA			
BARKSDALE AFB			
	UPGRADE BULK STORAGE BASINS	1,600	CM
	WEAPONS STORAGE AREA SECURITY	960	CM
	BARKSDALE AFB TOTAL:	2,560	
	LOUISIANA TOTAL:	2,560	
MARYLAND			
ANDREWS AFB			
	AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL (DBOF)	4,400	CM
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)	1,000	CM
	UPGRADE COMPOSITE ADMINISTRATION FACILITY (DBOF)	9,940	CM

Legend: CM - Current Mission
NM - New Mission

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
CURRENT MISSION, NEW MISSION AND
WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY INSTALLATION	PROJECT	APPROP AMOUNT	TYPE
	UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEMS	2,650	CM
	ANDREWS AFB TOTAL:	17,990	
FORT GEORGE G. MEADE			
	ADD TO OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,450	NM
	FORT GEORGE G. MEADE TOTAL:	1,450	
	MARYLAND TOTAL:	19,440	
MISSISSIPPI			
COLUMBUS AFB			
	UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING	2,900	CM
	COLUMBUS AFB TOTAL:	2,900	
KEESLER AFB			
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	690	CM
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	600	CM
	UPGRADE STUDENT DORMITORY	4,500	CM
	UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	2,920	CM
	KEESLER AFB TOTAL:	8,710	
	MISSISSIPPI TOTAL:	11,610	
MISSOURI			
WHITEMAN AFB			
	B-2 AIRCRAFT APRON/TAXIWAY UPGRADE	3,400	NM
	B-2 HYDRANT FUEL LOOP II, PHASE II	2,700	NM
	B-2 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE DOCKS	14,500	NM
	B-2 VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,700	NM
	B-2 ADD TO AND ALTER MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITIES	3,338	NM

Legend: CM - Current Mission
NM - New Mission

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
CURRENT MISSION, NEW MISSION AND
WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

<u>STATE/COUNTRY</u> <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>APPROP</u> <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>
	B-2 UTILITY UPGRADE	4,850	NM
	B-2 UPGRADE BASE ROADS, PHASE I	5,900	NM
	B-2 DEFENSE ACCESS ROADS	7,150	NM
	WHITEMAN AFB TOTAL:	43,538	
	MISSOURI TOTAL:	43,538	
MONTANA			
MALMSTROM AFB			
	BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX (DBOF)	6,200	CM
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS MINUTEMAN II FACILITIES	1,500	CM
	MALMSTROM AFB TOTAL:	7,700	
	MONTANA TOTAL:	7,700	
NEBRASKA			
OFFUTT AFB			
	REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS AND LIGHTING	8,700	CM
	ADD TO EMERGENCY BACK-UP POWER	2,300	CM
	OFFUTT AFB TOTAL:	11,000	
	NEBRASKA TOTAL:	11,000	
NEVADA			
NELLIS AFB			
	UPGRADE POL TANKS	1,650	CM
	NELLIS AFB TOTAL:	1,650	
	NEVADA TOTAL:	1,650	

Legend: CM - Current Mission
NM - New Mission

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
CURRENT MISSION, NEW MISSION AND
WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY INSTALLATION	PROJECT	APPROX AMOUNT	TYPE
NEW MEXICO			
CANNON AFB			
	SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT PAD	665	CM
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	1,000	CM
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	1,100	CM
	BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX	6,150	CM
	CANNON AFB TOTAL:	8,915	
HOLLOMAN AFB			
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	1,000	CM
	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES	6,400	CM
	SEWER EFFLUENT SYSTEM	1,800	CM
	HOLLOMAN AFB TOTAL:	9,200	
KIRTLAND AFB			
	AEROSPACE ENGINEERING FACILITY	3,167	NM
	COMPOSITE MATERIALS LABORATORY	5,750	NM
	SPACE STRUCTURES LABORATORY	6,200	NM
	ALTER DORMITORY	5,100	CM
	UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	6,844	CM
	KIRTLAND AFB TOTAL:	27,061	
	NEW MEXICO TOTAL:	45,176	
NORTH CAROLINA			
POPE AFB			
	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES	4,300	CM
	DINING FACILITY	4,300	CM
	POPE AFB TOTAL:	8,600	

Legend: CM - Current Mission
NM - New Mission

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
CURRENT MISSION, NEW MISSION AND
WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>APPROP AMOUNT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>
SEYMOUR-JOHNSON AFB			
	MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE SUPPORT FACILITY	480	CM
	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES	4,900	CM
	SEYMOUR-JOHNSON AFB TOTAL:	5,380	
	NORTH CAROLINA TOTAL:	13,980	
NORTH DAKOTA			
GRAND FORKS AFB			
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	2,600	CM
	GRAND FORKS AFB TOTAL:	2,600	
MINOT AFB			
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	2,000	CM
	MINOT AFB TOTAL:	2,000	
	NORTH DAKOTA TOTAL:	4,600	
OHIO			
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB			
	ADD TO AVIONICS RESEARCH LABORATORY, PHASE II	5,650	CM
	ADD TO AND ALTER ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT COMPLEX, PHASE II	12,850	CM
	SEAL FUEL CONTAINMENT DIKES	1,500	CM
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS, PHASE II	3,200	CM
	RENOVATE ELECTRIC SUBSTATIONS	4,450	CM
	WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB TOTAL:	27,650	
	OHIO TOTAL:	27,650	

Legend: CM - Current Mission
NM - New Mission

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
CURRENT MISSION, NEW MISSION AND
WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY INSTALLATION	PROJECT	APPROP AMOUNT	TYPE
OKLAHOMA			
ALTUS AFB			
	C-17 FIRE STATION (DBOF)	780	NM
	C-17 ADD TO FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING FACILITY, PHII (DBOF)	2,850	NM
	C-17 ADD TO AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY (DBOF)	3,300	NM
	ALTUS AFB TOTAL:	6,930	
TINKER AFB			
	ALTER HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	4,129	CM
	MILSTAR COMMUNICATIONS GROUND TERMINAL	800	NM
	SEAL FUEL CONTAINMENT DIKES	620	CM
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	4,700	CM
	ENGINEERING AND CONTRACT SUPPORT FACILITY	5,900	CM
	INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER REGIONAL CONNECTION (DBOF)	5,400	CM
	TINKER AFB TOTAL:	21,549	
VANCE AFB			
	UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING	3,300	CM
	T-1 SPECIALIZED UPT MAINTENANCE SUPPORT	2,700	NM
	VANCE AFB TOTAL:	6,000	
	OKLAHOMA TOTAL:	34,479	
SOUTH CAROLINA			
CHARLESTON AFB			
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)	1,100	CM
	CHARLESTON AFB TOTAL:	1,100	

Legend: CM - Current Mission
NM - New Mission

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
CURRENT MISSION, NEW MISSION AND
WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

<u>STATE/COUNTRY</u> <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>APPROP</u> <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>
SHAW AFB			
	CONTROL TOWER	2,700	CM
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	520	CM
	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	2,650	CM
	SHAW AFB TOTAL:	5,870	
	SOUTH CAROLINA TOTAL:	6,970	
SOUTH DAKOTA			
ELLSWORTH AFB			
	ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE DOCK	630	CM
	ELLSWORTH AFB TOTAL:	630	
	SOUTH DAKOTA TOTAL:	630	
TENNESSEE			
ARNOLD AFB			
	UPGRADE SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT	1,500	CM
	ARNOLD AFB TOTAL:	1,500	
MEMPHIS NAS			
	ADD TO AND ALTER HIGH-BAY TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY	3,000	NM
	ALTER TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY	2,000	NM
	RENOVATE DORMITORY	1,200	NM
	MEMPHIS NAS TOTAL:	6,200	
	TENNESSEE TOTAL:	7,700	
TEXAS			
DYESS AFB			
	UPGRADE HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM, PHASE II	9,500	CM

Legend: CM - Current Mission
NM - New Mission

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
CURRENT MISSION, NEW MISSION AND
WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>APPROP AMOUNT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>
	WEAPONS STORAGE AREA SECURITY	890	CM
	DYESS AFB TOTAL:	10,390	
GOODFELLOW AFB			
	BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX	3,700	CM
	GOODFELLOW AFB TOTAL:	3,700	
KELLY AFB			
	UPGRADE TAXIWAY	3,550	CM
	C-17 ENGINEERING TEST LABORATORY	2,600	NM
	C-17 ADD/ALTER NONDESTRUCTIVE INSPECTION FACILITY (DBOF)	4,900	NM
	C-17 ALTER DEPOT AVIONICS FACILITY (DBOF)	731	NM
	ALTER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT CENTER, PHASE II (DBOF)	7,800	CM
	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)	2,000	CM
	UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER MAINS, PHASE I	3,000	CM
	UPGRADE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM, PHASE I	2,900	CM
	KELLY AFB TOTAL:	27,481	
LACKLAND AFB			
	TRAINING SERVICES FACILITIES	5,800	CM
	ALTER BASE SUPPORT FACILITY	5,400	CM
	MISSION SUPPORT CENTER	7,543	CM
	BASE CONTRACTING CENTER	2,450	CM
	7-LEVEL TRAINING DORMITORY	8,900	NM
	LACKLAND AFB TOTAL:	30,093	

Legend: CM - Current Mission
NM - New Mission

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
CURRENT MISSION, NEW MISSION AND
WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>APPROP AMOUNT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>
LACKLAND ANNEX			
	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,200	CM
	LACKLAND ANNEX TOTAL:	1,200	
LAUGHLIN AFB			
	UPGRADE AIRFIELD PAVEMENT	3,250	CM
	FIRE STATION	2,400	CM
	UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING	3,000	CM
	LAUGHLIN AFB TOTAL:	8,650	
RANDOLPH AFB			
	CONTROL TOWER	2,800	CM
	UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	2,500	CM
	RANDOLPH AFB TOTAL:	5,300	
REESE AFB			
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	900	CM
	REESE AFB TOTAL:	900	
SHEPPARD AFB			
	ENJJPT ALTER FLIGHT TRAINING FACILITY	2,200	NM
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	850	CM
	7-LEVEL TRAINING DORMITORY	14,200	NM
	ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	780	CM
	SHEPPARD AFB TOTAL:	18,030	
	TEXAS TOTAL:	105,744	

Legend: CM - Current Mission
NM - New Mission

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
CURRENT MISSION, NEW MISSION AND
WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>APPROP AMOUNT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>
UTAH			
HILL AFB			
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)	880	CM
	UPGRADE INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT (DBOF)	5,100	CM
	UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	2,400	CM
	HILL AFB TOTAL:	8,380	
	UTAH TOTAL:	8,380	
VIRGINIA			
LANGLEY AFB			
	FIRE STATION	3,850	CM
	ADD TO AND ALTER GARS OPERATIONS FACILITY	5,373	NM
	BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX, PHASE II	4,000	CM
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	500	CM
	RESTORE KING STREET BRIDGE	4,100	CM
	LANGLEY AFB TOTAL:	17,823	
	VIRGINIA TOTAL:	17,823	
WASHINGTON			
FAIRCHILD AFB			
	INTELLIGENCE TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY	3,500	CM
	FAIRCHILD AFB TOTAL:	3,500	
MCCHORD AFB			
	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)	6,500	CM

Legend: CM - Current Mission
NM - New Mission

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
CURRENT MISSION, NEW MISSION AND
WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

<u>STATE/COUNTRY</u> <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>AFPROP</u> <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>
	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER COMPLEX (DBOF)	4,400	CM
	MCCHORD AFB TOTAL:	10,900	
	WASHINGTON TOTAL:	14,400	
WYOMING			
F E WARREN AFB			
	RENOVATE SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS	6,000	CM
	REMOTE MISSILE CREW FACILITIES	3,800	CM
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS, PHASE I	2,200	CM
	WEAPONS STORAGE AREA SECURITY	640	CM
	F E WARREN AFB TOTAL:	12,640	
	WYOMING TOTAL:	12,640	
	INSIDE THE UNITED STATES TOTAL:	802,502	
ANTIGUA			
ANTIGUA AS			
	SLFI-UPGRADE BACKUP GENERATOR	1,000	CM
	ANTIGUA AS TOTAL:	1,000	
	ANTIGUA TOTAL:	1,000	
ASCENSION ISLAND			
ASCENSION AAF			
	WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT	3,400	CM
	ASCENSION AAF TOTAL:	3,400	
	ASCENSION ISLAND TOTAL:	3,400	

Legend: CM - Current Mission
NM - New Mission

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
CURRENT MISSION, NEW MISSION AND
WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>APPROP AMOUNT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>
CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS			
CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS			
	WAR READINESS MATERIEL WAREHOUSE	5,500	NM
	CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS TOTAL:	5,500	
	CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS TOTAL:	5,500	
GERMANY			
RAMSTEIN AB			
	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	3,100	CM
	RAMSTEIN AB TOTAL:	3,100	
	GERMANY TOTAL:	3,100	
GREENLAND			
THULE AB			
	WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT	5,492	CM
	THULE AB TOTAL:	5,492	
	GREENLAND TOTAL:	5,492	
GUAM			
ANDERSEN AFB			
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	4,100	CM
	ANDERSEN AFB TOTAL:	4,100	
	GUAM TOTAL:	4,100	
INDIAN OCEAN			
DIEGO GARCIA AB			
	GPS INSTRUMENTATION FACILITY	1,700	CM

Legend: CM - Current Mission
NM - New Mission

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
CURRENT MISSION, NEW MISSION AND
WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>APPROP AMOUNT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>
	SATELLITE TRACKING STORAGE FACILITY	560	CM
	DIEGO GARCIA AB TOTAL:	2,260	
	INDIAN OCEAN TOTAL:	2,260	
OMAN			
THUMRAIT AB			
	WAR READINESS MATERIEL COVERED STORAGE FACILITY	1,800	NM
	THUMRAIT AB TOTAL:	1,800	
	OMAN TOTAL:	1,800	
TURKEY			
INCIRLIK AB			
	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES	2,400	CM
	INCIRLIK AB TOTAL:	2,400	
	TURKEY TOTAL:	2,400	
UNITED KINGDOM			
RAF MILDENHALL			
	NAVAL AIR FACILITY	4,800	NM
	RAF MILDENHALL TOTAL:	4,800	
	UNITED KINGDOM TOTAL:	4,800	
	OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES TOTAL:	33,852	
VARIOUS			
VARIOUS LOCATIONS			
	PLANNING & DESIGN	63,180	NM

Legend: CM - Current Mission
NM - New Mission

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
 CURRENT MISSION, NEW MISSION AND
 WORLDWIDE
 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
 WORLDWIDE

STATE/COUNTRY INSTALLATION	PROJECT	APPROP AMOUNT	TYPE
	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	6,844	NM
	VARIOUS LOCATIONS TOTAL:	70,024	
	VARIOUS TOTAL:	70,024	
	WORLDWIDE TOTAL:	70,024	
	FT 94 PROGRAM TOTAL:	906,378	

Legend: CM - Current Mission
 NM - New Mission

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM
FY 1994 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET
INSTALLATION INDEX

<u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>HOST COMMAND</u>	<u>STATE/COUNTRY</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
ALTUS AFB	AMC	OKLAHOMA	376
ANDERSEN AFB	PAF	GUAM	559
ANDREWS AFB	AMC	MARYLAND	242
ANTIGUA AAF	SPC	ANTIGUA	544
ARNOLD AFB	MTC	TENNESSEE	416
ASCENSION AAF	SPC	ASCENSION ISLAND	545
BARKSDALE AFB	ACC	LOUISIANA	238
BOLLING AFB	ADW	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	141
BUCKLEY ANGB	NGB	COLORADO	111
CANNON AFB	ACC	NEW MEXICO	311
CAPE CANAVERAL AFS	SPC	FLORIDA	145
CAPE ROMANZOF LRRS	PAF	ALASKA	20
CHARLESTON AFB	AMC	SOUTH CAROLINA	404
CHEYENNE MT AFB	SPC	COLORADO	112
CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS	LEE	INSIDE THE U.S.	108
CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS	LEE	OUTSIDE THE U.S.	549
COLUMBUS AFB	ATC	MISSISSIPPI	256
DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB	ACC	ARIZONA	53
DIEGO GARCIA AB	PAF	INDIAN OCEAN	563
DOVER AFB	AMC	DELAWARE	134
DYESS AFB	ACC	TEXAS	427
EDWARDS AFB	MTC	CALIFORNIA	74
EGLIN AFB	MTC	FLORIDA	159
EGLIN AUXILIARY #9	AMC	FLORIDA	175
EIELSON AFB	PAF	ALASKA	24
ELLSWORTH AFB	ACC	SOUTH DAKOTA	415
ELMENDORF AFB	PAF	ALASKA	31
F.E. WARREN AFB	ACC	WYOMING	534
FAIRCHILD AFB	ACC	WASHINGTON	523
FORT GEORGE G. MEADE	LEE	MARYLAND	252
GOODFELLOW AFB	ATC	TEXAS	431
GRAND FORKS AFB	ACC	NORTH DAKOTA	352
GUNTER ANNEX	AUN	ALABAMA	2
HICKAM AFB	PAF	HAWAII	219
HILL AFB	MTC	UTAH	502
HOLLOMAN AFB	ACC	NEW MEXICO	318
INCIRLIK AB	AFE	TURKEY	570

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM
FY 1994 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET
INSTALLATION INDEX

<u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>HOST COMMAND</u>	<u>STATE/COUNTRY</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
KAENA POINT STS	PAF	HAWAII	226
KESLER AFB	ATC	MISSISSIPPI	260
KELLY AFB	MTC	TEXAS	435
KIRTLAND AFB	AMC	NEW MEXICO	324
LACKLAND AFB	ATC	TEXAS	458
LACKLAND ANNEX	ATC	TEXAS	473
LANGLEY AFB	ACC	VIRGINIA	510
LAUGHLIN AFB	ATC	TEXAS	477
LITTLE ROCK AFB	AMC	ARKANSAS	65
LUKE AFB	ACC	ARIZONA	54
MALMSTROM AFB	AMC	MONTANA	292
MAXWELL AFB	AUN	ALABAMA	5
MCCHORD AFB	AMC	WASHINGTON	527
MCCLELLAN AFB	MTC	CALIFORNIA	81
MCCONNELL AFB	ACC	KANSAS	237
MEMPHIS NAS	NAV	TENNESSEE	420
MINOT AFB	ACC	NORTH DAKOTA	356
NAVAJO ARMY DEPOT	MTC	ARIZONA	61
NELLIS AFB	ACC	NEVADA	307
OFFUTT AFB	ACC	NEBRASKA	300
PATRICK AFB	SPC	FLORIDA	185
PETERSON AFB	SPC	COLORADO	116
POPE AFB	ACC	NORTH CAROLINA	341
RAF MILDENHALL	AFE	UNITED KINGDOM	574
RAMSTEIN AB	AFE	GERMANY	552
RANDOLPH AFB	ATC	TEXAS	487
REESE AFB	ATC	TEXAS	494
ROBINS AFB	ACC	GEORGIA	196
SCOTT AFB	AMC	ILLINOIS	230
SEYMOUR-JOHNSON AFB	ACC	NORTH CAROLINA	348
SHAW AFB	ACC	SOUTH CAROLINA	408
SHEPPARD AFB	ATC	TEXAS	495
THULE AB	SPC	GREENLAND	556
THUMRAIT AB	ACC	OMAN	567
TINKER AFB	MTC	OKLAHOMA	383
TRAVIS AFB	AMC	CALIFORNIA	84
TYNDALL AFB	ACC	FLORIDA	192

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM
 FY 1994 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET
 INSTALLATION INDEX

<u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>HOST COMMAND</u>	<u>STATE/COUNTRY</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
USAF ACADEMY	AFA	COLORADO	127
VANCE AFB	ATC	OKLAHOMA	397
VANDENBERG AFB	SPC	CALIFORNIA	91
VARIOUS LOCATIONS	LEE	VARIOUS LOCATIONS	577
WHITEMAN AFB	ACC	MISSOURI	267
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB	MTC	OHIO	360

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 1994

ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS

An economic evaluation has been accomplished for all projects costing over \$2 million and the results are addressed in the individual DD Forms 1391. Life cycle economic analyses or justifications why an economic analysis was not warranted will be submitted directly to the OSD staff at their request.

DESIGN FOR ACCESSIBILITY OF PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED PERSONNEL

In accordance with Public Law, 90-480, provisions for physically handicapped personnel will be provided for, where appropriate, in the design of facilities included in this program.

ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT

In accordance with Section 102(2) (c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (PL 91-190), the environmental impact analysis process (EIAP) has been completed or is actively underway for all projects in the Air Force FY 1995 Military Construction Program.

EVALUATION OF FLOODPLAINS AND WETLANDS

All projects in the program have been evaluated for compliance with Executive Orders 11988, Floodplain Management, and 11990, Protection of Wetlands, and the Floodplain Management Guidelines of U.S. Water Resources Council. Projects have been sited to avoid or reduce the risk of flood loss, minimize the impact of floods on human safety, health and welfare, preserve and enhance the natural and beneficial values of wetlands and minimize the destruction, loss or degradation of wetlands.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE

The FY 94 MILCON request includes \$140.8 million for requirements necessary to correct current environmental noncompliance situations and to prevent future noncompliance. The request is the result of an intense effort to correct environmental concerns existing in five major infrastructure areas: wastewater treatment systems, corrosion control systems, hydrant refueling systems, underground storage tank systems, and live fire training facilities.

FY 1994

CONGRESSIONAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

1. STATEMENTS ON NATO ELIGIBILITY

These are in response to the requirement in the FY 1988 Senate Appropriations Committee Report, 100-200, page 13, and are included in the appropriate project justifications.

2. STATEMENTS ON COMPLIANCE WITH CONSTRUCTION MANUAL 4210.1M

These are in response to the requirement in the FY 1988 Senate Appropriations Conference Report, 100-498, page 1003, and are included in each project justification.

3. NEW AND CURRENT MISSION ACTIVITIES

The FY 1989 Senate Appropriations Committee Report, 100-380, pages 10 and 11, identified a requirement to include an exhibit in the budget justification books that displayed required projects in two separate categories: New Mission and Current Mission. The CM (current mission) or NM (new mission) designation which follows the project on the listing at Tab D identifies each project as new or current mission. Additionally, each justification in Block 11 indicates whether the project supports a new or current mission.

4. RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION ASSETS

Senate Armed Services Committee Report 101-384, dated 20 July 1990, on the National Defense Authorization Act for FY 91 requested the Department to screen Resolution Trust Corporation assets to determine if proposed construction projects could be more economically met through the purchase of existing assets held by the Resolution Trust Corporation. The FY 94 Military Construction and Family Housing programs were compared to the current real estate asset inventory published by the Resolution Trust Corporation. It was determined and the Department certifies that no assets exist that can be economically used in lieu of the FY 94 projects requested.

THIRD PARTY FINANCING

Test of long-term facilities contracts

NONE

FY 1994
NON-MILCON CONSTRUCTION

This information is being provided in response to the requirement on page 1006 of the FY 1988 Appropriations Conference Report 100-498. Information on appropriations other than MILCON are on the following pages:

<u>PROGRAM</u>	<u>PAGE NUMBER</u>
Research and Development (RDT&E)	F-5
Operations and Maintenance (O&M)	F-8

NON-MILCON FUNDING

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (RDT&E) FUNDING
 Refer to RDT&E Descriptive Summary Documentation for Detail

<u>PE</u>	<u>Type Effort</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>
Various	Equipment Installation - RDT&E	FY 1992	\$2,922

NON-MILCON FUNDING

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (RDT&E) FUNDING
 Refer to RDT&E Descriptive Summary Documentation for Detail

<u>PE</u>	<u>Type Effort</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>
34111F	Construction per 10 U.S.C. 2353	FY 1993	\$17,000
Various	Equipment Installation - RDT&E	FY 1993	\$ 1,408

NON-MILCON FUNDING

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (RDT&E) FUNDING
 Refer to RDT&E Descriptive Summary Documentation for Detail

<u>PE</u>	<u>Type Effort</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>
65876F	Minor Construction - RDT&E	FY 1994	\$7,739
34111F	Construction per 10 U.S.C. 2353	FY 1994	\$24,900
Various	Equipment Installation - RDT&E	FY 1994	\$16,190

REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE
ACTIVE AIR FORCE
(\$ IN MILLIONS)

	FY 1992 ACTUAL	FY 1993 ESTIMATE	FY 1994 ESTIMATE
Appropriation Summary:			
Operation and Maintenance.	1,273.5	792.0	1,283.8

Description of Operations Financed: Provides resources for in-service and contractual accomplishment of maintenance and repair work on buildings, structures, warehouses, roadways, runways and aprons, missile sites, railway tracks, utility plants and distribution systems. Also includes minor construction to erect, install or assemble a new facility or to expand, alter or convert an existing facility when costs of such minor construction do not exceed \$300 thousand for each project.

PROGRAM DATA

Maintenance and Repair of Real Property	1,137.8	734.3	969.3
Minor Construction	135.7	13.1	79.4
AMC DBOF		44.6	235.1
Backlog of Maintenance and Repair (BMAR)	1,599.5	2,033.9	2,338.9

PERSONNEL DATA

Active Air Force Personnel			
Officer	822	593	504
Enlisted	15,779	10,996	9,766
Total	16,601	11,589	10,270
Civilian Personnel			
U.S. Direct Hire	10,205	9,513	9,343
Foreign Direct Hire	484	322	330
Total Direct Hire	10,689	9,835	9,673
Foreign National Indirect Hire	1,301	1,552	1,449
Total	11,990	11,387	11,122

71 80

Discussion: The FY 1994 program includes a pricing increase of \$16.2M. The following major program changes are as follows: The FY 1993 Appropriation Act denied our requested funding transfer to MILCON for major repair and minor construction and established the Real Property Maintenance, Defense Account. This budget requests we again fund those programs in O&M (\$+578.3M). However, funding for the Air Force's Real Property Maintenance (RPM) program continues to decline at a rate faster than the rate of force structure drawdowns and installation closures/realignments (\$-107.9M). The FY 1993 RPM estimate which only funds 44.6% of requirements results in BMAR growth of \$434M. In FY 1994 we further reduced funding by \$25.4M due to fiscal austerity. Any further reductions will accelerate facility deterioration to an unacceptable level and begin to seriously impact mission readiness and combat capability. Also, we anticipate increased burdensharing from our allies which will reduce our needs (\$-24.1M). Finally, our FY 1994 request reflects increased customer funding to reimburse AMC DBOF, established in FY 1993, for Military Personnel (\$+70.9M).

APPROPRIATION LANGUAGE

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

For acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, military installations, facilities, and real property for the Air Force as currently authorized by law \$906,378,000 to remain available until September 30, 1998: Provided, that of this amount, not to exceed \$63,180,000, shall be available for study, planning, design, architect and engineer services, as authorized by law, unless the Secretary of Defense determines that additional obligations are necessary for such purposes and notifies the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress of his determination and the reasons therefor.

G-1

PK AF	Noble	PASEUR	Military Construction, Air Force Program and Financing (in Thousands of dollars)		SUMMARY		REPORT 21	OS MAR 92 PAGE 728 TPGE 101
			3300F					
Identification code 57-3300-0-1-051 Budget Plan (amounts for MILITARY CONSTRUCTION actions programmed)								
1982 actual 1993 est. 1994 est. 1995 est.								
Program by activities:								
00.0101	Direct program:							
00.0201	Major construction		1,032,386	618,780	836,354	726,383		
00.0301	Minor construction		11,500	7,000	6,844	7,752		
00.0401	Planning		88,800	92,000	83,180	64,812		
00.0401	Supporting activities		6,000					
00.8101	Total direct program		1,119,786	717,780	906,378	799,947		
01.0101	Reimbursable program		1,927	508	500	518		
10.0001	Total		1,121,723	718,288	906,878	799,465		
Financing:								
Offsetting collections from:								
11.0001	Federal funds(-)		-1,927	-508	-500	-518		
17.0001	Recovery of prior year obligations							
21.4002	Unobligated balance available, start of year:							
21.4003	For completion of prior year budget plans							
21.4003	Available to finance new budget plans							
21.0005	Reprogramming from/to prior year budget plans		-94,400					
22.0001	Unobligated balance transferred to other accounts		-36,408					
22.0001	Unobligated balance available, end of year:		-32,642					
24.4002	For completion of prior year budget plans							
25.0001	Unobligated balance expiring		8,838					
40.0001	Budget authority (Appropriation)		973,164	717,780	906,378	799,947		
Relation of obligations to outlays:								
71.0001	Obligations incurred							
72.4001	Obligated balance, start of year							
74.4001	Obligated balance, end of year							
77.0001	Adjustments in expired accounts (net)							
78.0001	Adjustments in unexpired accounts							
90.0001	Outlays (net)							

PK AF	Noble	PASEUR	3300F			REPORT 21	09 MAR 93
			Military Construction, Air Force			PAGE 778	TPGE 102
			Program and Financing (in thousands of dollars)			SUMMARY	
						Obligations	
Identification code			87-3300-0-1-051			1992 actual	1992 est.
						1994 est.	1995 est.
Program by activities:							
Direct program:							
00.0101	Major construction		858,118	1,029,786	1,034,483		861,336
00.0201	Minor construction		10,898	10,997	7,332		9,622
00.0301	Planning		78,980	106,710	78,959		74,085
00.0401	Supporting activities		1,438	1,827	685		492
00.9101	Total direct program		947,094	1,149,100	1,121,489		945,535
01.0101	Reimbursable program		1,927	506	800		518
10.0001	Total		949,021	1,149,606	1,121,989		946,053
Financing:							
Offsetting collections from:							
11.0001	Federal funds(-)		-1,927	-506	-500		-518
17.0001	Recovery of prior year obligations		-12,936				
21.4002	Unobligated balance available, start of year:						
21.4003	For completion of prior year budget plans						
21.4008	Available to finance new budget plans		-1,184,138	-1,303,269	-872,049		-656,958
22.0001	Reprogramming from/to prior year budget plans		-94,400				
22.0001	Unobligated balance transferred to other accounts		-22,642				
24.4002	Unobligated balance available, end of year:						
24.4002	For completion of prior year budget plans		1,303,389	872,049	858,958		510,370
26.0001	Unobligated balance expiring		6,838				
40.0001	Budget authority (Appropriation)		973,184	717,780	906,378		798,947
Relation of obligations to outlays:							
71.0001	Obligations incurred		947,094	1,149,100	1,121,489		945,535
72.4001	Obligated balance, start of year		846,893		933,428		982,926
74.4001	Obligated balance, end of year		-858,441		-982,926		-922,606
77.0001	Adjustments in expired accounts (net)		13,478				
78.0001	Adjustments in unexpired accounts		-12,936				
90.0001	Outlays (net)		897,078	1,114,913	1,071,971		995,855

G-3

.PK	AF	PASEUR	Noble	3300F	REPORT 21	05 MAR 93 PAGE 779 7PGE 103
Military Construction, Air Force						
Object Classification (in Thousands of dollars)				SUMMARY		
Identification code 87-3300-0-1-051				1992 actual	1993 est.	1994 est.
Direct obligations:						
132.001			Land and structures	181,581	126,085	152,339
199.001			Total Direct obligations	181,581	126,085	152,339
Reimbursable obligations:						
232.001			Land and structures	1,927	506	500
299.001			Total Reimbursable obligations	1,927	506	500
Allocation Accounts						
Other services:						
328.304			Other	81,137	129,434	150,252
332.001			Land and structures	684,396	893,581	816,878
399.001			Total Allocation Accounts	765,533	1,023,015	966,130
999.901			Total obligations	949,021	1,149,608	1,121,969

Obligations are distributed as follows:

Defense-Military:Army	582,747	705,860	688,889
Defense-Military:Navy	127,494	154,047	150,344
Defense-Military:Air Force	229,890	276,205	271,517
Department of Transportation	9,090	11,496	11,219
Total Obligations	949,021	1,149,608	1,121,969

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX					
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, GUNTER ANNEX, ALABAMA		AIR UNIVERSITY				0.77					
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		235	1120	710	1	274	22	4	34	23	2,423
b. End FY 1998											
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (368)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 84,990											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 31,960											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 4,680											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 13,700											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 9,500											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 144,830											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS			
CODE								START		CMPL	
442-257		HAZARDOUS WASTE ACCUMULATION FACILITY		8,500 SF		310		OCT 92		MAY 93	
740-884		CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		23,000 SF		2,700		SEP 92		SEP 93	
811-147		EMERGENCY POWER GENERATOR PLANT		3,000 KW		1,200		JUN 92		APR 93	
831-000		SPILL CONTAINMENT CONTROLS		600 SF		470		OCT 92		MAY 93	
				TOTAL:		4,680					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)											
610-281		COMPUTER SYSTEMS AND TRAINING FACILITY		66,500 SF		7,000					
610-711		RENOVATE COMPUTER OPERATIONS FACILITY		115,985 SF		3,700					
850-000		UPGRADE UTILITY SYSTEMS, PHASE I		LS		3,000					
				TOTAL:		13,700					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
740-674		PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER		28,200 SF		5,000					
812-223		IMPROVE PRIMARY OVERHEAD DIST LINE		LS		3,000					
842-245		UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		20,000 LF		1,500					
10. Mission or Major Functions: USAF Extension Course Institute; Air Force Senior Noncommissioned Officer Academy; Air Force Materiel Command Management Center; and Air Force Communications Command Standard Systems Center.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution: 0											
b. Water pollution: 3,000											
c. Occupational safety and health: 0											
d. Other Environmental: 0											

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, GUNTER ANNEX, ALABAMA			4. PROJECT TITLE CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 9.12.12S	6. CATEGORY CODE 740-884	7. PROJECT NUMBER JUBJ943076	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,700		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	SF	23,000	80	1,840	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				590	
UTILITIES	LS			(230)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(70)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(130)	
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(60)	
DEMOLITION	LS			(100)	
SUBTOTAL				2,430	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				122	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,552	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				153	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,705	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,700	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls, structural steel frame and roof system. Includes multipurpose rooms for different age groups, management support space, storage, kitchen, activity and isolation rooms and other necessary support spaces. Demolition of existing substandard facility. Air Conditioning: 100 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 23,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 19,732 SF PROJECT: Construct a child development center. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A facility to provide for the care and development of a wide range of age groups of children of personnel assigned to this base. This care must be provided during regular duty hours and in support of after-hours mission requirements. A reliable, well managed, healthy and affordable center for the care of children aged six weeks through twelve years is essential. The requirements are based on 228 children, which was derived from the FY97 force structure. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing child development center is too small, poorly arranged for safe and effective child development support, and in substandard conditions not suitable for economic renovation. Storage space is inadequate; the functional arrangement is poor; and many rooms lack exits to the outside. More rooms are needed in order to meet required adult-to-child ratios per room. School age children are in the same facility as six-week to three-year-old children, which is against Air Force regulations. A better equipped kitchen is needed to meet health standards, and more bathroom facilities are needed to properly care for infants. The facility currently is filled to capacity with 80 children between the ages of six weeks and three years. Sixty preschool children are presently without a facility as the preschool facility was declared					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, GUNTER ANNEX, ALABAMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	JUBJ943076	
<p>unusable in a recent DoD inspection. Forty children are currently on the waiting list. Home care is used to supplement the child care program, but is filled to capacity. Day care facilities in the area are triple the cost of the base program and are too expensive for the young enlisted personnel.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Eligible patrons will continue to be denied service. Personnel will be required to use more expensive off-base programs. This results in an additional hardship on military and civilian parents and is a career detractor.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project will replace the existing child development center and meets the criteria specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, GUNTER ANNEX, ALABAMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	JUBJ943076	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 20
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		162
(b) All Other Design Costs		81
(c) Total		243
(d) Contract		162
(e) In-house		81
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
AIR FORCE				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, GUNTER ANNEX, ALABAMA			4. PROJECT TITLE EMERGENCY POWER GENERATOR PLANT	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)	
9.12.12S	811-147	JUBJ943073	1,200	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
EMERGENCY POWER GENERATOR PLANT		1		1,080
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				(1,080)
DIESEL GENERATOR SETS INSTALLED	EA	3	360,000	1,080
SUBTOTAL				54
CONTINGENCY (5%)				1,134
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				68
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				1,262
TOTAL REQUEST				1,200
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Install three 1000 KW generators in the power plant area of Bldg 857. This work includes all associated cabling, connections, piping, etc., to provide a fully operational emergency backup power system.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 3,000 KW ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Procure and install three 1000 KW generators. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: Backup generators are required to sustain computer operations in support of aircraft readiness for the southeastern United States air defense during commercial power outages. DoD's Defense Management Report Decision 924 requires the Air Force to consolidate all standard base level computer functions from CONUS bases into five newly formed Regional Processing Centers. Located at Gunter Annex, the first center supports 19 active duty bases and 20 Air National Guard/Air Force Reserve units, totaling approximately 10,000 users. The center must be operational 24 hours per day and seven days per week. CURRENT SITUATION: DMRD 924 was approved in Feb 1991. The Air Force began moving the base computers to the Gunter Regionalized Processing Center during the summer of 1991. The computers are supported by an uninterruptable power supply (UPS) that conditions the power fed to the computers and provides up to 15 minutes of reserve battery power during commercial power outages. The Air Force will lease backup diesel generators to sustain the Center's operation during extended power outages as an interim measure until this project is approved. Leasing of these generators began in Jan 1992. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: \$166,000 is spent annually from scarce operational and maintenance resources to continue the lease of the generators.				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, GUNTER ANNEX, ALABAMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
EMERGENCY POWER GENERATOR PLANT	JUBJ943073	
<p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis determined that it is more cost effective to purchase the generators rather than continue their lease. The discounted payback period to purchase generators vice continuing their lease is 4.4 years. There are no criteria for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide," or in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements."</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, GUNTER ANNEX, ALABAMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
EMERGENCY POWER GENERATOR PLANT	JUBJ943073	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 JUN 03	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	40%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 OCT 14	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 APR 01	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	48	
(b) All Other Design Costs	33	
(c) Total	81	
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house	81	
(4) Construction Start		
	93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE	
AIR FORCE									
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX	
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA				AIR UNIVERSITY				0.77	
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT		STUDENTS		SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		973	1600	1466	1556	46	14		
b. End FY 1998		1202	2731	2306	1557	457	36	4	34
								23	8,350
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)									
a. Total Acreage: (3,528)									
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 196,253									
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 23,320									
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 25,370									
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 13,100									
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 73,200									
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0									
h. Grand Total: 331,243									
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994									
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS	
CODE								START Cmpl	
111-111		EXTEND RUNWAY/UPGRADE		16,700 SY		9,200		AUG 92 JUL 93	
112-211		TAXIWAY/RAMP		111,200 SY		3,800		AUG 92 JUN 93	
171-851		AIR FORCE QUALITY CENTER		45,000 SF		4,650		NOV 92 SEP 93	
411-135		UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		53 TK		1,700		OCT 92 MAY 93	
831-000		SPILL CONTAINMENT CONTROLS		LS		970		OCT 92 MAY 93	
850-000		UPGRADE UTILITY SYSTEMS, PHASE I		LS		5,050		AUG 92 JUN 93	
TOTAL:						25,370			
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)									
724-417		ALTER DORMITORY		82 PM		3,500			
724-417		STUDENT DORMITORIES, PHASE I		200 PM		9,600			
TOTAL:						13,100			
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:									
112-211		REPAIR TAXIWAY		LS		3,500			
113-321		REPAIR APRONS		LS		4,000			
141-453		RENOVATE BASE OPERATIONS		22,607 SF		3,300			
724-417		VISITING OFFICERS QUARTERS		200 PM		9,800			
842-245		UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		35,000 LF		2,800			
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters Air University; Air War College; Air Command and Staff College; Squadron Officers School; Center for Aerospace Doctrine, Research, and Education; Air Force Quality Center; Ira C. Eaker Center for Professional Development; Air Force Historical Research Agency; Headquarters Air Force Reserve Officer Training Corps; Headquarters Civil Air Patrol; Community College of the Air Force; an Air Force Reserve airlift group (C-130 aircraft); and Air Mobility Command airlift squadron (C-21 aircraft).									
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:									
a. Air pollution:								0	
b. Water pollution:								5,200	
c. Occupational safety and health:								0	
d. Other Environmental:								0	

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA			EXTEND RUNWAY/UPGRADE		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
9.12.12S	111-111	PNQS963107	9,200		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
EXTEND RUNWAY/UPGRADE	SY	16,700	66	1,102	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				6,765	
UTILITIES/AIRFIELD LIGHTING SYSTEMS	LS			(380)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(1,160)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(95)	
SITE WORK FOR AIRFIELDS	LS			(20)	
AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS	LS			(985)	
MISC AIRFIELD WORK	LS			(50)	
DEMOLISH PAVEMENTS	LS			(190)	
OTHER SUPPORTING FACILITIES	LS			(3,885)	
SUBTOTAL				7,867	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				787	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				8,654	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				519	
TOTAL REQUEST				9,173	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				9,200	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Extend existing runway 1,000 ft. Construct a 500 ft overrun, and parallel taxiway leading to new end of runway. Repair runway pavement, remove abandoned pavement, modify and paint runway and taxiway markings. Includes the extension of the instrument landing system, and relocation of the existing perimeter road, earthwork, aircraft arresting system, and other necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Extend the primary runway and construct an overrun and parallel taxiway. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: The 1,000 foot runway extension would provide required emergency rollout for base and transient aircraft experiencing a major malfunction during takeoff or landing. All fighter and trainer aircraft using Maxwell AFB require waivers to flying regulations. This airfield is also an emergency recovery runway for the 187 FG (F-16) Air National Guard and commercial aircraft. Base mobility tasking will markedly increase with the recent change in Standards Systems Center DOC tasking. Air Mobility Command (AMC) cannot fully deploy from Maxwell AFB under OPLAN 410. It will also provide additional aircraft beddown options which are not currently available.					
CURRENT SITUATION: Special flights which are associated with Air University have to land and depart from the commercial airport across town due to insufficient runway. Current airfield conditions require AMC to use ground transportation when deploying personnel/equipment.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The existing runway length will continue to be a serious safety hazard to transient or base aircraft. AMC will continue to use ground transportation and the runways at Ft Benning and Ft Rucker for deployment, as they did during Desert Storm, rather than deploy with					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
EXTEND RUNWAY/UPGRADE	PNQS963107	
<p>C-141s from Maxwell Air Force Base.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, a full economic analysis was not needed or performed. A certificate of exemption has been prepared. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements"</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
EXTEND RUNWAY/UPGRADE	PNQS963107	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 MAY 19
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 JAN 31
(d) Date Design Complete		93 NOV 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		260
(b) All Other Design Costs		90
(c) Total		350
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		350
(4) Construction Start		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA			4. PROJECT TITLE TAXIWAY/RAMP		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 9.12.12S		6. CATEGORY CODE 112-211	7. PROJECT NUMBER PNQS903000	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 3,800	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
TAXIWAY/RAMP		SY	29,480	108	3,253
RESEAL JOINTS		LS			(352)
REPLACE SLABS		LS			(1,454)
PAINT AIRFIELD MARKINGS		LS			(267)
RESEAL AND OVERLAY TAXIWAY		LS			(730)
DEMOLITION		LS			(450)
SUBTOTAL					3,253
CONTINGENCY (10%)					325
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					3,578
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					215
TOTAL REQUEST					3,793
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					3,800
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace backer rod and reseal concrete joints. Replace eighty concrete slabs on the parking apron and apron access. Replace inlet grates. Reseal cracks, water blast, paint, overlay the runway, taxiway and parking apron. Demolish abandoned taxiway.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Repair the taxiway, runway, parking apron and apron access. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A runway, taxiway and parking apron that has a smooth, static, maintainable surface. The airfield is required to accommodate C-22, C-135, C-141 and 727 aircraft. CURRENT SITUATION: The joint sealer in many pavement joints has oxidized and separated, leaving the backer rod free to exit the joint and to be ingested through a jet engine. Many slabs are shattered, inlet grates are broken, and taxiway surfaces are rough. Incompressible particles in the joints are causing the pavement to "grow". Loaded slabs are pumping and causing subgrade degradation. Weight restrictions imposed by the Air Field Manager prohibit C-141, C-135, and C-22 aircraft from landing and result in diversion of air traffic to non-Air Force facilities. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: A backer rod ingested through an aircraft engine would result in the loss of that engine. Should rubber be allowed to accumulate on the runway, a landing aircraft could skid off the runway, resulting in loss of or damage to the aircraft. Cracks will continue to deteriorate and produce Foreign Object Damage (FOD) to the fuselage, tires, and wings of aircraft. Water underneath the slab causes pumping that further deteriorates the subgrade and slab. Accumulated damage may result in airfield closure at Maxwell.					

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
TAXIWAY/RAMP		PNQS903000	
<p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, a full economic analysis was not needed or performed. A certificate of exemption has been prepared. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>			

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
TAXIWAY/RAMP		PNQS903000	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a)	Date Design Started	92	AUG 13
(b)	Percent Complete as of Jan 93	40%	
(c)	Date 35% Designed	92	OCT 23
(d)	Date Design Complete	93	JUN 23
(2) Basis:			
(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):			(\$000)
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	234	
(b)	All Other Design Costs	117	
(c)	Total	351	
(d)	Contract	234	
(e)	In-house	117	
(4) Construction Start			93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A			

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA			AIR FORCE QUALITY CENTER		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
9.12.12S	171-851	PNQS913012	4,650		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
AIR FORCE QUALITY CENTER	SF	45,000	78	3,510	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				680	
UTILITIES	LS			(150)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(150)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(150)	
DEMOLITION	LS			(230)	
SUBTOTAL				4,190	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				210	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,400	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				264	
TOTAL REQUEST				4,664	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,650	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, structural steel frame, masonry walls, roof, fire protection system, utilities, and necessary support. Building includes classrooms, seminar rooms, auditoriums, academic offices, and administrative support areas. Demolition of three WW II vintage buildings. Site improvements. Air Conditioning: 325 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 148,502 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 166,336 SF PROJECT: Construct Air Force Quality Center (AFQC) facility. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized facility to house the Air Force Quality Center (AFQC), one school of the Center for Professional Development (CPD), and the USAF Chaplain Resource Board. The AFQC provides commanders and their organizations with concepts, methods, tools and advice for attaining a total quality culture. It also serves as a clearing house for total quality related literature and information. The CPD consists of eight schools currently offering over 57 separate Professional Continuing Education courses for nearly 4,000 Air Force, DoD, and other government agency and international officer students. In addition, the CPD is the home of the USAF Chaplain Resource Board, the Enlisted PME Support Center, and two supporting directorates. The Chaplain Resource Board is an extension of the Chaplain Headquarters. The AFQC and CPD programs offer similar classroom instruction. Combining the CPD school with the AFQC allows the shared use of common spaces, auditorium and seminar rooms and reduces the overall project requirements. CURRENT SITUATION: The AFQC requires room for administration and classrooms. The CPD consists of the USAF Chaplain Resource Board and					

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
AIR FORCE QUALITY CENTER		PNQS913012	
<p>eight schools offering over 50 separate courses for nearly 5,000 military and civilian employees and international officer students. These functions currently are located in nine buildings on Maxwell and Gunter. Only one of these buildings was constructed for classrooms, and their scattered arrangement hampers CPD's educational effectiveness. Adequate classrooms are vacant in some facilities while other classrooms are crowded or inappropriate for the classes being taught.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Units displaced by AFQC will lose time, effort, and efficiency through separation of resources and individual classrooms. CPD will continue to face mission constraints and inefficient distribution of space. Major repairs to the structural and mechanical systems of old facilities and high utility costs will be required to allow classes to continue as scheduled. The Chaplain School will be unable to meet new demands associated with greater religious diversity in the USAF. The International Officer School must use available auditorium and seminar rooms on the Academic Circle, separating international students from staff support and the language laboratory.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project includes disposal of a number of substandard facilities: demolition of 24,315 SF in two buildings that currently house 14% of the CPD classrooms and are scattered throughout Maxwell and Gunter, and demolition of 46,259 SF in a WW II hangar located on the site for this project. An economic analysis was prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the present value and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was determined to be more cost-effective over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."</p>			

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
AIR FORCE QUALITY CENTER		PNQS913012	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a) Date Design Started		92 NOV 10	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 10	
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 20	
(2) Basis:			
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)	
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		288	
(b) All Other Design Costs		264	
(c) Total		552	
(d) Contract		552	
(e) In-house			
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A			

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA			UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.57.56U	411-135	PNQS933071	1,700		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS			1,456	
REMOVE TANKS	EA	35	9,940	(348)	
REPLACE/RETROFIT	EA	16	69,250	(1,108)	
SUBTOTAL				1,456	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				146	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,602	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				96	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,698	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,700	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Remove and dispose of 35 Underground Storage Tanks (UST). Replace 16 USTs to include new piping. Test and remediate the associated soil as required. Provide and install clean fill soil and restore the tank site to its original condition.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Replace underground fuel storage tanks. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance project to upgrade all underground storage tanks regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new standards by December 1998. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require all regulated USTs to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention systems. If USTs are to be replaced, Air Force policy is to replace them with above-ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults wherever possible. However, existing underground petroleum product storage tanks that are in good condition may be upgraded in place to bring them into compliance with applicable UST standards.					
CURRENT SITUATION: 35 USTs are no longer required because of changes in the procurement of natural gas at the wellhead, eliminating the need for fuel oil. A reduction in the number of emergency generators has further reduced the need for USTs. 16 USTs, used for base fuels and emergency generators, require retrofitting or replacement. The majority of these USTs are constructed of steel and are approximately 20 years old. There exists no cathodic spill/overflow protection.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The environmental threat increases each day the existing USTs are not removed and/or retrofitted. In addition, state and Federal laws are becoming more expensive for compliance in the USTs program. Leaking USTs can result in pollution of the environment.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		PNQS933071	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a) Date Design Started		92 OCT 01	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 01	
(d) Date Design Complete		93 MAY 01	
(2) Basis:			
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		161	
(b) All Other Design Costs			
(c) Total		161	
(d) Contract			
(e) In-house		10	
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A			

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA			UPGRADE UTILITY SYSTEMS, PHASE I		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
9.12.12S	850-000	PNQS892102	5,050		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UPGRADE UTILITY SYSTEMS, PHASE I	LS			2,652	
GAS MAINS	LF	4,800	68	(326)	
SEWER MAINS	LF	4,400	98	(431)	
PRIMARY ELECTRIC	LF	2,500	68	(170)	
STEAM MAINS	LF	5,200	175	(910)	
STORM DRAINS	LF	4,900	78	(382)	
WATER MAINS	LF	6,100	71	(433)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,675	
SIDEWALK REPLACEMENT	LF	2,600	21	(55)	
ROAD REPLACEMENT	SY	14,100	115	(1,620)	
SUBTOTAL				4,327	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				433	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,760	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				286	
TOTAL REQUEST				5,046	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,050	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Demolition of the existing pavement, utilities and facilities. Concrete and asphalt pavement, compacted subgrade, signs, signals, sidewalk, curb and gutter, utilities, storm drainage, and striping. Replace steam, gas, water and sewer mains. Install underground electrical.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Upgrade the utility systems, Phase I. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: The sanitary sewer, gas piping, water mains, steam piping (supply and return) are required to be in a safe, repairable, maintainable and environmentally sound condition. A utility system adequate to meet the present and future requirements is needed. The significant underground work will require replacement of streets, curbs, gutters, and some sidewalks. This project is phase 1 of a three-phase project. CURRENT SITUATION: The utility lines were installed between 1930 and 1940. The sanitary sewer system has cracked and crushed lines, and there are cross-connections between sanitary sewer and storm mains. The cracked lines allow raw sewage to leak into the ground water and storm water runoff to flood the sewage treatment plant. The raw sewage that enters the storm drains flows into the Alabama River. The gas and water lines may not be isolated due to inoperative valves. The steam lines leak, and the deteriorated insulation results in a constant loss of heat and energy. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Although the base has not been cited to date for non-compliance with environmental regulations, raw sewage will continue to flow into the waterways unless a major upgrade is done to the system. Energy loss from the steam supply and return lines will worsen. Large areas of the base will continue, unnecessarily, to be without gas or water because of inoperative or nonexistent valves. Natural gas in unvalved					

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA			
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE UTILITY SYSTEMS, PHASE I		5. PROJECT NUMBER PNQS892102	
<p>sections has the potential to fuel fires.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL</u>: This project meets the criteria specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."</p>			

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA			
4. PROJECT TITLE			5. PROJECT NUMBER
UPGRADE UTILITY SYSTEMS, PHASE I			PNQS892102
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a) Date Design Started			92 AUG 13
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93			35%
(c) Date 35% Designed			92 OCT 23
(d) Date Design Complete			93 JUN 23
(2) Basis:			
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -			NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -			N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):			(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications			312
(b) All Other Design Costs			288
(c) Total			600
(d) Contract			
(e) In-house			600
(4) Construction Start			93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A			

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE	
AIR FORCE									
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAPE ROMANZOF LONG RANGE RADAR SITE, ALASKA				4. COMMAND PACIFIC AIR FORCES				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 0.00	
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT		STUDENTS		SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL
a. As of 30 SEP 92									
b. End FY 1998									
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)									
a. Total Acreage: (4,900)									
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 15,556									
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0									
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 3,350									
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 0									
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 0									
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0									
h. Grand Total: 18,906									
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994									
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS	
CODE								START	CMPL
890-151	REPLACE TRAMWAY SYSTEM			LS	3,350			AUG 92	JUL 93
						TOTAL:		3,350	
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE									
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:									
10. Mission or Major Functions: An air control group which provides early warning defense.									
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:									
a. Air pollution:								0	
b. Water pollution:								0	
c. Occupational safety and health:								0	
d. Other Environmental:								0	

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAPE ROMANZOF LONG RANGE RADAR SITE, ALASKA			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE TRAMWAY SYSTEM		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
1.28.96	890-151	DBWT891003	3,350		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE TRAMWAY SYSTEM		LS			3,000
UPPER/LOWER TERMINAL BUILDINGS		LS			(900)
STRUCTURAL TOWERS		LS			(800)
CABLE/TRAM/POWER ASSEMBLY		LS			(1,000)
DEMOLITION		LS			(300)
SUBTOTAL					3,000
CONTINGENCY (5%)					150
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					3,150
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)					205
TOTAL REQUEST					3,355
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					3,350
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace the tramway system. Work includes concrete terminal buildings, towers, carriage car, power assembly, cable, controls, and other necessary support. Demolition and disposal of existing system.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace tramway system. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: An operable and dependable tram system giving access for personnel and materials from lower to upper camp facilities. The Cape Romanzof site performs the primary mission of radar surveillance for the Alaskan coast, plus is a weather data collection point and serves a drug interdiction role. Access to the upper camp, where operational facilities are located, is vital to performing the site mission. This site operates year round and there is no alternative to perform the site mission. This is a RAC 2(IC) hazard abatement project identified in Oct 1991. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing tram has not been significantly renovated since its original construction in the 1950s and is now well past its useful life. The terminal buildings are significantly corroded. The power assembly and drive train are antiquated and parts are impossible to obtain. The controls are minimally functional. The towers are severely corroded and foundation connections are structurally questionable. The tram car is unsafe and has no enclosure. The tram is used for two to three round trips per day over half the year (all winter) when road access is impossible. It is a 45 degree slope up to the radar, climbing over 600 feet from bottom to mountain top. Keeping the road clear during winter is not possible at this remote and minimally manned site. This is a untenable situation with the current tram as the only transport to and from the upper level during the long winter. Personnel safety is at risk.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
CAPE ROMANZOF LONG RANGE RADAR SITE, ALASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
REPLACE TRAMWAY SYSTEM	DBWT891003	
<p>The RAC was assigned due to system deterioration and while a potential threat to life, it does not qualify as an emergency requiring immediate construction. FY94 MILCON is adequate for replacement of the tram but correcting this problem should not be delayed beyond that time.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The tram system could fail at any time. If this happens, it is likely that loss of life and/or serious injury would result. Additionally, after such a failure, the transportation of supplies and personnel would cease for that winter season. This would dramatically impact or could terminate the site mission.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> The existing tram has been assigned an Occupational Safety Risk Assessment Code (RAC) of 2(I,c), which constitutes "threat to life and property". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction and alternate methods of transport) was done. It indicates there is only one option that satisfies statutory requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exclusion has been prepared. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
CAPE ROMANZOF LONG RANGE RADAR SITE, ALASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
REPLACE TRAMWAY SYSTEM	DBWT891003	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 03
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 AUG 28
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		164
(b) All Other Design Costs		134
(c) Total		298
(d) Contract		134
(e) In-house		164
(4) Construction Start		
		94 FEB
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)				2. DATE	
AIR FORCE							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX	
EIELSON AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA		PACIFIC AIR FORCES				1.96	
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT		STUDENTS		SUPPORTED	
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		269	2725	323	28	29	
b. End FY 1998		270	2510	407	28	28	
							TOTAL
							3,374
							3,243
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)							
a. Total Acreage: (19,991)							
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 380,050							
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 105,250							
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 7,800							
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 23,800							
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 25,600							
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0							
h. Grand Total: 542,500							
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994							
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS	
CODE						START	CMPL
179-511	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY			LS	2,400	APR 92	DEC 93
740-884	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER			22,900 SF	5,400	JUL 92	DEC 93
TOTAL:					7,800		
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)							
130-142	FIRE STATION				24,364 SF	2,500	
215-552	WEAPONS AND RELEASE SYSTEMS FACILITY			29,000 SF	6,200		
218-712	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT FACILITY			19,500 SF	6,700		
442-758	FLIGHTLINE SUPPLY CENTER			37,900 SF	6,800		
831-168	ADD TO WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT			LS	1,600		
TOTAL:					23,800		
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:							
121-122	REPLACE HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM			LS	15,000		
811-149	BASE AUXILIARY POWER FACILITY			LS	2,250		
821-117	UPGRADE HEAT/POWER PLANT			LS	5,400		
880-232	UPGRADE ALERT HANGER FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM			25,600 SF	1,550		
880-232	UPGRADE FIRE SUPPRESSION MULTI			58,906 SF	600		
10. Mission or Major Functions: A fighter wing with one fighter squadron (F-16 aircraft) and one air control squadron (OA-10 aircraft); a fighter training squadron responsible for Cope Thunder exercises; Air National Guard air refueling squadron (KC-135 aircraft) and air support group (C-26 aircraft); and the Air Training Command Arctic Survival School.							
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:							
a. Air pollution:						0	
b. Water pollution:						1,500	
c. Occupational safety and health:						4,670	
d. Other Environmental:						0	

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE		
EIELSON AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA		FIRE TRAINING FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)	
2.74.56P	179-511	FTQW933008	2,400	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	LS			1,790
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				375
UTILITIES	LS			(55)
LARGE FRAME AIRCRAFT MOCKUP	LS			(120)
SMOKE HOUSE/DRAFTING PIT	LS			(200)
SUBTOTAL				2,165
CONTINGENCY (5%)				108
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,273
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				148
TOTAL REQUEST				2,421
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,400
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a fire training facility that includes a pit with an impervious liner, concrete/metal edge, large scale aircraft mock-up, smoke house, drafting pit, fuel dispensing system, drain system, leak detectors, paved access, flood lighting, and all necessary support.				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.				
PROJECT: Construct a fire training facility. (Current Mission)				
REQUIREMENT: This is a Level I environmental compliance project. A live fire training facility meeting all environmental and safety regulations is required. Live fire training exercises, an FAA quarterly requirement, enable fire fighters to maintain a high level of proficiency by extinguishing two types of live fires: mass fuel spills and three dimensional (running fuel) fires. These exercises, performed on mock-ups typical of the mission assigned aircraft, have historically created compliance problems with the Clean Water Act. An impermeable lining below the pit area is required to prevent waste oils and fuels from leaching into the ground and to prevent groundwater from becoming contaminated by residual unburned fuel.				
CURRENT SITUATION: On 20 May 91, live fire training exercises were ceased at all PACAF facilities without an impervious lining. The existing fire training facility at Eielson AFB fell into this category. This cessation only allows the base to conduct aggressive approach exercises with water discharges only. The existing fire training area has unacceptable environmental, safety and operational shortcomings. It does not have high density polyethylene flexible membrane liners and nets, a leak detection system, nor spill containment capability and is thus in violation of current EPA directives. The aircraft mock-up is pieced together and				

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)		2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EIELSON AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA			
4. PROJECT TITLE FIRE TRAINING FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER FTQW933008	
<p>unsafe for rescue practices. The existing site is not level, has poor drainage, and inadequate maneuvering room for crash trucks, making winter use impossible. In the past, waste and other fuels were poured onto the mock-up and ignited to simulate a crashed aircraft. Under these conditions, portions of the waste fuels not consumed by the fire would percolate into the ground along with fire fighting foam residues. This practice posed a serious threat to the groundwater resources. There is no other option than on-base training as Eielson AFB cannot provide adequate fire protection and crash/rescue service if conducting training off-base or out of state. Firefighters must train as a team to benefit from crash rescue live fire exercises.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The existing fire training facility will remain out of compliance with EPA standards and cannot be used as a fully operational fire training facility. Fire fighters will not be able to conduct essential training, so they cannot maintain proficiency in fighting aircraft fires. The lack of realistic training could result in loss of life or valuable aircraft during actual aircraft emergencies, crashes or structural fires.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation and new construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option that satisfies statutory requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exclusion has been prepared.</p>			

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
EIELSON AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	FTQW933008	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 APR 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 JUL 22
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		EIELSON
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		120
(b) All Other Design Costs		73
(c) Total		193
(d) Contract		40
(e) In-house		153
(4) Construction Start		
		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
EIELSON AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA			CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
1.28.96	740-884	FTQW850024R1	5,400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	SF	22,900	180	4,122	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				750	
UTILITIES	LS			(235)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(145)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(285)	
PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT	LS			(65)	
FENCING	LS			(20)	
SUBTOTAL				4,872	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				244	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,116	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				333	
TOTAL REQUEST				5,449	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,400	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab over non-frost susceptible soil, concrete block walls, membrane assembly roof, and hot water/glycol heating system. Includes all utilities, pavements, site cleanup, fencing, fire protection, playground and other necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 22,900 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 7,641 SF <u>PROJECT:</u> Construct a child development center. (Current Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> An adequate facility to provide supervised care and developmental experiences for over 300 dependent children aged six weeks through ten years. The child development center must provide a comfortable, clean, educational environment where servicemen and women can leave their children on an hourly, daily, or drop-in basis without worrying about the level or nature of care. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The existing child development center is inadequate in location and size. The facility is bounded on the south and west sides by two major streets and on the north and east by the BX-commissary parking lot preventing further expansion. The increase in base population due to the F-16 beddown, only compounds the existing facility's deficiencies. The closest off-base child care center is approximately a sixteen mile roundtrip, a hazardous journey in winter due to arctic weather conditions. The military readiness of married and single personnel assigned is dependent on readily available child care, which enables military members to participate in alerts, training exercises and other mission demands. The high cost of living in Alaska often requires both spouses to work, forcing families to send their children to child care at a rate higher than the national average. Due to the remote location of Eielson AFB from nearby communities, civilian employees are also authorized use of the					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
EIELSON AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	FTQW850024R1	
<p>child development center. Approximately 170 children use the day-care and preschool programs daily. The center has a waiting list of 60 children for preschool and 150 children for full time day-care slots. The existing facility lacks proper storage space requiring supplies to be stored in other facilities. The electrical fixtures are not childproof and the steam radiators are located too low, posing a safety hazard for the children. The kitchen is too small for the commercial cooking equipment required. The facility lacks sufficient staff office area. Also, of the 170 children currently accommodated, 10 are cared for outside of the main facility in a portion of the Youth Center.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Mission readiness is affected because eligible military parents will continue to be denied basic child care and development services. Personnel will be forced to use expensive off-base services, located far from the base. The lack of affordable and quality services results in undue hardship on military families. Over the long term, the consequences are detrimental to productivity, motivation, and ultimately retention.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
EIELSON AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		FTQW850024R1	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a)	Date Design Started	92 JUL 24	
(b)	Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c)	Date 35% Designed	92 OCT 20	
(d)	Date Design Complete	93 DEC 31	
(2) Basis:			
(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):			(\$000)
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	332	
(b)	All Other Design Costs	161	
(c)	Total	493	
(d)	Contract	90	
(e)	In-house	403	
(4) Construction Start			94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A			

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX			
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA				PACIFIC AIR FORCES				1.69			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		914	6092	991				18	75	402	8,492
b. End FY 1998		944	6129	1012				18	75	402	8,580
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (18,561)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 397,547											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 35,250											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 30,805											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 770											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 57,799											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 522,171											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN	STATUS						
CODE				START	CMPL						
211-159	CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	24,000 SF	5,975	JUL 92	JUL 93						
216-642	MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	6,000 SF	2,100	JUL 92	JUL 93						
422-275	MUNITIONS EQUIPMENT FACILITY	LS	1,860	JUL 92	DEC 93						
442-257	HAZARDOUS WASTE STORAGE FACILITY	20,000 SF	3,900	JUL 92	OCT 93						
722-351	DINING FACILITY	20,000 SF	6,800	JUL 92	NOV 93						
740-884	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	22,900 SF	5,070	JUL 92	NOV 93						
832-266	ADD TO SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	17,700 LF	5,100	JUL 92	FEB 94						
			TOTAL:	30,805							
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)											
131-132	MILSTAR COMMUNICATIONS GROUND TERMINAL	600 SF	770								
			TOTAL:	770							
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
112-211	WIDEN TAXIWAY	14,000 SY	1,500								
215-552	WEAPONS AND RELEASE SYSTEMS SHOP	11,500 SF	3,200								
422-264	MUNITIONS STORAGE IGLOOS	10,200 SF	4,800								
442-758	AIRCRAFT PARTS WAREHOUSE	40,000 SF	7,500								
610-285	ADD TO REGION OPERATIONS CONTROL CENTER	7,500 SF	5,800								
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters Alaskan Command; Headquarters Eleventh Air Force; a flying wing with three fighter squadrons (F-15 aircraft), an air control squadron (E-3 aircraft), and airlift squadron (C-12 and C-130 aircraft); an Air Force Intelligence Command electronic security group; Alaskan NORAD Region Operations Center; and a USAF medical center.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:										0	
b. Water pollution:										0	
c. Occupational safety and health:										0	
d. Other Environmental:										0	

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA			4. PROJECT TITLE CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 1.28.96	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-159	7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB923410	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 5.975		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	SF	24,000		5,100	
MAINTENANCE/MANAGEMENT AREA	SF	22,000	220	(4,840)	
UNHEATED HAZARDOUS WASTE STORAGE	SF	2,000	130	(260)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				315	
UTILITIES	LS			(140)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(60)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(90)	
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(25)	
SUBTOTAL				5,415	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				271	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,686	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				370	
TOTAL REQUEST				6,056	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,975	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a corrosion control facility to include areas for aircraft and support equipment touch-up, paint removal and spray booths, paint chemical stripping, maintenance oversight and storage. Includes environmental features such as diking, drain with separators and tank, and ventilation. Airfield and vehicle parking pavements, fire protection and all necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 40,250 SF ADEQUATE: 2,315 SF SUBSTANDARD: 41,461 SF PROJECT: Construct a corrosion control facility. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: An adequate facility, properly sized and configured, for stripping, cleaning and painting of aircraft parts and support equipment, and aircraft touch-up for F-15 and C-130 aircraft. Complete repainting is performed on 30-month average rotational schedule at the regional corrosion control facility at Eielson AFB. However, aircraft parts and support equipment must be painted locally and touch-up painting of aircraft is necessary to treat mildly corroded aircraft sections. Cleaning agents, treatment chemicals, and paint must be applied in a controlled, safe environment and be disposed of in accordance with environmental requirements. CURRENT SITUATION: The current corrosion control facility is a former hangar, wood construction built in 1944, which lacks essential systems and features to meet EPA, OSHA, State of Alaska and the Municipality of Anchorage (MoA) requirements. The inadequate ventilation system is unable to prevent unsafe accumulation of toxic and explosive vapor mixtures as determined by 49 CFR 1910.106(d). It exposes personnel to high levels of toxic materials including methyl ethyl ketone, zinc chromate, isocyanate and lead. The lead exposure risk has been assigned a RAC 2(1IB). There is an open ECAMP finding for lack of spill containment dikes, and drains					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	FXSB923410	
<p>which discharge into the sanitary sewer, violating 40 CFR 403.4-.5 and MoA's Industrial Wastewater Permit #11. There is not adequate fire suppression required by 29 CFR 1910.159 and fire codes. The electrical system and fixtures are not explosion proof. The facility is operating under a waiver for the twelve flammable storage lockers inside the facility, needed to keep a minimum working quantity of paints and solvents on hand. The climate at Elmendorf AFB is unsuitable for corrosion control in an unsheltered area. Temperature extremes interfere with paint drying, which requires 60-90 degrees F. Even when hangar doors can be opened for ventilation during the summer, there are severe problems with dust on newly painted surfaces. The existing conditions in this facility create fire, safety and environmental hazards which demand an adequate solution.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Personnel will continue to operate in a hazardous and toxic workplace. The threat of possible air and water contamination to the environment will continue. If the facility must close due to these impacts then aircraft and support equipment will not receive the required corrosion protection, thus decreasing their lifespan. Increasing visits by the aircraft to the regional corrosion facility, even if possible, is expensive and reduces mission availability.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> The regional corrosion control facility at Eielson AFB is operating at maximum capacity, according to a recent letter from the commander, and cannot process more than the one Elmendorf aircraft per month. This situation will be aggravated by imminent Eielson and Elmendorf AFB mission increases. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, sharing Eielson's facilities and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB923410	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 24
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 31
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 11
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		329
(b) All Other Design Costs		159
(c) Total		488
(d) Contract		334
(e) In-house		154
(4) Construction Start		
		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA			MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
2.80.30P	216-642	FXSB890421	2.100		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/H	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	SF	6,000	220	1,320	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				565	
UTILITIES	LS			(160)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(90)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(175)	
FIRE PROTECTION	LS			(85)	
DEMOLITION	SF	3,950	14	(55)	
SUBTOTAL				1,685	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				94	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,979	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				129	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,108	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,100	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation, concrete walls, and a built-up roofing system. Project includes maintenance bays, specialized doors, hoist system, compressed air, lightning protection, security fencing and lighting, access pavement, 3000 SF equipment pad and all necessary utilities and support. Demolishes existing facility.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 6,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 3,950 SF <u>PROJECT:</u> Construct a munitions maintenance facility. (New Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> A facility is required to perform various simultaneous munitions operations involving assembly, maintenance, corrosion control and Time Compliance Technical Orders (TCTO) tasks for various F-15 munitions, components and containers. Facility is also required to provide munitions maintenance support for the newly assigned F-15E aircraft and its associated inert and "smart" weapons. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The existing conventional munitions shop is not adequate in size to allow more than one munition operation at a time. Nor is the installed equipment capable of meeting mission needs. Facility is located in an area requiring explosive safety waivers. Net Explosive Weight limitations greatly restrict the use of munitions required for support of F-15C/D and E aircraft. The requirements for TDY aircraft cannot be adequately supported under present conditions. These missions drive dissimilar munitions requirements that must be prepared simultaneously; however, simultaneous munitions preparation cannot be performed in the existing facility. Restricted height clearances, small access door (8' X 11') and limited drive through capability prevent the offloading of munitions trailers within the existing facility. <u>Consequently, all munitions offloading must be done outside, in the harsh</u>					

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	FXSB890421	
<p>arctic environment. Munitions are then transferred to smaller trailers capable of entering the facility. Lack of a hoist requires hand carrying of numerous heavy munitions components within operating bays. Compressed air is not available to support the Automatic Loading System trailers. The existing facility's load bearing wall configuration and the munitions bay height will not permit alteration of the facility to meet mission needs. The facility is isolated from other munitions functions which delays delivery time and hampers command and control. The current munitions shop is sited within the 1,000 foot primary clearance zone of the north/south runway and is too close to non-munitions facilities. It is located just 600 feet from the non-DOD owned Alaska Railroad train track.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Continued handling of explosive ordnance in a facility which does not meet minimum standards for safety could lead to a disaster and great loss of life. From an operational perspective, timely support of assigned and transient aircraft will not be met with the required effectiveness. This will delay various aircraft on the ramp as they await munitions. Without relocating the facility to join the missile shop, munitions management, and munitions igloo facilities, command and control over munitions functions is hampered. Securing the munition resources will remain inefficient and difficult.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, repair and replacement construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option which meets explosive safety and mission requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exclusion has been prepared.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA			
4. PROJECT TITLE			5. PROJECT NUMBER
MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY			FXSB890421
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a)	Date Design Started		92 JUL 24
(b)	Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c)	Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 31
(d)	Date Design Complete		93 JUL 11
(2) Basis:			
(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):			(\$000)
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications		105
(b)	All Other Design Costs		69
(c)	Total		174
(d)	Contract		105
(e)	In-house		69
(4) Construction Start			94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A			

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE			
AIR FORCE		MUNITIONS EQUIPMENT FACILITY			
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA					
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
2.80.30P	422-275	FXSB923411	1,860		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
MUNITIONS EQUIPMENT FACILITY	SF	2,700	240	648	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,015	
UTILITIES	LS			(55)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(370)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS/SECURITY FENCING	LS			(570)	
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(20)	
SUBTOTAL				1,663	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				83	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,746	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				113	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,859	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,860	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab over non-frost susceptible soil, concrete block walls, membrane assembly roof system. Includes security lighting, utilities, fire protection and all necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 2,700 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct a munitions equipment facility. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: A facility is required for the maintenance, inspection and refurbishment of 142 munitions handling trailers and over 1,000 pieces of smaller support equipment. Trailers are used to transport all explosives, including missiles, ready munitions and equipment. Fencing is required to secure the facility and incorporate it into the munitions storage area. CURRENT SITUATION: The arctic environment decreases trailer reliability/service life and increases all equipment maintenance requirements. The current munitions equipment maintenance function does not possess a dedicated, adequate facility for performing maintenance on the large fleet of trailers and support equipment. An interim facility will be available under authority of DODI 4165.56 until 1994 and provides minimum space, weather protection for maintenance personnel and storage for tools and support equipment. However, it falls far short of space, installed equipment, and basic amenities to support the trailer fleet and maintenance personnel. The interim facility is isolated from other munitions functions, which delays delivery and hampers command and control. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without this project, munitions support equipment maintenance will be degraded. Service life of these assets will be greatly reduced. The ability to support munition taskings is lessened. This project is needed to achieve efficiencies to get the current job done					

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
MUNITIONS EQUIPMENT FACILITY		FXSB923411	
<p>with reduced manning.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL</u>: There was no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in AFM 86-2 "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>			

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
MUNITIONS EQUIPMENT FACILITY		FXSB923411	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a)	Date Design Started	92 JUL 24	
(b)	Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c)	Date 35% Designed	93 JAN 29	
(d)	Date Design Complete	93 NOV 30	
(2) Basis:			
(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)			
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	105	
(b)	All Other Design Costs	69	
(c)	Total	174	
(d)	Contract	105	
(e)	In-house	69	
(4) Construction Start			
		94 FEB	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A			

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA			HAZARDOUS WASTE STORAGE FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
2.74.56P	442-257	FXSB933012	3,900		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
HAZARDOUS WASTE STORAGE FACILITY	SF	20,000	135	2,700	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				795	
UTILITIES	LS			(175)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(205)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(135)	
FIRE SUPPRESSION	LS			(80)	
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS DISPOSAL	SF	19,800	10	(200)	
SUBTOTAL				3,495	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				175	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,670	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				239	
TOTAL REQUEST				3,909	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,900	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a hazardous waste storage warehouse meeting all environmental and life/safety codes. Contains open bay and secure covered storage with fire walls, exterior shed storage, management and support space. Includes ventilation, alarm system, entry control, drainage to containment tank, diking, fire protection and other necessary support. Demolish old building.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 42,453 SF ADEQUATE: 21,410 SF SUBSTANDARD: 20,843 SF					
PROJECT: Construct a hazardous waste storage facility. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: This is a level I environmental compliance requirement. An EPA permitted facility is needed for the accumulation and storage of hazardous waste prior to turn in to the Defense Reutilization and Marketing Office (DRMO) for disposal. Hazardous wastes cannot be turned in for disposal until minimum quantity is stockpiled, segregated, containerized and marked correctly on mandated tags and forms. Elmendorf AFB has the only Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facility (TSDF) license in the Anchorage area.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The current facility was built in 1944 and has not received a major renovation since. The current facility lacks essential systems/features to meet EPA and OSHA requirements for the operation of a TSDF. The facility does not have floor drains which connect to a holding tank in case of spills. The facility lacks alarm systems to provide immediate emergency evacuation instruction to personnel in case of spill. The container storage area doesn't allow for proper segregation of incompatible wastes. The container storage area does not have spill containment diking. The fire suppression systems do not meet standards for TSDF operations. Fire walls are needed to segregate storage areas and obtain maximum use of storage space. The facility lacks a ventilation					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
HAZARDOUS WASTE STORAGE FACILITY	FXSB933012	
<p>system providing necessary air changes to prevent the accumulation of toxic or explosive vapor mixtures. The electrical system does not meet National Electrical Code requirements for the storage of flammables and combustibles and needs to be upgraded. The facility is not insulated nor has the exterior siding been upgraded since original construction. Insulation and new siding will prevent heat loss and possible freezing of materials during the winter months. This facility is required to restrict and monitor entry. The existing fence is not adequate for appropriate vehicle, pedestrian or fire lane access. Some interim improvements have been made, however, further investment to upgrade the existing facility is not wise since building's useful life has been exceeded. Replacement of the current inadequate facility, with one meeting all codes and standards, is needed.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Workers would continue to operate in a high health risk workplace. Also, failure to resolve the regulatory deficiencies could result in EPA not renewing the existing permit. This facility is one of few permitted TSDFs in the State, with most hazardous waste generated by USAF bases and other DoD installations in Alaska shipping to this facility. Failure to retain the permit could subject Elmendorf AFB and other DoD installations to notices of violations, legal action and fines from EPA for exceeding allowable storage time limits for hazardous waste. Without a facility, waste would require quick transport, normally airlift, in small quantities and high expense to lower 48 states.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, repair and replacement construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option that satisfies statutory requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exclusion has been prepared.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
HAZARDOUS WASTE STORAGE FACILITY	FXSB933012	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 24
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 JAN 06
(d) Date Design Complete		93 OCT 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		181
(b) All Other Design Costs		140
(c) Total		321
(d) Contract		167
(e) In-house		154
(4) Construction Start		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA			DINING FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
1.28.96	722-351	FXSB943003R1	6.800		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
DINING FACILITY	SF	20,000	240	4,800	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,240	
UTILITIES	LS			(250)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(290)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(350)	
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(145)	
EMERGENCY GENERATORS	LS			(205)	
SUBTOTAL				6,640	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				302	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				6,342	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				412	
TOTAL REQUEST				6,754	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				6,800	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls, roof system, fire protection, and all necessary support. Includes dining and serving areas, kitchen, storage, freezer/chill space, receiving and support space.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 40,900 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 27,251 SF PROJECT: Construct a dining facility. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: An adequate dining facility to properly feed assigned and transient unaccompanied enlisted personnel. The food service personnel need functionally-arranged and properly-equipped food preparation areas, adequate servicing space, and food equipment storage space. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing main dining facility is a masonry structure built in 1952. At only 13,774 square feet, it is grossly undersized to accommodate the number of permanent party and transient enlisted personnel performing duty at Elmendorf Air Force Base. All of the utility systems to support the dining operation are old, under capacity to meet current load/demand, and require excessive maintenance. The alignment and grade of the access road is too steep for deliveries during the winter season. The existing facility is part of the billeting office/transient dormitory, which precludes economical addition to and renovation of the building. Due to the large deficiency of airmen recreational facilities and the convenience of the existing dining hall to airmen dormitories, this facility will be converted to a recreation center facility with a future O&M project. Of the other two dining facilities at Elmendorf, one serves a remote communications site only, and the other requires upgrade with a future O&M project. Addition or alteration of these other dining halls is not a satisfactory alternative since they are distant from the dormitories and area of base that this dining hall					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
DINING FACILITY		FXSB943003R1
<p>replacement serves. Due to weather conditions and vastness of this large base, a single consolidated facility would not adequately serve the enlisted population.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Continued use of an inadequate facility that is too small to accommodate the current demand. The sanitation and safety problems, deteriorating utilities, and other discrepancies will continue to degrade food service and adversely affect employee and patron morale.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, addition/alteration, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
DINING FACILITY		FXSB943003R1
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 24
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 JAN 26
(d) Date Design Complete		93 NOV 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		363
(b) All Other Design Costs		183
(c) Total		546
(d) Contract		373
(e) In-house		173
(4) Construction Start		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA			4. PROJECT TITLE CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 2.75.96P		6. CATEGORY CODE 740-884	7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB953002R1	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 5,070	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		SF	22,900	170	3,893
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					640
UTILITIES		LS			(235)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(130)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(185)
PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT		LS			(70)
FENCING		LS			(20)
SUBTOTAL					4,533
CONTINGENCY (5%)					227
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					4,760
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)					309
TOTAL REQUEST					5,069
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					5,070
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab, concrete block walls, and built-up roof. Work includes all utilities, pavements, fire protection, environmental clean-up, playground and other necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 83,850 SF ADEQUATE: 12,368 SF SUBSTANDARD: 1,674 SF PROJECT: Construct a child development center. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: An adequate facility to provide supervised care and developmental experiences for over 300 dependent children aged six weeks through ten years. The child development center must provide a comfortable, clean, educational environment where servicemen and women can leave their children on an hourly, daily, or drop-in basis without worrying about the level or nature of care. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing child development facilities directly support an average of 526 children daily (180 children are cared for in the primary facility, while care is temporarily provided for 36 children in the Arts & Crafts Center, 170 children in an old barracks, and 140 children in the Orion & Aurora Schools). Children must be turned away because even these work arounds are inadequate to accommodate the demand (423 children are on the waiting list and 406 children are cared for in private homes on base). Infant and toddler areas are especially undersized. The addition of a F-15E mission will aggravate the child care shortfall. The military readiness of married and single parent personnel assigned is dependent upon readily available child care, which enables military members to participate in alerts, training exercises and other mission demands. Hours of operation of on-base child care can support contingency demands. The high cost of living in Alaska often requires both spouses to work, forcing a higher than national average of families					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	FXSB953002R1	
<p>to send their children to child care. Off-base child care facilities are extremely expensive (twice on-base rates).</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Mission readiness is affected because eligible military parents will continue to be denied basic child care needs and development services. Personnel will be forced to use scarce and expensive off-base services. The lack of affordable and quality child care results in undue hardship on military families. Over the long term, the consequences are detrimental to productivity, motivation and ultimately career retention.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> The balance of this requirement will be addressed in future MILCON projects. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	FXSB953002R1	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 24
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 20
(d) Date Design Complete		93 NOV 19
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		310
(b) All Other Design Costs		155
(c) Total		465
(d) Contract		90
(e) In-house		375
(4) Construction Start		
		94 APR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 2.74.56P		6. CATEGORY CODE 832-266	7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB870404	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 5,100	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM		LF	17,700	160	2,832
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					1,705
LIFT STATIONS/MANHOLES/CONNECTION		LS			(765)
INDUSTRIAL PRE-TREATMENT PLANT		LS			(560)
ROAD/CREEK CROSSING		LS			(260)
SUPPORT UTILITIES		LS			(50)
OIL/WATER SEPARATORS		LS			(70)
SUBTOTAL					4,537
CONTINGENCY (5%)					227
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					4,764
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)					310
TOTAL REQUEST					5,074
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					5,100
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Extend sanitary sewer system to flightline operations area. Includes sewer mains, lift station, pressure relief stations, industrial pre-treatment plant, building connections, oil/water separators, utilities and all necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 375,954 LF ADEQUATE: 358,254 LF SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Add to sanitary sewer system. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a level I environmental compliance requirement. A sanitary sewer line and industrial treatment plant are needed to provide efficient removal and treatment of large quantities of industrial wastewater/human sewage from a flightline operations area that includes two hangers, a storage building, a missile maintenance shop, and an aircraft wash area. Further development of the area is planned to support the beddown of the new F-15E squadron in FY92. CURRENT SITUATION: This base area has no sewer lines and all wastewater is treated via septic systems and returned to the aquifer through a trench drain leach field. Most of the remaining base has sewer lines emptying into the Municipality of Anchorage sewer system. This area is out of compliance with State wastewater treatment regulations. Extensive development for F-15E support and other facilities is planned due to base topography making it the only open area with access to flightline. It is expected that additional human sewage loading will exceed the capacity of the area for septic tank treatment of wastewater, violating the Clean Water Act. Solvents, oils and greases migrate into the septic systems in this industrial area despite preventative efforts. Management procedures are in-place to reduce the severity, but industrial wastewater discharge due to aircraft cleaning operations and minor leaks is unavoidable. Discharge of industrial waste into leach fields violates 18 AAC 75 and					

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA			
4. PROJECT TITLE			5. PROJECT NUMBER
ADD TO SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM			FXSB870404
<p>should be treated in accordance with 18 AAC 72.210. Two leach fields in this area are Installation Restoration Program (IRP) sites now being cleaned up due to these problems. With F-15E beddown, expanded maintenance activities will generate significant increases of industrial and human wastewater. The lack of a sewer system prevents the appropriate treatment of wastewater and puts Elmendorf AFB out of compliance with Federal and State regulations.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Industrial and human sewage wastewater will not be treated in accordance with established environmental statutes. The increased industrial waste water/sewage generated by F-15E maintenance and operations activities will overtax the existing septic system, polluting the environment and possibly making Elmendorf AFB open for notices of violation, fines and legal action.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, service contract, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>			

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM		FXSB870404	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a)	Date Design Started	92 JUL 24	
(b)	Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c)	Date 35% Designed	93 JAN 29	
(d)	Date Design Complete	94 FEB 27	
(2) Basis:			
(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):			(\$000)
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	285	
(b)	All Other Design Costs	148	
(c)	Total	433	
(d)	Contract	295	
(e)	In-house	138	
(4) Construction Start			94 JUL
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A			

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)								2. DATE	
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA						4. COMMAND AIR COMBAT COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 0.90	
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		548	3995	1338	88	381		1	2	17	6,370
b. End FY 1998		746	4145	1408	88	381		1	2	17	6,788
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (10,983)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 250,398											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 13,600											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 650											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 2,800											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 15,338											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 282,786											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY							COST		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE					(\$000)	START	Cmpl		
411-135	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS					650	NOV 92	AUG 93		
						TOTAL:	650				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)											
211-159	CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	15,400 SF					2,800				
						TOTAL:	2,800				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
116-662	DANGEROUS CARGO PAD	94,750 SY					4,900				
116-665	POWER CHECK PAD W/NOISE SUP	1 EA					740				
216-642	ADD TO AND ALTER CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS SHOP	8,100 SF					647				
740-674	PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	30,000 SF					4,079				
911-146	LAND, FEE, PURCHASE	232 AC					1,600				
10. Mission or Major Functions: A flying wing with two fighter training squadrons responsible for training all A-10 aircrews; two air control squadrons (OA-10 aircraft), and two electronic combat squadrons (EC-130 aircraft); an Air Force Reserve special operations squadron (HH-3 helicopters); an Air National Guard fighter interceptor detachment (F-16 aircraft); and Air Force Materiel Command's Aerospace Maintenance and Regeneration Center.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:										0	
b. Water pollution:										0	
c. Occupational safety and health:										0	
d. Other Environmental:										0	

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)										2. DATE	
AIR FORCE													
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION								4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX	
LUKE AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA								AIR COMBAT COMMAND				0.95	
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED					
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL		
a. As of 30 SEP 92		546	3689	1078	244	45	8	1	18	3	5,632		
b. End FY 1996		641	5126	1085	244	45	8	1	18	3	7,171		
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)													
a. Total Acreage: (7,249)													
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92)											242,096		
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:											2,950		
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:											6,750		
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995)											0		
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:											9,777		
g. Remaining Deficiency:											0		
h. Grand Total:											261,573		
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994													
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN START		STATUS CMPL			
179-511		FIRE TRAINING FACILITY		1 EA		800		JUL 92		JUN 93			
411-135		UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS		1,250							
722-351		DINING FACILITY		27,900 SF		4,700		APR 89		AUG 93			
						TOTAL:		6,750					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE													
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:													
171-152		ACADEMIC LECTURE HALL		12,000 SF		2,727							
216-642		MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY		12,000 SF		1,800							
740-674		ADD TO AND ALTER PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER		26,000 SF		3,000							
880-211		CLOSED HEAD AUTO SPRINKLER		124,000 SF		2,250							
10. Mission or Major Functions: A fighter wing with three F-16 squadrons and two F-15E squadrons tht are responsible for training all F-16 and F-15E aircraft; and an Air Force Reserve fighter group (F-16 aircraft).													
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:													
a. Air pollution:											0		
b. Water pollution:											0		
c. Occupational safety and health:											0		
d. Other Environmental:											0		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
LUKE AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA			UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
2.74.56C	411-135	NUEX923017	1,250		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS			1,045	
REMOVE TANKS	EA	14	59,500	(833)	
REPLACE TANKS	EA	13	16,310	(212)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				25	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(15)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(10)	
SUBTOTAL				1,070	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				107	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,177	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				71	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,248	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,250	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Excavate 14 underground storage tanks (USTs) of 100-50,000 gallon capacity. Remove and dispose of tank sludge residue. Replace 13 with aboveground tanks. Project includes release prevention and detection, corrosion protection, site improvements, paving, remediation of contamination, and other necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Remove/replace underground storage tanks (USTs). (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance project. Adequate fuel storage, properly designed and located, is required to satisfy base mission requirements. All petroleum dispensing and operating facilities must be provided with a means for detecting and preventing release of pollutants into the surrounding environment. All USTs must be upgraded in accordance with federal law (40 CFR 280.21) by December 1998. This includes leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention systems to protect human health and the environment.					
CURRENT SITUATION: Underground storage tanks at Luke AFB do not meet federal requirements for corrosion protection, secondary containment, and overflow/spill protection. The condition of these tanks varies with a majority of the tanks at or exceeding their design life. These deficiencies must be corrected to prevent violation of federal UST regulations. If underground storage tanks require replacement, Air Force policy is to replace them with aboveground tanks or relocate them into underground vaults, whenever possible.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Undetected UST releases can result in contamination of soil and ground water supplies, resulting in a threat to human health and the environment along with extremely costly cleanup					

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LUKE AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	NUEX923017	
<p>measures. These improvements to USTs are mandated by federal law. If not accomplished by the established deadline, the base will be in violation of the law and subject to receiving Notices of Violation, fines and significant adverse publicity.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LUKE AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	NUEX923017	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Project to be accomplished by one step turn key procedures		
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Design Allowance	50	
(4) Construction Start	93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE			
LUKE AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA		DINING FACILITY			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
2.75.96C	722-351	NUEX883005	4,700		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
DINING FACILITY	SF	27,900	128	3,435	
AIRMAN DINING HALL (DETACHED)	SF	17,200	140	(2,408)	
TROOP SUBSISTENCE WAREHOUSE	SF	5,000	96	(480)	
LINEN EXCH, POSTAL CNTR & MECH ROOMS	SF	5,700	96	(547)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				805	
UTILITIES	LS			(190)	
SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS			(190)	
PAVEMENTS	SY	5,000	34	(170)	
ASBESTOS REMOVAL	SF	14,900	10	(150)	
DEMOLITION	SF	20,900	5	(105)	
SUBTOTAL				4,240	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				212	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,452	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				267	
TOTAL REQUEST				4,719	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,700	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Work includes spread footings and foundation wall, concrete floor, masonry walls, roof joists, and roof system. Facility includes dining area, kitchen, serving area, dishwashing area, bakery, regular and troop issue storage, office, latrines and receiving area. Project includes demolition, utilities and necessary support.					
Air Conditioning: 120 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 27,900 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 22,979 SF PROJECT: Construct dining hall, troop issue, postal service center and linen exchange. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized and configured dining facility is required to properly feed assigned and transient unaccompanied enlisted personnel. To attract and retain competent, professional enlisted personnel, the dining facility must provide a pleasant atmosphere. There must be adequate space for food preparation and dishwashing equipment, dining and food storage. The dining facility should include troop subsistence, linen exchange, and postal service center. This will provide a central facility where the enlisted personnel can make a single stop to eat, pickup and drop off linen, and pickup field rations and mail. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing dining hall is located in a substandard facility that cannot be altered or reconfigured and is the only such facility at Luke AFB. The facility is over 31 years old. Deteriorated, leaking water pipes have caused extensive damage to the facility and generate offensive odors. Air conditioning and heating is inadequate, inefficient and costly because of poor insulation and deteriorated weatherproofing of doors and windows. Air conditioning to the kitchen area is almost completely ineffective. The poor condition of the utility					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	. FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LUKE AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA		
4. PROJECT TITLE DINING FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER NUEX883005	
<p>systems and the obsolete electrical system result in low water pressure and unstable electrical power. A grease trap located next to the entrance produces an extremely offensive smell. The condition of the facility does not provide an appropriate atmosphere for dining. Troop Issue is located a quarter of a mile from the dining hall, which forces inefficient use of manpower due to the double handling of food. Troop Issue is also located in a 20-year-old metal building that requires constant repairs. This building is also one of the most energy inefficient facilities on Luke AFB. Placing the postal service center and linen exchange in the dining hall will provide a one-stop central location for more convenient services. The one-stop central location for dining, troop subsistence, linen exchange, and postal center has been tried at Langley AFB as a pilot program and has been favorably received. This project will demolish 20,900 SF including the existing dining facility (14,884 SF) and the existing postal service center (6,016 SF).</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Low troop morale, in part from poor food service due to inadequate facilities, will adversely affect the performance of enlisted personnel supporting the training mission at Luke AFB. Airmen who live on base are not provided Basic Allowance for Subsistence (BAS) and are therefore expected to eat in the dining facility. We must provide them a pleasant atmosphere in which to eat.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LUKE AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
DINING FACILITY	NUEX883005	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		89 APR 21
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		60%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 10
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		294
(b) All Other Design Costs		98
(c) Total		392
(d) Contract		294
(e) In-house		98
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX		
NAVAJO ARMY DEPOT, ARIZONA								0.00		
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92										
b. End FY 1998										
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (0)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 0										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 7,250										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 0										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 0										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 7,250										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START	CMPL	
422-264	ALTER MINUTEMAN II STORAGE FACILITIES				LS	7,250	SEP 92	MAR 93		
TOTAL:						7,250				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE										
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution: 0										
b. Water pollution: 0										
c. Occupational safety and health: 0										
d. Other Environmental: 0										

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
NAVAJO ARMY DEPOT, ARIZONA			ALTER MINUTEMAN II STORAGE FACILITIES		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
1.12.13	422-264	PAYZ941000	7,250		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ALTER MINUTEMAN II STORAGE FACILITIES		LS			5,000
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					1,200
UTILITIES		LS			(850)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(250)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(100)
SUBTOTAL					6,200
CONTINGENCY (10%)					620
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					6,820
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					409
TOTAL REQUEST					7,229
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					7,250
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Renovate earth-covered concrete storage buildings. Work includes new electric service, door replacement, heating, lighting, an environmental monitoring system, access pavements and necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Alter earth-covered igloos for Minuteman II storage. (New Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: Adequately sized and sited facilities are needed to store Minuteman II missile stages which will be deactivated as part of a downsizing of strategic delivery systems. Structural modifications to existing igloos are needed to provide access to the facilities. Heating and environmental controls are required to preserve the missiles during storage. Upgraded electrical power is required for the lighting, environmental, and security requirements of the igloos.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The Minuteman II missile system is being deactivated. Adequate storage space, in terms of numbers and facility requirements, do not exist. Facilities at the Navajo Depot Facility are inadequate in terms of door size, electrical power and environmental controls.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Deactivation of Minuteman II missile sites cannot be safely accomplished. If the missiles are stored in inadequate facilities, safety will be compromised and the missiles will degrade at an accelerated rate.					
ADDITIONAL: All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No reasonable option could meet the mission and explosive safety requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
NAVAJO ARMY DEPOT, ARIZONA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
ALTER MINUTEMAN II STORAGE FACILITIES		PAYZ941000
<p>However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
NAVAJO ARMY DEPOT, ARIZONA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ALTER MINUTEMAN II STORAGE FACILITIES	PAYZ941000	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 SEP 01	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 SEP 15	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 MAR 20	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	NAVAJO	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	30	
(b) All Other Design Costs	30	
(c) Total	60	
(d) Contract	30	
(e) In-house	30	
(4) Construction Start		
	93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE, ARKANSAS				4. COMMAND AIR MOBILITY COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 0.79		
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		649	3669	481	474	535	12	174	721	9
b. End FY 1998		595	3360	453	474	535	12	174	721	9
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (7,210)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 181,231										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 9,160										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 4,500										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 2,250										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 22,120										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 219,261										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START	CMPL	
141-459	ALTER JRTC OPERATIONS CENTER			23,190 SF	1,050	JUL 92	JUL 93			
211-157	ADD TO AND ALTER ENGINE INSPECTION AND REPAIR SHOP			9,200 SF	1,200	APR 92	MAY 93			
740-884	ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER (DBOF)			25,800 SF	2,250	JUL 92	JUL 93			
TOTAL:					4,500					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
130-835	ADD TO AND ALTER SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS			18,122 SF	2,250					
TOTAL:					2,250					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
149-962	CONTROL TOWER			1 EA	2,100					
411-135	REPAIR JET FUEL STORAGE			LS	6,000					
740-443	TRANSIENT LODGING FACILITY			18,500 SF	1,700					
740-674	ADD TO AND ALTER PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER			52,500 SF	4,100					
841-427	ADD TO WATER STORAGE TANK			LS	6,000					
10. Mission or Major Functions: An airlift wing which includes four C-130 squadrons, one of which conducts C-130 training for all DoD components and foreign countries, and an Air National Guard tactical training group with C-130 aircraft.										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution:										0
b. Water pollution:										0
c. Occupational safety and health:										0
d. Other Environmental:										6,000

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE, ARKANSAS			ALTER JRTC OPERATIONS CENTER		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT		6. CATEGORY CODE		7. PROJECT NUMBER	
4.18.97		141-459		NKAK943007	
				8. PROJECT COST(\$000)	
				1,050	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ALTER JRTC OPERATIONS CENTER		SF	23,200	35	812
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					75
UTILITIES		LS			(75)
SUBTOTAL					887
CONTINGENCY (10%)					89
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					976
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					59
TOTAL REQUEST					1,035
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,050
10. Description of Proposed Construction: All electrical, mechanical and structural work necessary to alter facility for JRTC. Work includes altering interior walls and lighting. Relocate/install partitions, lockers and communications. Upgrade mechanical systems and replace built-up roof with pitched roof and necessary support. Area includes operations and crew area.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 23,200 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 3,020 SF PROJECT: Alter Joint Readiness Training Center (JRTC) operations center. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: Adequately sized facilities are required for the 34th Combat Airlift Training Squadron (CATS) crew readiness operations in support of JRTC operations at Little Rock AFB. This facility provides operational space/briefing room for the Joint Operations Training Staff (JOTS), and kitchen, dining, study rooms and sleeping quarters for the air crews and ground crews who are TDY to Little Rock in support of JRTC operations. The JRTC is AMC's premier forum for ensuring the combat readiness of aircrews, ALCE (Airlift Control Element), Combat Control and Air Base Ground Defense forces. CURRENT SITUATION: The 34th CATS became operational at Little Rock in 1989. Part of their mission, to provide the C-130 instructor school, was bedded down in a vacant facility located on the flightline. The balance of their mission, JOTS, is temporarily bedded down in a Group Headquarters administrative facility. All the billeting requirements to house the air and ground crews who go to Little Rock TDY to support JRTC operations are met by utilizing off-base quarters. This is not only expensive (\$350,000 annually), but it does not provide realistic training and the timely response required to meet JRTC mobility deployment times. There are 100					

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE, ARKANSAS			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ALTER JRTC OPERATIONS CENTER		NKAK943007	
<p>personnel involved in each exercise which lasts fourteen days and there are ten rotations per year.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The high billeting cost for TDY crews to stay off-base will reduce the number of personnel that units can afford to send to JRTC. Off base billeting does not provide realistic training by dislocating personnel from the training environment necessary to support JRTC.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>			

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE, ARKANSAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ALTER JRTC OPERATIONS CENTER	NKAK943007	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 AUG 03
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 08
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		63
(b) All Other Design Costs		37
(c) Total		100
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		100
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE, ARKANSAS			ADD/ALTER ENGINE INSPECTION AND REPAIR SHOP (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.18.96	211-157	NKAK903001	1,200		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD/ALTER ENGINE INSPECTION AND REPAIR SHOP (DBOF)		SF	9,600		883
ADDITION		SF	8,000	94	(752)
ALTERATION		SF	1,600	82	(131)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					150
UTILITIES		LS			(75)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(50)
PAVEMENTS		SY	700	36	(25)
SUBTOTAL					1,033
CONTINGENCY (10%)					103
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,136
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)					62
TOTAL REQUEST					1,198
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,200
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundations and floor, structural steel frames, masonry walls, roof and monorails with hoists. Area includes shop space, maintenance management space, utilities and other necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 41,564 SF ADEQUATE: 31,964 SF SUBSTANDARD: 1,600 SF					
PROJECT: Add to and alter aircraft engine inspection and repair shop. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: An expanded facility is required to maintain, inspect and repair aircraft engines and provide storage for spare engines, tools and support equipment. This facility supports maintenance and inspection operations for 401 C-130 aircraft engines.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The engine repair and build-up crew areas are not large enough to support the number of engines required for effective productivity. Space is necessary for maintenance on four engines while existing facility supports only three engine maintenance spaces. The gas turbine compressor section is overcrowded with special tools, test equipment, as well as with repairable, serviceable and in-work units. The quick engine change (QEC) kit section has been divided into separate sections due to critical space problems, creating span of control problems.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Maintenance operations will continue to be performed in an overcrowded facility increasing the risk of accidents.					
ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE, ARKANSAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD/ALTER ENGINE INSPECTION AND REPAIR SHOP (DBOF)	NKAK903001	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 APR 21	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	40%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 AUG 28	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 MAY 25	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	72	
(b) All Other Design Costs	42	
(c) Total	114	
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house	114	
(4) Construction Start	94 MAR	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE, ARKANSAS			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 4.18.96	6. CATEGORY CODE 740-884	7. PROJECT NUMBER NKAK923003	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,250		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER (DBOF)		SP	23,200		1,588
ADDITION		SP	8,300	89	(739)
ALTERATION		SP	14,900	57	(849)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					355
UTILITIES		LS			(200)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(50)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(105)
SUBTOTAL					1,943
CONTINGENCY (10%)					194
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,137
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					128
TOTAL REQUEST					2,265
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,250
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete footings, foundations, and floor slab, masonry walls, brick veneer and hip roof. Includes space for reception area, administrative offices, classrooms, kitchen, mechanical room, fire protection, utilities and other necessary support. Air Conditioning: 60 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 25,875 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 14,900 SF PROJECT: Add to and alter child development center. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A facility is required to provide adequate space and environment for child development requirements and an atmosphere conducive to the needs of 345 dependent children of military and civilian personnel assigned to the base. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing facility provides only two thirds of the space needed to adequately support child care needs. Inefficiencies include lack of classroom space, administration, food service area and recreational support area. Changes in the makeup of the military and civilian population have increased the need for full-day child care development services. Single parents, dual working couples, and working spouses have changed the focus from a recreational support activity to a mission support program. Because more children stay at the center ten hours a day, five days a week, improved developmental care facilities must provide more adequate indoor and outdoor play space, learning centers, sleeping facilities and kitchen/food service areas. Off-base child care centers are available; however, they are substandard in that they are not certified by the State of Arkansas and do not meet minimum Air Force and Department of Defense standards for child care. These facilities provide little more than custodial care. They experience an extremely high turn-over rate in workers because they pay minimum wages. Workers are not					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE, ARKANSAS			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER (DBOF)		NKAK923003	
<p>screened for health problems and criminal records. Most facilities do not provide care for children under two years old. The Little Rock Child Development Center is the only facility in the State of Arkansas accredited by the National Association for the Education of Young Children. Some home care is available on base but not nearly enough to meet base child care demands. The waiting list for full-time day care is for 83 children; however, many families do not bother to sign-up because the list is too long.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Lack of quality child care contributes to employee absenteeism, low morale and has a negative impact in the military and civilian workforces. Expanded program requirements and increased demand for child care cannot be provided due to lack of space.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". A life-cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, addition/alteration and new construction). This analysis indicates the addition/alteration alternative is the most cost effective. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>			

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE, ARKANSAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER (DBOF)	NKAK923003	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		50%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 JUL 27
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 14
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		135
(b) All Other Design Costs		90
(c) Total		225
(d) Contract		183
(e) In-house		42
(4) Construction Start		94 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA				4. COMMAND AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND		5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.38	
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT		STUDENTS		SUPPORTED	
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		658	3610	3376			
b. End FY 1998		701	3300	2811			
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)							
a. Total Acreage: (302,088)							
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92)		599,802					
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:		67,300					
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:		11,300					
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995)		15,300					
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:		28,900					
g. Remaining Deficiency:		0					
h. Grand Total:		722,602					
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994							
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS			
CODE				START	CMPL		
411-135	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS PHASE II	38 EA	5,400	JUL 92	SEP 93		
740-884	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	35,000 SF	5,900	JUL 92	NOV 93		
TOTAL:			11,300				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)							
121-122	UPGRADE HYDRAUNT FUELING SYSTEM	5,200 LF	2,600				
211-152	RENOVATE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	234,500 SF	8,000				
311-115	F-22 ALTER ENGINEERING TEST FACILITY, PHASE I	43,900 SF	4,700				
TOTAL:			15,300				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:							
171-618	FIELD TRAINING FACILITY	10,000 SF	1,700				
211-152	COMPOSITE AIRCRAFT REPAIR FACILITY	25,000 SF	5,600				
411-000	REPAIR LIQUID FUEL STORAGE	LS	6,000				
422-264	EARTH COVERED STORAGE IGLOO	2,000 SF	1,200				
740-253	FAMILY SERVICE CENTER	12,000 SF	2,000				
10. Mission or Major Functions: Air Force Flight Test Center which is responsible for flight test activities for all USAF aircraft & related avionics, flight control, & weapons systems (primary test aircraft include B-1, B-2, B-52, C-23, F-15, F-16, F-117, MC-130, OA-37, T-38 & UH-1); Air Force Test Pilot School; & Astronautics Directorate of the Phillips Lab. Major tenants include US Army Aviation Engineering Activity; NSAS Ames Dryden Flight Research Facility; and Jet Propulsion Laboratory test facility. Also, a landing site for the space shuttle.							
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:							
a. Air pollution:		0					
b. Water pollution:		0					
c. Occupational safety and health:		0					
d. Other Environmental:		0					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			4. PROJECT TITLE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS PHASE II		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 7.80.56	6. CATEGORY CODE 411-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER FSPM947302	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 5,400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS, PHASE II	LS			2,919	
CONSTRUCT ABOVE GROUND TANKS	EA	30	40,330	(1,210)	
GAS CONNECTIONS AND BOILER CONVERSIONS	LS			(1,537)	
FUEL DISPENSING SYSTEM	EA	3	57,330	(172)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,705	
TANK REMOVAL	EA	85	4,824	(410)	
SITE ASSESSMENT AND REMEDIATION	LS			(865)	
UTILITIES	LS			(430)	
SUBTOTAL				4,624	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				462	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,086	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				305	
TOTAL REQUEST				5,391	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,400	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Remove 85 underground storage tanks (USTs) and replace them with approximately 30 above ground tanks (ASTs) where required or provide natural gas laterals and convert boilers to gas where economical. Install overfill and cathodic protection, and vapor recovery systems for ASTs. Install fuel dispensing systems at three locations.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Remove 85 underground storage tanks and replace with 30 above ground tanks, phase 2 of 4. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance project. Environmentally safe storage tanks are required to store petroleum products and other environmentally controlled substances, which are used in operating base gas stations, base shops, and laboratories. Underground tanks must comply with state or federal environmental regulations, whichever is more stringent. The California UST upgrade requirements under Title 23, Chapter 16, are similar to the Federal requirement contained under Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Subtitle I, 40 CFR Part 280 and 281. The rules require that all existing tanks be upgraded with leak detection, spill/overfill prevention, and cathodic protection (for steel tanks) by December 22, 1998. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing 85 USTs, associated piping and dispensing systems do not meet applicable EPA requirements. The USTs at Edwards Air Force Base were installed in high alkali content soil, which promotes corrosion of the bare steel. There are 85 USTs, varying in capacity of up to 25,000 gallons, located throughout the base that will be replaced under this project. Until corrections are implemented, leasing of temporary tanks in some areas is required. This is the second phase of a four					

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS PHASE II		FSPM947302	
<p>phased effort to upgrade tanks on this base.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Edwards Air Force Base will continue to pose a threat of contaminating the environment with hazardous petroleum products. Additionally, the base can be served with Notices of Violation and the Air Force may be subjected to lawsuits and fines. Support of current and future test programs, such as the C-17, and the F-22 will be hampered by a lack of fuel storage facilities.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could reasonably meet mission requirements. A certificate of exemption is being prepared. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>			

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			
4. PROJECT TITLE			5. PROJECT NUMBER
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS PHASE II			FSPM947302
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 01	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 30	
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 14	
(2) Basis:			
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):			(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		310	
(b) All Other Design Costs		150	
(c) Total		460	
(d) Contract			
(e) In-house		460	
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A			

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			4. PROJECT TITLE CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 7.28.06	6. CATEGORY CODE 740-884	7. PROJECT NUMBER FSPH923018	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 5,900		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	SF	35,000	125	4,375	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				905	
UTILITIES	LS			(320)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(205)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(185)	
DEMOLITION	SF	21,500	9	(195)	
SUBTOTAL				5,280	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				264	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,544	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				333	
TOTAL REQUEST				5,877	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,900	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls and roof system. Include playground, pavements, utility extensions, landscaping, necessary support, and demolition of four buildings. Air Conditioning: 60 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 47,125 SF ADEQUATE: 12,150 SF SUBSTANDARD: 21,500 SF PROJECT: Construct a child development center. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Adequate space to provide the necessary child care and preschool services for up to 500 dependents of military and DOD civilian personnel. This facility must provide separate primary care rooms for infants, toddlers, preschool and school age children up to age 12, an isolation area, kitchen, preschool class space, activity rooms, offices, staff training rooms, kitchen, storage and reception area. CURRENT SITUATION: One adequate facility, 12,150 SF, supports approximately 225 children, well over its design capacity of 150 children. Two other facilities are currently being used for other child care and preschool programs. A substandard building is being used as a preschool (3-5 years old) for classes of over 300 children. Built in 1951 and later relocated to its present location, this facility is in poor shape, is not properly configured, and has far exceeded its useful life. Also, space in an elementary school building is being used temporarily to house after-school programs for approximately 65 children between the ages of five and twelve. Due to the remote location of Edwards Air Force Base, the nearest child care services are located approximately 38 miles from the base. Personnel who live and work at Edwards must drive approximately three hours a day (two round trips) exclusively for child care. Additionally, the cost of these services in local communities is 30-75%					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		5. PROJECT NUMBER FSPM923018
<p>higher than the average cost of base services, further impacting the military family. Most of the off-base centers provide neither drop-in service nor care for children under 3 years of age. Due to the high usage rate of on-base facilities, drop-in care is often unavailable. The waiting list as of December 1991 is 100 children. Completion of this project will allow demolition of four substandard buildings totalling 21,500 SF.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Adequate child care services will not be available for dependents of Edwards AFB employees. Personnel will be forced to seek other more expensive child care services at locations more than 38 miles off-base.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	FSPM923018	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 NOV 20
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		300
(b) All Other Design Costs		150
(c) Total		450
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		450
(4) Construction Start		
		94 FEB
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX		
MCLELLAN AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA				AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND				1.14		
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		442	2533	10039						
b. End FY 1998		417	2411	8455						
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (3,752)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92)		474,559								
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:		37,430								
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:		1,900								
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995)		11,350								
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:		95,100								
g. Remaining Deficiency:		0								
h. Grand Total:		620,239								
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START CMPL		
880-232		FIRE PROTECTION AIRCRAFT FACILITIES (DBOF)		196,000 SF		1,900		AUG 92 NOV 93		
				TOTAL:		1,900				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
113-321		UPGRADE AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON		95,000 SY		6,500				
179-511		FIRE TRAINING FACILITY		1 EA		950				
871-183		UPGRADE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM		3,600 LF		3,900				
				TOTAL:		11,350				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
141-764		INTEGRATION SUPPORT FACILITY		65,000 SF		10,600				
141-764		ATF-SOFTWARE ENGINEERING ENVIRONMENT		100,000 SF		40,000				
149-962		CONTROL TOWER		1 EA		2,550				
211-116		IMPROVE DEPOT MAINTENANCE HANGAR		LS		9,300				
441-758		WAREHOUSE FACILITY		117,600 SF		12,200				
10. Mission or Major Functions: Sacramento Air Logistics Center which is responsible for logistics management, support, and depot-level maintenance of A-10, F/EF-111, and F-117 aircraft; Air Force Reserve's Headquarters Fourth Air Force and air refueling wing (KC-135 aircraft); Air Rescue Service; Air Mobility Command weather reconnaissance squadron (WC-135 aircraft) and airlift detachment (C-21 aircraft); and an Air Combat Command test and evaluation squadron (F-111 aircraft).										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution:		9,500								
b. Water pollution:		3,620								
c. Occupational safety and health:		0								
d. Other Environmental:		2,000								

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
MCCLELLAN AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			FIRE PROTECTION AIRCRAFT FACILITIES (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
7.28.96	880-232	PRJY881011	1,900		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
FIRE PROTECTION AIRCRAFT FACILITIES (DBOF)	SF	196,000	7	1,372	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				240	
UTILITIES	LS			(200)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(40)	
SUBTOTAL				1,612	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				161	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,773	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				106	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,879	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,900	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Install aqueous film forming foam (AFFF) preaction sprinkler systems and wet pipe sprinkler systems in fifteen buildings, including piping, sprinkler heads, nozzles, and necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
<u>PROJECT:</u> Install fire protection systems in fifteen buildings. (Current Mission)					
<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Automatic AFFF preaction systems are required to support critical base facilities and their contents, including multi-million dollar aircraft and equipment. These systems are needed to minimize hazard to life and property by quickly suppressing a fuel fire before injury or widespread damage can occur. This level of fire protection is consistent with standards developed by the National Fire Protection Association.					
<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> There are no fire protection systems in fifteen docks used to accomplish depot maintenance of first line aircraft. A fire in any of these facilities could endanger the building, its contents and occupants. All of these buildings are needed to accomplish depot maintenance workloads assigned to the Sacramento Air Logistics Center.					
<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Loss of life, first line aircraft and equipment will remain a possibility. The total value of aircraft at risk could be as high as \$650 million.					
<u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MCCLELLAN AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
FIRE PROTECTION AIRCRAFT FACILITIES (DBOP)		PRJY881011
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 19
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 24
(d) Date Design Complete		93 NOV 22
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		100
(b) All Other Design Costs		122
(c) Total		222
(d) Contract		139
(e) In-house		83
(4) Construction Start		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX		
TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA				AIR MOBILITY COMMAND				1.37		
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		1113	5997	2040	177	411		5	16	
b. End FY 1998		1032	5549	1996	177	411		5	16	
		TOTAL								
		9,759								
		9,186								
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (6,927)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 439,576										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 56,830										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 14,040										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 9,430										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 31,560										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 551,436										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START CMPL		
211-152		AIRCRAFT GENERAL PURPOSE		66,900 SF		11,200		FEB 93 DEC 93		
		MAINTENANCE SHOP								
411-135		UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS		2,840		JUN 92 DEC 93		
						TOTAL:		14,040		
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
179-511		FIRE TRAINING FACILITY		LS		950				
813-231		UPGRADE ELECTRICAL		30,000 KV		8,500				
		DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM								
						TOTAL:		14,450		
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
171-618		FIELD TRAINING FACILITY		36,600 SF		5,900				
179-511		FIREMEN TRAINING FACILITY		LS		950				
411-135		REPAIR JET FUEL STORAGE		LS		4,300				
724-417		VISITING OFFICERS QUARTERS		100 PN		7,000				
826-123		OVER 100 TONS A/C PLANT		220 PN		1,500				
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters Twenty-Second Air Force; an airlift wing which includes two C-5 and two C-141 squadrons; an Air Force Reserve associate airlift wing (C-5 and C-141 aircraft); a major Air Force medical center; and the largest aerial port on the west coast.										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution: 0										
b. Water pollution: 0										
c. Occupational safety and health: 0										
d. Other Environmental: 4,300										

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			AIRCRAFT GENERAL PURPOSE MAINTENANCE SHOP		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.18.96	211-152	XDAT923002	11,200		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
AIRCRAFT GENERAL PURPOSE MAINTENANCE					
SHOP		SF	66,900		8,567
GENERAL PURPOSE MAINTENANCE SHOPS		SF	62,000	120	(7,440)
NON-DESTRUCTIVE INSPECTION SHOP		SF	4,900	230	(1,127)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					1,450
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(100)
UTILITIES		LS			(600)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(350)
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS REMOVAL		SF	67,000	6	(400)
SUBTOTAL					10,017
CONTINGENCY (5%)					501
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					10,518
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					631
TOTAL REQUEST					11,149
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					11,200
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Metal, concrete facility with sloped roof. Area includes space for maintenance management non-destructive inspection, aircraft maintenance, training, and storage. Includes utilities, fire protection, pre-wired workstations asbestos removal, demolition and other necessary support. Air Conditioning: 20 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 131,633 SF ADEQUATE: 21,158 SF SUBSTANDARD: 122,566 SF PROJECT: Construct aircraft general purpose maintenance shop. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Adequate aircraft maintenance space for fabrication and structural repair, cleaning and degreasing operations, hydraulics repair, battery shop, wheel and tire shop, maintenance management and storage of aircraft parts to support assigned C-5 and C-141 aircraft. Space is also required to support field level non-destructive inspection (NDI) of aircraft components. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing aircraft general purpose shop is a 38-year-old wooden facility which has deteriorated beyond economical repair. Wooden support columns have cracked and twisted 30 degrees. They have been reinforced by installing metal plates. The support beams are also cracked and have weakened to the point that additional steel beams have been installed to provide temporary support. Ventilation of some shop space is non-existent. The work area, while adequate in gross size, does not provide adequate work space because it cannot be configured properly to support desired equipment layout. Extra steel support beams that were installed to support the roof prohibit safe layout of equipment. Minimal space between equipment cannot be provided. Some equipment throws off hot					

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
AIRCRAFT GENERAL PURPOSE MAINTENANCE SHOP	XDAT923002	
<p>steel shavings and workers using adjacent equipment must interrupt their work to maintain safe working distances between operating machines. Wing safety has identified this safety deficiency with a risk assessment code (RAC) 3. No other facilities exist on base that could be used to support this function. The existing 67,000 SF facility will be demolished upon completion of this project.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Aircraft maintenance and parts repair will continue to be performed in a substandard, poorly configured facility which reduces productivity. Due to unsafe conditions, there is an increased possibility that loss of life or serious injury could occur.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". A life-cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation and new construction). This analysis indicates the new construction is the most economical. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			
4. PROJECT TITLE			5. PROJECT NUMBER
AIRCRAFT GENERAL PURPOSE MAINTENANCE SHOP			XDAT923002
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a)	Date Design Started		92 AUG 01
(b)	Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c)	Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 15
(d)	Date Design Complete		93 DEC 29
(2) Basis:			
(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):			(\$000)
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications		560
(b)	All Other Design Costs		340
(c)	Total		900
(d)	Contract		810
(e)	In-house		90
(4) Construction Start			94 APR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A			

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.18.56	411-135	XDAT943075	2,840		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS (DBOF)	LS			2,400	
UPGRADE UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS	EA	7	40,000	(280)	
CONSTRUCT UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS	EA	10	107,000	(1,070)	
CONSTRUCT ABOVEGROUND STORAGE TANKS	EA	14	75,000	(1,050)	
SUBTOTAL				2,400	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				240	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,640	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				158	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,798	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,840	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade 7 tanks and their pressurized lines with leak detection. Replace 10 existing tanks with new double-walled underground tanks, leak detectors and other necessary support. In addition, replace another 14 underground tanks with aboveground tanks.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Upgrade underground fuel storage tanks. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: This is a Level I environmental compliance project to upgrade underground storage tanks (USTs) regulated by the California State Water Resources Control Board (CSWRCB). This board has set standards that require all regulated USTs to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention systems. If USTs are to be replaced, Air Force policy is to replace them with aboveground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults wherever possible.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The majority of the USTs at Travis have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. Approximately 97% of the regulated USTs are also out of compliance with current (1988) state regulations. All of the regulated USTs require annual integrity (tightness) testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control, since they lack the proper continuous monitoring appliances and controls. If these tasks are not performed, the exposure to environmental liability will increase. These liabilities can be eliminated through the installation of the new USTs or aboveground storage tanks (ASTs) and associated continuous monitoring/alarm systems.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Failure to bring the USTs into environmental compliance will result in Travis AFB receiving a Notice of Violation (NOV) from the regulators. This will ultimately result in fines or litigation					

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			
4. PROJECT TITLE			5. PROJECT NUMBER
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS (DBOF)			XDAT943075
<p>and unfavorable publicity for the Air Force. All tanks must meet regulations or be permanently closed. The absence of sufficient fuel storage due to mandatory tank closure would seriously jeopardize the base's mission.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, and new construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option that satisfies regulatory requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>			

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS (DBOF)	XDAT943075	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUN 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 JAN 31
(d) Date Design Complete		94 JAN 05
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		65
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		65
(d) Contract		65
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		
		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST		
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA				AIR FORCE SPACE COMMAND				COST INDEX 1.36		
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		667	2650	1226						
b. End FY 1998		638	2405	1217						
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (98,831)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 1,058,848										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 67,750										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 20,728										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 11,710										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 17,700										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 1,176,736										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START	CMPL	
141-391	SLFI - TPQ-18 RADAR FACILITY			6,100 SF	2,408			SEP 92	SEP 93	
319-995	HARDWARE STORAGE FACILITY			17,000 SF	3,500			NOV 92	JAN 94	
411-135	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS			36 EA	1,700			TURN KEY		
812-223	SLFI - UPGRADE ELECTRICAL SYSTEM			LS	11,520			SEP 91	SEP 93	
880-234	SLFI - UPGRADE FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM			LS	1,600			SEP 92	SEP 93	
TOTAL:						20,728				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
141-766	SLFI - CHEMICAL TEST AND ANALYSIS LABORATORY			14,600 SF	4,200					
179-511	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY			LS	1,550					
824-464	SLFI - UPGRADE NATURAL GAS SYSTEM			LS	5,960					
TOTAL:						11,710				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
131-000	COMMUNICATIONS MANAGEMENT FACILITY			10,000 SF	1,300					
179-475	COMBAT ARMS SECURITY TRAINING RANGE			3,200 SF	1,300					
312-476	DMSP SATELLITE PROCESSING FACILITY			8,600 SF	3,200			TURN KEY		
724-417	VISITING OFFICERS QTRS, PH 1			120 PN	4,900					
831-155	INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMNT			LS	7,000					
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters Twentieth Air Force; a space wing which is responsible for operational and test launches of missiles, satellites, and space vehicles in polar orbits and for research and development of missile and space systems; a test wing responsible for ICBM operations test and evaluation launches; a missile evaluation squadron; a combat air rescue detachment (UH-1 helicopters); and an Air Training Command missile crew training squadron.										

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA				4. COMMAND AIR FORCE SPACE COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.36			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of											
b. End FY											
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage:											
b. Inventory Total As Of:											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program:											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:											
g. Remaining Deficiency:											
h. Grand Total:											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:										1,550	
b. Water pollution:										4,700	
c. Occupational safety and health:										0	
d. Other Environmental:										0	

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			4. PROJECT TITLE SLFI - TPQ-18 RADAR FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 3.51.81		6. CATEGORY CODE 141-391		7. PROJECT NUMBER XUMU885002	
				8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,408	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
SLFI - TPQ-18 RADAR FACILITY		SF	5,400	210	1,134
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					1,015
RADAR PEDESTAL		LS			(315)
UTILITIES		LS			(285)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(195)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(155)
DEMOLITION OF TEMPORARY FACILITIES		LS			(65)
SUBTOTAL					2,149
CONTINGENCY (5%)					107
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,256
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					135
TOTAL REQUEST					2,391
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,408
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete block building, concrete floor slab and foundation, raised flooring, truss and column steel frame, metal deck/built-up roof system and fire protection system. Includes necessary utilities, site prep, and roads and parking. Air Conditioning: 115 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 5,971 SF ADEQUATE: 571 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct a permanent facility to house the TPQ-18 radar. (Current Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> This is a Space Launch Facilities Infrastructure (SLFI) requirement. A permanent, reliable facility is required to support installation of new equipment, radar mods, and for improving operations and maintenance of the AN/TPQ-18 radar to support launch operations. This facility is required to support critical missions such as Titan, Scout, Minuteman, Atlas, Pegasus, Shuttle Operations, SDI work, and Edwards AFB test programs. This radar provides: a clear picture of missile trajectory to range safety; data collection for evaluation of the missile and test equipment; a picture of the performance of re-entry vehicles (simulated warheads); and pictures and data from a satellite in orbit. This radar must be operational in order to launch a missile. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The radar system is presently housed in 11 separate portable shelters with the associated cables to connect the various data and power circuits. The existing facilities, at their current location for 32 years, were originally intended as mobile shelters; however, the requirements have evolved to the point that a permanent facility is needed. There are 10 air conditioners used to cool the shelters. Consolidating the air conditioners into one system would save \$15,000/yr and collocating the radar system into one building would yield energy					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
SLFI - TPQ-18 RADAR FACILITY		XUMU885002	
<p>savings of \$8,000/yr. The temporary shelters and old cabling have deteriorated due to exposure to the elements, thus jeopardizing the ability to support the mission. Most of these shelters leak during rains, exposing valuable equipment to water damage; and the poor condition of these shelters allows rodents to enter, additionally exposing equipment and cabling to damage. These existing facilities have exceeded their useful life and cannot be economically upgraded. Valuable data was lost during a launch when exposed electrical cabling failed (the existing facility did not provide adequate protection against the weather).</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Existing cabling and equipment will continue to be susceptible to both environmental and pest damage; and facilities will not offer the degree of protection, functional space, or utility support needed to accommodate state-of-the art equipment. Vandenberg AFB is the only base from which polar-orbiting satellites may be launched. With the passing of time, the reliability of this radar site will become even worse; and without the needed building and equipment upgrades, this radar site will be less able to support the various, strategically important missions.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.</p>			

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			
4. PROJECT TITLE			5. PROJECT NUMBER
SLFI - TPQ-18 RADAR FACILITY			XUMU885002
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a)	Date Design Started		92 SEP 21
(b)	Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c)	Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 30
(d)	Date Design Complete		93 SEP 20
(2) Basis:			
(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):			(\$000)
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications		140
(b)	All Other Design Costs		70
(c)	Total		210
(d)	Contract		175
(e)	In-house		35
(4) Construction Start			93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A			

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			HARDWARE STORAGE FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
3.41.11	319-995	XUMU923002	3,500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
HARDWARE STORAGE FACILITY	SF	17,000	110	1,870	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,255	
UTILITIES	LS			(300)	
PAVEMENTS	SY	6,000	38	(230)	
SITE PREPARATION	LS			(150)	
10 TON BRIDGE CRANES	EA	2	212,500	(425)	
SECURITY SYSTEMS	LS			(150)	
SUBTOTAL				3,125	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				156	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,281	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				197	
TOTAL REQUEST				3,478	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,500	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Masonry building with reinforced concrete foundations, concrete floor slabs, and roof system. Includes bridge cranes, fence, electronic security system, utilities and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 70 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 17,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct a hardware storage facility. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: A secure storage facility is required for the Titan IV rocket program for handling space vehicle (SV) ground support equipment. This facility will provide secure, environmentally controlled space for storing SV support equipment in transit from the airfield or payload processing facilities to its launch complex. The reusable transporters, containers, slings, and other items which normally accompany a SV must remain for contingency operations, in a secure, environmentally controlled facility, until mission completion. CURRENT SITUATION: There are no suitable facilities on Vandenberg AFB for the storage of all space vehicle associated items. Additionally, there is no space which could be converted to this function. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Space launch requirements would force storage of SV related items in unsecured areas. The Air Force continues to run the risk of compromising high-priority classified space flight systems. ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or AFM 86-2. Space requirements were based on the size and quantity of the stored equipment. All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A					

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
HARDWARE STORAGE FACILITY		XUMU923002	
certificate of exemption has been prepared.			

1. COMPONENT	. FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
HARDWARE STORAGE FACILITY		XUMU923002	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a) Date Design Started		92 NOV 13	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		20%	
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 MAR 12	
(d) Date Design Complete		94 JAN 14	
(2) Basis:			
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)	
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		210	
(b) All Other Design Costs		108	
(c) Total		318	
(d) Contract			
(e) In-house		318	
(4) Construction Start		94 JUL	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A			

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE		
AIR FORCE		VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT		6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
3.58.56		411-135	XUMU924005	1,700
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS			336
REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANKS	EA	8	42,000	(336)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,110
EXCAVATE CONTAMINATED SOIL & TEST	LS			(500)
LEAK DETECTION	EA	8	6,250	(50)
REMOVE 12 STORAGE TANKS	EA	12	30,000	(360)
CONCRETE WORK, DISPENSERS, & PIPING	LS			(200)
SUBTOTAL				1,446
CONTINGENCY (10%)				145
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,591
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				95
TOTAL REQUEST				1,686
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,700
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Includes excavation and removal of 11 underground and 1 aboveground fuel tanks and piping and dispensing systems. Replace with eight double-walled storage tanks, including associated piping, leak detection, new dispensers, and islands. Dispose of old tanks and residual fuels. Test for further contamination and remove soil as required.				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.				
PROJECT: Replace underground storage tanks (USTs). (Current Mission)				
REQUIREMENT: This is a Level I environmental compliance requirement. Tanks must be in compliance with DoD, federal, and state environmental regulations. These include the AF UST Management Strategy, Federal Regulation 40 CFR 280, and State of California, and Santa Barbara County regulations. The State of California required tanks to have leak detection systems by 1 Jan 1989. If USTs are to be replaced, Air Force policy is to replace them with aboveground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults wherever possible.				
CURRENT SITUATION: The USTs are between 9 and 35 years old and will be out of compliance with the County of Santa Barbara in FY 94 if not removed or upgraded in accordance with the interagency agreement between the base and the county. Tanks are single-wall, some with a history of leaks. Gasoline pump dispensers are severely deteriorated due to weathering. Soil surrounding the tanks has been badly contaminated by either leaks and/or spills due to filling operations. Soil must be removed and disposed of in an environmentally responsible manner. This UST issue is being closely monitored by Santa Barbara County Environmental Health Services and the Regional Water Quality Control Board.				
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without replacement and/or removal, USTs at				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	XUMU924005	
<p>Vandenberg AFB will be out of compliance and subject to legal ramifications. Not only will the tanks be out of compliance, but the soil in the vicinity of these USTs would remain contaminated, and this is also a violation of regulatory standards. In addition, allowing hazardous products to remain in the soil violates California State Water Quality Control Board regulations. Ground water could become extensively contaminated with the passage of time. Ground water contamination cleanup can be an extremely expensive and lengthy process. These USTs could cause escalation of the existing environmental problem.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		XLMU924005	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Project to be accomplished by one step turn key procedures			
(2) Basis:			
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A	
(3) Design Allowance		102	
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A			

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			4. PROJECT TITLE SLFI - UPGRADE ELECTRICAL SYSTEM		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 3.51.81	6. CATEGORY CODE 812-223	7. PROJECT NUMBER XUMU954006	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 11,520		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
SLFI - UPGRADE ELECTRICAL SYSTEM		LS			9,873
ELECT SERVICE, RANGE OPS CTR		LS			(762)
ELECT SERV, LAUNCH FACILITIES		LS			(3,729)
ELECT SERV, VTS & RADAR		LS			(865)
ELECT SERV, CRITICAL FACS		LS			(2,454)
SUPERVISORY CONTROL & DATA ACQUISITION		LS			(2,063)
SUBTOTAL					9,873
CONTINGENCY (10%)					987
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					10,860
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					652
TOTAL REQUEST					11,512
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					11,520
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade the 12 KV electrical basewide system. Upgrade/relocate distribution lines, retrofit/upgrade transformers, replace breakers/insulators/switches, provide new switchgear and shelters, provide relaying systems, install voltage drop and power factor correction devices, and provide a computerized monitoring capability for the entire system.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Upgrade electrical system. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a Space Launch Facilities Infrastructure (SLFI) requirement. This base supports DoD and NASA missions vital to our defense. This is the only base from which a polar-orbiting satellite can be launched. The high-voltage electrical system must be capable of providing sufficient, reliable electrical capacity for these missions. The system must perform with minimum impact or damage to electronic equipment in spite of current surges, lines that go to ground, and lightning. A computerized monitoring system is required to monitor the entire system and provide rapid response to correct problems. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing 12 KV electrical system has become unreliable for missile launches; thus it is bypassed during certain launch-critical operations. These operations must then be powered by local, on-site generators. Forecasted voltage drops must be precluded to avoid damage to valuable electronic equipment, motors, and other electrical devices. When a power outage occurs, personnel must physically seek out the problem, consuming valuable time; whereas, modern monitoring systems can identify the location of problems as they occur. The corrosive environment (fog and salt) at this location shortens life expectancies of electrical distribution system components; insulators					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
SLFI - UPGRADE ELECTRICAL SYSTEM	XUMU954006	
<p>deteriorate and are prone to flashovers. Power poles, circuit breakers, and transformers should be replaced. Relay systems need to be upgraded. Power lines need to be relocated to improve accessibility.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The mission of this base cannot be properly executed without these needed upgrades. If no action is taken, this 12 KV electrical system will continue to deteriorate. Resulting voltage drops will begin to take their toll on launches and important mission-related equipment. During brown-outs, lights will flicker and dim, and electronic equipment and motors will be damaged.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
SLFI - UPGRADE ELECTRICAL SYSTEM		XUMU954006
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 SEP 24
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 JUL 29
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 03
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		708
(b) All Other Design Costs		357
(c) Total		1065
(d) Contract		862
(e) In-house		203
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			SLFI - UPGRADE FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
3.51.81	880-234	XUMU910130	1,600		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/H	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
SLFI-UPGRADE FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM		LS			1,128
SPRINKLER SYSTEM		SF	141,000	8	(1,128)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					260
REPLACE WOODEN PARTITIONS		LS			(120)
ALARM SYSTEM		LS			(140)
SUBTOTAL					1,388
CONTINGENCY (10%)					139
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,527
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					92
TOTAL REQUEST					1,619
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,600
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Install integrated fire detection system with electronic control panels and state-of-the-art sensors. Install sprinkler system. Replace wooden partitions with fireproof materials to meet current Life Safety Codes.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Install fire protection system in the Titan Test and Support Facility. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: This is a Space Launch Facilities Infrastructure (SLFI) requirement. Provide fire detection and suppression systems to the Titan Test & Support Facility as required by AFR 88-15.					
CURRENT SITUATION: With no fire suppression or detection systems, this facility fails to meet USAF life safety code standards. The building contains costly data & test equipment and a launch support (fuel handling) trailer. In the event of a fire, personnel assigned to the facility must operate pull-stations, alarmed only to this building. Wooden partitions on the shop floor of the high-bay area would promote rapid spread of a fire, posing extreme threat to personnel, space vehicle components, and other critical assets.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without sprinkler protection or an adequate fire alarm system, personnel face high fire risk, and the facility and contents are subject to total loss, significantly impacting the launch program. Loss of functional capabilities to the Titan Test and Support Facility would result in the inability to support Titan launches and would necessitate delaying launches. Due to the critical timing and scheduling of the national information and security payloads, this would have a significant impact on national defense.					
ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of					

1. COMPONENT	.FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
SLFI - UPGRADE FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM		XUMU910130	
<p>Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>			

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
SLFI - UPGRADE FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	XUMU910130	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 21
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 28
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		95
(b) All Other Design Costs		50
(c) Total		145
(d) Contract		120
(e) In-house		25
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE	
AIR FORCE									
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS (INSIDE AND OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES)				4. COMMAND		5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 0.00			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT		STUDENTS		SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL
a. As of 30 SEP 92									
b. End FY 1998									
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)									
a. Total Acreage: (0)									
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 0									
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0									
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 13,640									
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 44,200									
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 119,800									
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0									
h. Grand Total: 177,640									
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994									
CATEGORY	CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START	CMPL			
	100-000	SPECIAL TACTICAL UNIT DETACHMENT FACILITY	LS	5,540					
	100-000	OMEGA FACILITIES	LS	2,600					
	442-758	WAR READINESS MATERIEL WAREHOUSE	120,000 SF	5,500	MAY 92	SEP 93			
TOTAL:				13,640					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)									
	100-000	SPECIAL TACTICAL UNIT DETACHMENT FACILITY	LS	2,140					
	100-000	EUROPEAN GROUND STATION	LS	16,800					
	100-000	VARIOUS FACILITIES	LS	2,060					
	170-000	AIRCRAFT TRAINING FACILITIES	LS	2,000					
	170-000	AIRCRAFT TRAINING FACILITIES	LS	5,200					
	170-000	AIRCRAFT TRAINING FACILITIES	LS	2,100					
	170-000	AIRCRAFT TRAINING FACILITIES	LS	9,600					
	217-742	WAR READINESS MATERIEL MAINTENANCE/MANAGEMENT FAC	10,000 SF	1,300					
	442-515	WAR READINESS MATERIEL MEDICAL STORAGE FACILITY	18,000 SF	1,800					
	452-252	WAR READINESS MATERIEL OPEN STORAGE FACILITY	62,000 SF	1,200					
TOTAL:				44,200					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:									
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:									
a. Air pollution: 0									
b. Water pollution: 0									
c. Occupational safety and health: 0									
d. Other Environmental: 0									

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE			
CLASSIFIED LOCATION		SPECIAL TACTICAL UNIT DETACHMENT FACILITY			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
2.72.48	100-000	PAYZ944445	5,540		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
SPECIAL TACTICAL UNIT DETACHMENT FACILITY		LS			5,540
SUBTOTAL					5,540
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					5,540
TOTAL REQUEST					5,540
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					5,540
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a Special Tactical Unit Detachment Facility.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. REQUIREMENT: See classified DD Form 1391.					

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS			OMEGA FACILITIES		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
2.75.91	100-000	PAYZ944446	2,600		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
OMEGA FACILITIES		LS			2,600
SUBTOTAL					2,600
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,600
TOTAL REQUEST					2,600
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,600
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct OMEGA facilities.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
REQUIREMENT: See classified DD Form 1391.					

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BUCKLEY AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE, COLORADO				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 0.96			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		79	547	300							926
b. End FY 1998		76	522	311							909
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (3,897)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 68,341											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 39,000											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 0											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 3,900											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 111,241											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS			
CODE								START	CMPL		
131-134		DATA PROCESSING, RESEARCH, AND TRAINING FACILITY		217,700 SF		39,000		JUN 92	AUG 93		
TOTAL:						39,000					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE											
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
890-272		INSTALL EMCS AND REPLACE HVAC				LS 3,900					
IN TECH BUILDING											
10. Mission or Major Functions: Colorado Air National Guard Headquarters with T-43s and the ANG 140th Tactical Fighter Wing flying a A-7D aircraft. Other units include 1810 Communications Group, 2 Communications Squadron and Det 3, Space Division.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:										0	
b. Water pollution:										0	
c. Occupational safety and health:										0	
d. Other Environmental:										0	

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BUCKLEY AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE, COLORADO			4. PROJECT TITLE DATA PROCESSING, RESEARCH, AND TRAINING FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
3.41.11	131-134	CRWU939530	39,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
DATA PROCESSING, RESEARCH, AND TRAINING FACILITY		SF	176,000	125	22,000
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					12,700
UTILITIES		LS			(5,000)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(1,200)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(800)
ENTRY CONTROL BUILDING		LS			(700)
BACK-UP POWER		LS			(4,000)
O&M MANUALS/COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT		LS			(1,000)
SUBTOTAL					34,700
CONTINGENCY (5%)					1,735
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					36,435
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					2,186
TOTAL REQUEST					38,621
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					39,000
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab, steel frame with precast concrete walls and built-up roof; includes large Sensitive Compartmented Information Facility (SCIF), raised flooring, entry control facility, pre-wired workstations, back-up generator, connection to EMCS and base utilities, upgrade of base utilities, paving and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 2000 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 200,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct a data processing facility. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: A facility is required to provide the Department of Defense with physically and electronically secure space for processing and reporting classified data. The facility is required in support of a DX Brickbat FAD I priority program. It includes areas that will be staffed 24 hours per day as well as analysis and administrative areas, a SCIF area comprising more than half the building, and computer equipment areas. It will be staffed by approximately 750 military, civilian and contractor personnel. An entry control facility is required for perimeter security. The facility is required by June 1996. CURRENT SITUATION: This is a new requirement and suitable existing facilities are not available. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without this facility, this new mission and its supporting capabilities cannot be performed. ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BUCKLEY AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE, COLORADO		
4. PROJECT TITLE DATA PROCESSING, RESEARCH, AND TRAINING FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER CRWU939530
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUN 12
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		30%
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 FEB 12
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 12
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		2320
(b) All Other Design Costs		1206
(c) Total		3526
(d) Contract		200
(e) In-house		3326
(4) Construction Start		94 FEB
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST		
CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO				AIR FORCE SPACE COMMAND				COST INDEX 1.08		
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		195	838	147						
b. End FY 1998		187	767	146						1,100
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (519)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 73,504										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 4,450										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 0										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 0										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 77,954										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START	CMPL	
812-225	UPGRADE ELECTRICAL SERVICE			25,000 LF		4,450		NOV 92	SEP 93	
						TOTAL:	4,450			
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE										
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
10. Mission or Major Functions: A support group; a command and control squadron; and the North American Defense Command command post.										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution: 0										
b. Water pollution: 0										
c. Occupational safety and health: 0										
d. Other Environmental: 0										

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
AIR FORCE				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO			4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE ELECTRICAL SERVICE	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)	
3.59.96	812-225	SAXC943001	4.450	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UPGRADE ELECTRICAL SERVICE	LS			3,962
PRIMARY UNDERGROUND DIST LINE	LF	25,000	39	(975)
SUBSTATION	LS			(2,495)
SECONDARY FEEDERS	LF	6,000	82	(492)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				10
SITE WORK	LS			(10)
SUBTOTAL				3,972
CONTINGENCY (5%)				199
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,171
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				250
TOTAL REQUEST				4,421
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,450
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a new primary underground electrical feeder from the Bradley substation (city owned), replace the existing base substation transformers with higher capacity transformers, and upgrade secondary feeders from the substation to the interior complex.				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Increase the capacity of the Cheyenne Mountain AFB electrical substation and provide upgraded commercial electrical service to the mission centers by providing a new underground feeder. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Reliable electrical power (99.999 reliability) is required to support the NORAD command post and USSPACECOM operations centers which comprise the nerve center for warning of missile, space, or air attack on North America. The Federal Emergency Management Agency Control Center, which is also within the Cheyenne Mountain Complex (CMC), also requires reliable power. The importance of these missions requires that backup commercial power be as reliable as possible. Larger capacity transformers and secondary feeders are required to provide adequate redundant power to the mission support distribution system. CURRENT SITUATION: The Cheyenne Mountain Complex currently operates with a combination of commercial and on-site generator power. Presently, the base power plant provides generator supplied power in support of mission requirements. The six 1750 KW generators of this facility are approaching 30 years of service and are operating at maximum designed capacity. In the event of a loss of generator capability, the mission centers in the complex would operate 100% on commercial power. Upgraded commercial electrical power is necessary to sustain the power demands of the mission centers should persistent generator failure occur. The current commercial				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE ELECTRICAL SERVICE	SAXC943001	
<p>capability is limited to 5000 KVA and the near term (1993-1994) projected commercial requirement is 8500 KVA. A P-341 MILCON project has been approved for FY 92 to construct an additional power feeder from the installation's substation which will allow full use of the existing commercial substation capacity. While providing a temporary solution to the under-capacity problem, that project will not provide the full redundancy required for future operations. This lack of redundant capacity from the primary commercial source is not correctable without upgrading the present system, and is a threat to uninterrupted mission operations.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> A catastrophic power loss would be devastating to the US and Canada's ability to provide warning and assessment of tactical, sea-launched, and intercontinental missile launches, and to support such contingencies as Operation Desert Storm. If commercial service is interrupted for any of a number of reasons (lightning, downed lines, etc), the present commercial system would not be capable of meeting the total load and would require load shedding, resulting in possible disruption of mission-critical sensor and computer analysis systems which provide Missile Warning and Space Surveillance capabilities. The capability to sound the alarm of an attack may be jeopardized.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". No other known alternative options were acceptable; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE ELECTRICAL SERVICE	SAXC943001	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 NOV 18
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 23
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$J00)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		204
(b) All Other Design Costs		125
(c) Total		329
(d) Contract		264
(e) In-house		65
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST		
PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO				AIR FORCE				COST INDEX		
				SPACE COMMAND				0.96		
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		1304	1828	1559	8	7	1			
b. End FY 1998		1077	1548	1631	8	7	1			
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (1,280)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 139,870										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 32,350										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 21,030										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 19,050										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 45,800										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 258,100										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START	CMPL	
141-764	ADD TO AND ALTER INTEGRATION			104,000 SF	16,400	SEP 92	DEC 93			
	SUPPORT FACILITY, PHASE II									
218-868	PRECISION MEASUREMENT			9,700 SF	2,200	NOV 92	AUG 93			
	EQUIPMENT LABORATORY									
311-174	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT			12,400 SF	2,430	OCT 92	JUN 93			
	FACILITY									
				TOTAL:		21,030				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
141-459	COMMAND AND CONTROL SUPPORT			72,000 SF	8,000					
	FACILITY, PHASE I									
411-135	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS			16 EA	1,750					
610-249	ADD TO CONSOLIDATED WING			32,000 SF	5,300					
	OPERATIONS & SUPPORT FACILITY									
721-312	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORY,			134 PN	4,000					
	PHASE IV									
				TOTAL:		19,050				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
442-758	SUPPLY WAREHOUSE			84,000 SF	8,000					
610-249	SPACE SUPPORT CENTER			90,000 SF	10,800					
730-142	FIRE STATION			19,900 SF	4,000					
740-884	ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD CARE			1,050 SF	1,000					
	CENTER									
812-224	BASE ELECTRICAL UPGRADE			LS	6,000					
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters United States Space Command; Headquarters Air Force Space Command; Headquarters North American Air Defense Command; Space and Warning Systems Center; AFSPACECOM Inspection Center; a space wing; an Air Force Reserve airlift wing (C-130 aircraft); and an Air Mobility Command airlift detachment (C-21 aircraft).										

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST			
PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO				AIR FORCE				COST INDEX			
				SPACE COMMAND				0.96			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of											
b. End FY											
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage:											
b. Inventory Total As Of:											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program:											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:											
g. Remaining Deficiency:											
h. Grand Total:											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:										0	
b. Water pollution:										1,750	
c. Occupational safety and health:										0	
d. Other Environmental:										0	

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO			ADD TO AND ALTER INTEGRATION SUPPORT FACILITY, PHASE II		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
7.28.96	141-764	TDKA943005	16,400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AND ALTER INTEGRATION SUPPORT FACILITY, PHASE II		LS			11,700
ADDITION		SF	104,000	110	(11,440)
ALTERATION		LS			(260)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					2,995
UTILITIES		LS			(580)
PAVEMENTS		SY	12,000	34	(410)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(340)
PRE-WIRED WORK STATIONS		EA	425	3,282	(1,395)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT		LS			(270)
SUBTOTAL					14,695
CONTINGENCY (5%)					735
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					15,430
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					926
TOTAL REQUEST					16,356
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					16,400
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)					(10,700)
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls, steel frame, and roof system. Includes environmental controls, fire protection, TEMPEST security, SCIF areas, Top Secret storage vault, prewiring for communications, pre-wired work stations, utilities tie-in with existing building, and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 800 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 194,000 SF ADEQUATE: 90,000 SF SUBSTANDARD: 35,500 SF PROJECT: Add to and alter a centralized integration support facility, phase 2 of 2. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: A centrally located facility is required to consolidate software engineering and logistical support to the following Space Command networks and systems using the extendible integration support environment: Integrated Threat Warning and Assessment, Space Defense Command and Control System, and Air Force Satellite Control Network. This facility is essential for maintaining the defense force readiness capability. It will provide logistical support to enable expeditious reaction to wartime scenarios. It will house hot mock-ups, simulators, special test equipment, automatic test equipment support systems and general support equipment, and the management and technical staffs necessary to provide systems support. This addition, the second phase of a two phase program, is required to complete consolidation of software engineering and logistical support functions. CURRENT SITUATION: The development and acquisition of space and warning computer systems and related technology is expanding faster than the ability to provide support space. Organic depot support facilities are almost non-existent for space systems. As a result, the Air Force must provide contractor depot engineering and maintenance for almost all space					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER INTEGRATION SUPPORT FACILITY, PHASE II	TDKA943005	
<p>and warning systems in the inventory. Under the current contractor support situation, 22 separate activities must be operated to meet the software support requirement. Roughly half the facilities are contractor owned and operated, and must repeatedly be sole-source contracted to the original contractor. Phase I of this effort was authorized and appropriated in the FY 92 Military Construction Program.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Without completion of this two-phased effort, the capability to support wartime space requirements cannot be assured. Additionally, manpower and dollars will continue to be wasted due to inefficiencies and duplications-of-effort inherent in the current concept for sustained support of space and warning systems.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO			
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER		
ADD TO AND ALTER INTEGRATION SUPPORT FACILITY, PHASE II	TDKA943005		
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 16	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 16	
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 30	
(2) Basis:			
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		YES	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		PETERSON	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		1010	
(b) All Other Design Costs		327	
(c) Total		1337	
(d) Contract		998	
(e) In-house		339	
(4) Construction Start			
		94 MAR	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:			
EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
LOCAL AREA NETWORK EQUIP	3080	1995	600
UPS EQUIPMENT	3080	1995	760
SECURITY EQUIPMENT	3080	1995	500
EXPANDABLE INTERGRATED SUPPORT EQUIPMENT (EISE)	3080	1995	10700

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO			PRECISION MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT LABORATORY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
3.59.96	218-868	TDKA923008	2,200		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRECISION MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT		SF	9,700	175	1,698
LABORATORY					265
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					(95)
UTILITIES		LS			(85)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(85)
PAVEMENTS		LS			1,963
SUBTOTAL					98
CONTINGENCY (5%)					2,061
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					124
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					2,185
TOTAL REQUEST					2,200
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					(500)
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)					
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation with vibration-damping floor slab, steel frame with masonry walls, roof system, all utilities and necessary support facilities. Air Conditioning: 35 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 9,700 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 5,080 SF PROJECT: Construct a new Precision Measurement Equipment Laboratory (PMEL). (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: A facility with environmental controls to assure rigid control of temperature, moisture and dust. This facility is required for field level maintenance, calibration and certification of precision measurement equipment for active duty and Air Force Reserve units stationed within the Peterson AFB, Falcon AFB, Cheyenne Mountain AFB, and numerous sites including the AFSPACECOM unit at Buckley ANGB. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing facility has had two additions, each with a separate HVAC system, and the facility is now unable to maintain the proper environment within acceptable limits. Configuration of the rooms does not allow efficient layout of existing equipment; and it will not accommodate the equipment which will be added in the next five years to support the AFMC new mission for systems development (Pacer Frontier) and new DoD missions at Falcon AFB. The space needed to house over \$500,000 of newly required equipment, on order to support new missions at Falcon AFB and Peterson AFB, does not exist in the present facility. In addition some existing equipment, such as the Radiac Unit (radioactive timing calibration), cannot be properly used due to inadequate space. The receiving and storage area is undersized; equipment and cases are stored in work areas. The inventory of items supported is projected to increase from 3,400 to 4,900 items. New mission requirements call for a fiber					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PRECISION MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT LABORATORY	TDKA923008	
<p>optics lab which will require an additional 1,000 SF. The equipment utilized is vibration sensitive, and it is not economically feasible to renovate the existing structure to the extent necessary to achieve the proper, vibration-free environment.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> With the increase in new items to be tested and the addition of new testing equipment, the PMEL's production quality and ability to perform accurate measurements will be impaired. Backlogs and work stoppages can result, ultimately causing an adverse effect on missions supported and loss of certification as a PMEL lab. Failure to calibrate equipment without proper lab standards will result in errors that will affect the ability to operate the missions without error.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facilities Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)		2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO			
4. PROJECT TITLE PRECISION MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT LABORATORY		5. PROJECT NUMBER TDKA923008	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a) Date Design Started			92 NOV 14
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93			35%
(c) Date 35% Designed			92 DEC 23
(d) Date Design Complete			93 AUG 01
(2) Basis:			
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -			NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -			N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):			(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications			130
(b) All Other Design Costs			70
(c) Total			200
(d) Contract			165
(e) In-house			35
(4) Construction Start			93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:			
EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
PRECISION MEASURING INSTRUMENTATION	3080	FY94	500

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO			4. PROJECT TITLE TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 9.12.12S	6. CATEGORY CODE 311-174	7. PROJECT NUMBER TDKA913001	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,430		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT FACILITY		SF	12,400	140	1,736
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					445
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT		LS			(40)
UTILITIES		LS			(265)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(55)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(85)
SUBTOTAL					2,181
CONTINGENCY (5%)					109
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,290
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					137
TOTAL REQUEST					2,427
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,430
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Energy-efficient, single-story, flat-roofed, masonry building with concrete foundation and floor. Metal stud interior walls, interior finishes, utilities, and wiring to support existing workstations. Air Conditioning: 35 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 12,400 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 10,000 SF PROJECT: Construct a test and evaluation support facility. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to support centralized management and supervision of all Joint Services operational test and evaluation (OT&E) programs in the Colorado Springs area. The facility will house 80 permanent party personnel (and the associated computer equipment) responsible for the new expanded mission of planning, conducting, and reporting all initial, qualification, and additional follow-on and joint operational test and evaluation for Air Force Space Command. The expanded testing will continue for the foreseeable future and serve other organizations, including the Consolidated Space Operations Center, NAVSTAR/Ground Positioning System Space and Control Segment, MILSTAR, Cheyenne Mountain Upgrade, and SDI support. In addition to the HQ AFOTEC conducted tests, Detachment 4 has assumed responsibility for test programs formerly conducted by the MAJCOMs. This rapid increase in the number of programs needing OT&E requires an efficient, adequate facility. CURRENT SITUATION: The detachment currently occupies a substandard, leased, temporary facility under a SAF/MIL waiver. This facility is energy inefficient, poorly configured, undersized, and fails to meet the needs of the OT&E function. Some of the personnel occupy leased office space as additional suitable space is unavailable on Peterson. The					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT FACILITY		TDKA913001
<p>current lease will expire Jan 1993, and new lease costs are expected to increase.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> HQ AFOTEC will expend valuable O&M dollars to continue to lease and maintain the temporary facility and the office space in a time of decreasing funding. Travel time between the two locations will reduce productivity and jeopardize test schedules that are based upon 100% work effort.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> The project meets the criteria specified in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT FACILITY	TDKA913001	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 OCT 01	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 01	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 JUN 18	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	145	
(b) All Other Design Costs	105	
(c) Total	250	
(d) Contract	200	
(e) In-house	50	
(4) Construction Start 93 DEC		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION UNITED STATES AIR FORCE ACADEMY, COLORADO				4. COMMAND UNITED STATES AIR FORCE ACADEMY				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.05			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		1110	1230	1632		4482	190				8,644
b. End FY 1998		1078	1164	1644		4182	190				8,258
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (54,296)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 326,420											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 22,260											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 11,680											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 10,900											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 84,700											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 455,960											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS			
CODE								START		CMPL	
211-111		ENHANCED FLIGHT SCREENER HANGARS		27,500 SF		3,800		SEP 92		AUG 93	
411-135		UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		11 EA		780		APR 92		DEC 93	
831-168		ADD TO AND ALTER WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT		LS		7,100		SEP 92		DEC 93	
TOTAL:						11,680					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)											
211-000		AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE		11,200 SF		2,250					
740-884		CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		25,100 SF		4,000					
821-117		REPLACE HEATING FACILITIES		22,350 MB		4,650					
TOTAL:						10,900					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
171-475		CONSTRUCT NEW CLASSROOM/ ADMIN BUILDING FOR PREP SCHOOL		18,845 SF		2,050					
171-853		RENOVATE USAF ACADEMY ACADEMIC TRAINING FACILITY, PHASE 3		156,765 SF		14,400					
211-111		REPLACE SAILPLANE HANGAR		15,200 SF		2,050					
610-284		RENOVATE MAJOR COMMAND HEADQUARTERS		60,000 SF		4,300					
841-425		POTABLE WATER TANK		2,000 KG		1,900					
10. Mission or Major Functions: Responsible for providing education and training for cadets to become Air Force officers and includes an Air Training Command flying training squadron with T-41 aircraft.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:										0	
b. Water pollution:										0	
c. Occupational safety and health:										0	
d. Other Environmental:										0	

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION UNITED STATES AIR FORCE ACADEMY, COLORADO			4. PROJECT TITLE ENHANCED FLIGHT SCREENER HANGARS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.47.48	211-111	XQPZ930029	3,800		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ENHANCED FLIGHT SCREENER HANGARS	SF	28,400	105	2,982	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				450	
UTILITIES	LS			(100)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(50)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(250)	
UNDERGROUND WASTE OIL TANK	LS			(50)	
SUBTOTAL				3,432	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				172	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,604	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				216	
TOTAL REQUEST				3,820	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,800	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete footings, foundation and floor slab, pre-cast concrete T-walls, insulated walls and roof, fire detection system, utilities, underground waste oil tank, asphalt paving and other necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 69,350 SF ADEQUATE: 40,950 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct hangars and required ramp for Enhanced Flight Screener aircraft. (New Mission) REQUIRE IT: Provide hangars to shelter Enhanced Flight Screener (EFS) aircraft (commercial specifications) from blowing sand, wind and hail damage as well as to perform necessary maintenance. The EFS program will implement new and additional types of sorties not possible with existing aircraft. Special features inherent with the EFS will require increased maintenance and, therefore, additional maintenance bays. The EFS aircraft will be used in a mandatory screening program for prospective pilots. Ramp space will be required for aircraft maneuvering and access to existing runways. CURRENT SITUATION: In 1993, the Academy will receive 56 new EFS aircraft to replace 50 T-41 aircraft through a commercial, off-the-shelf procurement by ATC. Current hangar space will shelter only 34 EFS aircraft due to dimensional and wing configuration differences compared with the T-41. The remaining aircraft will be stored outside, vulnerable to 65+ knot winds, sand, temperature extremes, and hail damage. Although similar commercial aircraft can withstand up to 3/4 inch hail, the Academy experiences hail greater than 3/4 inch approximately four times per year. Winds at the Academy exceed 50 knots on the average of 22 times per year. Within the Colorado Springs and Denver areas, all owners of similar aircraft hangar planes from this extreme environment or carry insurance to					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION UNITED STATES AIR FORCE ACADEMY, COLORADO		
4. PROJECT TITLE ENHANCED FLIGHT SCREENER HANGARS		5. PROJECT NUMBER XQPZ930029
<p>fix or replace the aircraft.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Without hangars, one hailstorm with greater than 3/4 inch hailstones will ground the 22 unsheltered EFS aircraft. If the aircraft are damaged and unavailable, proper screening and training cannot occur, which seriously impacts the mission. The EFS aircraft must be able to withstand up to six Gs; therefore, skin or structural damage from hail is a safety hazard and will require immediate repairs. If the damage is widespread, as in the case of a hailstorm, replacement of the wings and fuselage parts may be necessary.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> By making this purchase of off-the-shelf commercial aircraft, the Air Force will save between \$30 and \$50 million in development costs. Replacement value for the 22 EFS is \$4.4 million. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing alternatives of new construction, addition to existing hangars, hangaring at nearby Peterson AFB and status quo operation. The existing airfield configuration restricts any additions to the existing hangars, and Peterson AFB does not have additional hangar space available. Based on the new present value and benefits of the alternatives, status quo appears to be more cost effective. However, status quo will not support the current mission to screen cadets for pilot training. Also actual historical maintenance/repair costs on the EFS do not exist since it is a new aircraft and T-41 data are not applicable. Therefore, the analysis cannot accurately compare the two alternatives.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE ACADEMY, COLORADO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ENHANCED FLIGHT SCREENER HANGARS	XQPZ930029	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		228
(b) All Other Design Costs		124
(c) Total		352
(d) Contract		180
(e) In-house		172
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION UNITED STATES AIR FORCE ACADEMY, COLORADO			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.57.56A	831-168	XQP2940065	7,100		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AND ALTER WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT		LS			4,404
ADDITION		LS			(1,530)
ALTERATION		LS			(2,874)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					1,975
DEMOLITION		LS			(260)
PIPING		LS			(585)
EXTERIOR LIGHTING		LS			(80)
O&M MANUALS		LS			(125)
SITE WORK		LS			(925)
SUBTOTAL					6,379
CONTINGENCY (5%)					319
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					6,698
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					402
TOTAL REQUEST					7,100
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					7,100
10. Description of Proposed Construction: New construction includes headworks, primary clarifier, sludge thickener, primary effluent diversion structure, and operations building. Alterations include secondary treatment improvements, chlorine contact basin expansion, electrical system upgrade, and replacement of digester boiler/heat exchanger and equipment. Also includes start-up training and O&M manuals.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Add to and alter the existing wastewater treatment plant. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: This is a Level I environmental compliance project to correct plant deficiencies that prevent the plant from meeting the 30 ppm biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) discharge limit per NPDES permit. Also, this project will ensure that the plant meets the planned changes to the NPDES permit when renewed Mar 1993 and complies with additional State of Colorado requirements for irrigation using the plant's effluent. The effluent from the wastewater treatment plant is required to meet State of Colorado and Federal wastewater treatment and pollution standards.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The existing wastewater plant was designed in 1957 to process a 4.5M gallons/day peak flow of raw wastewater. However, the regular flow surges caused by the schedule of the cadets and the high associated wastewater strength make it difficult for the plant to adequately remove organic material from the wastewater. The waste leaving the cadet dining facility is extremely high in organic strength and is discharged over short periods of time. The average BOD entering the treatment plant during a maximum month loading situation when the cadets are present is nearly 600 ppm. The requirement of effluent BOD of 30 ppm has not been reliably met for many years. The condition of the plant's					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE ACADEMY, COLORADO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT	XQPZ940065	
<p>treatment units is poor. The plant's oxidation unit has not been in use for a number of years due to design deficiencies. The wastewater loading on the plant is higher than the plant's current treatment capacity. Upgrading the 34-year-old plant will allow it to meet current and projected permit limits. The Colorado Department of Health (CDH) will impose more stringent reuse standards, and the treatment plant must be upgraded to meet these requirements. Also, the Academy may need to divert a well-defined runoff stream that flows into the first of four non-potable storage reservoirs (sources of irrigation) around that reservoir in order to prevent the EPA from considering it a "Water of the US". The fourth reservoir in the Academy's system will be considered a Water of the US, and the EPA will require Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing (aquatic life) on treated effluent that is discharged to it. In the future, the plant might not be allowed to discharge into nearby Monument Creek in order not to exceed the standard for inorganic nitrogen in the creek.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The treatment plant currently does not comply with its existing NPDES discharge limits most of the time. As state and Federal wastewater pollution standards become more stringent (adoption of more stringent standards for irrigation and Waters of the US classification), the treatment plant will be in violation of even more discharge permit provisions. There also is a high potential for mechanical/electrical failure due to equipment age, which would result in further violation of permit limits or in a potentially illegal discharge into Monument Creek. A discharge into Monument Creek could result in pollution, incurring environmental violations/fines, and other actions.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There are no criteria for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." However, this project does meet the criteria specified in the Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements." An economic analysis has been prepared comparing alternatives of new construction, upgrading, sending waste to the City of Colorado Springs, and status quo operation. Based on the new present value and benefits of the respective alternatives, upgrading is more cost effective over the life of the project. From 1965 to 1971, several upgrades to the plant were completed. They included the addition of a primary and two final clarifiers, a primary digester, and an oxidation tank. The latest upgrade in 1987 added a flow equalization unit.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE ACADEMY, COLORADO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT	XQPZ940065	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 01
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		438
(b) All Other Design Costs		219
(c) Total		657
(d) Contract		438
(e) In-house		219
(4) Construction Start		94 APR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST			
DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE				AIR MOBILITY COMMAND				COST INDEX 1.02			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		380	3816	1258	64	414	61	2	17	1	6,013
b. End FY 1998		375	3601	1423	64	414	61	2	17	1	5,958
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (3,905)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 211,185											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 42,310											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 6,560											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 1,750											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 27,750											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 289,555											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY								COST	DESIGN	STATUS	
CODE	PROJECT TITLE			SCOPE				(\$000)	START	CMPL	
211-159	INSTALL EMISSION CONTROL DEVICES			LS				860	OCT 92	JUL 93	
721-312	DORMITORY (DBOF)			125 PN				3,200	AUG 92	AUG 93	
722-351	ADD TO AND ALTER DINING FACILITY (DBOF)			17,850 SF				2,500	JAN 93	NOV 93	
TOTAL:								6,560			
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)											
141-784	ADD TO AND ALTER AIR PASSENGER TERMINAL			LS				1,750			
TOTAL:								1,750			
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
130-142	FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION			14,500 SF				2,300			
610-121	VEHICLE OPERATIONS ADMIN			4,100 SF				800			
721-312	UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED HSC			350 PN				4,400			
721-312	UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED HSC			350 PN				9,000			
740-674	ADD TO AND ALTER PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER			19,600 SF				2,900			
10. Mission or Major Functions: An airlift wing which includes three C-5 squadrons; an Air Force Reserve C-5 associate airlift wing; and the largest aerial port on the east coast. Also, a joint military/civil use airfield.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:										0	
b. Water pollution:										0	
c. Occupational safety and health:										0	
d. Other Environmental:										0	

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE		4. PROJECT TITLE DORMITORY (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 4.18.96	6. CATEGORY CODE 721-312	7. PROJECT NUMBER FJXT943005	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 3,200	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
DORMITORY (DBOF)	SF	25,000	90	2,250
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				630
UTILITIES	LS			(165)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(165)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(115)
DEMOLITION	SF	30,900	6	(185)
SUBTOTAL				2,880
CONTINGENCY (5%)				144
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,024
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				181
TOTAL REQUEST				3,205
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,200
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slabs, masonry walls and roof. Includes room-bath-room modules, laundries, storage and lounge areas and all supporting facilities. Air Conditioning: 100 Tons. Grade Mix: 175 El-E4.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,787 PN ADEQUATE: 901 PN SUBSTANDARD: 1,624 PN PROJECT: Construct a dormitory. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective is to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform. CURRENT SITUATION: There are currently not enough adequate dormitories to meet the billeting requirements of unaccompanied enlisted personnel at this base. Substandard facilities to be replaced are semi-permanent, modular facilities which do not provide semi-private baths, adequate control of heating and air conditioning, sufficient noise attenuation or necessary amenities to adequately house enlisted personnel. This project is the fourth phase of a six phase program to provide adequate dormitories at this base. Current occupancy rate of dorms at Dover is 98 percent. Three substandard facilities which house 106 personnel will be disposed of upon completion of this project. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate living quarters will continue to be unavailable resulting in degradation of morale, productivity, and career satisfaction for unaccompanied enlisted personnel. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". A				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
DORMITORY (DBOF)	FJXT943005	
<p>life-cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, and new construction). This analysis indicates the new construction alternative is the most economical. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
DORMITORY (DBOF)	FJXT943005	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 12
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		352
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 JAN 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 23
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		192
(b) All Other Design Costs		208
(c) Total		400
(d) Contract		78
(e) In-house		322
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE			ADD TO AND ALTER DINING FACILITY (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.18.96	722-351	FJXT933000	2,500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AND ALTER DINING FACILITY (DBOF)		SF	15,950		1,738
ADDITION		SF	1,150	160	(184)
ALTERATION		SF	14,800	105	(1,554)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					420
UTILITIES		LS			(200)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(75)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(110)
ASBESTOS REMOVAL		LS			(35)
SUBTOTAL					2,158
CONTINGENCY (10%)					216
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,374
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					142
TOTAL REQUEST					2,516
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,500
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab and roof panels. All electrical, mechanical, and structural work necessary to reconfigure existing kitchen, serving area and dining area. Air Conditioning: 30 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 17,800 SF ADEQUATE: 1,850 SF SUBSTANDARD: 14,800 SF PROJECT: Add to and alter dining facility. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Adequate space is required for food preparation, serving line, dishwashing equipment, dining area, and storage of perishable and non-perishable food. CURRENT SITUATION: The dining hall activities are presently being conducted in a facility that is too small and not properly configured to support assigned and transient personnel. Inefficiencies include 17-year-old outdated serving line equipment, lack of adequate sanitation, and inadequately sized or configured dining and kitchen area which does not permit optimal use of existing space. The existing dining facility was constructed in 1975. Subsequent to this construction, sanitation standards have changed in kitchen washing and cleaning operations which cannot be met due to the design and equipment layout. Insufficient storage space for pots and pans has caused medical write-ups for sanitation discrepancies. Additional space is required for patron seating. Lack of adequate seating space and food serving line causes unnecessary delays for personnel who are on meal breaks from their jobs. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Dining hall operations will continue to be performed in a substandard and overcrowded facility. Morale of our personnel will continue to be adversely impacted if they are forced to take meals in such an inadequate and unattractive facility.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER DINING FACILITY (DBOF)	FJXT933000	
<p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". A life-cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, addition/alteration and new construction). This analysis indicates the addition/alteration alternative is the most economical. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER DINING FACILITY (DBOF)	FJXT933000	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 17
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 01
(d) Date Design Complete		93 NOV 22
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		150
(b) All Other Design Costs		100
(c) Total		250
(d) Contract		170
(e) In-house		80
(4) Construction Start		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. COMMAND						5. AREA CONST			
BOLLING AIR FORCE BASE, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		AIR FORCE DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON						COST INDEX			
								1.05			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		566	1517	978	392	390	80	210	1222		5,355
b. End FY 1998		559	1432	948	392	390	80	210	1222		5,233
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (607)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 241,941											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 9,400											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 2,000											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 0											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 36,000											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 289,341											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY							COST	DESIGN STATUS			
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE					(\$000)	START	CMPL		
740-884	ADD TO CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	16,200 SF					2,000	SEP 92	JUL 93		
TOTAL:						2,000					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE											
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
721-312 ALTER DORMITORIES		975 PN					4,000				
721-312 UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED HSC		200 PN					6,000				
724-415 REPLACE VISITORS QUARTERS		100 PN					3,500				
730-441 CONSOLIDATED SUPPORT CENTER		80,000 SF					15,000				
740-674 ADD TO AND ALTER PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER		17,000 SF					1,800				
10. Mission or Major Functions: Supports Air Force personnel in the National Capitol Region. Headquarters USAF functions include Chief of Chaplains, Surgeon General, and Historian; Headquarters Air Force Office of Special Investigation; Air Force Office of Scientific Research; Air Force Legal Services Agency; Air Force Medical Support Agency; USAF Band; and USAF Honor Guard. Major tenants include the Defense Intelligence Agency.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:		0									
b. Water pollution:		0									
c. Occupational safety and health:		0									
d. Other Environmental:		0									

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
AIR FORCE				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BOLLING AIR FORCE BASE, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)	
9.12.12S	740-884	BXUR870202	2.000	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	SF	16,200	105	1,701
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				115
UTILITIES	LS			(15)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(25)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(75)
SUBTOTAL				1,816
CONTINGENCY (5%)				91
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,907
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				114
TOTAL REQUEST				2,021
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,000
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, electrical, mechanical and ventilation system, and fire protection system. Area includes playrooms with bathrooms sized for both adults and children.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 30,000 SF ADEQUATE: 13,755 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Add to child development center. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: To provide adequate child care and preschool facilities for dependent children. CURRENT SITUATION: The capacity of the existing Child Development Center is limited to 208 children. The center currently has a waiting list of 350 children. Because of the large number of children, the center normally is filled to capacity early in the morning, causing parents in need of child care after this time to find other services in the civilian community. Because of space non-availability, implementation of the six-week through six-month-old infant program is being delayed indefinitely. Other programs, such as the summer youth program for school-age children and kindergarten programs, are being conducted in the Youth Center which is sacrificing youth activity programs. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Military personnel and their dependents must continue using less than adequate facilities and the waiting list will continue to grow. The six-week to six-month-old infant program will be delayed indefinitely. Youth center activities will continue to be hampered because of space being utilized by the Child Development Center. ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of status quo, expansion and new construction. Expansion will provide the additional space needed at the Child Development Center at the lower life cycle cost. Therefore, expansion was the recommended				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BOLLING AIR FORCE BASE, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	BXUR870202	
<p>alternative.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BOLLING AIR FORCE BASE, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	BXUR870202	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 02
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 JAN 01
(d) Date Design Complete		93 NOV 08
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		120
(b) All Other Design Costs		60
(c) Total		180
(d) Contract		120
(e) In-house		60
(4) Construction Start		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. COMMAND						5. AREA CONST		
CAPE CANAVERAL AIR FORCE STATION, FLORIDA		AIR FORCE SPACE COMMAND						COST INDEX 0.91		
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		115	171	185				18	138	627
b. End FY 1998		121	173	177				18	138	627
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (20,156)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 412,611										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 70,153										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 19,200										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 17,150										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 23,900										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 543,014										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START CMPL		
411-135		UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS		400		TURN KEY		
811-145		SLFI - BACKUP POWER		LS		2,500		SEP 92 AUG 93		
811-147		SLFI - BACKUP POWER		LS		800		AUG 92 AUG 93		
831-165		SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT		800 KG		11,900		OCT 91 SEP 93		
842-245		SLFI - UPGRADE WATER SUPPLY MAINS		LS		1,200				
880-233		SLFI - UPGRADE FIRE SYSTEM		LS		2,400		SEP 92 AUG 93		
TOTAL:						19,200				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
141-626		WEATHER STATION		33,300 SF		5,600				
219-944		CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY		6,000 SF		1,850				
610-811		DELTA CENTRALIZED FACILITY		25,000 SF		4,500				
812-224		UPGRADE POWER, SLC 36-A		LS		1,850				
890-272		SLFI - UPGRADE ENERGY MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL SYSTEM		LS		3,350				
TOTAL:						17,150				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
312-477		GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM RESEARCH FACILITY		20,000 SF		3,400				
371-000		LAUNCH TERMINAL SUPPORT FACILITY		100,000 SF		10,000				
442-758		HIGH VALUE ITEM STORAGE		25,000 SF		6,000				
831-165		SEWAGE TREATMENT & DISPOSAL		LS		4,000				
890-161		UTILITY SUPPORT BUILDING		LS		500				
10. Mission or Major Functions: A space launch squadron and space systems squadron which support operational and test launches of missiles, satellites, and space vehicles in equatorial and synchronous orbits. Also, supports interplanetary space activities, and major tenants such as NASA, and Army, Navy and Coast Guard units.										

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAPE CANAVERAL AIR FORCE STATION, FLORIDA				4. COMMAND AIR FORCE SPACE COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 0.91		
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of										
b. End FY										
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage:										
b. Inventory Total As Of:										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program:										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:										
g. Remaining Deficiency:										
h. Grand Total:										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution: 4,850										
b. Water pollution: 4,000										
c. Occupational safety and health: 0										
d. Other Environmental: 0										

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAPE CANAVERAL AIR FORCE STATION, FLORIDA			4. PROJECT TITLE SLFI - BACKUP POWER		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 3.51.82	6. CATEGORY CODE 811-145	7. PROJECT NUMBER DBEH953008	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
SLFI-BACKUP POWER	LS			2,055	
EMERGENCY GENERATORS (4-1000 KW EACH)	EA	4	220,000	(880)	
WEATHERPROOF FACILITY	SF	5,000	85	(425)	
HIGH VOLTAGE SWITCHGEAR	LS			(750)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				180	
FUEL STORAGE TANK	LS			(80)	
SITWORK	LS			(50)	
UTILITIES	LS			(50)	
SUBTOTAL				2,235	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				112	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,347	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				141	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,488	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,500	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: A 5,000 SF facility to match the existing architecture in the Range Operations Control Center (ROCC) complex. Four 1000 KW Class C emergency power generators, appropriate 13.2 KV switch gear, automatic transfer switch, adequate ventilation, fire protection, and supporting utilities as required.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Provide backup power to the Range Operations Control Center (ROCC). (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a Space Launch Facilities Infrastructure (SLFI) requirement. A reliable source of backup power to the Range Operations Control Center (ROCC) is required during critical phases of launch operations in the event that commercial power is interrupted for time periods which exceed the capability of the uninterruptible power supply (UPS) system. The ROCC monitors and manages trajectories, air traffic, communications, pre-launch check-out, and command-destruct decision making. CURRENT SITUATION: There is currently insufficient backup power for full operation of the Range Operations Control Center during commercial power outages. The current backup generator provides only 130 KW, while 4,430 KW is required to power all radar, communications and control equipment. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: In the event of a commercial power outage, critical testing and other pre-launch related operations must be aborted and rescheduled. Command destruct capability will be lost if power goes out during a launch. An out-of-control missile could impact on populated areas. ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". All known					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
CAPE CANAVERAL AIR FORCE STATION, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
SLFI - BACKUP POWER		DBEH953008
<p>alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
CAPE CANAVERAL AIR FORCE STATION, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
SLFI - BACKUP POWER	DBEH953008	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 23
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 14
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		150
(b) All Other Design Costs		75
(c) Total		225
(d) Contract		190
(e) In-house		35
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAPE CANAVERAL AIR FORCE STATION, FLORIDA			4. PROJECT TITLE SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 3.58.56	6. CATEGORY CODE 831-165	7. PROJECT NUMBER DBEH943005	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 11,900		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT	KC	800	6,290	5,032	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				5,655	
UTILITIES	LS			(145)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(120)	
DEMOLITION	LS			(295)	
WW COLLECTION SYSTEM	LS			(4,200)	
EPFLUENT DISPOSAL	LS			(740)	
START-UP, TRAINING, O&M MANUALS	LS			(155)	
SUBTOTAL				10,687	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				534	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				11,221	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				673	
TOTAL REQUEST				11,894	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				11,900	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: A centralized wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) and collection system for all service areas of CAPE CANAVERAL AFS (CCAFA). In addition, provide new lift stations, equalization basins, sewer mains, piping, pumps, valves, and all equipment necessary for a complete system. Demolition of existing inadequate plants.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 800 KG ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 709 KG PROJECT: Construct an 800,000 gallon per day wastewater treatment and collection system for processing sewage from the launch and service areas. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance requirement. An 800,000 gallon per day (CPD) sewage treatment plant is required to consolidate waste streams, reduce operation and maintenance costs, improve treatment efficiency, and improve the ability to monitor and comply with the state of Florida maximum contaminant levels for wastewater discharges. A modern, upgradable plant is needed to replace numerous small wastewater treatment and disposal systems which have outlived their 20 year design lives and are not adaptable to meet more stringent effluent standards. A collection system is needed to connect facilities to new central plants. A surface disposal system is needed to comply with state regulations prohibiting discharge of treated effluent into the Indian River lagoon system. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing wastewater management systems at CCAFA are comprised of 15 miles of gravity sewer and force main wastewater collection systems, 17 lift stations, 15 small WWTPs, 1 large WWTP, and 110 septic tanks. The average age of the WWTPs is 28 years and many of the manufacturers of the original systems are no longer in business to					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
CAPE CANAVERAL AIR FORCE STATION, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT	DBEH943005	
<p>supply replacement parts and equipment. The condition of these aged plants varies from fair condition to corroded, and they are in poor operating condition. Operational problems experienced with these plants include excessive corrosion, low percolation rates (resulting in noncompliance runoff), and uncontrolled cooling tower discharges. Transient populations (during launches) overload plant capacities to process wastewater, and discharge volumes exceed the ability of the percolation ponds to absorb the processed wastewater.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Operation of the existing systems will continue to tax CCAFS maintenance resources. In the likely event that effluent standards are made more stringent, these systems cannot be effectively upgraded to comply. Argonne Labs found conditions which could lead to discharges that may result in a Notice of Violation (NOV). In turn, this could result in fines and legal actions. Even under current requirements, continued mechanical wear and corrosion of equipment will inevitably lead to system failure, necessitating expensive repairs or replacement of the numerous individual systems. Continued current operations will result in overflow conditions and untreated releases at some time in the future.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
CAPE CANAVERAL AIR FORCE STATION, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT	DBEH943005	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 OCT 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 AUG 01
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 16
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		730
(b) All Other Design Costs		178
(c) Total		908
(d) Contract		820
(e) In-house		88
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAPE CANAVERAL AIR FORCE STATION, FLORIDA			4. PROJECT TITLE SLFI - UPGRADE WATER SUPPLY MAINS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 3.51.82	6. CATEGORY CODE 842-245	7. PROJECT NUMBER DBEH933002	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 1,200		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
SLFI-UPGRADE WATER SUPPLY MAINS	LS			852	
12 INCH LINES	LF	11,500	49	(564)	
8 INCH LINES	LF	7,000	39	(273)	
FIRE HYDRANTS	EA	5	3,000	(15)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				170	
ROAD CROSSINGS	LS			(20)	
CONNECTIONS	LS			(20)	
VALVES, VALVE BOXES	LS			(86)	
SITE WORK	LS			(50)	
SUBTOTAL				1,022	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				102	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,124	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				67	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,191	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,200	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade 4" and 6" water lines to 12" lines, replace deteriorated 8" line with same and install two fire hydrants. Install 8" lines and fire hydrants on aircraft parking apron. Install isolation and check valves, and pressure regulators as required. Restore property affected by construction.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 18,500 LF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 13,500 LF PROJECT: Replace undersized and deteriorated water mains and install fire hydrants at deficient locations. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a Space Launch Facilities Infrastructure (SLFI) requirement. The survey identified four areas with deficient water supply for fire protection: the Titan IV Integration, Transfer, and Launch (ITL) facility; the skidstrip aircraft ramp; the small payload processing facility; and the Mission Control radar facilities. Adequate fire protection is required to protect mission critical equipment and to comply with provisions of AFM 88-10 pertaining to aircraft ramps, which directs that hydrants are to be spaced no more than 300 feet apart, and all areas of the aircraft ramp are to be within 500 feet of a hydrant. CURRENT SITUATION: The Titan IV ITL area is fed by a ten inch and supplemental six inch water line. In the event of interruption to the ten inch line, the six inch line alone cannot provide an adequate supply of water for fire protection. Areas of the aircraft ramp are in violation of AFM 88-10. In the event of a fire, inadequate fire protection causes aircraft and contents worth millions to be exposed to loss. The small DoD payload processing facility and Mission Control radar facilities are not assured adequate fire suppression from even the single primary water supply due to deteriorated, undersized lines. A fire at one of these facilities could damage or destroy payloads being prepared for launch. In					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
CAPE CANAVERAL AIR FORCE STATION, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
SLPI - UPGRADE WATER SUPPLY MAINS	DBEH933002	
<p>addition to the economic loss, delays in placing payloads into orbit would also impact the mission.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Fire protection capabilities will be restricted. In the event of a fire, increased risk to personnel and damage to or loss of real property will result.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or AFM 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
CAPE CANAVERAL AIR FORCE STATION, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
SLFI - UPGRADE WATER SUPPLY MAINS	DBEH933002	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 14
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		62
(b) All Other Design Costs		50
(c) Total		112
(d) Contract		87
(e) In-house		25
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAPE CANAVERAL AIR FORCE STATION, FLORIDA		4. PROJECT TITLE SLFI - UPGRADE FIRE SYSTEM		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 3.51.82	6. CATEGORY CODE 880-233	7. PROJECT NUMBER DBEH943006	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,400	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
SLFI - UPGRADE FIRE SYSTEM	LS			1,900
AUTOMATIC SPRINKLER SYSTEM	SF	200,000	8	(1,600)
AUTO FIRE DETECTION SYSTEM	SF	150,000	2	(300)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				150
DEMOLITION	LS			(150)
SUBTOTAL				2,050
CONTINGENCY (10%)				205
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,255
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				135
TOTAL REQUEST				2,390
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,400
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Install a single integrated detection system with electronic control panels and communications links tied to the base alarm network. Install sprinkler fire protection systems. Includes demolition of portions of existing walls, floors, and ceilings to allow installation of water piping and sensor systems.				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Install fire detection and sprinkler systems in the Titan Launch Operations Control Center (LOCC) and the Vertical Integration Building (VIB). (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a Space Launch Facilities Infrastructure (SLFI) requirement. Fully operational fire detection and suppression systems are required to protect computer and electronic control rooms, rocket assembly, and shuttle payload assembly processes. These facilities, critical to both the Space Transportation System (STS) and Titan programs, come under the classification of facilities required by AFR 88-15 to have automated sprinkler and/or fire detection systems. CURRENT SITUATION: In some facilities no automatic sprinkler systems were installed, and in some facilities the existing systems have been disabled. Existing systems are piecemeal halon systems and should be replaced with non-ozone-depleting systems. Personnel are at risk in areas which do not have operational automatic sprinkler systems. Also, some facilities have no alarm systems, and most of those which do have them are not connected to the base alarm system. Damage to facilities and contents would be severe due to delayed response time, and even more damage would be incurred if the facilities are not occupied at the time of a fire. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: A fire in one of these facilities will significantly increase the risk of equipment or facility damage. A fire				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
CAPE CANAVERAL AIR FORCE STATION, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
SLFI - UPGRADE FIRE SYSTEM	DBEH943006	
<p>in the facility resulting in major loss or damage to the facility will cause operations to cease for both the STS and Titan programs.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAPE CANAVERAL AIR FORCE STATION, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE SLFI - UPGRADE FIRE SYSTEM		5. PROJECT NUMBER DBEH943006
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 14
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		86
(b) All Other Design Costs		72
(c) Total		158
(d) Contract		122
(e) In-house		36
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA				4. COMMAND AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 0.83		
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		1516	6541	3854						
b. End FY 1998		1529	6641	4106						12,276
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (456,873)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 468,282										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 24,110										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 69,050										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 0										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 52,500										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 613,942										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS				
CODE						START	CMPL			
121-122	UPGRADE HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	18,000	LF	4,550	SEP 92	SEP 93				
125-554	REPLACE POL PIPELINE	25,000	LF	3,300	OCT 92	OCT 93				
211-183	AIRCRAFT ENGINE TEST FACILITY	2	EA	1,600	JUL 92	SEP 93				
214-425	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE/WAREHOUSE FACILITIES	35,300	SF	2,600	JUL 92	JUN 93				
310-926	RENOVATE CLIMATIC TEST CHAMBER PHASE II	LS	57,000	JUL 91	JUN 93					
TOTAL:					69,050					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE										
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
141-753	COMBINED OPERATIONS/ MAINT FACILITIES	23,100	SF	5,600						
141-782	AIR FREIGHT/PASSENGER TERMINAL	11,900	SF	1,600						
315-237	ADD/ALTER AIRBORNE TEST FAC	68,900	SF	8,700						
851-147	REROUTE EGLIN BOULEVARD	LS	3,000							
890-000	UPGRADE STORM WATER CONTROL MEASURES	LS	1,200							
10. Mission or Major Functions: Air Force Development Test Center (primary aircraft include AT-38, F-15, F-16, F-111, RF-4, T-38, NC-130, and UH-1) and a test wing; Air Combat Command fighter wing with three F-15 squadrons and USAF Air Warfare Center (F-15 and F-16 aircraft); an Air Force Special Operations Command special operations squadron (HC-130 aircraft); and an Air Mobility Command airlift detachment (C-21 aircraft). Major tenants include US Navy's Explosive Ordnance Disposal School and a Federal Bureau of Prisons medium security facility.										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution: 6,000										
b. Water pollution: 1,200										
c. Occupational safety and health: 1,300										
d. Other Environmental: 3,400										

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA			4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 7.28.06	6. CATEGORY CODE 121-122	7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA913013	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 4,550		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UPGRADE HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM		LS			2,772
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					1,315
UTILITIES		LS			(445)
SITE IMPROVEMENT		LS			(150)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(180)
FUEL STORAGE TANK		BL	10,000	54	(540)
SUBTOTAL					4,087
CONTINGENCY (5%)					204
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					4,291
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					257
TOTAL REQUEST					4,548
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					4,550
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Install 18,200 LF of underground pipelines, with a 10,000 BBL fuel storage tank, a hydrant fueling system, fill stands and pumps, including utilities and other necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Upgrade a hydrant fueling system. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: Improve operational/wartime aircraft refueling capability. The ability to refill refueling vehicles on the flightline is required for refueling fighter aircraft. A hydrant refueling system is required to refuel large frame aircraft, i.e. KC-10, KC-135, C-5, C-141, on the parking apron. These aircraft pass through Eglin Air Force Base daily in support of numerous and various types of test projects performed at this base. This project is required to prevent refueling delays on both essential test mission aircraft and other transient aircraft.					
CURRENT SITUATION: Lack of apron refueling facilities force a manpower intensive operation that significantly reduces the effectiveness of the base's fuel delivery operations. In 1990, the base averaged 230 large frame aircraft servicings monthly, with a high of 570 servicings in March 1990. This constitutes an average of 10 percent of the truck workload with a high of 18 percent in March 1990. All large-frame aircraft are refueled using trucks, which takes about three hours per aircraft including time for travel between the flightline and the main base bulk fuel storage area. This method of operation requires an average of 30 one and one-half mile trips per day through the most congested area of the base. These trips result in approximately 23 hours of lost productivity daily and leads to extensive delays in fueling of both assigned and transient aircraft. Open road operation contributes to excessive vehicle					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM		5. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA913013
<p>downtime due to mechanical breakdowns and increases the potential for accidents.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Slow, manpower intensive operations will continue, with resultant wasted manpower and unacceptable delays to wing missions and base support of contingency operations.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, upgrade of the existing system was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	FTFA913013	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 SEP 20	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 01	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 SEP 30	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	198	
(b) All Other Design Costs	314	
(c) Total	512	
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house	512	
(4) Construction Start		
	93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA			REPLACE POL PIPELINE		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
7.80.56	125-554	FTFA953019	3,300		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
AIRCRAFT POL PIPELINE	LF	25,000	87	2,175	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				805	
UTILITIES	LS			(95)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(60)	
REMOVE EXISTING PIPELINE	LF	25,000	6	(150)	
CATHODIC PROTECTION SYSTEM	LF	25,000	12	(300)	
LEAK DETECTION SYSTEM	LF	25,000	8	(200)	
SUBTOTAL				2,900	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				149	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,129	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				188	
TOTAL REQUEST				3,317	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,300	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Install 25,000 feet of 8-inch POL pipeline, with cathodic protection, leak detection and dewatering systems, and necessary support. Remove existing pipeline.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
<u>PROJECT:</u> Replace a POL pipeline. (Current Mission)					
<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> This is a Level II environmental compliance project. An adequate POL pipeline is required to replace the existing deteriorated line that supplies JP-4 fuel from the main base area to a remote location used by the 33rd Fighter Wing. The JP-4 fuel is required for daily refueling of F-15 aircraft assigned to the 33rd Fighter Wing. Florida State Regulation 17.761.510 requires replacement of underground POL systems that were installed prior to 1970, which includes this pipeline.					
<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The existing aircraft fuel line was installed in 1957 and was required by Florida law to have been replaced by 1989. It is an eight-inch steel line wrapped in asphalt, but has no operating corrosion control or leak detection system. The 33rd Fighter Wing has daily flights from this field and relies on the pipeline to replenish its operating storage tanks. A major break in the pipeline in 1990 resulted in an expenditure of \$64,000 for initial cleanup, and will require additional cleanup expenditures under the Installation Restoration Program.					
<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Damage to the environment through fuel contamination of the soils and ground water will worsen. Continued use of this pipeline will potentially jeopardize the health of local inhabitants and interfere with fighter wing operations. The base will remain out of compliance with Florida law and will be subject to fines.					
<u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
REPLACE POL PIPELINE	FTFA953019	
<p>Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, replacement construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
REPLACE POL PIPELINE	FTFA953019	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 OCT 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 OCT 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		204
(b) All Other Design Costs		102
(c) Total		306
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		306
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA			4. PROJECT TITLE AIRCRAFT ENGINE TEST FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 7.28.06	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-183	7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA943027	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 1,600		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
AIRCRAFT ENGINE TEST FACILITY	EA	2	500,000	1,000	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				440	
UTILITIES	LS			(40)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(50)	
PAVEMENTS	SY	3,700	55	(205)	
MAINTENANCE SUPPORT FACILITY	SF	2,400	60	(145)	
SUBTOTAL				1,440	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				72	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,512	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				91	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,603	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,600	
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(6,100)	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete footings, floor slab, deflector walls and access pavements; utilities connections; and a pre-engineered maintenance support facility; includes site work and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 5 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 2 EA ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 2 EA PROJECT: Provide facility support for installation of two T-9 engine test cells. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: An aircraft engine test facility is required for testing jet engines used on specially modified aircraft which fly test and evaluation missions associated with the development of aircraft armaments, electronic combat systems, target acquisition and weapon delivery systems. The capability to perform operational runs, tests, and troubleshooting of 158 aircraft jet engines ranging from 2,800 to 30,000 pounds of thrust is required. This is in direct support of T-38, F-15, and F-16 fighter aircraft assigned to the 3246th Test Wing. These engines must be run in an enclosed facility to alleviate noise pollution as required by federal and state laws and to protect the on-base and off-base populace from the adverse effects of loud noises. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing test cells were constructed in the late 1960s and were designed to test engines in use during that era. The cells are badly deteriorated due to the combined effects of heat, water pressure, and vibration created during run-up of the more powerful modern engines. Structural deterioration, consisting of major structural cracks, severe spalling of concrete, and a defective rear thrust beam support, have made it necessary to reduce the maximum thrust limits for engine tests in both test cells. Over 75 major structural cracks, many of which					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE AIRCRAFT ENGINE TEST FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA943027	
<p>penetrate the 18 inch walls, have been identified in the exhaust systems of each cell. The extent of vibration damage to the rear thrust beam support in one cell made it necessary to cut back this support. In doing so, the thrust capacity for that cell was reduced from 35,000 pounds to 25,000 pounds for fear of catastrophic failure of this beam. Only our lowest rated engines can now run in this test cell. As tests of newer, more powerful engines continue, the test cells will continue to deteriorate and complete structural failure is likely.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The capability to operate and test aircraft engines on base could be completely lost due to total failure of both test cells. Test flights will be delayed, thereby impairing the timely development and production of superior weapon systems needed by the Air Force.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE AIRCRAFT ENGINE TEST FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA943027
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 10
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		96
(b) All Other Design Costs		32
(c) Total		128
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		128
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:		
EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED
		COST (\$000)
A/37T-9 TEST CELLS (2 EACH)	3010	94
CONTROL CABS AND EQUIPMENT	3010	94
		5100
		1000

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
AIR FORCE				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE	
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA			VEHICLE MAINTENANCE/WAREHOUSE FACILITIES	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)	
2.75.96C	214-425	FTFA933014	2.600	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE/WAREHOUSE FACILITIES	SF	21,500		1,583
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	SF	15,000	87	(1,305)
WAREHOUSE FACILITY	SF	5,000	45	(225)
HAZARDOUS WASTE STORAGE	SF	1,500	35	(53)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				750
UTILITIES	LS			(260)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(210)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(210)
VEHICLE WASHRACK	SF	2,500	28	(70)
SUBTOTAL				2,333
CONTINGENCY (5%)				117
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,450
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				147
TOTAL REQUEST				2,597
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,600
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, pre-engineered metal building, metal roof system, all pavements, utilities and necessary support. Includes vehicle maintenance and warehouse facilities with vehicle washrack. Air Conditioning: 20 Tons.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 21,700 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct vehicle maintenance and warehouse facilities. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Permanent facilities of adequate size and configuration are required to complete the final phase of moving the 728th Air Control Squadron (ACS) from Duke Field to Eglin AFB. Adequate facilities are required to maintain the squadron's vehicles and equipment in ready condition for world-wide deployment. This unit controls approximately 200 close air support and interception sorties per month in support of the 33rd Fighter Wing and provides radar surveillance essential to area security. CURRENT SITUATION: The 728 ACS's maintenance and warehouse functions are at Duke Field, remotely separated from command and operations functions, which are 25 miles away on the main base portion of Eglin AFB. All personnel support is provided on Eglin AFB proper, including billeting and full-time messing. The consolidation of the rest of the 728th ACS to Eglin was provided for by a FY 92 Military Construction project at Eglin. Existing maintenance and warehouse facilities at Duke Field are substandard in construction, configuration, interior and exterior finish, and geographical location. The best facility, a 33,000 square foot aircraft hanger, only offers 10,000 SF suitable to an ACS mission. Distance between facilities poses span of control problems. Unit morale				

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE/WAREHOUSE FACILITIES	5. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA933014	
<p>base exchange, and messing services. Particular hardships are imposed on young airmen without personal transportation to travel to and from dormitories and obtain meals. Required vehicle maintenance and warehouse support efforts are severely degraded due to the split locations. Excess maintenance, repair and utility dollars are being expended on substandard facilities.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> There will be an adverse impact on the mission readiness of the 728th ACS and their critical support of the 33rd Fighter Wing of Eglin AFB. Extreme geographical separation of the unit's maintenance and warehouse facilities from their command and operations facilities will cause delays in equipment maintenance. Personal hardships associated with having to work at a location separated from all personnel, training and quality of life support facilities will continue to degrade morale. Inadequate space in substandard facilities will continue to degrade the operational functions of essential vehicle maintenance.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements." An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE/WAREHOUSE FACILITIES		FTFA933014
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 06
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 21
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		120
(b) All Other Design Costs		90
(c) Total		210
(d) Contract		24
(e) In-house		186
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA			RENOVATE CLIMATIC TEST CHAMBER PHASE II		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
6.47.55	310-926	FTFA933026	57.000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
RENOVATE CLIMATIC TEST CHAMBER, PHASE II	SF	136,500	310	42,315	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				11,025	
UTILITIES	LS			(5,325)	
MONITORING AND CONTROL SYSTEM	LS			(1,945)	
FIRE PROTECTION	LS			(2,475)	
MISCELLANEOUS SUPPORT	LS			(795)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(220)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(265)	
SUBTOTAL				53,340	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				5,334	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				58,674	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				3,520	
TOTAL REQUEST				62,194	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				62,000	
LESS FY 93 AUTHORIZATION/APPROPRIATION				-5,000	
FY 94 AUTHORIZATION FOR APPROPRIATION REQUEST				57,000	
FY 94 APPROPRIATION REQUEST				57,000	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Renovate equipment and main test chambers including replacement of wall, ceiling and floor systems, access doors, electrical and monorail lift systems and provide AFFF fire protection system, elevators, etc; renovate engineering and work areas; provide new air make-up unit and monitoring and control system. Upgrade electric service and provide necessary support. Air Conditioning: 1000 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 140,800 LS ADEQUATE: 3,500 LS SUBSTANDARD: 136,500 LS PROJECT: Renovate a climatic test chamber, phase 2 of 2. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A facility is required for the complete environmental testing of aircraft, equipment and weapon systems to simulate the extreme weather conditions that may be encountered anywhere on earth. Performance, durability, reliability and operating parameters must be evaluated under all weather conditions, including rain, wind, fog, snow, ice and extreme heat or cold. The facility must have a make-up air system capable of maintaining temperature and humidity needed for climatic testing of Army, Navy and Air Force weapon systems and equipment. This is the final phase of a two-phased program to upgrade the climatic test hangar. CURRENT SITUATION: This facility was built over forty years ago during World War II and is currently the only facility in existence that performs the full scale environmental testing of the largest aircraft in the Air Force inventory. The extreme conditions created in the chamber are causing rapid and severe deterioration of the ceiling, wall, floor and duct systems. Deterioration of the vapor barrier causes severe icing conditions within the chamber, resulting in considerable hazards to					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE												
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA														
4. PROJECT TITLE RENOVATE CLIMATIC TEST CHAMBER PHASE II		5. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA933026												
<p>personnel and equipment. The electrical system has deteriorated to the point of being unsafe and no longer meets minimum code requirements. Band-aid repairs to this facility are no longer effective.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Climatic testing will have to be curtailed at this facility within a few years, making the reliability of much of the new equipment being developed for the US armed forces suspect.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, revitalization was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide", or in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>														
<p style="text-align: center;">AUTHORIZATION AND APPROPRIATION SUMMARY</p> <table> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>APPROVED BY CONGRESS <u>FY 93</u></th> <th>REQUESTED <u>FY 94</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>AUTHORIZATION OF THE PROJECT</td> <td>64.0M</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AUTHORIZATION FOR APPROPRIATION</td> <td>5.0M</td> <td>57.0M</td> </tr> <tr> <td>APPROPRIATION</td> <td>5.0M</td> <td>57.0M</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				APPROVED BY CONGRESS <u>FY 93</u>	REQUESTED <u>FY 94</u>	AUTHORIZATION OF THE PROJECT	64.0M	0	AUTHORIZATION FOR APPROPRIATION	5.0M	57.0M	APPROPRIATION	5.0M	57.0M
	APPROVED BY CONGRESS <u>FY 93</u>	REQUESTED <u>FY 94</u>												
AUTHORIZATION OF THE PROJECT	64.0M	0												
AUTHORIZATION FOR APPROPRIATION	5.0M	57.0M												
APPROPRIATION	5.0M	57.0M												

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
RENOVATE CLIMATIC TEST CHAMBER PHASE II	FTFA933026	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 JUL 26
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		80%
(c) Date 35% Designed		91 DEC 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 04
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		3540
(b) All Other Design Costs		2210
(c) Total		5750
(d) Contract		5590
(e) In-house		160
(4) Construction Start		
		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX		
EGLIN AUXILIARY FIELD NO 9, FLORIDA				COMMAND				0.83		
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		924	5039	472	28	77		589	491	73
b. End FY 1998		947	5247	464	28	81		589	491	73
		7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)								
a. Total Acreage: (6,634)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92)		112,839								
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:		0								
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:		7,829								
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995)		2,300								
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:		21,350								
g. Remaining Deficiency:		0								
h. Grand Total:		144,318								
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START	CMP	
721-312	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES			276 PN		4,479		JUL 92	JUL 93	
832-266	UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM			50,000 LF		1,750		JUN 92	AUG 93	
871-183	UPGRADE STORM SEWAGE SYSTEM			80,000 LF		1,600		JUN 92	AUG 93	
				TOTAL:		7,829				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
880-212	INSTALL FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM			53,200 SF		2,300				
				TOTAL:		2,300				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
130-835	ADD TO SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS			2,500 SF		540				
171-476	COMBAT ARMS TNG MAINTENANCE			16,000 SF		1,900				
411-135	JET FUEL STORAGE			20,000 BL		1,250				
721-312	ALTER UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED HSC			276 PN		9,000				
890-181	ALTER UTILITY LINE DUCTS			LS		560				
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters Special Operations Command; a special operations wing with five squadrons (AC-130 and MC-130 aircraft, and MH-53 and MH-60 helicopters); Air Force Special Operations School; Special Missions Operational Test and Evaluation Center; a special tactics group; and Air Combat Command air control and training groups.										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution:		0								
b. Water pollution:		0								
c. Occupational safety and health:		0								
d. Other Environmental:		0								

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
EGLIN AUXILIARY FIELD NO 9, FLORIDA			ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.18.96	721-312	FTEV913001	4,479		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (276 PN)	SF	58,000		3,020	
ALTERATION	SF	50,500	50	(2,525)	
ADDITION (BALCONIES)	SF	7,500	66	(495)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES		1		835	
UTILITIES	LS			(240)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(125)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(100)	
ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS			(100)	
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(270)	
SUBTOTAL				3,855	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				386	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,241	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)				233	
TOTAL REQUEST				4,474	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,479	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Addition of new balconies and exterior room entrances. Demolition of existing interior partitions. Renovation to include new room-bath-room modules, laundries, storage, lounge areas, asbestos removal, converting flat roof to sloped roof and necessary support.					
Air Conditioning: 140 Tons. Grade Mix: 195 E1-E4; 64 E5-E6; 17 E7-E9.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,864 PN ADEQUATE: 1,530 PN SUBSTANDARD: 492 PN					
PROJECT: Add to and alter dormitories. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective is to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation, and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters which provide some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The facilities to be upgraded were constructed in the 1950's. Inefficiencies include lack of privacy, inadequate lighting, poor insulation and sound attenuation, obsolete electrical and mechanical systems and central latrines. This project will upgrade two dorms and is the second phase of a three phase program to upgrade base dormitories. Current dorm occupancy rate at this base is 86 percent.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Substandard living conditions will persist and morale, productivity and career satisfaction of the enlisted force will continue to be degraded.					
ADDITIONAL: A life-cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project. The analysis indicates the renovation alternative is the most economical. This project meets the criteria scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190.					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AUXILIARY FIELD NO 9, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES		5. PROJECT NUMBER FTEV913001
<p>"Facility Planning and Design Guide". Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
EGLIN AUXILIARY FIELD NO 9, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES		FTEV913001
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 20
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 AUG 14
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		162
(b) All Other Design Costs		268
(c) Total		430
(d) Contract		271
(e) In-house		159
(4) Construction Start		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AUXILIARY FIELD NO 9, FLORIDA			4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE SANITARY SEWAGE SYSTEM		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 4.18.56		6. CATEGORY CODE 832-266		7. PROJECT NUMBER FTEV943021	
				8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 1,750	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWAGE SYSTEM		LF	50,000	21	1,050
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					450
SITE IMPROVEMENTS/PAVEMENTS		LS			(450)
SUBTOTAL					1,500
CONTINGENCY (10%)					150
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,650
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					99
TOTAL REQUEST					1,749
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,750
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Excavate and remove vitrious clay pipe and replace with PVC pipe, repair/replace manholes. Includes pavement repair and support as required.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Upgrade sanitary sewage system. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: This is a Level I environmental compliance project, required to bring the existing sanitary sewage system into compliance with current state and federal environmental regulations. It will eliminate excessive infiltration/inflow to prevent hydraulic overloading in the sanitary sewage collection system.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The base sanitary sewer system is antiquated and unable to meet the current environmental compliance requirements. Constant sewage line leaks, problems associated with excessive infiltration/inflow to the existing sewage treatment plant, and hydraulic overloading have resulted in Hurlburt Field exceeding operating permit limits. Even with the new sewage treatment plant in the FY90 MILCON program, hydraulic overloading will still occur and cause continuous violations of state discharge permit limits. This project corrects violations of Chapter 17-600.42, 17-600.500 and 17-600.530 of the Florida Administrative Code and Chapter 403.088 of the Florida Statutes.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The sanitary sewage system will continue to deteriorate, thus increasing the amount of excessive infiltration/inflow to the existing and proposed sewage treatment plant. This will expose the Air Force and DOD to fines and possible litigation.					
ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2,					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
EGLIN AUXILIARY FIELD NO 9, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWAGE SYSTEM	FTEV943021	
<p>"Standard Facility Requirements". Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
EGLIN AUXILIARY FIELD NO 9, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWAGE SYSTEM	FTEV943021	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUN 10
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 AUG 14
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		84
(b) All Other Design Costs		56
(c) Total		140
(d) Contract		35
(e) In-house		105
(4) Construction Start		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
EGLIN AUXILIARY FIELD NO 9, FLORIDA			UPGRADE STORM SEWAGE SYSTEM		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.18.56	871-183	FTEV943020	1,600		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UPGRADE STORM SEWAGE SYSTEM	LF	80,000	15	1,200	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				160	
UTILITIES RELOCATION	LS			(100)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(60)	
SUBTOTAL				1,360	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				136	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,496	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				90	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,586	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,600	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reshape drainage ditches, regrade, install curbs, gutters and construct check dam. Includes relocation of utilities, pavement repair and support as required.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
<u>PROJECT:</u> Upgrade storm sewage system. (Current Mission)					
<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> This is a Level I environmental compliance project, required to bring the existing storm water drainage system into compliance with current state and federal environmental regulations. This project will upgrade stormwater drainage systems for compliance with State of Florida Department of Environmental Regulations standards as well as provide spill contingency check dam locations in accordance with the Clean Water Act.					
<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Existing stormwater drainage system is out of compliance with Chapter 17-25 of the Florida Administrative Code and Chapter 403 of the Florida Statutes. The deteriorated storm sewer network contributes to sewage plant overload because many of the sanitary sewers are located in low-lying areas with poor drainage. Ponding storm water promotes excessive infiltration/inflow in the sanitary system contributing to hydraulic overload to the sewage treatment plant. Letters of non-compliance have been issued by the State of Florida Department of Environmental Regulation because the storm water drainage system is out of compliance.					
<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The storm water disposal system will continue to deteriorate, thus increasing the amount of excessive infiltration/inflow to the existing and proposed sewage treatment plant which is included in the FY90 MILCON program. This will expose the Air Force and DOD to fines and possible litigation.					
<u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
EGLIN AUXILIARY FIELD NO 9, FLORIDA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE STORM SEWAGE SYSTEM		FTEV943020	
<p>Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>			

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
EGLIN AUXILIARY FIELD NO 9, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE STORM SEWAGE SYSTEM	FTEV943020	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUN 10
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 AUG 14
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		76
(b) All Other Design Costs		52
(c) Total		128
(d) Contract		35
(e) In-house		93
(4) Construction Start		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST			
PATRICK AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA				AIR FORCE				COST INDEX			
				SPACE COMMAND				0.91			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		473	2317	1054				33	531	15	4,423
b. End FY 1998		462	1704	960				33	531	15	3,705
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (2,341)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 148,294											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 7,700											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 3,850											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 2,200											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 39,700											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 201,744											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS			
CODE								START		CMPL	
211-111		ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGAR		65,155 SF		2,000		AUG 92		JUL 93	
411-135		UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		44 EA		1,850					
				TOTAL:		3,850					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)											
149-962		CONTROL TOWER		5,300 SF		2,200					
				TOTAL:		2,200					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
141-783		PASSENGER TERMINAL & BASE OPERATIONS		48,400 SF		9,800					
214-425		VEHICLE MAINTENANCE/MOTOR POOL FACILITY		42,000 SF		6,200					
219-944		BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX		43,400 SF		4,700					
442-758		BASE SUPPLY/TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT COMPLEX		166,000 SF		12,000					
721-312		UPGRADE DORMITORIES		568 PN		3,000					
10. Mission or Major Functions: A space wing; the Air Force Technical Applications Center; two Air Mobility Command air rescue squadrons (HH-3 helicopters and HC-130 aircraft); and an Air Combat Command combat communications group. Also, the temporary beddown location for the Air Force Reserve air rescue squadron (MH-60 helicopters) from Homestead AFB, FL. Major tenants include the DOD Equal Opportunity Management Institute and a State Department aviation unit.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:		0									
b. Water pollution:		4,000									
c. Occupational safety and health:		2,200									
d. Other Environmental:		0									

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
PATRICK AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA			ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGAR		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.41.02	211-111	SXHT933095	2,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGAR	SF	65,000	23	1,495	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				240	
UTILITIES	LS			(165)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(50)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(25)	
SUBTOTAL				1,735	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				174	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,909	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				115	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,024	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,000	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: All electrical, mechanical and structural work necessary to alter hangar. Alter space for NDI, PMEL, avionics shops, aircraft maintenance and transient alert. Includes altering hangar door to accommodate tail section of HC-130 aircraft and necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 65,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 65,000 SF PROJECT: Alter maintenance hangar. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: Hangar alterations are required to reconfigure existing maintenance hangar to support the beddown of HC-130 and MH-60G aircraft which operate in support of aerospace rescue and recovery operations. Adequate space is required for Non Destructive Inspection (NDI), Precision Measurement Equipment Laboratory (PMEL), avionics shops and transient alert operations. The hangar doors must be altered to allow the HC-130 aircraft to be fully enclosed to allow safe aircraft lifting operations out of the influence of the local gusty winds. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing aircraft maintenance hangar is not properly configured to support beddown of the HC-130 and MH-60G aircraft. The facility currently supports five HH-3 aircraft. Space is provided for NDI, PMEL, avionics, fabrication shops, life support, engine shop and transient alert. Reconfiguration is required to provide hangar space for five HC-130 aircraft and six MH-60G aircraft. The HC-130 aircraft are new aircraft while the MH-60G aircraft replace the HH-3's. In order to provide the space necessary for reconfiguration, the fabrication branch, life support and engine shop operations will be relocated to an adjacent facility. This vacated space will then be reconfigured to provide space for the newly assigned HC-130 and the MH-60G aircraft. Reconfiguration of existing maintenance space and alteration of the hangar doors will provide					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
PATRICK AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGAR		SXHT933095
<p>an adequate maintenance hangar to support the beddown of the HC-130 and MH-60G aircraft.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Lack of an adequate maintenance facility will decrease air rescue's ability to communicate, locate and navigate to perform their assigned mission in support of the space shuttle and other high national interest missions.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation and new construction) was done. It indicates that renovation is the only option that satisfies mission beddown construction requirements. Therefore a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
PATRICK AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGAR	SXHT933095	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 28
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 12
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 14
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		30
(b) All Other Design Costs		10
(c) Total		40
(d) Contract		30
(e) In-house		10
(4) Construction Start		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PATRICK AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA			4. PROJECT TITLE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 3.58.56	6. CATEGORY CODE 411-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER SXHT933004	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 1,850		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			515
REMOVE REGULATED USTS		EA	8	4,380	(35)
INSTALL REG REPLACEMENT ASTS		EA	8	15,000	(120)
UPGRADE REGULATED ASTS		EA	15	24,000	(360)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					1,145
SITE ASSESSMENTS AND REMEDIATION		LS			(150)
BASEWIDE LEAK DETEC MONITORING SYS		LS			(995)
SUBTOTAL					1,660
CONTINGENCY (5%)					83
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,743
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					105
TOTAL REQUEST					1,848
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,850
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Provides for removal of 8 underground fuel storage tanks (USTs); installation of 8 aboveground storage tanks (ASTs); and upgrades 15 aboveground storage tanks.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. <u>PROJECT:</u> Remove and replace, or upgrade fuel storage tanks. (Current Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> This is a Level I environmental compliance requirement. Fuel storage tanks must be in compliance with State and Federal environmental regulations and Air Force policy. These include Florida Department of Environmental Regulation (FDER), Ch. 17-761 and 17-762, Federal regulations 40 CFR 280, and Air Force UST Management Strategy. Thirteen USTs to be removed are subject to the following deadlines: tank replacement, 5 ea, Dec 1995 (FDER 17-761.510(6) Table 1, installed 1978, R required); secondary containment, interstitial monitoring, double-walled piping, and overflow protection, 4 ea, Dec 1998 (FDER 17-761.510(6) Table 1, installed 1981-1984, R,C,P, & L required). ASTs to be upgraded are regulated by 17-762.600(5) and are subject to a Dec 1993 deadline for release detection for integral piping. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Thirteen UST systems are regulated and are subject to 1995 and 1998 FDER UST deadlines. Fifteen AST systems are regulated and subject to 1993 FDER AST regulations. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Compliance with Florida and federal regulations will not be achieved. Noncompliance can result in fines, closure of facilities, or possibly criminal prosecution. Tanks will continue to deteriorate, further increasing risks of leaks and spills. USTs which have been leaking will continue to release pollutants to soil and groundwater, increasing future cleanup costs.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
PATRICK AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	SXHT933004	
<p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
PATRICK AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	SXHT933004	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Project to be accomplished by one step turn key procedures		
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Design Allowance	92	
(4) Construction Start	93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX		
TYNDALL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA				AIR COMBAT COMMAND				0.86		
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		789	3838	951	143	167		7	3	27
b. End FY 1998		754	3722	964	143	167		7	3	27
		TOTAL								
		5,925								
		5,787								
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (28,906)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 225,507										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 9,350										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 2,600										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 2,500										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 11,050										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 251,007										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START	CMPL	
442-758	BASE SUPPLY LOGISTICS CENTER			21,400	SP	2,600		MAY 87	JUN 89	
				TOTAL:		2,600				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
149-962	CONTROL TOWER			1	EA	2,500				
				TOTAL:		2,500				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
219-944	BASE MAINTENANCE SHOP			70,000	SP	4,750				
610-281	ALTER MOBILITY FACILITY			34,535	SP	1,500				
724-417	VISITING OFFICERS QUARTERS			80	PN	3,550				
841-427	ELEVATED WATER TANK			500	KG	1,250				
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters First Air Force; a fighter wing with three F-15 squadrons which is responsible for training all F-15 aircrews, a weapons evaluation group, and a tactical aerial targets squadron (QF-106 aircraft); Southwest Air Defense Sector; the Air Force Civil Engineering Support Agency; and an Air National Guard fighter interceptor detachment (F-16 aircraft). Also, the temporary beddown location for the Air Training Command Water Survival School.										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution: 0										
b. Water pollution: 800										
c. Occupational safety and health: 0										
d. Other Environmental: 0										

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TYNDALL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA,		4. PROJECT TITLE BASE SUPPLY LOGISTICS CENTER		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 2.75.96C	6. CATEGORY CODE 442-758	7. PROJECT NUMBER XLWU883012	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,600	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE SUPPLY LOGISTICS CENTER	SF	21,400	92	1,969
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				385
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(80)
UTILITIES	LS			(100)
PAVEMENTS	SY	2,350	45	(105)
DEMOLITION (INCLUDING ASBESTOS)	SF	20,000	5	(100)
SUBTOTAL				2,354
CONTINGENCY (5%)				118
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,472
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				148
TOTAL REQUEST				2,620
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,600
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete slab floor, steel frame, masonry walls, two story framing and roof system. Work includes all utilities, interior finishes, parking, and landscaping. Air Conditioning: 55 Tons.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 21,400 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 16,740 SF PROJECT: Construct a base supply logistics center. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A facility of adequate size and configuration is required for the efficient management of base supply operations and assets in order to support base and flying missions. Associated functions include stock control, requisitions, management systems, funds, supply readiness, material management, demand processing unit and squadron administration. This is Phase I of a three-phase program and constructs a base supply support and management facility. Phase II is for warehouse space and is programmed in FY 96 at \$3.2 million; and Phase III is hazardous material warehouse space and is programmed in FY 97 at \$2.0 million. CURRENT SITUATION: The widespread asbestos contamination in the existing base supply logistics facility presents a serious potential health hazard to employees and customers. This WWII wood-frame building constructed on-slab has been rehabilitated several times over the past 48 years. Through these progressions of upgrades, the attic space has become littered with air cell asbestos pipe insulation debris. The fiber release of the discarded asbestos insulation has contaminated the entire attic space. The contamination has been confined to this space and all routine maintenance work in this facility has been suspended for the last three years. Due to the suspension of normal maintenance and repair, antiquated lighting and electrical power systems cannot be upgraded. The electrical system is in violation of national electrical codes. Computer and				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
TYNDALL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA,		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE SUPPLY LOGISTICS CENTER		XLWU883012
<p>communication systems cannot be expanded or connected to other facilities without routing dedicated cables through the attic space. This limitation prevents the installation of a computer network to span the physical separation of supply functions and reduce the current operational inefficiencies. The facility is not equipped with a fire sprinkler system nor does it have any fire wall partitions. These fire deficiencies in this wood-frame building pose a serious fire hazard. The wood construction has been plagued with termites over the years; however, routine inspections and corrective measures have been only partially successful. Unfortunately there are some areas that are impossible to inspect and, with the building having undergone severe settling over the years, the structural integrity is suspect. This project will demolish one facility (20,034 square feet) which is in the way of construction.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> People working in this facility will continue to be exposed to the risks of fire and asbestos. Inefficiencies associated with the condition and layout of the existing facility will remain unsolved.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
TYNDALL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA,		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE SUPPLY LOGISTICS CENTER	XLWU883012	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		87 MAY 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		100%
(c) Date 35% Designed		87 MAY 21
(d) Date Design Complete		89 JUN 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		478
(b) All Other Design Costs		305
(c) Total		783
(d) Contract		497
(e) In-house		286
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE	
AIR FORCE									
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST	
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA				AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND				COST INDEX 0.77	
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT		STUDENTS		SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		754	3150	13599					
b. End FY 1998		725	3025	11313					
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)									
a. Total Acreage: (8,720)									
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 466,871									
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 61,720									
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 43,370									
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 27,950									
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 97,120									
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0									
h. Grand Total: 697,031									
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994									
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS	
CODE								START	CMPL
141-000	JSTARS ADD TO AND ALTER OPERATIONS COMPLEX		45,000 SF		4,100	SEP 92	APR 93		
141-753	JSTARS SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AMU		61,000 SF		7,500	SEP 92	MAR 93		
211-111	JSTARS ADD TO AND ALTER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX		110,000 SF		9,300	SEP 92	APR 93		
218-712	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PAINT FACILITY		3,600 SF		970	SEP 92	JUN 93		
610-711	ADD TO AND ALTER LOGISTICAL SYSTEMS OPERATIONS CENTER		23,000 SF		3,000	SEP 92	SEP 93		
721-312	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)		272 PN		4,300	AUG 92	SEP 93		
826-123	JSTARS ADD TO AND ALTER UTILITIES		LS		3,500	DEC 92	AUG 93		
831-155	UPGRADE INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL PLANTS		LS		10,700	MAY 92	JUN 93		
TOTAL:						43,370			
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)									
610-127	BASE ENGINEER COMPLEX, PHASE II		29,500 SF		3,650				
610-285	JSTARS ADD TO INTEGRATED SUPPORT FACILITY		14,000 SF		3,100				
610-675	ALTER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT CENTER, PHASE II		370,000 SF		4,200				
721-312	J-STARS DORMITORY		288 PN		5,525				
723-388	JSTARS EXPANDED FLIGHT KITCHEN		8,300 SF		1,850				
813-231	UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		LS		3,800				
871-183	UPGRADE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM		LS		2,000				
900-000	JSTARS UTILITIES/MISCELLANEOUS SUPPORT		LS		3,825				
TOTAL:						27,950			

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)								2. DATE	
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION						4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST	
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA						AIR FORCE				COST INDEX	
						MATERIEL COMMAND				0.77	
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of											
b. End FY											
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage:											
b. Inventory Total As Of:											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program:											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:											
g. Remaining Deficiency:											
h. Grand Total:											
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
211-154		AIRCRAFT ORGANIZATIONAL MAINT					90,000 SF		7,000		
211-173		LARGE ACFT MAINTENANCE DOCK					34,200 SF		2,700		
211-179		FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK					35,000 SF		6,870		
422-256		AIM-120 MISSILE SUPPORT					6,600 SF		1,200		
		FACILITIES									
442-758		MOBILIZATION/MAINTENANCE ISSUE					70,000 SF		4,700		
		FACILITY									
10. Mission or Major Functions: Warner Robins Air Logistics Center which is responsible for logistics management, support, & depot-level maintenance of F-15, C-130, & C-141 aircraft, helicopters; tactical missiles, & avionics & electronic warfare systems; HQ AFRES; AMC air refueling wing with two KC-135 squadrons; ACC combat communications group; & an Air Force Space Command missile warning squadron which operates one of the Phased Array Warning System (Pave PAWS) radars. Also, the main operating base for the Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar System (JSTARS) aircraft.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:										6,000	
b. Water pollution:										4,000	
c. Occupational safety and health:										0	
d. Other Environmental:										0	

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA			JSTARS ADD TO AND ALTER OPERATIONS COMPLEX		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
6.47.70 TIARA	141-000	UHHZ943033	4,100		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
JSTARS ADD TO AND ALTER OPERATIONS COMPLEX		SF	44,900		2,910
WING HEADQUARTERS ALTERATION		SF	12,000	58	(696)
WING HEADQUARTERS ADDITION		SF	6,200	105	(651)
FIELD TRAINING ALTERATION		SF	10,800	24	(259)
FIELD TRAINING ADDITION		SF	3,000	82	(246)
CONSTRUCT LIFE SUPPORT FACILITY		SF	12,900	82	(1,058)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					625
UTILITIES /SITE PREPARATION/PAVEMENTS		LS			(385)
SPECIAL FOUNDATIONS AND PILINGS		LS			(240)
SUBTOTAL					3,535
CONTINGENCY (10%)					354
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					3,889
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					233
TOTAL REQUEST					4,122
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					4,100
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construction includes reinforced concrete footings, floor slab and foundations, insulated maintenance free exterior walls, utilities, site improvements and necessary support. Alteration includes upgraded HVAC, electrical, fire detection, and security systems. <u>Air Conditioning: 110 Tons.</u>					
11. REQUIREMENT: 68,800 SF ADEQUATE: 23,000 SF SUBSTANDARD: 54,000 SF <u>PROJECT:</u> Add to and alter the Wing Headquarters facility and a field training detachment (FTD) facility. Construct a life support facility. (New Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Management space, a command post, and space for support functions associated with the Joint Surveillance Target Attack Radar System (STARS) mission are required for the Wing Commander, the Operations Group Commander, and their staffs. Additional space is required in the FTD facility to provide technical instruction, mobile training sets, initial qualification training, and continuation training for Joint STARS maintenance personnel. The facility must be configured to accommodate the Computer Based Instructional Training System (CBITS). The Life Support Facility is required for storing and maintaining aircrew life support systems. A workshop area is required for servicing of equipment, and a logistics area is required for supervision, records and equipment distribution. Most of the facility will be used for controlled storage areas. All alteration and construction work associated with this project must be complete prior to the first aircraft arrival in January 1996. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> All available management and training facilities are being fully utilized by other missions; thus they cannot accommodate Joint STARS without being expanded. No administrative facility is large enough					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
JSTARS ADD TO AND ALTER OPERATIONS COMPLEX	UHHZ943033	
<p>or configured properly to accept the new headquarters function and meet TEMPEST security requirements. Likewise, the existing FTD facility cannot accommodate the complexity and size of Joint STARS mobile training sets/training aids, special tools, test equipment and training accessories in addition to their current training workload generated by KC-135 aircraft. A raised floor is required for the training modules, and the existing facility will not accommodate raised flooring. The KC-135 life support function is housed in a corner of the supply warehouse. Site constraints prohibit expansion of that portion of the building, plus the warehouse space is needed to meet the increased supply demands of the Joint STARS mission. The space vacated by the existing life support shop will be converted back to warehouse space in an FY 94 "JSTARS Add to and Alter Maintenance Complex" (UHHZ943032). No other base facility is available for modification to meet the requirement of the Joint STARS/KC-135 life support functions.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Failure to accomplish any portion of this project will result in the inability to support Joint STARS at this base and will adversely affect the combat mission capability of the Air Force, Army, and Allied units.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements." Joint STARS is an Air Force/Army system for real time detection, tracking, and attack of moving and stationary ground targets. The system will consist of an airborne segment on board E-8C configured aircraft and a mobile ground communication segment. All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
JSTARS ADD TO AND ALTER OPERATIONS COMPLEX	UHH2943033	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 30
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 APR 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		251
(b) All Other Design Costs		103
(c) Total		354
(d) Contract		251
(e) In-house		103
(4) Construction Start		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA			JSTARS SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ AMU		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT		6. CATEGORY CODE		7. PROJECT NUMBER	
6.47.70 TIARA		141-753		UHHZ943031	
				8. PROJECT COST(\$000)	
				7,500	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
JSTARS SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ AMU		SP	61,200		5,387
SQUADRON OPERATIONS		SP	43,000	91	(3,913)
AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT		SP	16,000	81	(1,296)
RELOCATE T-37 OPERATIONS/MAINT FAC		SP	2,200	81	(178)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					1,345
UTILITIES		LS			(295)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(425)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(195)
SPECIAL FOUNDATIONS		LS			(430)
SUBTOTAL					6,732
CONTINGENCY (5%)					337
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					7,069
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					424
TOTAL REQUEST					7,493
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					7,500
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete footings, foundations, and floor slab, masonry exterior walls with maintenance free exterior surfaces, multi-story structural steel frame, sloped roof, electric security system, landscaping, connection to central chilled water plant, and all other utilities and necessary support to provide a complete and usable facility. Includes 1,950 SF vault for squadron operations. Air Conditioning: 340 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. <u>PROJECT:</u> Construct a squadron operations/AMU facility and a T-37 operations and maintenance facility. (New Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> This squadron operations/AMU facility is required to support the first of two Joint Surveillance Target Attack Radar System (STARS) squadrons to be assigned to this base. The facility should be located adjacent to the flightline to facilitate maintenance of JSTARS aircraft. The squadron operations facility is required for flight planning, briefing and critique of combat crews and to direct flight operations. It should be located with its respective maintenance unit. The AMU is required to perform maintenance on the various E-8C airframe systems and should be located adjacent to the flightline to optimize maintenance effectiveness. In addition, a training room is required for ongoing training of maintenance crews. Due to site constraints, the squadron operations facility and part of the AMU must be multi-story construction. An operations and maintenance facility is also required to support the T-37 Accelerated Copilot Enrichment (ACE) training program. The existing facility will be displaced by the FY 93 JSTARS apron expansion. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> There are no adequate facilities available for use as a squadron operations or AMU facility at this installation. Existing					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE JSTARS SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ AMU		5. PROJECT NUMBER UHHZ943031
<p>squadron operations facilities are being used by the KC-135 squadrons and are not large enough for the unique requirements of the JSTARS mission. The JSTARS crew consists of 22 personnel per aircraft versus four per KC-135; thus, a larger squadron operations facility is required. Existing AMU facilities are also fully utilized by existing maintenance operations. Currently, there are four T-37 aircraft assigned to support copilot training for the 19th Air Refueling Wing. The aircraft operations and maintenance facility for the T-37s is being displaced by the FY 93 project, "JSTARS Aircraft Parking Apron," because the current T-37 facility is located on the only area available for ramp expansion to support the Joint STARS beddown.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Squadron operations, and aircraft maintenance functions associated with the E-8C aircraft cannot be implemented effectively until this project is completed.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. Joint STARS is an Air Force/Army program for real time detection, tracking, and attack on moving and stationary enemy ground targets. The system will consist of an airborne segment on board an E-8C configured aircraft and a mobile ground communication segment.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
JSTARS SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ AMU		UHHZ943031
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 30
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 MAR 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		195
(b) All Other Design Costs		84
(c) Total		279
(d) Contract		195
(e) In-house		84
(4) Construction Start		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA			JSTARS ADD TO AND ALTER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT		6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)	
6.47.70 TIARA		211-111	UHHZ943032	9,300	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
JSTARS ADD TO AND ALTER MAINT COMPLEX					6,484
CONSTRUCT MULTIPURPOSE HANGAR		SF	51,500	100	(5,150)
ALTER AIRCRAFT PARTS STORE & WAREHOUSE		SF	40,000	9	(360)
CONST VAULT IN PARTS STORE/WAREHOUSE		SF	3,000	100	(300)
ALTER ENVIRONMENTAL TEST FACILITY		SF	4,600	50	(230)
ALTER AVIONICS & MAINTENANCE FACILITY		SF	11,100	40	(444)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					1,860
UTILITIES/SPECIAL AIR HANDLING		LS			(1,630)
SPECIAL FOUNDATIONS/PAVEMENTS		LS			(230)
SUBTOTAL					8,344
CONTINGENCY (5%)					417
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					8,761
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					526
TOTAL REQUEST					9,287
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					9,300
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete footings, special foundations for high water table and low bearing capacity soils, concrete floor slabs, masonry walls, fire protection, aircraft access pavement to hangar, utilities, site improvements and parking, system O&M manuals and all necessary support. Air Conditioning: 220 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Construct a hangar; add to and alter a warehouse to serve as a parts store; alter facilities for aircraft environmental and avionics functions. (New Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: A large aircraft hangar is required to fully enclose the Joint Surveillance Target Attack Radar System (STARS) aircraft to allow removal and maintenance of the radar and sensor equipment. The same facility will be used to perform airframe maintenance and corrosion control and serve as a parts fabrication shop. An air handling system is required to safely filter volatile organic compounds and to create optimum airflow for paint application. A warehouse to serve as an aircraft generation squadron (AGS) parts store and to distribute mobility readiness spares packages (MRSP) is required to support both Joint STARS and KC-135 squadrons. The 3,000 SF addition to the warehouse is a secure storage area required for classified contents of the MRSPs. Facilities are also required to support a Contractor Operated Maintenance and Base Supply (COMBS), part of Joint STARS contractor-operated supply and maintenance support. A facility is required for avionics maintenance and maintenance and logistics management functions.					
CURRENT SITUATION: No facility exists to fully enclose the Joint STARS aircraft and protect the expensive, unique electronics during maintenance.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
JSTARS ADD TO AND ALTER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	UHHZ943032	
<p>Existing hangars are inadequate in size and are fully used by aircraft currently assigned to the base. There is no facility at Robins to handle Joint STARS corrosion control requirements in compliance with the Clean Air Act and Occupational Safety and Health regulations. Existing corrosion control facilities at Robins are already in full use to support other assigned aircraft. The existing aircraft parts store and warehouse are not large enough to accommodate both the E-8 and KC-135 squadrons. Combining both warehouse operations in a single facility will eliminate duplication of warehouse space. Life support currently occupies a portion of this building, but will relocate to the FY 94 "JSTARS Add to and Alter Operations Complex," freeing up warehouse space. Existing facilities for environmental systems and avionics maintenance are not properly configured to accommodate Joint STARS equipment. Additionally, space is not available for maintenance and logistics management staffs.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The base will not be able to adequately support the beddown of Joint STARS. New mission aircraft will not receive corrosion control and required maintenance. Failure to maintain each aircraft in a safe and ready state will adversely affect the combat mission capability of the Air Force, Army, and Allied battle units.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements." This project is critical to the beddown of Joint STARS, which is an Air Force/Army program for real time detection, tracking and attack of moving and stationary ground targets. The system will consist of an airborne segment on board E-8C configured aircraft and a mobile ground communication segment. All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
JSTARS ADD TO AND ALTER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	UHHZ943032	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 30
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 APR 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		447
(b) All Other Design Costs		198
(c) Total		645
(d) Contract		447
(e) In-house		198
(4) Construction Start		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA			ADD TO AND ALTER LOGISTICAL SYSTEMS OPERATIONS CENTER		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
7.28.96	610-711	UHHZ903000	3,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AND ALTER LOGISTICAL SYSTEMS		LS			2,308
OPERATIONS CENTER		SF	23,000	96	(2,208)
ADDITION		LS			(100)
ALTERATION					420
SUPPORTING FACILITIES		LS			(100)
UTILITIES		LS			(40)
PAVEMENTS		SF	58,000	4	(230)
DEMOLITION		LS			(50)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT					
SUBTOTAL					2,728
CONTINGENCY (5%)					136
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,864
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					172
TOTAL REQUEST					3,036
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					3,000
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor, concrete block and masonry veneer walls, roof system and earthen berm to match existing. Project includes 10,500 SF raised floor computer room, fire suppression, tie-in to existing building, demolition of wood building and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 75 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 100,000 SF ADEQUATE: 77,000 SF SUBSTANDARD: 50,700 SF PROJECT: Add to and alter a logistical systems operations center. (Current Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> An adequate facility is required to accommodate consolidation of vital, compatible logistics management computer systems, expanded storage and communications devices, and support functions. Timeliness of this project is critical for this operations center to assume its role as an AF Regional Processing Center in the fourth quarter of FY 1994. These expanded operations centers require space for computers, operations support areas and support equipment. Storage requirements have also increased for computer hardware, magnetic tapes, disks and peripherals as transitions are made to emerging technologies and more efficient operational concepts. Information systems process over 200 different data systems covering all materials managed, stored and used at Robins Air Force Base. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Existing floor space is inadequate for current and planned computer systems and their required support areas. The growth in computer systems has exceeded present cooling and electrical capacities. Some computer systems remain in a substandard facility, forcing management to split their operations. Space required to achieve efficiencies dictated by various Defense Management Review Decisions (DMRD) and Air					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER LOGISTICAL SYSTEMS OPERATIONS CENTER	UHHZ903000	
<p>Force program actions is not available. Manpower reductions, predicated upon the availability of additional computer space, have already been implemented. Completion of this project will allow demolition of one 58,000 SF WWII vintage wood building.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> This Air Logistics Center's mission will be critically impaired if vital systems cannot function properly and be consolidated as mandated in DMRDs 924, 925 and 931, all directing consolidation/merging of ADP operations. Predicted efficiencies in energy and manpower will not be realized. Material deliveries and depot maintenance functions, dependent upon ADP products, will be delayed.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, an addition to the present facility was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER LOGISTICAL SYSTEMS OPERATIONS CENTER	UHHZ903000	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 20
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 25
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		ROBINS
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		180
(b) All Other Design Costs		70
(c) Total		250
(d) Contract		204
(e) In-house		46
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA			ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
7.28.96	721-312	UHHZ933001	4,300		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)	SF	57,200		3,176	
ADDITION	SF	6,700	67	(449)	
ALTERATION	SF	50,500	54	(2,727)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				530	
UTILITIES	LS			(290)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(85)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(110)	
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(45)	
SUBTOTAL				3,706	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				371	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,077	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				245	
TOTAL REQUEST				4,322	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,300	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter interior partitioning to provide room-bath-room modules, exterior entrances and balconies; extend roofline and upgrade exterior; install cable TV system; upgrade laundry rooms, HVAC and utility systems, provide insulation and necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,526 PN ADEQUATE: 960 PN SUBSTANDARD: 272 PN PROJECT: Add to and alter two dormitories. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective is to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs which these people must perform. CURRENT SITUATION: The buildings were constructed in 1960 when standards of construction for bachelor quarters were considerably lower. Common latrines, inadequate lighting, poor insulation and sound attenuation, obsolete electrical and mechanical systems, and lack of privacy are major deficiencies of these facilities. This is the third phase of a three phase effort to provide adequate quarters for enlisted personnel at this base. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Substandard living conditions will continue to degrade the morale, productivity and career satisfaction of enlisted personnel assigned to this base. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". While it is recognized that the initial cost of renovating these facilities exceeds 70 percent of the replacement cost, a life-cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)	UHHZ933001	
<p>(status quo, renovation, new construction, and leasing). This analysis indicates the renovation alternative is the most economical over the life of the facility.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)	UHHZ933001	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 25
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		ROBINS
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		155
(b) All Other Design Costs		110
(c) Total		265
(d) Contract		178
(e) In-house		87
(4) Construction Start		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA				4. PROJECT TITLE JSTARS ADD TO AND ALTER UTILITIES		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6.47.70 TIARA		6. CATEGORY CODE 826-123		7. PROJECT NUMBER UHHZ943030		8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 3,500
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM				U/M	QUANTITY	COST (\$000)
JSTARS ADD TO AND ALTER UTILITIES						2,662
INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER SYSTEM				LF	20,000	39 (780)
SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM				LF	20,000	39 (780)
CHILLED WATER PLANT BUILDING				SF	2,250	88 (198)
CHILLED WATER PLANT AND DISTRIBUTION				TN	800	1,130 (904)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES						490
LIFT STATIONS, HOLDING TANKS, CONTROLS				LS		(245)
PAVEMENTS				LS		(20)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS				LS		(70)
SPECIAL FOUNDATIONS AND PILINGS				LS		(155)
SUBTOTAL						3,152
CONTINGENCY (5%)						158
TOTAL CONTRACT COST						3,310
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)						199
TOTAL REQUEST						3,509
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)						3,500
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct an industrial waste collection system and add to and alter an existing sanitary sewer system to include lift stations, holding tanks and controls. Construct a central chilled water plant. Includes pavements, site improvements, system O&M manuals and all required support.						
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.						
<u>PROJECT:</u> Upgrade waste water collection system and install a central chilled water plant. (New Mission)						
<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> An environmentally sound and adequately sized wastewater and industrial waste collection system and a central chilled water plant are required to support the beddown of all new Joint Surveillance Target Attack Radar System (STARS) facilities. The Joint STARS beddown area borders on wetlands, so the wastewater and industrial waste collection system must conform to the most strict Clean Water Act implementing guidance. To most efficiently meet the cooling demands of the new Joint STARS facilities, a central chiller plant is required.						
<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The existing wastewater and industrial waste collection system is over 30 years old and cannot reliably support the added demand associated with the Joint STARS beddown. Also, the existing lines lead to an off-base treatment facility that will be taken out of service after 1994. The new lines will lead to base treatment facilities. There is no central chilled water plant in the Joint STARS beddown area. Each new facility must be connected to a central cooling source or have its own individual chiller system. Economy of scale and energy efficiency can be optimized by constructing a central plant.						
<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Adequate waste water and industrial waste collection systems will not be available to support new Joint STARS						

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
JSTARS ADD TO AND ALTER UTILITIES	UHHZ943030	
<p>facilities. Without a central chiller plant, individual facilities would have to include stand-alone chillers, which would entail greater utility costs and maintenance effort.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide;" however, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements." This project is critical to the beddown of Joint Stars, a joint Army/Air Force system for real time detection, tracking, and attack of moving and stationary targets. The system consists of an airborne segment onboard E-8C configured aircraft and a mobile ground communication segment. All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
JSTARS ADD TO AND ALTER UTILITIES	UHHZ943030	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 DEC 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 16
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		216
(b) All Other Design Costs		162
(c) Total		378
(d) Contract		295
(e) In-house		83
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
AIR FORCE				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE	
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA			UPGRADE INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL PLANTS	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)	
7.80.56	831-155	UHHZ943007	10,700	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UPGRADE INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL PLANTS	LS			7,450
UPGRADE INDUSTRIAL WASTE TREAT PLANT	LS			(6,800)
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWAGE TREAT PLANT	LS			(650)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,750
UTILITIES	LS			(850)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(150)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS/DEMOLITION	LS			(500)
O&M MANUAL, TRAINING AND START-UP	LS			(250)
SUBTOTAL				9,200
CONTINGENCY (10%)				920
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				10,120
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				607
TOTAL REQUEST				10,727
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				10,700
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade metal precipitation and removal process, including upgrade/installation of mixer, clarifier, oil separator, activated sludge and metal removal systems, final filtration and instrumentation; install digester and sludge drying beds at sewage plant. Includes necessary support construction and 180 day start-up operations and certification by contractor.				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.				
PROJECT: Upgrade an industrial wastewater treatment plant (IWTP) and a sewage treatment plant (STP). (Current Mission)				
REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance project. Adequate industrial wastewater and sewage treatment plants are required to remove pollutants from industrial and sanitary wastewater. Industrial wastewater pollutants are generated by depot maintenance activities such as aircraft overhaul, metal plating, painting and paint stripping. The treatment process must produce an effluent which meets future federal and state water and air quality standards. To further control in-stream toxicity levels, wastewater discharge limits governing Robins Air Force Base will be reduced even further when the State of Georgia renews the base discharge permit in December 1993. State representatives have indicated such during recent negotiations and public hearings.				
CURRENT SITUATION: The State of Georgia, which is mandated by federal law under the Clean Water Act, has issued a permit to Robins Air Force Base for operation of industrial and sanitary wastewater treatment plants. The permit requires the plants to meet very stringent discharge standards. This upgrade is required to ensure that the plants can meet the current discharge limits and the lower limits that will be imposed when the discharge permit is renewed in December 1993. The treatment process				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL PLANTS		5. PROJECT NUMBER UHHZ943007
<p>currently includes two IWTPs and one STP. The two IWTPs must be upgraded and combined into one plant to ensure effective state-of-the-art removal of metals and other pollutants. The effluent from one IWTP currently goes through the STP for final treatment. This action must be terminated as pollutants in the IWTP effluent contaminate STP sludge, making it a hazardous waste. IWTP upgrade must provide the final treatment now provided by the STP. In addition, the STP must be upgraded with tertiary treatment to allow it to meet lowered discharge limits for Biological Oxygen Demand.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Robins Air Force Base will not be able to treat wastewater to meet lower standards imposed by its permit. Penalties could be imposed or the base could be required to discontinue industrial operations, thereby adversely impacting its mission.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in either Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". Scope of project was developed through engineering analysis of processes required and projected wastewater flow. A preliminary analysis of all known alternative options was done. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exemption was prepared.</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL PLANTS		5. PROJECT NUMBER UHH2943007
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 MAY 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 20
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		125
(b) All Other Design Costs		370
(c) Total		495
(d) Contract		297
(e) In-house		198
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX			
HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII				PACIFIC AIR FORCES				1.34			
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		687	2678	1412	151	284	28	27	62	31	5,360
b. End FY 1998		654	2506	1413	151	284	28	27	62	31	5,156
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (7,786)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 487,173											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 18,520											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 10,250											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 9,550											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 37,600											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 563,093											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS			
CODE								START		CMPL	
131-132		MILSTAR COMMUNICATIONS GROUND		1,600 SF		2,200		MAR 92		DEC 93	
		TERMINAL									
411-135		UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS		2,100		JUL 92		JUL 93	
721-312		DORMITORY		192 PN		5,950		JUL 92		OCT 93	
		TOTAL:				10,250					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)											
411-135		UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		46 EA		6,800					
721-315		ALTER DORMITORY		62 PN		2,750					
		TOTAL:				9,550					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
113-321		UPGRADE AIRFIELD APRON, PH 1		62,000 SY		7,000					
442-257		FLAMMABLE STORAGE WAREHOUSE		11,500 SF		1,200					
610-284		RENOVATE HQ PACAF COMPLEX PHIV		52,000 SF		2,400					
610-284		RENOV HQ PACAF COMPLEX PH V		47,000 SF		3,000					
721-312		ALTER UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED		352 PN		5,000					
		DORMITORY									
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters Pacific Air Forces; an air base wing (C-135 aircraft); an Air Force Intelligence Command intelligence wing; an Air Mobility Command airlift support group; and Air National Guard fighter squadron (F-15 and F-5 aircraft) and composite group (C-130 aircraft).											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:		0									
b. Water pollution:		6,800									
c. Occupational safety and health:		0									
d. Other Environmental:		0									

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII			MILSTAR COMMUNICATIONS GROUND TERMINAL		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
3.36.01	131-132	KNMD933022	2,200		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
MILSTAR GROUND COMMUNICATIONS TERMINAL	SF	1,600	560	896	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,060	
UTILITIES	LS			(350)	
PAVEMENTS	SY	147	68	(10)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(330)	
HEMP SHIELDING	SF	5,200	65	(340)	
AUXILIARY GENERATORS	KW	120	250	(30)	
SUBTOTAL				1,956	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				98	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,054	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				134	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,188	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,200	
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(4,650)	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete walls, concrete floor slab, foundation and roof system. Includes HEMP shielding, acoustic wall and ceiling panels, standby generators with auto transfer equipment, fire protection system, and utilities. Air Conditioning: 10 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,600 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct a Milstar Ground Communications Terminal facility. (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: A facility is required to house a Milstar Ground Communications Terminal. The Milstar system, via satellites, provides the National Command Authority (NCA) with the only worldwide, secure, two-way, anti-jam, survivable, low probability of detection/interception voice and data communication capability. CURRENT SITUATION: No facilities exist to support the ground terminal segment of the Milstar system. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without this project, critical connectivity between NORAD/Space Command and other high priority users, including the NCA, would be lost during crises denying the ability to command and control military forces through all levels of conflict. The Milstar terminal equipment for this site is scheduled for delivery in 1995. ADDITIONAL: The requirement for HEMP hardening is approved. There are no criteria for this project in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements," or in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII			
4. PROJECT TITLE			5. PROJECT NUMBER
MILSTAR COMMUNICATIONS GROUND TERMINAL			KNMD933022
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a) Date Design Started		92 MAR 19	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 14	
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 01	
(2) Basis:			
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):			(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		132	
(b) All Other Design Costs		66	
(c) Total		198	
(d) Contract			
(e) In-house		198	
(4) Construction Start			94 FEB
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:			
EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
MILSTAR TERMINAL EQUIPMENT	3080	FY 95	4200
EHF/UHF ANTENNA SUPPORT SHELTER	3080	FY 95	250
SOLID STATE UPS SYSTEM	3080	FY 95	200

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII			4. PROJECT TITLE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 2.74.56P		6. CATEGORY CODE 411-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER KNMD943012	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,100	

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS			1,405
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	EA	11	115,000	(1,265)
REMOVE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	EA	4	35,000	(140)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				470
CONTAINMENT DIKES	EA	11	5,909	(65)
CATHODIC PROTECTION/DETECTION DEVICES	EA	11	7,273	(80)
EXCAVATION/BACKFILL	EA	11	25,000	(275)
SOIL TESTING/DISPOSAL	EA	11	4,545	(50)
SUBTOTAL				1,875
CONTINGENCY (5%)				94
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,969
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				128
TOTAL REQUEST				2,097
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,100

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace, upgrade or remove 15 Underground Storage Tanks (UST). Includes removal, installation of new tanks with release detection, piping, encasement or containment dikes, spill and overfill prevention devices, cathodic protection, soil testing/disposal, site work, utilities and other necessary support.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Replaces and upgrades fifteen (15) underground fuel storage tanks. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance project.

Upgrade of all USTs regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards is required. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require all regulated USTs to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention systems by December 1998. If USTs are replaced, Air Force policy is to replace them with aboveground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults wherever possible. However, existing underground petroleum products storage tanks which are in good condition may be upgraded in place to bring them into compliance with applicable UST standards. This project is first phase to bring Hickam AFB underground tanks into compliance and will be followed by three more phased projects to complete the remaining tanks.

CURRENT SITUATION: Underground fuel storage tanks are aging and do not meet EPA requirements. This project is the first phase and addresses 15 of 41 USTs requiring action. Many are over 30 years old and are steel tanks which are unlined/unprotected. The EPA's cause-of-release study indicates unprotected steel tanks over 15 years of age represent the highest potential leakage threat. While the tanks have been tested for leaks, they do not have the necessary corrosion protection, spill/overflow

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII		
4. PROJECT TITLE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		5. PROJECT NUMBER KNMD943012
<p>prevention and leak detection devices necessary to protect the environment.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Failure to take corrective action will result in underground storage tanks which do not meet regulatory requirements. After 22 December 1998, the US Air Force would be open to EPA Notice of Violations, monetary penalties and possible litigation could force compliance and remediation. The potential for soil/groundwater contamination would remain.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction, and leasing) was done. It indicates there is only one option that satisfies statutory requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exclusion has been prepared.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	KNMD943012	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 02
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 19
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		110
(b) All Other Design Costs		116
(c) Total		226
(d) Contract		140
(e) In-house		86
(4) Construction Start		
		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII			DORMITORY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
2.75.96	721-312	KNMD933021R1	5,950		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
DORMITORY (120 PN)	SF	24,000	165	3,960	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,355	
UTILITIES	LS			(450)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(180)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(300)	
SOLAR APPLICATIONS	LS			(165)	
SPECIAL FOUNDATIONS	LS			(185)	
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(75)	
SUBTOTAL				5,315	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				266	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,581	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				363	
TOTAL REQUEST				5,944	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,950	
<p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slabs, masonry walls, roof system, fire protection, utilities and other necessary support. Includes room-bath-room modules, laundries, storage and lounge areas, support space, landscaping, and handicapped access to first floor common areas.</p> <p>Air Conditioning: 132 Tons. Grade Mix: 120 E1-E4.</p> <p>11. REQUIREMENT: 1,471 PN ADEQUATE: 51 PN SUBSTANDARD: 993 PN</p> <p>PROJECT: Construct dormitory. (Current Mission)</p> <p>REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective provides unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform.</p> <p>CURRENT SITUATION: There is a shortage of dormitories to accommodate the on-base unaccompanied enlisted housing requirement, thus personnel are forced to compete for housing off-base in an already limited housing market. Occupancy rate for existing dormitories is 96 percent, with balance of assigned enlisted personnel (over 400 persons) forced to find housing off-base. To compound this undesirable situation, the off-base housing costs are among the most expensive in the United States.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate living quarters will continue to be unavailable resulting in degradation of morale, productivity, and career satisfaction for unaccompanied enlisted personnel.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective</p>					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
DORMITORY		KNMD933021R1	
<p>alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>			

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
DORMITORY	KNMD933021R1	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 13
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 AUG 05
(d) Date Design Complete		93 OCT 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		HICKAM
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		270
(b) All Other Design Costs		205
(c) Total		475
(d) Contract		50
(e) In-house		425
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE	
AIR FORCE									
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KAENA POINT SATELLITE TRACKING SITE, HAWAII				4. COMMAND PACIFIC AIR FORCES				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.34	
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT		STUDENTS		SUPPORTED			
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL
a. As of 30 SEP 92									
b. End FY 1998									
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)									
a. Total Acreage: (153)									
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 8,151									
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0									
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 7,350									
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 0									
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 0									
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0									
h. Grand Total: 15,501									
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994									
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS	
CODE								START CMPL	
811-145		POWER PLANT		1 SF		7,350		MAY 92 DEC 93	
				TOTAL:		7,350			
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE									
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:									
10. Mission or Major Functions: An Air Force Space Command down range missile and satellite tracking station supporting the Western Space and Missile Center.									
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:									
a. Air pollution:								0	
b. Water pollution:								0	
c. Occupational safety and health:								0	
d. Other Environmental:								0	

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KAENA POINT SATELLITE TRACKING SITE, HAWAII			4. PROJECT TITLE POWER PLANT		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
3.59.96	811-145	LXHY943024	7,350		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
POWER PLANT	LS			5,322	
NEW POWER PLANT BLDG	SP	8,500	180	(1,530)	
NEW GENERATORS	KW	2,400	830	(1,992)	
PORTABLE LOAD BANK	EA	1	250,000	(250)	
HIGH VOLTAGE SWITCHGEAR	LS			(1,550)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,225	
UTILITIES	LS			(560)	
DEMOLITION OF EXIST GENERATORS	LS			(465)	
SITE WORK	LS			(150)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(50)	
SUBTOTAL				6,547	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				327	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				6,874	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				447	
TOTAL REQUEST				7,321	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				7,350	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct new power plant, install 12 KV switchgear to interface with existing system. Provide new load bank for testing and maintenance. Install new aboveground fuel tanks and lines, underground feeders, fire alarm system, and comm lines. Remove old generators, convert exist power plant to storage and maint use. Air Conditioning: 6 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 2,400 KW ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 2,000 KW PROJECT: Construct power plant. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Reliable, emergency backup power, for the Hawaii tracking station. This station is the primary satellite communications control station in the Pacific. It must remain operational at all times to communicate to the myriad of satellite systems in geosynchronous and polar orbits. Backup power is required to limit downtime to five and one half minutes per year (99.999 reliability) for mission critical utility loads. New weathertight facility, modern reliable generators, and associated equipment, such as a load bank to test the generators under load, is needed to provide the required standard of reliability. An adequately sized maintenance area is required for major overhauls. Space in the existing power plant, to be available once the new power plant comes on line, is needed to house storage and maintenance functions. CURRENT SITUATION: The satellite tracking station is on Kaena Point, the most westward location on the island of Oahu. It is served by two unreliable commercial feeders. In June of 1991, Kaena Point experienced a brown out and lost commercial power for 36 hours. This site was the last customer brought on line by the commercial power company. The existing emergency power plant (built in 1966 using 1958 vintage generators) is used during inclement weather and for emergency backup. Due to obsolete,					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KAENA POINT SATELLITE TRACKING SITE, HAWAII		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
POWER PLANT	LXHY943024	
<p>manually operated equipment, the site has had 56 total station outages in the past five years with 41 operationally impairing disturbances. The primary electrical distribution system is susceptible to faults which may result in extensive power outages. Existing primary feeders/generators have had several power anomalies, interrupting power to mission critical equipment.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Fluctuations, power outages, and unreliable backup power to critical satellite control equipment will continue to interrupt satellite communications through this critical site in the satellite control network. These interruptions will continue to jeopardize these nonrecoverable, nationally critical, data and communications systems. Control of satellite systems - such as Global Positioning System (GPS), Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP), and classified mission satellites - is critical to tactical and strategic defense support for all of DOD.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KAENA POINT SATELLITE TRACKING SITE, HAWAII		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
POWER PLANT	LXHY943024	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 MAY 04
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 AUG 21
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 16
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		450
(b) All Other Design Costs		230
(c) Total		680
(d) Contract		565
(e) In-house		115
(4) Construction Start		
		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST		
SCOTT AIR FORCE BASE, ILLINOIS				AIR MOBILITY COMMAND				COST INDEX 1.05		
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		2445	4450	2992	197	202	32	130	88	27
b. End FY 1998		2276	4238	2816	197	202	32	130	88	27
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (3,282)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92)		308,611								
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:		32,710								
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:		7,450								
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995)		11,094								
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:		16,850								
g. Remaining Deficiency:		0								
h. Grand Total:		376,715								
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY						COST		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE				(\$000)	START	CMPL		
317-311	INTEROPERABILITY TEST AND TRAINING FACILITY	24,300 SF				5,000	AUG 92	APR 93		
422-253	MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITY/ LAND ACQUISITION (DBOF)	4,900 SF				2,450	SEP 92	DEC 93		
TOTAL:						7,450				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
411-135	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS				2,550				
740-884	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	22,500 SF				3,500				
811-147	UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	LS				5,044				
TOTAL:						11,094				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
130-142	FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION	11,000 SF				1,750				
141-753	SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	12,300 SF				1,950				
721-312	ALTER UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED HSC	225 PN				3,800				
721-312	ALTER UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED HSC	144 PN				2,950				
822-265	REPAIR STEAM HEATING MAINS	5,000 LF				3,500				
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters United States Transportation Command; Headquarters Air Mobility Command; Tanker/Airlift Control Center; Air Force Communications Command; Air Weather Service; USAF Environmental Technical Applications Center; an airlift wing which performs airlift aeromedical airlift, and training missions (C-9, C-12 and C-21 aircraft); an Air Force Reserve C-9 associate aeromedical airlift group; and a major USAF medical center. Also, a joint military/civil use airfield.										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution:		0								
b. Water pollution:		0								
c. Occupational safety and health:		0								
d. Other Environmental:		0								

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
SCOTT AIR FORCE BASE, ILLINOIS			INTEROPERABILITY TEST AND TRAINING FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
9.12.12S	317-311	VDYD933008	5,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
INTEROPERABILITY TEST AND TRAINING FACILITY		SF	24,300	145	3,524
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					955
COMMUNICATION SUPPORT		LS			(85)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(50)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(135)
UTILITIES		LS			(575)
DEMOLITION		SF	22,100	5	(110)
SUBTOTAL					4,479
CONTINGENCY (5%)					224
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					4,703
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					282
TOTAL REQUEST					4,985
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					5,000
<p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Two-story structural steel and masonry building, concrete foundations and pitched or built-up roof. Project includes computer floor in equipment test areas, fixtures, provisions for specialized electronic testing, landscaping, loading dock, sidewalks, paving, and demolition of seven facilities totaling 22,100 square feet.</p> <p>Air Conditioning: 35 Tons.</p> <p>11. REQUIREMENT: 24,300 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0</p> <p>PROJECT: Construct a communications and computer system interoperability testing and training facility. (Current Mission)</p> <p>REQUIREMENT: The Air Force needs a facility to combine and house the Technology Integration Center communications-computer system testing and training functions. The Center performs specialized tests to determine whether new equipment is compatible and whether existing equipment continually meets system performance requirements. The testing/training facility must have space for training technicians, space to store high-value test equipment, and safe storage for encryption equipment. It must have a computer-grade grounding, electrical power, and environmental control systems. It also needs to have features such as a loading dock and a raised computer floor so technicians can efficiently and safely set up, test, and remove the equipment.</p> <p>CURRENT SITUATION: The Center tests equipment already in the DoD inventory and new commercial and Military Specification equipment bought from multiple vendors. Some of the testing supports the new systems being fielded through the Corporate Information Management initiative. The Center performs off-line tests to resolve problems on fielded equipment, tests to confirm the need for equipment upgrades, and performs validation</p>					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SCOTT AIR FORCE BASE, ILLINOIS		
4. PROJECT TITLE INTEROPERABILITY TEST AND TRAINING FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER VDYD933008	
<p>tests before publishing new Air Force standards. At any time, over \$9 million worth of equipment is undergoing tests. The testing and training functions and their associated professional staffs are housed in separate facilities located approximately three miles apart. Testing is conducted in a wood-framed structure built in 1941. There is no other suitable space available on base. The testing functions overtax the building's obsolete utility systems which fail and require repairs about twice a week. Utility system failures result in downtime and lost production valued at \$112,000 annually. Technicians frequently must work in the asbestos-laden attic to reconfigure wiring during equipment setup and removal. Aisle space is inadequate and in violation of the Life Safety Code.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Cost reductions cannot be realized and inefficiencies will continue. A cost comparison analysis determined that it is not economical to renovate the existing facility to eliminate current deficiencies for its continued use as a test facility. Engineering and testing will be curtailed. New systems will be fielded untested or operating costs will significantly increase if testing must be done in the field. Testing on fielded systems will result in shutdown of operational missions during test periods. The base must continue to commit scarce operations and maintenance resources on the existing testing facility and the seven worn-out facilities.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There are no criteria for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide," or in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements."</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SCOTT AIR FORCE BASE, ILLINOIS		
4. PROJECT TITLE INTEROPERABILITY TEST AND TRAINING FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER VDYD933008
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 11
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 01
(d) Date Design Complete		93 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		306
(b) All Other Design Costs		153
(c) Total		459
(d) Contract		306
(e) In-house		153
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
SCOTT AIR FORCE BASE, ILLINOIS			MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITY/ LAND ACQUISITION (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.18.96	422-253	VDYD923004	2,450		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITY/ LAND ACQUISITION (DBOF)		LS			1,538
MULTICUBICLE MAGAZINE STORAGE		SF	4,850	150	(728)
MUNITIONS HOLDING AREA		SF	400	150	(60)
MUNITIONS MAINT ADMINISTRATION		SF	1,000	100	(100)
LAND, FEE PURCHASE		AC	22	19,090	(420)
LAND, EASEMENT		AC	230	1,000	(230)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					480
UTILITIES		LS			(380)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(100)
SUBTOTAL					2,018
CONTINGENCY (5%)					101
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,119
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					127
TOTAL REQUEST					2,246
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,450
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab. Area includes 14 munitions storage bays, fire sprinkler system and a munitions inspection and maintenance facility. Also included are roads, parking fencing, security lighting and alarms and necessary support. Land purchase includes 22 acres and easements include 230 acres.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 6,250 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 410 SF PROJECT: Construct a munitions storage facility and land acquisition. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Adequate munitions storage and inspection area is required to support training, operational and mobility requirements. Storage magazines must be sized to accommodate the 463L aircraft pallet to meet mobility requirements. Space must be provided to support the security police air base ground defense unit, the explosives ordnance disposal team, HQ AMC combat controllers and mobility missions and training needs of various base organizations. Location should conform to quantity distance criteria for minimum blast and fragmentation distances from inhabited buildings and public roadways. Land purchase consisting of 22 acres is required for construction of munitions storage, munitions maintenance space, and parking area. Easements consisting of 230 acres is required to provide the required buffer zone specified in quantity distance criteria for munitions storage facilities. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing munitions storage/training facility is too small, providing less than ten percent of space required to support base requirements. Lack of space requires munitions to be stored at Little Rock AFB and an Army Depot 50 miles away. During recent DESERT STORM Operations, the 375 CSG Air Base Ground Defense Unit had to deploy without their munitions because munitions were stored at Little Rock. The					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SCOTT AIR FORCE BASE, ILLINOIS		
4. PROJECT TITLE MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITY/ LAND ACQUISITION (DBOF)		5. PROJECT NUMBER VDYD923004
<p>existing location does not meet quantity distance criteria for minimum blast and fragmentation distances to inhabited buildings (1,250 feet; nearest building is 250 feet) and public traffic routes (750 feet; nearest road is 100 feet). There is no available space on base on which to construct this facility. Therefore, land must be purchased as part of this project.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Mission requirements for training, mobility and operations will be severely impacted by depending on other installations, distant from the base, for munitions storage.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
SCOTT AIR FORCE BASE, ILLINOIS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITY/ LAND ACQUISITION (DBOF)	VDYD923004	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 30
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		15%
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 AUG 16
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		123
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		123
(d) Contract		123
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)				2. DATE	
AIR FORCE							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND		5. AREA CONST COST INDEX	
MCCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE, KANSAS				AIR COMBAT COMMAND		0.92	
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT		STUDENTS		SUPPORTED	
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		375	2687	360	88		
b. End FY 1998		343	2357	351	88		
							TOTAL
							3,510
							3,139
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)							
a. Total Acreage: (3,103)							
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 273,073							
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 19,910							
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 1,900							
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 11,100							
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 28,100							
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0							
h. Grand Total: 334,083							
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994							
CATEGORY				COST		DESIGN STATUS	
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE		(\$000)	START	CMPL	
149-962	CONTROL TOWER CAB	1 EA		900	SEP 92	APR 93	
921-167	LAND RESTRICTIVE EASEMENT ACQUISITION	149 AC		1,000	SEP 92	MAY 93	
TOTAL:				1,900			
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)							
124-000	UPGRADE POL DIKES AND BASINS	LS		1,050			
610-000	BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX	46,250 SF		4,650			
610-121	TRANSPORTATION COMPLEX	15,600 SF		2,550			
831-157	GLYCOL RECOVERY SYSTEM	1 EA		1,150			
871-183	STORM DRAINAGE FACILITIES	LS		1,700			
TOTAL:				11,100			
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:							
111-111	UPGRADE RUNWAY	LS		3,100			
121-000	AIRCRAFT DISPENSING	4,850 SF		1,600			
610-128	MILITARY PERSONNEL SUPPORT CENTER	48,250 SF		6,400			
690-000	PROCUREMENT FACILITY	8,000 SF		1,400			
740-884	ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	27,300 SF		2,600			
10. Mission or Major Functions: A bomb wing which includes one B-1 squadron; an Air Mobility Command air refueling squadron (KC-135 aircraft); and an Air National Guard fighter group (F-16 aircraft).							
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:							
a. Air pollution: 0							
b. Water pollution: 2,550							
c. Occupational safety and health: 0							
d. Other Environmental: 0							

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)				2. DATE	
AIR FORCE							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA				4. COMMAND AIR COMBAT COMMAND		5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 0.86	
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT		STUDENTS		SUPPORTED	
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		907	4789	1092	509	1	6
b. End FY 1998		822	4507	1265	509	1	6
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)							
a. Total Acreage: (22,382)							
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 205,446							
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 43,340							
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 2,560							
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 13,500							
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 36,650							
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0							
h. Grand Total: 301,496							
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994							
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN START	STATUS CMPL		
124-000	UPGRADE BULK STORAGE BASINS	LS	1,600	AUG 92	AUG 93		
872-247	WEAPONS STORAGE AREA SECURITY	LS	960	JUL 92	JUN 93		
			TOTAL:	2,560			
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)							
121-122	ADD TO AND ALTER APRON/HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM, PHASE II	LS	12,000				
871-183	STORM DRAINAGE FACILITIES	LS	1,500				
			TOTAL:	13,500			
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:							
121-122	UPGRADE HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	LS	12,000				
121-122	HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	LS	12,000				
171-712	ADD TO AND ALTER TARGET INTELLIGENCE TRAINING	14,000 SF	900				
442-758	AIRCRAFT PARTS STORE	75,000 SF	6,000				
740-674	PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	18,200 SF	2,450				
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters Eighth Air Force; a flying wing which includes two bombardment squadrons (B-52 aircraft) and one air refueling squadron (KC-135 aircraft); Air Mobility Command operations group (two air refueling squadrons of KC-10 aircraft) and airlift detachment (C-21 aircraft); and an Air Force Reserve fighter wing (A-10 and OA-10 aircraft) and KC-10 associate air refueling group.							
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:							
a. Air pollution:						0	
b. Water pollution:						5,800	
c. Occupational safety and health:						0	
d. Other Environmental:						0	

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA			4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE BULK STORAGE BASINS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 1.18.56	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-000	7. PROJECT NUMBER AWUB910027	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 1,600		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UPGRADE BULK STORAGE BASINS	SY	38,000	20	760	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				600	
UTILITIES	LS			(85)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(275)	
PAVEMENTS	SY	10,000	20	(200)	
DEMOLISH PAVEMENT	SY	38,100	1	(40)	
SUBTOTAL				1,360	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				136	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,496	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				90	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,586	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,600	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Install adequate drainage system to drain rainwater from bermed basin area. Upgrade existing containment berms and install an impervious concrete liner over berms and basins.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Upgrade bulk storage basins. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a Level 1 environmental compliance requirement. In accordance with Federal Law (40 CFR 112.7), all bulk fuel storage tanks require an impervious liner to protect soil and water from contamination due to tank leakage. CURRENT SITUATION: The base is in violation of Federal Law requiring impervious liners for bulk fuel storage areas. The current spill containment berms/basins have deteriorated and do not provide an effective impervious liner to stop a jet fuel spill from soaking into the soil. The current rainwater drainage system is also totally inadequate as it fails to prevent contamination of storm drainage systems. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The base will remain in violation of Federal Law and may begin receiving Notices of Violation, fines, and significant adverse publicity for failure to protect the health and welfare of people and the environment. Accidental fuel spill and contamination of soil and water (surface and ground) would result in a threat to human health and extremely costly cleanup measures. Continued violation of the law could result in directed cessation of aircraft refueling activities which would eliminate further flying operations at the base. Mission execution would not be possible. ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However,					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE BULK STORAGE BASINS	AWUB910027	
<p>this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE BULK STORAGE BASINS	AWUB910027	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		96
(b) All Other Design Costs		54
(c) Total		150
(d) Contract		110
(e) In-house		40
(4) Construction Start		
		94 FEB
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST		
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND				AIR MOBILITY COMMAND				COST INDEX 1.05		
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		1136	4110	1773	159	142	15	122	1156	164
b. End FY 1998		1104	3995	1734	159	142	15	122	1156	164
		8,591								
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (7,488)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 372,964										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 26,020										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 17,990										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 3,810										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 67,540										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 488,324										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START	CMPL	
141-782	AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL			38,600 SF		4,400		SEP 92	SEP 93	
179-511	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)			LS		1,000		OCT 92	OCT 93	
610-000	UPGRADE COMPOSITE			347,500 SF		9,940		APR 93	MAR 94	
	ADMINISTRATION FACILITY (DBOF)									
832-266	UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEMS			LS		2,650		SEP 92	JUL 93	
TOTAL:						17,990				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
740-884	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER			23,000 SF		3,810				
TOTAL:						3,810				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
121-122	REPLACE HYDRANT REFUELING			LS		9,790				
	SYSTEMS									
218-712	ACFT SPRT EQUIP SHOP/STORAGE			13,900 SF		2,450				
219-944	ADD TO AND ALTER BASE ENGINEER			45,800 SF		7,600				
	ADMINISTRATION									
411-135	IMPROVE JET FUEL STORAGE			LS		5,900				
721-312	DORMITORY			270 PN		7,400				
10. Mission or Major Functions: An airlift wing which performs Presidential support & special air missions (C-9, C-12, C-20, C-137, VC-25 aircraft, & UH-1 helicopters); an airlift squadron with C-12 & C-21 aircraft; an AFRES airlift wing (C-141's); an ANG fighter wing (F-16's) and airlift squadron (C-21's & C-22's); ANG Readiness Center; HQ District of Columbia ANG; Air Force Materiel Command test wing detachment (C-135 aircraft); and a major USAF medical center. Major tenants include Army, Navy, and Marine Corps flying units.										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution: 0										
b. Water pollution: 0										
c. Occupational safety and health: 0										
d. Other Environmental: 18,400										

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND			AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.18.96	141-782	AJXF923002	4,400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL (DBOF)	LS			3,286	
AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL	SF	33,800	83	(2,805)	
ADMINISTRATIVE SPACE	SF	4,800	92	(442)	
AIR FREIGHT PAVED STORAGE	SY	1,700	23	(39)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				675	
UTILITIES	LS			(290)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(290)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(95)	
SUBTOTAL				3,961	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				198	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,159	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				250	
TOTAL REQUEST				4,409	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,400	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete footings, foundation and floor slab, structural steel frame, insulated walls and roof, fire protection system, and utilities. Area includes space for covered pallet-train buildup, packing and crating, administration and necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 38,600 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 18,700 SF PROJECT: Construct an air freight terminal. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Adequate facilities for conveyable and non-conveyable cargo, pallet buildup and netting, aircraft pallet storage, special cargo processing and storage, packing and crating and freight management. Facility will be configured to accommodate modern mechanized handling equipment. CURRENT SITUATION: Air freight operations are currently accomplished in an aircraft maintenance hangar which provides only 50 percent of the required space. Inefficiencies include lack of off-load docks, packing and crating area, outdated, inefficient material handling equipment and inadequate security for sensitive cargo. Cargo is processed to support State Department, embassy flights, foreign governments and special high visibility flights. Much of this cargo requires a secure storage area which can only be achieved by posting security police to guard the cargo until it can be processed for shipping. Aircraft pallet storage located outside offers no protection from the elements. When vacated, the space (18,700 SF) will be converted back to hangar space and thereby reduce the 64,000 SF hangar space deficiency at Andrews. Remaining hangar space deficiency will be satisfied in future MILCON program. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air freight operations will continue to be performed in a substandard facility which results in reduced productivity					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL (DBOF)	AJXF923002	
<p>and low worker morale.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". A life-cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, contracting out services, renovation and new construction). This analysis indicates the new construction alternative is the most economical. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL (DBOF)	AJXF923002	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 17
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 29
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 27
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		225
(b) All Other Design Costs		138
(c) Total		363
(d) Contract		326
(e) In-house		37
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND			4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE COMPOSITE ADMINISTRATION FACILITY (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 9.12.12S	6. CATEGORY CODE 610-000	7. PROJECT NUMBER AJXF943010	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 9,940		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UPGRADE COMPOSITE ADMINISTRATION FACILITY (DBOF)		SF	347,500	7	2,433
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					6,050
HVAC		LS			(3,600)
DEMOLITION		SF	215,000	5	(1,075)
COMMUNICATIONS		LS			(875)
SYSTEMS FURNITURE		LS			(500)
SUBTOTAL					8,483
CONTINGENCY (10%)					848
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					9,331
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)					607
TOTAL REQUEST					9,938
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					9,940
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)					(650)
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Structural, mechanical and electrical upgrade required to accommodate new occupants.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 672,931 SF ADEQUATE: 341,944 SF SUBSTANDARD: 190,087 SF <u>PROJECT:</u> Upgrade composite administration building and inadequate utility systems for new occupancy following relocation/integration of Air Force Systems Command into new command. (Current Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Improve existing facility to support complete change in occupancy. New occupants will move into the facility from substandard facilities on Andrews and from other installations. Demolition of 10 substandard facilities totalling 65 KSF and a Bolling AFB 119.8 KSF facility is included in this project. An additional 7 facilities totalling 31 KSF will be temporarily reused to relieve a space shortage in the Air National Guard Bureau (ANGB) HQ and demolished during FY95 MILCON construction of a new ANGB facility. Total space reductions achieved through this project are demolition of 215 KSF of substandard facilities. This project supports facility consolidation and reduction initiatives. This facility will provide a centralized customer service center for base civilian and military personnel, finance, pass and identification, legal, claim services, transportation management office and scheduled airlines ticket office. It will also become the new headquarters for HQ/AFOSI. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Combining Air Force Systems Command and Air Force Logistics Command into Air Force Materiel Command at Wright-Patterson AFB leaves bldg 1535 at Andrews AFB available for complete change of occupancy. Administrative occupants from Andrews and from the National Capital Region (NCR) will move into existing offices. HQ/AFOSI's current facility suffers from severe termite damage precluding the addition of					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE COMPOSITE ADMINISTRATION FACILITY (DBOF)	AJXF943010	
<p>safes and the updating of computer systems, in addition to causing a safety hazard. To date, the 89 AW and 89 SPTG staff have moved in with portions of finance, manpower, 89 AW Judge Advocate, 89 AW Community Support, CBPO, Civilian Personnel and Traffic Management. The functions which can move without construction have moved. The major components of the heating system are original from 1946 construction of the building, and the air conditioning system is 1964 construction. The main chillers and air handling units are no longer available, and the system is overtaxed because the loads in the building have gradually increased over the years. Air handling units are undersized for the building configuration and loads and wall mounted fan coil units are ineffective for open office areas. Current substandard facilities require inordinate amounts of utilities, maintenance and repair. Parts are not available to repair obsolete systems, requiring extra effort in manufacturing or altering substitutes.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> If upgrades are not accomplished building tenants will be forced to occupy substandard spaces. HQ AFOSI will not be able to meet expanding mission requirements levied by DOD, HQ USAF, and the NCA because of the structural limitations of their current facility. In addition, the condition of facility systems, including HVAC, lighting, electrical, and security will continue to degrade, increasing the drain on scarce O&M resources. System outages impact the ability of occupants to perform their missions.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project will result in the vacating of facilities on the following government properties: Washington Navy Yard (1,100 SF), Bolling AFB (98,500 SF) and Fort Belvoir (19,652 SF). Initial beddown requirements (excluding HQ AFOSI) were met under O&M renovation project AJXF921586, Renovate Base Headquarters. The primary justification for this project is consolidation and reduction of space. An economic analysis has been performed which supports this project as the most economical alternative to provide adequate facilities.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE COMPOSITE ADMINISTRATION FACILITY (DBOP)	AJXP943010	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 APR 20
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		2%
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 OCT 01
(d) Date Design Complete		94 MAR 10
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		483
(b) All Other Design Costs		322
(c) Total		805
(d) Contract		478
(e) In-house		327
(4) Construction Start		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:		
EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED
		COST (\$000)
OFFICE EQUIPMENT	3080	93 650

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND		4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEMS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 4.18.56	6. CATEGORY CODE 832-266	7. PROJECT NUMBER AJXF913002	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,650	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEMS	LF	27,700		1,822
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	LF	12,700	49	(622)
REPLACE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	LF	15,000	80	(1,200)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				450
SITE IMPROVEMENTS/DEMOLITION	LS			(450)
SUBTOTAL				2,272
CONTINGENCY (10%)				227
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,499
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				150
TOTAL REQUEST				2,649
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,650
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade or replace sanitary sewer mains. Work includes installing protective linings, replacing existing pipe, demolition and necessary support.				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Upgrade sanitary sewer systems. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance project, required to upgrade the existing cast iron piping system with an environmentally compatible piping system. Included is the removal of 17 industrial pump stations and 16 interceptors and converting portions of the sewage system to gravity feed. These improvements are required to bring the sewage system into compliance with State of Maryland regulatory laws contained in COMAR 26.08.04. CURRENT SITUATION: Portions of the west and east side sewer systems are poorly configured with a maze of subsystems which prevent an efficient flow pattern. The system has developed numerous failures resulting in sewage flow into the ground. The base is located between the water sheds of the Potomac River and the Patuxent River. This places the base at high risk to pollute the waterways leading into the Chesapeake Bay. The State of Maryland has inspected the sewage system and is presently debating whether or not to renew the base's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit because of the systems deteriorated condition. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The sanitary sewer system will continue to deteriorate, thus increasing the amount of sewage flow into the ground. This will expose the Air Force and DOD to adverse publicity and possible fines. ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of				

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEMS		5. PROJECT NUMBER AJXF913002
<p>Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, and new construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option that satisfies regulatory requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEMS	AJXF913002	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 25
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 12
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 09
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) nr (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		145
(b) All Other Design Costs		106
(c) Total		251
(d) Contract		221
(e) In-house		30
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX		
PORT GEORGE G MEADE, MARYLAND								1.05		
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92										
b. End FY 1998										
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (0)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92)										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 1,450										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 0										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 0										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 1,450										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY										
CODE	PROJECT TITLE				SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN START	STATUS CMPL		
141-456	ADD TO OPERATIONS FACILITY				7,000 SF	1,450	JUL 92	AUG 93		
						TOTAL:	1,450			
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE										
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution: 0										
b. Water pollution: 0										
c. Occupational safety and health: 0										
d. Other Environmental: 0										

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND			ADD TO OPERATIONS FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
2.80.19 TIARA	141-456	PAY2935057	1,450		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ADD TO OPERATIONS FACILITY	SF	7,000	120	840	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				470	
UTILITIES	LS			(350)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(120)	
SUBTOTAL				1,310	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				66	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,376	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				83	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,459	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,450	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a structural metal building with concrete slab and exterior parking area to house maintenance area, logistics maintenance, storage, mobility equipment, war readiness support kits and operations space for SENIOR SCOUT airborne. Addition to provide high bays for drive-thru capability. Air Conditioning: 20 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 18,700 SF ADEQUATE: 11,700 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct a 7,000 square foot sensitive compartmented information facility (SCIF) addition to house three SENIOR SCOUT Vans. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: The operations support SCIF is used to maintain, operate and store vans which house sophisticated mission computer equipment. Two vans currently are fully operational and on location; a third system is scheduled to arrive in early 1994. These systems are rapidly deployable mission vans which are loaded on tactical aircraft to provide flexible, tailored responses to tactical commanders. Space also is needed for required war readiness support kits and sensitive supplies. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing facility is barely adequate for one system. The original building was designed for 35-foot long vans; however, 40-foot long vans were delivered. This has caused extremely cramped areas for maintenance operations when both vans are in-garrison. Drive-thru capability does not exist, and considerable time is spent jockeying vans into the maintenance areas. In addition, operations, logistics maintenance, and supply spaces now are overcrowded, and space is not available to support additional systems. The facility is located in the National Security Agency exclusive use area at Ft George G. Meade and is not part of the planned base closure action.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO OPERATIONS FACILITY	PAYZ935057	
<p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Additional vans would be stored outside and left uncovered. These vans are not designed to be exposed to environmental elements and must be housed on an aircraft or within an enclosed facility. Temporary outside storage would require complete coverage by tarps or canvas, and additional temporary air conditioning systems and electrical power connections would be required. This will greatly hamper required maintenance and operational testing and jeopardize mission readiness.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There are no criteria for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide," or in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements."</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
ADD TO OPERATIONS FACILITY		PAYZ935057
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 29
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 14
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 17
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		87
(b) All Other Design Costs		100
(c) Total		187
(d) Contract		115
(e) In-house		72
(4) Construction Start		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)				2. DATE	
AIR FORCE							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND		5. AREA CONST COST INDEX	
COLUMBUS AIR FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI				AIR TRAINING COMMAND		0.82	
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT		STUDENTS		SUPPORTED	
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		378	789	318	205		1,690
b. End FY 1998		394	738	329	227		1,688
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)							
a. Total Acreage: (6,015)							
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92)						115,855	
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:						3,100	
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:						2,900	
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995)						4,650	
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:						14,500	
g. Remaining Deficiency:						0	
h. Grand Total:						141,005	
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994							
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS	
CODE						START	CMPL
136-664	UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING			60,000 LF	2,900	JUL 91	AUG 93
				TOTAL:	2,900		
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)							
211-179	FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK			9,900 SF	1,550		
442-000	T-1 SPECIALIZED UPT			LS	3,100		
	MAINTENANCE SUPPORT						
				TOTAL:	4,650		
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:							
134-375	RAPCON CENTER			15,600 SF	2,400		
149-962	CONTROL TOWER			1 EA	2,600		
211-153	NONDESTRUCTIVE INSPECTION			5,400 SF	1,400		
	FACILITY						
211-177	SMALL ACFT MAINTENANCE DOCK			32,700 SF	3,100		
831-165	WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT			2 MG	5,000		
10. Mission or Major Functions: A flying training wing which conducts Undergraduate Pilot Training (UPT) with one T-37 squadron and one T-38 squadron. Also, base will undergo a T-37 to T-1 aircraft conversion.							
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:							
a. Air pollution:						0	
b. Water pollution:						5,350	
c. Occupational safety and health:						0	
d. Other Environmental:						350	

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
COLUMBUS AIR FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI			UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.57.96T	136-664	EEP2933000	2.900		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING	LF	54,500		626	
RUNWAY LIGHTING 13L/31R	LF	8,000	16	(128)	
RUNWAY LIGHTING 13C/31C	LF	12,000	6	(72)	
RUNWAY LIGHTING 13R/31L	LF	6,300	14	(88)	
TAXIWAY LIGHTING	LF	28,200	12	(338)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,825	
DISTANCE MARKERS/WIND CONES	LS			(305)	
THRESHOLD LIGHTING	LS			(1,005)	
ALSF-1 APPROACH LIGHTING-CENTER RW	LS			(345)	
VISUAL GLIDESLOPE INDICATOR	LS			(170)	
SUBTOTAL				2,451	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				245	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,696	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				162	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,858	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,900	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: New high intensity threshold, pre-threshold and terminating bar lights, new north approach lighting system with sequenced flashers (ALSF-1), new lighting cable and isolation transformers, new distance markers and illuminated wind cones, new precision approach path indicator (PAPI) lights, new cables on runways 13R/31L, 13C/31C, and 13L/31R, taxiways and SAC apron.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Upgrade airfield lighting. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to properly modify, upgrade and standardize existing visual navigational aid facilities to FAA and Air Force standards. This will improve operational safety, reliability and efficiency of the airfield through the use of equipment, fixtures and materials that can be adequately maintained. This lighting upgrade was identified in the 1988 Air Training Command Master Planning Study of Airfield Lighting Systems at Columbus AFB and is required for the proper training and safety of inexperienced student pilots. CURRENT SITUATION: Inexperienced student pilots fly 240 sorties per day to comply with the strict flying syllabus. Worst airfield lighting system in ATC as determined by ATC engineering analysis. Base experienced 20 major outages in 1991, one resulting in a two week downtime. Threshold lighting does not provide high intensity lighting required by Air Force directives. Antiquated glide slope indicators and other light equipment are difficult and expensive to maintain. The existing cables have excessive current losses associated with advanced stages of insulation deterioration. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Airfield will be non-operational during inclement weather/night flying. Student pilots will not meet curriculum schedules					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION COLUMBUS AIR FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI		
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING	5. PROJECT NUMBER EEP2933000	
<p>when night flying is stopped.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis was not prepared because this project directly supports a mission function for which there is no available alternative but to upgrade the airfield lighting. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
COLUMBUS AIR FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING	EEPZ933000	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 JUL 08
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		50%
(c) Date 35% Designed		91 NOV 26
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 20
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		180
(b) All Other Design Costs		25
(c) Total		205
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		205
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX			
KEESLER AIR FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI				AIR TRAINING COMMAND				0.84			
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		1039	4060	2021	157	2900	77	28	62		10,344
b. End FY 1998		1036	4322	2294	301	2868	18	48	1352	6	12,245
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (3,546)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 267,157											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 9,750											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 8,710											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 13,550											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 18,700											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 317,867											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY						COST		DESIGN STATUS			
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE		(\$000)		START		CMPL			
179-511	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	1 EA		690		AUG 92		AUG 93			
411-135	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	21 EA		600		AUG 92		JUL 93			
721-312	UPGRADE STUDENT DORMITORY	483 PN		4,500		JUN 92		NOV 93			
832-266	UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	418,000 LF		2,920		SEP 92		DEC 93			
				TOTAL:		8,710					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)											
171-621		7-LEVEL TRAINING CLASSROOMS		11,400 SF		1,800					
721-315		7-LEVEL TRAINING DORMITORY		89,000 SF		8,800					
812-224		UPGRADE ELECTRICAL SYSTEM		LS		2,950					
				TOTAL:		13,550					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
721-312		REPLACE DORMITORY AND DINING FACILITY		500 PN		4,700					
721-312		STUDENT DORMITORY		500 PN		4,800					
740-674		PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER		28,500 SF		4,900					
824-464		UPGRADE BASE GAS SYSTEM		LS		4,300					
10. Mission or Major Functions: Training center for avionics, communications, electronics, radar systems, computer and command-and-control systems, personnel, and administrative courses; an Air Force Reserve airlift wing (C-130 and WC-130 aircraft); an Air Combat Command airborne command and control squadron (EC-130 aircraft); and a major Air Force medical center.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:										0	
b. Water pollution:										0	
c. Occupational safety and health:										0	
d. Other Environmental:										0	

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KEESLER AIR FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI			4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE STUDENT DORMITORY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 8.57.96T	6. CATEGORY CODE 721-312	7. PROJECT NUMBER MAHC933000	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 4,500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UPGRADE STUDENT DORMITORY	SF	137,500	22	3,025	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				820	
UTILITIES	LS			(50)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(40)	
ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS			(730)	
SUBTOTAL				3,845	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				385	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,230	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				254	
TOTAL REQUEST				4,484	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,500	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: All work necessary to renovate one enlisted student dormitory. Remove asbestos materials; upgrade all latrines; replace the HVAC system and roof; upgrade electric service and distribution; install new ceiling and lights; replace all windows, doors, and frames; install gypsum board wall surfaces, new floor covering, hurricane panels and other features as required. Air Conditioning: 350 Tons. Grade Mix: 500 El-E4.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 5,630 PN ADEQUATE: 2,420 PN SUBSTANDARD: 4,407 PN PROJECT: Upgrade student dormitory. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective is to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Adequate on-base living quarters are required to accommodate enlisted students preparing for highly technical career fields to ensure that an environment conducive to studying is available. Properly designed and finished quarters are essential to meet the housing needs of all students. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing dormitory, which is far below Air Force Standards, was constructed in 1953. Common latrines, inadequate lighting, poor insulation and sound attenuation, obsolete electrical and mechanical systems, settled floors and foundation problems are major deficiencies in this facility. Upgrade is required to extend the life of the facility an additional 25 years and provide adequate living conditions for students. Project will not change the interior configuration of common latrines. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The student dormitory will remain substandard and will require increasingly larger investments of scarce operations and maintenance resources. Substandard living conditions will continue to be a major quality of life issue.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KEESLER AIR FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE STUDENT DORMITORY	MAHC933000	
<p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, revitalization was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KEESLER AIR FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE STUDENT DORMITORY	MAHG933000	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUN 30
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 10
(d) Date Design Complete		93 NOV 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		KEESLER
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		275
(b) All Other Design Costs		45
(c) Total		320
(d) Contract		242
(e) In-house		78
(4) Construction Start		
		94 FEB
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KEESLER AIR FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI		4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 8.57.56T	6. CATEGORY CODE 832-266	7. PROJECT NUMBER MAHC943010	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,920	

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	LS			1,950
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				515
UTILITIES	LS			(5)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(5)
REMEDIATION OF CONTAMINATION	LS			(505)
SUBTOTAL				2,465
CONTINGENCY (10%)				247
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,712
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				176
TOTAL REQUEST				2,888
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,920

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Perform all work to upgrade the existing sanitary sewer system and remediate associated contamination throughout the entire base.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Upgrade the sanitary sewer system. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: This is a Level I environmental compliance project. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) requires known releases of hazardous substances to the environment be cleaned up under the laws of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). A condition of the base's RCRA Part B Permit is to remediate the sanitary sewer system, which has been identified by EPA. Repair and upgrade of existing underground sanitary sewer lines is required to prevent environmental contamination, overloading due to storm water infiltration and to protect the health and welfare of individuals working and residing on base.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing sewer lines were designed and constructed in 1941 to meet the requirements on Keesler AFB at that time. A TV survey of approximately 20% of the system identified more than 30 breaks or offset joints. Clay and concrete pipe systems have deteriorated, causing ground water and heavy rain infiltration thus causing raw sewage to overflow into the storm water system. This overflow has the potential to pollute the Mississippi Sound and the Back Bay of Biloxi, which are highly used recreational and sensitive shell fish areas.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The base is in violation of polluting state waters and under RCRA will be subject to penalties, enforcement action, and possible loss of RCRA permit from EPA.

ADDITIONAL: All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KEESLER AIR FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	MAHG943010	
<p>requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KEESLER AIR FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	MAHG943010	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 SEP 15	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 15	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 DEC 15	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	170	
(b) All Other Design Costs	85	
(c) Total	255	
(d) Contract	210	
(e) In-house	45	
(4) Construction Start		
	94 APR	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI				AIR COMBAT COMMAND				1.11		
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		481	2911	395						
b. End FY 1998		353	2661	361						
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (4,958)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 478,866										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 212,670										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 43,538										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 30,350										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 38,670										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 804,094										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START	CMPL	
113-321	B-2 AIRCRAFT APRON/TAXIWAY UPGRADE			LS	3,400			JUN 92	JUL 93	
121-122	B-2 HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM LOOP II, PHASE II			1,500 LF	2,700			AUG 91	MAY 93	
211-173	B-2 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE DOCKS			2 EA	14,500			AUG 91	MAY 93	
214-467	B-2 VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY			7,000 SF	1,700			AUG 92	JUL 93	
422-264	B-2 ADD TO AND ALTER MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITIES			LS	3,338			AUG 92	AUG 93	
800-000	B-2 UTILITY UPGRADE			LS	4,850			AUG 92	DEC 93	
851-147	B-2 UPGRADE BASE ROADS, PHASE I			LS	5,900			JUN 90	DEC 93	
851-147	B-2 DEFENSE ACCESS ROADS			LS	7,150			MAY 92	JUL 93	
TOTAL:					43,538					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
113-321	B-2 AIRCRAFT APRON, TAXIWAY AND CONVOY ROADS			20,900 SY	4,800					
141-453	BASE OPERATIONS FACILITY			16,200 SF	3,050					
211-173	B-2 MAINTENANCE DOCKS/HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM			2 EA	15,700					
800-000	B-2 ADD TO AND ALTER UTILITY SYSTEM			LS	2,600					
871-183	STORM DRAINAGE FACILITIES			LS	1,000					
872-247	AIRFIELD FENCING			25,400 LF	1,200					
880-000	B-2 ADD TO AND ALTER DOCK AND HANGAR FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS			144,000 SP	2,000					
TOTAL:					30,350					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
211-111	B-2 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE DOCKS			LS	25,000					
442-758	WAREHOUSE			107,000 SF	9,900					
740-443	TRANSIENT LODGING FACILITY			8 UN	750					
740-674	PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER			14,500 SP	2,500					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI				4. COMMAND AIR COMBAT COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.11			
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT		STUDENTS			SUPPORTED				
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of											
b. End FY											
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage:											
b. Inventory Total As Of:											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program:											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:											
g. Remaining Deficiency:											
h. Grand Total:											
830-000 SANITARY SEWER CONNECTION LS 520											
10. Mission or Major Functions: A missile wing consisting of three Minuteman intercontinental ballistic missile squadrons and a combat air rescue detachment with HH-1 helicopters. Primary base to receive the new B-2 bomber.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution: 0											
b. Water pollution: 1,520											
c. Occupational safety and health: 0											
d. Other Environmental: 0											

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI			B-2 AIRCRAFT APRON/TAXIWAY UPGRADE		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
1.11.27	113-321	YWHC929240	3,400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
B-2 AIRCRAFT APRON/TAXIWAY UPGRADE		SY	16,300	130	2,119
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					780
BLAST FENCE		LF	1,100	709	(780)
SUBTOTAL					2,899
CONTINGENCY (10%)					290
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					3,189
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					191
TOTAL REQUEST					3,380
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					3,400
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Level and grade site; install drainage tile and pipe and tie into drainage system; construct rigid pavement aprons connecting to existing taxiways and munitions convoy routes. Install blast fence.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: B-2 aircraft apron/taxiway upgrade. (New Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: Adequate pavements are required to support all B-2 ground operations. A taxiway and access apron must be provided to allow access from maintenance docks to hardstands (parking positions for weapons upload). Convoy roads are also required to support movement of weapons from the weapons storage area to the aircraft docks and hardstands. Blast fences are required to protect fuel storage tanks.					
CURRENT SITUATION: No access apron exists to provide access to new aircraft maintenance docks, taxiways or munitions convoy roads.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Aircraft and munitions load trailers will not have access to the maintenance docks and hardstands. Without weapons, the aircraft cannot be readied and will not be able to perform their assigned mission, thereby impacting national defense plans.					
ADDITIONAL: A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. Consequently, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exclusion has been prepared. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide;" however, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements."					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
B-2 AIRCRAFT APRON/TAXIWAY UPGRADE	YWHG929240	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUN 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 AUG 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 28
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		WHITEMAN
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		210
(b) All Other Design Costs		105
(c) Total		315
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		315
(4) Construction Start		
		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI			B-2 HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM LOOP II, PHASE II		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
1.11.27	121-122	YWHG959204	2,700		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
B-2 HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM LOOP II, PHASE II	LF	1,800	800	1,440	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				990	
UTILITIES	LS			(135)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(65)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(215)	
FUEL PITS AND DRAINS	LS			(575)	
SUBTOTAL				2,430	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				122	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,552	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				153	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,705	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,700	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Fuel piping distribution network for remaining docks, access road for vehicles and other necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Construct B-2 hydrant fueling system, loop II, phase II. (New Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: A 4,800 gallon per minute (GPM) hydrant fuel system capable of providing 1,200 GPM to each of four aircraft simultaneously or 600 GPM to each of eight aircraft simultaneously. Force generation schedules for war and contingency missions require simultaneous fueling of multiple aircraft, which requires a system with a capacity of 4,800 GPM. Refueling outlets located inside B-2 maintenance docks will be supplied by this distribution loop.					
CURRENT SITUATION: FY 90-93 Military Construction (MILCON) projects have provided a 4,800 GPM two-loop hydrant fueling system with distribution to eight maintenance dock spaces (FY 88-93 MILCON). This project completes the final phase of the second loop by providing fuel distribution for six future maintenance docks.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: B-2 refueling will have to be from tank trucks, a time consuming, labor intensive process that will not allow the wing to meet mission generation times. Accordingly, the Air Force has not programmed procurement of the large number of refueling trucks that would be required for truck refueling of the B-2.					
ADDITIONAL: A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. Consequently, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exclusion has been					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI		
4. PROJECT TITLE B-2 HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM LOOP II, PHASE II		5. PROJECT NUMBER YWHG959204
<p>prepared. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide;" however, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements."</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
B-2 HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM LOOP II, PHASE II	YWHG959204	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 AUG 22
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		65%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 MAR 10
(d) Date Design Complete		93 MAY 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		135
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		135
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		135
(4) Construction Start		
		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI		4. PROJECT TITLE B-2 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE DOCKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 1.11.27	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-173	7. PROJECT NUMBER YWHG939279	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 14,500	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
B-2 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE DOCKS	SP	52,500	160	8,400
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				4,625
UTILITIES	LS			(435)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(350)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(640)
HYD/CASS	LS			(3,200)
SUBTOTAL				13,025
CONTINGENCY (5%)				651
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				13,676
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				821
TOTAL REQUEST				14,497
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				14,500
<p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Heated steel frame structures with powered hangar doors, fire protection system, and prewired conduit for phone, data and security systems. Ground points in floor, oil/water separator, blast deflectors and Consolidated Aircraft Support System (CASS) (pantograph system, outlets and air conditioning). Inverted deluge system (IDS) underlying fire suppression.</p> <p>Air Conditioning: 130 Tons.</p> <p>11. REQUIREMENT: 367,500 EA ADEQUATE: 157,500 EA SUBSTANDARD: 0</p> <p>PROJECT: Construct B-2 aircraft maintenance docks. (New Mission)</p> <p>REQUIREMENT: All B-2 aircraft assigned to the base must have an enclosed space to permit maintenance under all environmental conditions. Enclosed facilities will include 14 maintenance docks, a fuel cell dock, corrosion control dock, and a heavy maintenance hangar (two spaces). This project provides maintenance docks 7 and 8. B-2 docks are constructed in pairs due to the shared hydrant fuel/CASS area. The docks provide a minimally heated environment for maintenance crews to work on structural, propulsion and electronic components. The dock must withstand jet blast as aircraft taxi out. Rear doors are sized for access by munitions loading trailers. Construction is phased to accommodate aircraft delivery and to take advantage of economies of scale. Refueling and CASS provisions are required in each maintenance space.</p> <p>CURRENT SITUATION: Three maintenance spaces (fuel cell, corrosion control and one dock) were provided in the FY 88 MILCON and three in the FY 89 MILCON (alter existing hangar = 2 spaces; and 1 dock). Two maintenance dock spaces are in FY 91 and two in FY93. Six additional spaces will be programmed in future years (two spaces in FY 95 and the remainder in later years). No other facilities are available to provide covered maintenance</p>				

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI		
4. PROJECT TITLE B-2 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE DOCKS		5. PROJECT NUMBER YWHG939279
<p>space for aircraft already authorized.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Because of the aircraft's low observable features, structural and propulsion maintenance tasks will have to be performed frequently. Complete coverage of the aircraft while on the ground will increase combat capability by reducing maintenance task times, repaint downtime, and fleet generation time. Failure to provide the necessary covered maintenance space will reduce aircraft availability and mission effectiveness, while increasing maintenance and turn-around time for the aircraft.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. Consequently, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exclusion has been prepared. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide," nor in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements."</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
B-2 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE DOCKS	YWHG939279	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 AUG 22
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		65%
(c) Date 35% Designed		91 NOV 04
(d) Date Design Complete		93 MAY 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		310
(b) All Other Design Costs		100
(c) Total		410
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		410
(4) Construction Start		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI			B-2 VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
1.11.27	214-467	YWHG949205	1,700		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
B-2 VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	LS			1,095	
VEHICLE REFUELING SHOP	SP	4,000	180	(720)	
PETROLEUM OPERATIONS FACILITY	SP	3,000	125	(375)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				440	
UTILITIES	LS			(150)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(225)	
DEMOLISH BUILDINGS	SP	2,300	7	(15)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(50)	
SUBTOTAL				1,535	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				77	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,612	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				97	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,709	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,700	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete footings, block walls, brick veneer, and pitched metal roof. Facility will include wash rack/inspection bay, three maintenance bays, shops, storage, and administration space. Includes oil/water separator, connection to industrial wastewater treatment, entrapment curbs/dikes, security fencing, fire protection, utilities, pavements, and associated work. Air Conditioning: 16 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 7,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 1,950 SF PROJECT: Construct B-2 vehicle maintenance facility. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: A maintenance shop is needed to service aircraft refueling vehicles and associated fuel handling equipment to support the B-2 mission. The number of refueling vehicles has more than doubled due to the increased workload associated with 18 T-38s and 24 A/OA-10s (T-38s support B-2s through the Accelerated Copilot Enrichment program). Although the B-2s will be serviced primarily via the hydrant system, the T-38s and A/OA-10s will be serviced strictly by refueling vehicles. A four-bay facility is required for the following functions: One bay provides for operations functions, wash rack, inspection, dispatch, emergency showers, latrines, and a locker room for removing fuel contaminated-clothing. One bay is used primarily for storage of the large amount of test equipment. The remaining two bays are strictly for performing maintenance. CURRENT SITUATION: No adequately sized facility exists to accommodate the new refueling vehicles and expansion of the existing two-bay facility is not feasible. The existing bays are four feet too short to accommodate an R-11 refueling vehicle. They lack OSHA-required ventilation to prevent maintenance personnel from being exposed to fuel fumes. The facility					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
B-2 VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	YWHG949205	
<p>lacks a fire suppression system, and it does not have fuel/water separators and spill containment features the Clean Water Act requires. The existing fuel storage tanks do not meet EPA underground storage tank standards for leak detection or spill prevention and have released fuels into the base sewer treatment plant in violation of the base's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit. The environmental, fire, structural, and safety limitations of the existing facility would make expansion expensive. In addition, the existing facility is located two miles from the flightline, which means the large refueling vehicles are driven back and forth through community and dormitory areas. The existing 2,300 SF facility will be demolished with this project.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Without this project, the base cannot properly maintain the refueling vehicles that directly support Whiteman's flying missions. In addition, if this facility is not constructed, unsafe refueling maintenance and repair techniques may be employed in an attempt to meet mission needs, which may result in costly damage to equipment and injury to personnel. Lastly the Air Force could be liable for environmental fines resulting from EPA/DNR notices of violation.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design Guide. However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
B-2 VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	YWHG949205	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 20
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 16
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		102
(b) All Other Design Costs		251
(c) Total		353
(d) Contract		195
(e) In-house		158
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI			B-2 ADD TO AND ALTER MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITIES		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
1.11.27	422-264	YWHC949209	3,338		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
B-2 ADD TO AND ALTER MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITIES	LS			2,294	
ALTER STORAGE IGLOO	SF	10,700	32	(342)	
ROTARY LAUNCHER STORAGE FACILITY	SF	6,000	120	(720)	
ADD STORAGE IGLOO	SF	5,600	220	(1,232)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				565	
UTILITIES	LS			(160)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(350)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(55)	
SUBTOTAL				2,859	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				286	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,145	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				189	
TOTAL REQUEST				3,334	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,338	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Earthwork and concrete foundations. Alter/upgrade existing igloos and adjoining pavements for B-2 conventional munitions storage requirements. Provide steel rotary launcher assembly (RLA) storage facility with roll-up doors and concrete ramp on each end of the igloos and the new RLA building, necessary utilities, and fire protection.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: B-2 add to and alter munitions storage facilities. (New Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: Adequate storage for conventional munitions and rotary launcher equipment for the B-2 aircraft. All of the existing eleven Minuteman II munitions storage igloos must be altered to accommodate B-2 conventional munitions storage. The igloo must be of sufficient construction and condition to store conventional B-2 weapons safely and securely. An all weather building is required to store and protect rotary launcher assemblies (RLAs) and launch loading adaptors (LLAs). A 100-ft wide apron is required at the end of the RLA/LLA storage facility to allow for access of B-2 munitions handling equipment.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The base has insufficient storage capacity for B-2 conventional munitions and rotary launcher assemblies. No space is available to accommodate the rotary launcher assembly storage requirement.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Storage for munitions and related equipment will not be available.					
ADDITIONAL: A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. Consequently, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exclusion has been prepared. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
B-2 ADD TO AND ALTER MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITIES	YWHG949209	
<p>Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide;" however, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements."</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
B-2 ADD TO AND ALTER MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITIES	YWHG949209	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 13
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 09
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		180
(b) All Other Design Costs		188
(c) Total		368
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		368
(4) Construction Start 93 DEC		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI			4. PROJECT TITLE B-2 UTILITY UPGRADE		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 1.11.27		6. CATEGORY CODE 800-000	7. PROJECT NUMBER YWHG942500	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 4,850	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
B-2 UTILITY UPGRADE		LS			3,950
ELEC DISTR AND TRANS LINES		LS			(150)
WASTE/STORM COLLECTION SYSTEM		LS			(625)
RELOCATE COMM LINES		LS			(300)
TERTIARY TREATMENT LAGOON SYSTEM		LS			(2,875)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					185
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(85)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(100)
SUBTOTAL					4,135
CONTINGENCY (10%)					414
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					4,549
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					273
TOTAL REQUEST					4,822
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					4,850
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Water, sewer, industrial waste treatment, pavements, transformers, poles, gas distribution, and electric cable. Associated demolition, excavation of valves, and manholes; connections to existing utilities.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: B-2 utility upgrade. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: On-base utilities and infrastructure require expansion to support an increased demand associated with the B-2 mission. Electrical power, sewer, water and industrial wastewater treatment must be upgraded and expanded to support the construction of hydrant fuel systems, maintenance docks, vehicle maintenance and weapons storage facilities. The storm water collection system requires upgrade to remain in compliance with the Clean Water Act (40 CFR 122.26), after completion of the facilities mentioned above causes an increase in storm water runoff. A tertiary sewage treatment lagoon system is required to remove heavy metals and toxic compounds from the runoff collected from the airfield and maintenance docks. CURRENT SITUATION: Existing base utility systems were built in the 1950s and are not capable of supporting the new facilities being built to support the B-2 mission. Whiteman AFB utility systems have been partially upgraded through the FY 88-93 MILCON programs; however, facilities constructed in subsequent programs require additional funding for connection to main systems, and the main systems themselves require upgrade to meet the added demands. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The existing utility system will be unable to support the new facilities constructed as part of the B-2 beddown. Lack of adequate utilities will reduce day-to-day operating capability, and, in					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
B-2 UTILITY UPGRADE	YWHG942500	
<p>the case of the storm water collection system, could result in storm water runoff violating the Clean Water Act. Violations could result in heavy fines and shut down of airfield operations. Similarly, not constructing the tertiary treatment lagoon would lead to violations of the base's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit, once new B-2 facilities become operational.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
B-2 UTILITY UPGRADE		YWHG942500
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 08
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		85
(b) All Other Design Costs		35
(c) Total		120
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		120
(4) Construction Start		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI			4. PROJECT TITLE B-2 UPGRADE BASE ROADS, PHASE I		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 1.11.27	6. CATEGORY CODE 851-147	7. PROJECT NUMBER YWHG919240	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 5,900		

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
B-2 UPGRADE BASE ROADS, PHASE I	LS			4,573
MITCHELL AVENUE AND SEVENTH STREET	LF	10,700	410	(4,387)
VISITOR CENTER	SP	1,200	155	(186)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				450
RELOCATE UTILITIES	LS			(100)
STORM DRAINAGE	LS			(200)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(75)
PARKING PAVEMENTS AND DRAINS	LS			(75)
SUBTOTAL				5,023
CONTINGENCY (10%)				502
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,525
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				332
TOTAL REQUEST				5,857
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,900

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Relocate required utilities. Demolish and reconstruct Mitchell Avenue and Seventh Street. Provide new subbase and concrete roadways for two lanes and a continuous left turn lane on Mitchell. Include curb and gutter, sidewalk, storm sewer and streetlighting. Add visitor center with parking near Mitchell Gate. Construct terrorist barriers at entrance gates.
Air Conditioning: 5 Tons.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Upgrade base roads, Phase I. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Adequate roadways and traffic control to provide safe and efficient flow of traffic throughout the base. In this phase, Mitchell Avenue will be widened to serve the dorm complex, community center, and administrative areas. A Visitor Center is required to divert visitor traffic from the main traffic flow and process individuals for entry to the base. Terrorist barriers are required at the main gates for immediate closure in the event of threatening conditions. In Phase II, a heavy duty road is required from the Mitchell Gate to the industrial area and to the fuel storage area for increased fuel deliveries via truck. Phase II is programmed for FY 96 at \$5.8 million.

CURRENT SITUATION: Existing base streets and roads were not constructed to handle the volume and/or weight loads of vehicles associated with the dual wing mission or the construction activity for the B-2 mission beddown. The construction traffic and numerous utility cuts in the roadways (Mitchell Avenue has been cut and repaired 25 times during B-2 beddown construction) have virtually destroyed the existing base road infrastructure. Continuous temporary repairs to the damaged base roads are allowing base operations to continue. Traffic currently enters the

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
B-2 UPGRADE BASE ROADS, PHASE I	YWHG919240	
<p>base from two twenty-four hour gates and one part-time gate and is constricted to two lanes, one inbound and one outbound. The main roads through the base are already congested. Use of Mitchell Avenue by trucks has been restricted because of limited load (design) capacity of the pavement. Congestion frequently develops at the Mitchell Gate because there are no adequate parking facilities for visitors while they obtain proper clearances to enter the base.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The combination of high traffic volume and inadequate base thoroughfares will result in potentially serious safety problems as traffic backs up onto off-base access roads during the morning rush hour. Traffic entering the base will encounter delays as the existing two-lane roads cannot efficiently handle the traffic load generated by the added B-2 mission. The safety of over 6,000 military and civilian personnel could be jeopardized by deteriorated roads which were not designed to handle the current or future traffic demands.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. Consequently, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exclusion has been prepared. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide;" however, this project does meet the scope/criteria specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements."</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
B-2 UPGRADE BASE ROADS, PHASE I	YWHC919240	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		90 JUN 04
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		90 AUG 20
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 06
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		448
(b) All Other Design Costs		80
(c) Total		528
(d) Contract		289
(e) In-house		239
(4) Construction Start		
		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI			B-2 DEFENSE ACCESS ROADS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT		6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)	
1.11.27		851-147	YWHG939302	7,150	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
B-2 DEFENSE ACCESS ROADS		LS			5,395
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					1,010
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(555)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(455)
SUBTOTAL					6,405
CONTINGENCY (5%)					320
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					6,725
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					404
TOTAL REQUEST					7,129
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					7,150
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Demolish existing pavements, reconstruct a wider road with adequate subbase and asphalt pavement. Includes concrete curbs, storm drains, street and traffic lighting. Relocate buried utilities and stripe roads.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Construct defense access roads. (New Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: Provide required safe access to the base gates on the west side of the base from State Highway 23 and US Highway 50, via a diamond interchange and connector to State route 132. This is the last phase of a multi-phase effort.					
CURRENT SITUATION: FY 91 B-2 MILCON funds constructed bridges, installed roadway subbase in areas of Highway 50/23/Route 132 connector and upgraded subbase and pavement on existing route 132. However, these public access roads to the base are still inadequate for any increased traffic volume. Although the preceeding work improved the condition of the existing roads to Whiteman AFB, it did not increase the volume of traffic they could safely accommodate. After a defense access road "needs" report submittal to the Military Traffic Management Command (MTMC, US Army) for review, validation and coordination with federal, state, and local transportation agencies, MTMC determined that widening Route 132 from the base to Route 50, constructing an interchange at the intersection of Routes 132, 23 and 50, and realigning State Route 23 are all needed to handle traffic volume. All are eligible for federal funding.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Public access roads, US Highway 50, State Highway 23 and State Route 132 leading to and from the base, will remain inadequate to handle traffic volume. Without these improvements, significant traffic hazards will go unabated. Excess volume on the					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
B-2 DEFENSE ACCESS ROADS	YWHG939302	
<p>existing roads will make driving unsafe as will traffic entering arterial Highway 50 from a perpendicular secondary state road.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. Consequently, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exclusion has been prepared. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide," nor in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements."</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
B-2 DEFENSE ACCESS ROADS	YWHG939302	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 MAY 06
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan . 93		65%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 20
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		300
(b) All Other Design Costs		100
(c) Total		400
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		400
(4) Construction Start		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. COMMAND		5. AREA CONST	
MALMSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, MONTANA		AIR MOBILITY COMMAND		COST INDEX 1.20	
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT		STUDENTS	
STRENGTH		OFF ENL CIV		OFF ENL CIV	
a. As of 30 SEP 92		640 3766 479		1 8 1	
b. End FY 1998		654 3680 471		1 8 1	
				TOTAL	
				4,895	
				4,815	
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)					
a. Total Acreage: (3,659)					
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 308,189					
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 24,290					
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 7,700					
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 11,500					
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 42,550					
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0					
h. Grand Total: 394,229					
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994					
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		COST	
CODE		SCOPE		DESIGN STATUS	
				(\$000) START CMPL	
219-944		BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX (DBOF)		56,100 SF 6,200 DEC 88 JUN 93	
411-135		UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS MINUTEMAN II FACILITIES		15 EA 1,500 DEC 92 SEP 93	
		TOTAL:		7,700	
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)					
141-453		BASE OPERATIONS AND TRAINING		8,715 SF 2,250	
411-135		UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		50 EA 3,200	
411-135		UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS MINUTEMAN III FACILITIES		32 EA 4,000	
740-884		ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		10,500 SF 1,050	
871-183		UPGRADE STORM DRAINAGE FACILITIES		LS 1,000	
		TOTAL:		11,500	
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:					
141-782		AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL		LS 2,300	
141-911		ADD TO AND ALTER MISSILE OPERATIONS BUILDING		78,900 SF 9,200	
141-911		ADD TO AND ALTER MISSILE OPERATIONS BUILDING		74,600 SF 8,600	
212-216		ADD TO AND ALTER MISSILE MAINTENANCE SHOP		10,400 SF 2,150	
610-249		MISSILE COMBAT OPERATIONS CENTER		49,000 SF 8,700	
10. Mission or Major Functions: An air refueling wing with two KC-135 squadrons; and Air Combat Command missile wing consisting of our Minuteman intercontinental ballistic missile squadrons, one of which maintains a continuous alert posture, and a combat air rescue detachment with UH-1 helicopters.					

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX			
MALMSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, MONTANA				AIR MOBILITY COMMAND				1.20			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of											
b. End FY											
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage:											
b. Inventory Total As Of:											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program:											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:											
g. Remaining Deficiency:											
h. Grand Total:											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:										0	
b. Water pollution:										1,500	
c. Occupational safety and health:										0	
d. Other Environmental:										6,350	

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MALMSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, MONTANA			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 1.18.96M	6. CATEGORY CODE 219-944	7. PROJECT NUMBER NZAS943250	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 6,200		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX (DBOF)	SF	47,900		4,753	
BASE PAVEMENTS & GROUND FACILITY	SF	17,500	150	(2,625)	
BASE ENGINEER COVERED STORAGE	SF	30,400	70	(2,128)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				840	
UTILITIES/COMM SUPPORT	LS			(740)	
PAVEMENTS	SY	2,800	36	(100)	
SUBTOTAL				5,593	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				280	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,873	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				352	
TOTAL REQUEST				6,225	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				6,200	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation footings and floor slabs, concrete masonry unit or reinforced precast concrete walls, sloped roof on steel deck, electrically operated vehicle doors, utility services, oil/water separator, storm drainage, parking and storage area pavement upgrade, curbs, gutters, security fencing, CID, fire protection, area lighting and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 10 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 163,415 SF ADEQUATE: 107,353 SF SUBSTANDARD: 47,332 SF PROJECT: Construct a base engineering complex. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Adequate and properly configured facilities are required to house base and missile site workforce management and control and in-house engineering and contract management staffs. Covered storage is required to protect construction materials and snow removal equipment/materials from pilferage and exposure to the deteriorating influences of the weather. Properly configured pavements and grounds and maintenance shop space must be provided for effective execution of facility and utility maintenance and repair responsibilities throughout the base, and off-base missile launch and control facilities. These functions must have an environment conducive to the effective maintenance and support of all facilities, and design/construction of facility projects. Overall space requirements have been adjusted downward in view of reduced future manning for the BCE organization. CURRENT SITUATION: Adequate and safe facilities are not available. The pavements and equipment shop, exterior electric, equipment and material staging areas, and the management offices to support missile engineering, readiness management and training are currently accommodated in a					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MALMSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, MONTANA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX (DBOP)	NZAS943250	
<p>deteriorated World War II wood frame hangar. The facility has been assigned an OSHA Risk Assessment Code (RAC) II, and a Fire Protection Deficiency Code of II, both identifying a serious deficiency which could result in loss of life or resources valued at over \$1M. Because of its location on the flightline, the facility also creates a hazard for aircraft operations due to mud and debris falling from maintenance vehicles and creating a foreign object damage potential for aircraft. The wood roof and wall trusses are cracked and rotten and the built-up roof leaks onto equipment and materials stored in the facility. The hangar has large sections where the cement asbestos siding is missing and has been replaced with painted plywood. The hangar has no wall or roof insulation and no fire suppression or detection systems. Most of the electrical distribution and lighting systems are 1943 vintage and are totally inadequate. The electrical distribution system is overloaded and is a fire hazard. A portion of the base engineer materials and equipment staging areas are located separately from the maintenance complex. This project will consolidate these functions.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Base Civil Engineering management and support functions will continue operating from unsafe, undersized, separated and inadequate facilities which negatively impact mission capability and the morale of assigned personnel. The potential for catastrophic fire or industrial accident with serious injuries or significant loss of life and resources will remain unacceptably high.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MALMSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, MONTANA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX (DBOF)	NZAS943250	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	88 DEC 22	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	90 SEP 15	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 JUN 01	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	372	
(b) All Other Design Costs	180	
(c) Total	552	
(d) Contract	439	
(e) In-house	113	
(4) Construction Start	93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
MALMSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, MONTANA			UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS MINUTEMAN II FACILITIES		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
1.18.56M	411-135	NZAS932500	1,500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		EA	15	85,730	1,286
MINUTEMAN II FACILITIES					1,286
SUBTOTAL					129
CONTINGENCY (10%)					1,415
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					85
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					1,500
TOTAL REQUEST					1,500
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,500
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Excavate and remove 15 underground storage tanks. Dispose of tank residue and test soil at each site. Remove and dispose of any contaminated soil. Replace tanks with new double-walled tanks, interstitial leak detectors, double wall piping, spill/overflow protectors, corrosion control and necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 269 EA ADEQUATE: 165 EA SUBSTANDARD: 95 EA PROJECT: Replace underground fuel storage tanks (USTs), Minuteman II facilities. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance requirement. All regulated USTs must be upgraded in accordance with Federal Law (40CFR 280.21) by December 1998. The law also requires underground tanks have leak detection, corrosion protection and spill/overflow prevention systems to protect human health and the environment. CURRENT SITUATION: Underground storage tanks at missile sites in Montana do not meet Federal regulatory requirements for corrosion protection, leak detection monitoring and overflow/spill protection. These deficiencies must be corrected to prevent violation of Federal UST regulations. Currently, 95 USTs at missile launch and launch control facilities require upgrade or replacement to meet the 1998 Federal deadline. This project is the first of four phases, and will replace 15 USTs at 15 launch facilities. Unit costs for this project are higher than other locations due to the deeper depth of the tanks. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: These improvements to USTs are required by federal law. If they are not accomplished by the established deadline, the base will be in violation of the law and may begin receiving notices of violation, fines, and possible litigation. Undetected tank failures may result in contamination of soil and potable water supplies, resulting					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MALMSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, MONTANA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS MINUTEMAN II FACILITIES	NZAS932500	
<p>in a threat to human health and well being and extremely costly cleanup measures.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL</u>: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MALMSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, MONTANA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS MINUTEMAN II FACILITIES	NZAS932500	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 DEC 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 JAN 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 20
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		85
(b) All Other Design Costs		65
(c) Total		150
(d) Contract		120
(e) In-house		30
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX		
OFFUTT AIR FORCE BASE, NEBRASKA				AIR COMBAT COMMAND				0.99		
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		2122	7500	1326		58				
b. End FY 1998		1975	6900	1276		58				
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (4,006)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 422,293										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 16,940										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 11,000										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 10,400										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 37,400										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 498,033										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START CMPL		
111-111		REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS AND LIGHTING		76,000 SY		8,700		JUL 92 MAY 93		
811-147		ADD TO EMERGENCY BACK-UP POWER		3,000 KW		2,300		AUG 92 MAY 93		
				TOTAL:		11,000				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
124-135		REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		74 EA		5,000				
219-943		BCE VEHICLE READINESS FACILITY		29,600 SF		4,400				
871-183		STORM DRAINAGE FACILITIES		LS		1,000				
				TOTAL:		10,400				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
110-000		UPGRADE APRON AND TAXIWAYS		LS		5,800				
121-124		UPGRADE JET FUEL PUMPING FACILITY		LS		3,300				
121-124		UPGRADE HYDRANT FUEL PUMPING SYSTEM		LS		18,600				
442-758		WAREHOUSE		20,000 SF		2,500				
813-000		UPGRADE ELECTRIC SUBSTATION		LS		5,700				
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters United States Strategic Command; a flying wing which consists of two reconnaissance squadrons (RC-135 aircraft), two airborne command and control squadrons (E-4 and EX-135 aircraft) which maintain a modified alert posture, and an airlift detachment (C-21 aircraft); an intelligence wing; Air Force Global Weather Central; and a USAF regional hospital.										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution:		0								
b. Water pollution:		1,000								
c. Occupational safety and health:		0								
d. Other Environmental:		0								

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
OFFUTT AIR FORCE BASE, NEBRASKA			REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS AND LIGHTING		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
1.18.96C	111-111	SGBP930909	8,700		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS AND LIGHTING	LS			5,947	
UPGRADE PAVEMENTS	SY	76,000	67	(5,092)	
EDGE DRAINS	LF	13,900	4	(56)	
REPLACE APPROACH/THRESHOLD LIGHTING	LS			(799)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,530	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(530)	
DEMOLITION/CONCRETE REMOVAL	CY	50,000	20	(1,000)	
SUBTOTAL				7,477	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				748	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				8,225	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				494	
TOTAL REQUEST				8,719	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				8,700	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Work necessary for renovation of airfield. Includes replacement of 6,800 LF of center section of runway, grooving, patching, sealing joints and cracks, striping, asphalt replacement, and edge drains. Includes demolition of abandoned runway and construction of blast pad. Includes repair of waterline under runway and replacement of approach/threshold lighting system. All necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
<u>PROJECT:</u> Upgrade airfield pavements and lighting. (Current Mission)					
<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Adequate runway, taxiways and apron pavements to accommodate continuous use by KC/EC/RC-135 and E-4 aircraft. All pavements must be adequately constructed and maintained in such condition that hazards to aircraft and crews are not created by deteriorating pavements and pavement debris. Runways, taxiways, and parking aprons must be free from defects which might impede strategic reconnaissance, airborne command post "Looking Glass", National Emergency Airborne Command Post (NEACP), and various command support missions. A state-of-the-art, reliable threshold and approach lighting system is required to provide a visual approach reference to pilots when landing. A jet engine runup pad is required to support transient aircraft.					
<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The runway keel in each touchdown zone is deteriorating rapidly due to age and continuous heavy use. A 1989 pavement condition survey revealed a Pavement Condition Index (PCI) of less than 25 (VERY POOR) in the south touchdown zone and less than 55 (FAIR) for the balance of the keel section. Even with extensive in-house maintenance and repair efforts, the base has been successful in only returning the PCI in the south touchdown zone to a maximum of 45 (FAIR), with an estimated PCI of 10 (FAILED) or less within 5 years. Portions of					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
OFFUTT AIR FORCE BASE, NEBRASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS AND LIGHTING	SCBP930909	
<p>taxiways and aprons are equally bad and require selective replacement. Upgrade of other airfield areas during runway closure is necessary to minimize future impacts on the flying mission. Repair of a leaking water main which crosses under the north end of the runway is necessary to preclude further damage to the runway subbase. Relocation of this main away from the runway is not feasible due to the topography north of the airfield. The Visual Approach Slope Indicator (VASI) system is obsolete and will be replaced by a Precision Approach Path Indicator (PAPI) system. The VASI is old and unreliable and requires excessive maintenance and repair. It is increasingly difficult to support due to nonavailability of parts. The water main and approach/threshold lighting work is not directly associated with the runway work itself; however, this work would require runway closure if accomplished separately. Therefore, it is both practical and cost effective to include this work in this project. The demolition and removal of an abandoned runway is also necessary as this pavement is near the active runway and has deteriorated to the point that it has become a constant FOD problem.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Continued runway deterioration will result in closure of the runway and relocation of the base mission. The base mission cannot be accomplished if the runway becomes excessively rough or becomes a source of debris which results in foreign object damage to aircraft or creates a hazard to aircraft or crews. Permanent relocation of critical base flying missions (reconnaissance, airborne command post, and NEACP) would be extremely costly due to the special ground support needs and functions associated with mission execution. Failure to provide modern and reliable lighting systems will jeopardize mission execution and the safety of aircrews during night landing operations.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project was done. It indicates there is only one option that satisfies mission requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exclusion has been prepared. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
OFFUTT AIR FORCE BASE, NEBRASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS AND LIGHTING	SGBP930909	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 JUL 25	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 NOV 28	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 MAY 26	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	420	
(b) All Other Design Costs	75	
(c) Total	495	
(d) Contract	25	
(e) In-house	470	
(4) Construction Start	93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
OFFUTT AIR FORCE BASE, NEBRASKA			ADD TO EMERGENCY BACK-UP POWER		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
1.18.96C	811-147	SCBP900909	2,300		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ADD TO EMERGENCY BACK-UP POWER	LS			2,040	
DIESEL GEN W/ACCESSORIES	KW	3,000	420	(1,260)	
ADDITION TO EXISTING POWER PLANT	SF	4,000	110	(440)	
MECHANICAL ALTERATIONS	LS			(180)	
ELECTRICAL ALTERATIONS	LS			(160)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				50	
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS	LS			(10)	
UTILITIES	LS			(40)	
SUBTOTAL				2,090	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				105	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,195	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				132	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,327	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,300	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct addition to power plant matching existing construction. Install one 3.0 MW diesel driven generator set with all accessories and connect to existing system. Includes additional cooling tower capability, relocation of waste lube-oil tank and storm drains, and substation modification. Includes all necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Construct addition to emergency power generator plant. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: Emergency backup power capability to support a 13,550 KW connected load. Adequate backup power to support critical command and control functions including the STRATCOM command post, Air Force Global Weather, the HQ Space Command Processing Correlation Center, and functions associated with reconnaissance and intelligence gathering missions. The emergency power requirement has been reevaluated in view of changes in the Air Force command structure and is valid as proposed by this project.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The existing power plant consists of three 650 KW and three 3.0 MW generator sets. The connected emergency power load is 123% of generator capacity. To prevent surge overloads and automatic plant shutdown, it is necessary to selectively and slowly bring the full load on line following a commercial power outage. Plant loading increases to 170% if one of the three large 3.0 MW generators is down for maintenance. The installation of one 3.0 MW generator set will provide capacity for the existing load. This project adds emergency power production capacity to support demands which have evolved since the plant was last upgraded years ago. This includes major new critical power demands to support missions such as the STRATCOM Command Post and the HQ Space Command Mission					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
OFFUTT AIR FORCE BASE, NEBRASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO EMERGENCY BACK-UP POWER	SCBP900909	
<p>Processing and Correlation Center. Normal administrative functions are not included as a part of the emergency power load supported in this project. As a secondary benefit to the base, this plant is also used to provide supplemental power to the base electrical distribution system during periods of high demand (i.e., summer air conditioning loads). This practice results in a \$500K to \$750K net annual savings on the base utility bill by reducing the peak demands metered by the local utility company. These demands are used as the basis for future rate structures.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The existing six emergency generator units cannot provide the required support. Delays will occur in bringing functions "on-line" during a commercial power outage. If an outage occurs while one generator unit is down for maintenance, some critical requirements will be unsupportable and operations requiring power will cease. Under best case conditions, such events will disrupt and delay command and control functions. Under worst case conditions, command and control of National Defense resources could be affected with significant implications on the readiness of defense systems.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
OFFUTT AIR FORCE BASE, NEBRASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO EMERGENCY BACK-UP POWER	SCBP900909	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 AUG 15	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	352	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 NOV 20	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 MAY 15	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	138	
(b) All Other Design Costs	69	
(c) Total	207	
(d) Contract	45	
(e) In-house	162	
(4) Construction Start		
	93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX			
NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE, NEVADA				AIR COMBAT COMMAND				1.10			
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		792	5421	975	100						7,288
b. End FY 1998		823	5949	1047	100						7,919
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (24,419)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 357,970											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 31,580											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 1,650											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 4,100											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 19,931											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 415,231											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS			
CODE								START		CMPL	
411-135		UPGRADE POL TANKS		LS		1,650		SEP 92		FEB 93	
				TOTAL:		1,650					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)											
113-321		BOMBER LIVE ORDNANCE		49,100 SY		4,100					
		LOADING APRON									
				TOTAL:		4,100					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
113-321		AIRCRAFT LOADING APRON		18,300 SY		3,050					
126-926		LIQUID FUEL UNLOADING STAND		LS		470					
141-456		OPERATIONS FACILITY		4,000 SF		3,244					
211-183		SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY		1 EA		850					
890-187		AIRFIELD LIGHTING VAULT		3,500 SF		1,900					
10. Mission or Major Functions: USAF Fighter Weapons Center; a flying wing that includes the Weapons School (F-15 and F-16 aircraft), an adversary training squadron (Red Flag), a test group (A-10, F-15, and F-16 aircraft), the USAF Air Demonstration Squadron (Thunderbirds), and a combat air rescue squadron (MH-60 helicopters); and a joint training group (Air Warrior).											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution: 0											
b. Water pollution: 4,900											
c. Occupational safety and health: 0											
d. Other Environmental: 0											

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE, NEVADA			UPGRADE POL TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
2.74.56	411-135	RKMF943004	1,650		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UPGRADE POL TANKS		LS			1,353
REPAIR 15000 BBL STORAGE TANK		EA	1	459,000	(459)
UPGRADE/REPAIR FUEL TANKS		EA	3	195,000	(585)
UPGRADE PIPING		LF	1,500	115	(173)
SITE REMEDIATION		CY	340	400	(136)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					70
UTILITIES		LS			(35)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(35)
SUBTOTAL					1,423
CONTINGENCY (10%)					142
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,565
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					94
TOTAL REQUEST					1,659
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,650
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Work includes repair to bulk storage tank #30 and existing liquid fuel storage tanks. Remove and repair piping and all supporting systems. Provide all utilities and support as required.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
<u>PROJECT:</u> Repair/upgrade existing fuel storage tanks and provide all supporting systems. (Current Mission)					
<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> This is a Level I environmental compliance project. Adequate jet fuel storage and related support facilities are required for the receipt, storage, distribution, and dispensing of fuel products. The repairs and upgrade to bulk fuel storage, and related piping systems are required to eliminate further tank and piping leaks and to meet environmental compliance requirements of 40 CFR 112.7, and Nevada Revised Statute 459.850 which regulate releases of pollutants to the soil, ground and/or surface water.					
<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The liquid fuel storage area consists of four above ground tanks constructed in the 1950's. These tanks hold a total of 3,025,000 gallons of fuel (JP-4). The storage area has been assessed under the Installation Restoration Program (IRP). The assessment was undertaken due to an expanding plume of fuel in an underground aquifer, and deficiencies in the containment berm noted in a March 1991 environmental compliance report. Leak testing conducted on Tank #30 indicated that the tank leaked. Ground water monitor wells in the area also indicate tank leakage has occurred. Tank #30 has been taken out of service. Additionally, the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection advised the installation in a 5 March 1992 letter, that the bottoms of tanks #20, 21, and 29 should be replaced as well because they pose an					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE, NEVADA		
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE POL TANKS		5. PROJECT NUMBER RKMF943004
<p>imminent and substantial hazard to the environment (NRS 459.850). <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The tank farm may be subject to greater regulatory scrutiny because it is located on an Installation Restoration Program (IRP) site. Although regulators have not cited the facility, the potential exists for regulators to declare the facility in violation of a number of environmental regulations. Failure to take corrective action will result in storage tanks which do not meet Federal and State regulatory requirements. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE, NEVADA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE POL TANKS	RKMF943004	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 10
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 FEB 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		67
(b) All Other Design Costs		65
(c) Total		132
(d) Contract		92
(e) In-house		40
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CANNON AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO				4. COMMAND AIR COMBAT COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.10			
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		541	4178	460	32	17	4	1	1		5,234
b. End FY 1998		543	4501	498	32	17	4	1	1		5,597
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (3,818)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 182,312											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 4,100											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 8,915											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 0											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 21,900											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 217,227											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS			
CODE								START	CMPL		
116-665	SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT PAD			1 EA	665	JUN 92	JUL 93				
179-511	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY			1 EA	1,000	MAY 92	JUL 93				
411-135	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS			LS	1,100	SEP 92	JAN 94				
610-127	BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX			65,950 SF	6,150	MAY 92	DEC 93				
TOTAL:					8,915						
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE											
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
149-962	CONTROL TOWER			1 EA	2,500						
211-177	SMALL ACFT MAINTENANCE DOCK			28,000 SF	5,000						
211-177	SMALL AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE DOCK			20,500 SF	4,600						
721-312	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORY			140 PN	4,900						
880-232	FOAM FIRE SYSTEM			LS	4,900						
10. Mission or Major Functions: A fighter wing which includes three F-111 fighter squadrons, a fighter training squadron responsible for training all F-111 aircrews, and an electronic combat squadron (EF-111 aircraft).											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution: 0											
b. Water pollution: 7,500											
c. Occupational safety and health: 0											
d. Other Environmental: 0											

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
CANNON AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO			UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
2.74.56C	411-135	CZQZ943003	1,100		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	EA	38	23,890	908	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				40	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(20)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(20)	
SUBTOTAL				948	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				95	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,043	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				63	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,106	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,100	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Excavate 38 underground storage tanks (USTs) of 150-10,000 gallon capacity. Remove and dispose of tank sludge residue. Replace 33 with vaulted tanks. Project includes release prevention and detection, corrosion protection, site improvements, paving, remediation of contamination, and other necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Remove/replace underground storage tanks (USTs). (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Adequate fuel storage, properly designed and located, is required to satisfy base mission requirements. All petroleum dispensing and operating facilities must be provided with a means for detecting and preventing release of pollutants into the surrounding environment. All USTs must be upgraded in accordance with federal law (40 CFR 280.21) by December 1998. This includes leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention systems to protect human health and the environment.					
CURRENT SITUATION: Underground storage tanks at Cannon AFB do not meet federal requirements for corrosion protection, secondary containment, and overflow/spill protection. The condition of these tanks varies with a majority of the tanks at or exceeding their design life. These deficiencies must be corrected to prevent violation of federal UST regulations. If underground storage tanks require replacement, Air Force policy is to replace them with aboveground tanks or relocate them into underground vaults, whenever possible.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Undetected UST releases can result in contamination of soil and ground water supplies, resulting in a threat to human health and the environment, along with extremely costly cleanup					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
CANNON AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	CZQZ943003	
<p>measures. These improvements to USTs are mandated by federal law. If not accomplished by the established deadline, the base will be in violation of the law and subject to receiving Notices of Violation, fines, and significant adverse publicity.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements."</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
CANNON AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	CZQZ943003	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 30
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		15%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 25
(d) Date Design Complete		94 JAN 18
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		66
(b) All Other Design Costs		22
(c) Total		88
(d) Contract		66
(e) In-house		22
(4) Construction Start		94 APR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CANNON AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO		4. PROJECT TITLE BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 2.75.96C	6. CATEGORY CODE 610-127	7. PROJECT NUMBER CZQZ913098	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 6,150	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX	SF	66,250		4,605
BASE ENGINEER MANAGEMENT/DISASTER PREP	SF	20,700	100	(2,070)
BASE ENGINEER COVERED STORAGE	SF	17,900	50	(895)
EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL	SF	4,750	80	(380)
ADD/ALT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	SF	22,900	55	(1,260)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				940
SITE IMPROVEMENTS & PAVEMENTS	LS			(250)
DEMOLISH BUILDINGS & ASBESTOS REMOVAL	SF	50,000	10	(560)
UTILITIES	LS			(190)
SUBTOTAL				5,545
CONTINGENCY (5%)				277
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,822
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				349
TOTAL REQUEST				6,171
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				6,150
<p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundations, structural steel frames, masonry walls, and sloped roofs. Management area includes offices, conference room, vault storage, and design section. A Contractor Operated Civil Engineer Supply Store (COCES) is included for covered storage. Asbestos must be removed before demolition.</p> <p>Air Conditioning: 65 Tons.</p> <p>11. REQUIREMENT: 90,075 SF ADEQUATE: 16,939 SF SUBSTANDARD: 84,915 SF PROJECT: Construct a base civil engineering complex. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Adequate base engineer management and covered storage facilities are required to develop, program, and execute requirements to maintain, repair, operate, and construct facilities, pavements, and utility systems in support of the flying mission. Disaster Preparedness requires adequate space to provide planning, management, training, and operations to prepare all personnel to protect Air Force resources from the effects of attacks and/or disaster situations, restore primary mission assets, and fulfill humanitarian disaster relief responsibilities. Adequate space is required for Explosive Ordnance to perform services on explosives hazardous to operations, facilities, personnel or material. Adequate maintenance facilities are required to support personnel of all trades, to maintain and operate facilities, pavements, and utility systems in support of the base mission. CURRENT SITUATION: The Civil Engineering functions are presently housed in wood-frame WWII structures and are the first facilities observed by visitors to the base. These facilities are deteriorated and are inadequately heated and cooled. Foundations are failing causing excessive differential settlement. This has resulted in load bearing walls being up to two inches lower than the center of the room. Windows are popping out.</p>				

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
CANNON AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX	CZQZ913098	
<p>and those that stay in are difficult to open or close. The rafter systems are buckling in the roofs causing a "sway back" condition. Wood floors have asbestos tile and are deteriorated which allows small animals into the buildings. Two major facilities were not upgraded since construction in 1944, except for limited fire protection. The room that contains all base facility drawings has no fire protection. A serious fire hazard is created due to an outdated electrical system in this area. The configuration and layout of these facilities has produced a nonfunctional interior arrangement of work areas which hampers communication and productivity. The Air Force has directed Disaster Preparedness and Explosive Ordnance Disposal be incorporated as a functional organization within Civil Engineering. These functions are located in outdated and poorly configured facilities in another area of the installation which further complicates the separation of functions and hampers communication and productivity at the same time reductions in manpower necessitate greater efficiency and productivity. This project demolishes 50,142 SF of substandard space.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The Civil Engineering organization will be forced to occupy unsafe, inefficient, and inadequate facilities with functions being geographically separated. The resulting lower productivity potential of this setup adversely affects Cannon's flying mission since the base is heavily dependent upon Civil Engineering's support.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements." An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
CANNON AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX	CZQZ913098	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 MAY 27	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 SEP 14	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 DEC 16	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	260	
(b) All Other Design Costs	98	
(c) Total	358	
(d) Contract	260	
(e) In-house	98	
(4) Construction Start	94 FEB	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)										2. DATE	
AIR FORCE													
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION						4. COMMAND						5. AREA CONST COST INDEX	
HOLLOMAN AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO						AIR COMBAT COMMAND						1.01	
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED					
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL		
a. As of 30 SEP 92		555	4031	976	181	150	12	7	8	61	5,981		
b. End FY 1998		549	3993	1019	181	150	12	7	8	61	5,980		
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)													
a. Total Acreage: (58,565)													
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92)											276,483		
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:											84,030		
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:											9,200		
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995)											3,900		
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:											26,190		
g. Remaining Deficiency:											0		
h. Grand Total:											399,863		
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994													
CATEGORY							COST		DESIGN		STATUS		
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE					(\$000)	START	CMPL				
411-135	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS					1,000	NOV 92	AUG 93				
721-312	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES	296 PN					6,400	JUN 92	OCT 93				
832-266	SEWER EFFLUENT SYSTEM	LS					1,800	JUN 92	JUN 93				
TOTAL:						9,200							
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)													
721-312 ALTER DORMITORY		126 PN					3,900						
TOTAL:						3,900							
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:													
112-211 TAXIWAY		25,750 SY					1,540						
130-142 FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION		5,700 SF					1,300						
315-944 ADD TO AND ALTER WEAPON GUIDANCE LABORATORY		3,950 SF					1,150						
721-312 ALTER UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED HSC		250 PN					6,900						
842-245 UPGRADE UTILITIES, PHASE II		123,000 LF					5,200						
10. Mission or Major Functions: A fighter wing with three F-117 squadrons one of which is responsible for training all F-117 aircrews, a fighter training group with two AT-38 squadrons, and a combat air rescue detachment (MH-60 helicopters); a mobility support squadron (maintains the Harvest Base kit); an Air Force Materiel Command test group; a German Air Force fighter training squadron (F-4 aircraft); and an Air National Guard fighter interceptor detachment (F-16 aircraft).													
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:													
a. Air pollution:											0		
b. Water pollution:											0		
c. Occupational safety and health:											0		
d. Other Environmental:											0		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HOLLOMAN AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 2.75.96C	6. CATEGORY CODE 721-312	7. PROJECT NUMBER KWRD933014	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 6,400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (296 PN)	SF	58,200		4,599	
ALTERATION	SF	49,600	83	(4,117)	
ADDITION	SF	8,600	56	(482)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				890	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(25)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(225)	
ASBESTOS REMOVAL	SF	49,600	6	(300)	
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(130)	
UTILITIES	LS			(210)	
SUBTOTAL				5,489	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				549	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				6,038	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				362	
TOTAL REQUEST				6,400	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				6,400	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alteration of structural, mechanical, and electrical systems including, installation of exterior balconies for entrances to each room; installation of room-bath-room modules; noise attenuation, and asbestos removal. Prewire each room for telephone and cable television. Install new lounge, laundry, and storage areas. Includes all utilities and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 165 Tons. Grade Mix: 296 E1-E4.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 2,068 PN ADEQUATE: 1,196 PN SUBSTANDARD: 676 PN PROJECT: Add to and alter dormitories. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective is to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing that will be conducive to their proper rest, relaxation, safety, and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters, which provide some degree of individual privacy, are essential to successfully accomplish the increasingly complicated and critical jobs these people must perform supporting the base mission. CURRENT SITUATION: These dormitories have received no major upgrades since originally constructed over thirty years ago to standards in effect at the time. These facilities lack privacy and adequate living space per occupant. The dormitories have obsolete electrical and mechanical systems and inadequate lighting, insulation and sound attenuation. The existing fire detection systems do not meet the current standards as set forth in the NFPA Life Safety Code. Before the upgrade, the dormitories will house 288 personnel and after the upgrade will house 296 personnel. This project exceeds 70 percent of the facility replacement cost; however, the economic analysis supports and justifies the decision to add to and alter the existing facility. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Substandard living conditions will continue to					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
HOLLOMAN AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES	KWRD933014	
<p>degrade the morale, productivity and career satisfaction of the enlisted force. Obsolete mechanical and electrical systems, inadequate laundry facilities, insufficient lounge and storage areas will continue to interfere with required rest, relaxation and comfort of dorm residents. Efforts by dorm residents to accomplish daily routine housekeeping requirements will become more difficult as dorms continue to deteriorate resulting in further demoralization of the residents.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, revitalization was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
HOLLOMAN AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES	KWRD933014	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUN 18
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 18
(d) Date Design Complete		93 OCT 26
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		HOLLOMAN
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		145
(b) All Other Design Costs		110
(c) Total		255
(d) Contract		65
(e) In-house		190
(4) Construction Start		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
HOLLOMAN AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO			SEWER EFFLUENT SYSTEM		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
2.74.56C	832-266	KWRD943012	1,800		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/H	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
SEWER EFFLUENT SYSTEM	LF	22,900	66	1,511	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				95	
DEMOLITION	LS			(85)	
SITE WORK	LS			(10)	
SUBTOTAL				1,606	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				80	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,686	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				101	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,787	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,800	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Install 8" sewer pipeline, concrete manholes with cast iron covers, and lift stations. Demolition and removal along with disposal of existing septic tanks is required.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Install a sewer effluent system. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance project. An adequate sewer effluent system is required to replace the existing septic tanks at the Test Track Area of Holloman AFB, NM. The system is required to convey industrial waste and sewage to the base treatment plant. The system will meet state and federal regulations for wastewater effluent.					
CURRENT SITUATION: Wastewater and industrial waste are collected at the Test Track Area and fed into septic tank systems. These systems drain into leachfields in the vicinity of the Lost River, which is a known natural habitat of the endangered Pupfish.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The existing septic system results in the introduction of contaminants into a protected species habitat. Although regulators have not cited the installation, the potential exists for regulators to enforce environmental quality regulations associated with the Endangered Species Act, which could result in mandated restoration like that currently underway at the installation's sewage lagoon.					
ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
HOLLOMAN AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
SEWER EFFLUENT SYSTEM	KWRD943012	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUN 18
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 17
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		47
(b) All Other Design Costs		126
(c) Total		173
(d) Contract		47
(e) In-house		126
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO				4. COMMAND AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 0.92		
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		1227	3213	2249	101	262	101	89	170	120
b. End FY 1998		1350	2850	2321	101	262	101	89	170	120
		7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)								
a. Total Acreage: (44,025)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92)		417,715								
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:		14,800								
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:		27,061								
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995)		15,600								
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:		74,690								
g. Remaining Deficiency:		0								
h. Grand Total:		549,866								
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START		CMPL
310-919		AEROSPACE ENGINEERING FACILITY		14,000 SF		3,167		AUG 92		SEP 93
312-477		SPACE STRUCTURES LABORATORY		31,900 SF		6,200		AUG 92		OCT 93
312-477		COMPOSITE MATERIALS LABORATORY		30,000 SF		5,750		SEP 92		OCT 93
721-312		ALTER DORMITORY		280 PN		5,100		OCT 92		OCT 93
813-231		UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		LS		6,844		JUL 92		SEP 93
		TOTAL:				27,061				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
171-212		SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY		51,700 SF		9,000				
318-614		SOLAR/ELECTRIC LABORATORY		13,200 SF		2,200				
411-135		IMPROVE JET FUEL STORAGE		LS		4,400				
		TOTAL:				15,600				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
179-511		FIREMEN TRAINING FACILITY		LS		1,040				
318-614		ALTER EXPLOSIVES STORAGE SITE PHASE II		LS		2,400				
721-312		ALTER UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED HSG		250 PN		4,000				
740-674		ADD TO AND ALTER PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER		16,330 SF		1,500				
740-884		CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		23,000 SF		2,800				
10. Mission or Major Functions: Phillips Laboratory; the Air Force Operational Test and Evaluation Center; an Air Training Command crew training wing with two flying training squadrons (MH-53, TH-53, UH-1, and HH-3 helicopters, and MC-130 and HC-130 aircraft); Air Force Security Police Agency; and an Air National Guard fighter group (F-16 aircraft). Major tenants include Naval Weapons Evaluation Facility and Sandia National Laboratory.										

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST			
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO				AIR FORCE				COST INDEX			
				MATERIEL COMMAND				0.92			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of											
b. End FY											
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage:											
b. Inventory Total As Of:											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program:											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:											
g. Remaining Deficiency:											
h. Grand Total:											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:										0	
b. Water pollution:										0	
c. Occupational safety and health:										0	
d. Other Environmental:										0	

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO			4. PROJECT TITLE AEROSPACE ENGINEERING FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6.23.02	6. CATEGORY CODE 310-919	7. PROJECT NUMBER MHMV943022	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 3,167		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
AEROSPACE ENGINEERING FACILITY		SF	16,000	135	2,160
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					630
UTILITIES		LS			(270)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT		LS			(160)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(200)
SUBTOTAL					2,790
CONTINGENCY (5%)					140
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,930
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					176
TOTAL REQUEST					3,106
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					3,167
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a laboratory with a high bay (40 feet), bridge crane, special isolation floor slab for the shaker pit, clean room, control room, staging area and loading dock. Provide 750 KVA of electric power, other utilities and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 50 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 16,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct an aerospace engineering facility. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: The facility is required for development, integration, and testing of components and complete space flight payloads. It must be equipped to measure the response of payloads to mechanical vibrations and shock, acceleration, thermal, and thermal-vacuum tests. The researchers and contractors operate integrated payloads in a dress rehearsal of sounding rocket, balloon, and orbiting spacecraft flight. This facility provides a realistic test environment for payloads sent aloft by balloons and rocket boosters. CURRENT SITUATION: Currently, this function is located at Hanscom Air Force Base. The geographic separation from Phillips Lab at Kirtland Air Force Base inhibits the integration of space research experiments. The objective of the Phillips Lab consolidation is to collocate all space research at Kirtland AFB. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The ability to execute integrated and timely space experiments will not be possible if this facility is not collocated at Kirtland AFB. ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or in Air Force Manual 86-2. All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE AEROSPACE ENGINEERING FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER MHMV943022
<p>requirements besides new construction and consolidation of this facility at Kirtland AFB; therefore, no economic analysis was performed.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
AEROSPACE ENGINEERING FACILITY	MHMV943022	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 24
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 04
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 03
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		192
(b) All Other Design Costs		103
(c) Total		295
(d) Contract		4
(e) In-house		291
(4) Construction Start		
		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO			COMPOSITE MATERIALS LABORATORY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
6.23.02	312-477	MMV943017	5,750		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
COMPOSITE MATERIALS LABORATORY	SF	30,000	160	4,800	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				345	
UTILITIES	LS			(130)	
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(130)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(85)	
SUBTOTAL				5,145	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				257	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,402	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				324	
TOTAL REQUEST				5,726	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,750	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation with special isolation floor slabs. Structural steel frame with a metal deck roofing system; zoned heating, ventilation, and air conditioning system, pre-wired communications systems and EMCS connections. Provide 3000 SF covered storage shelter. Air Conditioning: 75 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 30,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 33,600 SF PROJECT: Construct a composite materials laboratory. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: Provide laboratory space to conduct research into advanced materials (composites) used for space/missile structures. This facility will house large composite material fabrication equipment, such as filament winding machines, high temperature processing and curing machines, and machines used for non-destructive tests on the fabricated materials. A storage area is needed to store hydrogen, nitrogen, and propane with a pumping system, flare stack, and a cooling tower. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing composite laboratory is located at Edwards AFB, CA. The objective of the Phillips Laboratory consolidation is to collocate all space research facilities at Kirtland AFB. The existing lab was constructed in the early 1960's for NASA to fabricate and test Saturn rocket motors. It has been modified and altered in an effort to make it suitable for composite work. The existing 33,600 square foot facility would require \$3 million worth of repairs to support continued occupancy. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The integrated development of space systems will not occur due to the large geographic separation of the laboratory facilities. ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
COMPOSITE MATERIALS LABORATORY		MHMV943017
<p>Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or in AFM 86-2. All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements besides consolidation and new construction of this facility at Kirtland Air Force Base; therefore, no economic analysis was performed.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
COMPOSITE MATERIALS LABORATORY	MHMV943017	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 OCT 11
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		354
(b) All Other Design Costs		108
(c) Total		462
(d) Contract		54
(e) In-house		408
(4) Construction Start		
		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO			SPACE STRUCTURES LABORATORY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
6.23.02	312-477	MMMV943018	6,200		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
SPACE STRUCTURES LABORATORY	SP	31,900	165	5,264	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				280	
UTILITIES	LS			(120)	
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(120)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(40)	
SUBTOTAL				5,544	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				277	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,821	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				349	
TOTAL REQUEST				6,170	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				6,200	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation with special isolation floor slabs in the laboratory areas, steel structural frame with a stucco/concrete slab exterior finish. Project includes communications pre-wiring, EMCS connections and necessary support.					
Air Conditioning: 75 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 31,900 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0					
PROJECT: Construct a space structures laboratory. (New Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: Provide a facility to support the space structures experiments, which are needed to provide vital information regarding spacecraft structural configuration, control, weight reduction, and structural vibration damping. This research incorporates advanced composite materials into spacecraft and ballistic missile systems. The space structures laboratory must be collocated with the composite materials lab to fulfill the intent of the Phillips Laboratory consolidation. Test equipment that vibrates spacecraft structural experiments needs to be mounted on isolation floor slabs to minimize vibrating other experiments and the rest of the facility. The large size of the test equipment and the tested structural systems requires large lab rooms with high ceilings.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The existing space structures laboratory is contained in five separate facilities, totaling 44,500 SF at Edwards Air Force Base and 5,859 SF at Kirtland Air Force Base. The existing laboratory facilities at Edwards AFB are approaching 40 years old and have deteriorated with age. The existing laboratories are cramped and improperly configured for experiment control, and are not conducive to sharing technologies between the various disciplines involved in					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE SPACE STRUCTURES LABORATORY		5. PROJECT NUMBER MHMV943018
<p>spacecraft structures. The objective of the Phillips Lab consolidation is to collocate all space research facilities at Kirtland AFB.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Spacecraft structural experiments will not be properly integrated if this facility is not collocated with its related technologies. Structural research for such experiments as the Space Based Radar and the Advanced Structure Technology Research Experiment will not occur in a timely and effective manner.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
SPACE STRUCTURES LABORATORY	MHMV943018	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 24
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 04
(d) Date Design Complete		93 OCT 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		384
(b) All Other Design Costs		142
(c) Total		526
(d) Contract		86
(e) In-house		440
(4) Construction Start		
		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO		4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER DORMITORY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 4.18.96	6. CATEGORY CODE 721-312	7. PROJECT NUMBER MHMV943000	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 5,100	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ALTER DORMITORY (250 PN)	SP	56,000	66	3,696
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				690
UTILITIES	LS			(255)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(225)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(210)
SUBTOTAL				4,386
CONTINGENCY (10%)				439
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,825
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				290
TOTAL REQUEST				5,115
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,100
10. Description of Proposed Construction: All electrical, mechanical, and structural work necessary to upgrade dorm to acceptable standards of livability. Install semi-private baths, balconies, and improve safety, building maintainability and energy conservation features. Grade Mix: 250 El-E4.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,794 PN ADEQUATE: 1,479 PN SUBSTANDARD: 467 PN PROJECT: Alter dormitory. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective is to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing dormitory is over 40 years old. Inefficiencies include central latrines, inadequate lighting, poor insulation and sound attenuation and obsolete electrical and mechanical systems. Dorm occupancy rate is 100 percent. This is phase four of a five phase program to provide adequate dormitories at Kirtland. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Substandard living conditions on base and expensive off-base housing will continue to degrade the morale, productivity and career satisfaction of the enlisted force. ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, revitalization was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design"				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ALTER DORMITORY	MHMV943000	
<p>Guide". Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ALTER DORMITORY	MHMV943000	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 OCT 22
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 01
(d) Date Design Complete		93 OCT 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		310
(b) All Other Design Costs		158
(c) Total		468
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		468
(4) Construction Start		94 FEB
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO			4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.18.96	813-231	MMHV923008	6,844		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	LS			5,650	
UPGRADE ELECTRIC SUBSTATIONS	EA	3	200,000	(3,600)	
OVERHEAD DISTRIBUTION LINES	LF	20,000	15	(300)	
TRANSFORMERS SINGLE PHASE	EA	20	5,000	(100)	
TRANSFORMERS THREE PHASE	EA	150	11,000	(1,650)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				225	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS (PAD REPLACEMENT)	LS			(225)	
SUBTOTAL				5,875	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				588	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				6,463	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				388	
TOTAL REQUEST				6,851	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				6,844	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade substations 23, 24 and 25; 20 single phase transformers, 150 three phase transformers. Replace associated electrical distribution lines. Site improvements include replacing concrete substation and transformer pads, replacing security barriers around substations and transformers and trenching under roadways.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
<u>PROJECT:</u> Upgrade electrical distribution system. (Current Mission)					
<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> An adequate base electrical distribution system is required to support operation and maintenance of base facilities and functions.					
<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The existing westside base electrical distribution system has been in existence since early 1950's. Electrical power is commercially furnished. Due to the growth on the west side of Kirtland supporting the Crew Training Wing operations and Phillips Laboratory, the existing 5KV system substation transformers and feeders are now at or near full capacity. The ability to backfeed for system maintenance or emergencies no longer exist. The lower voltage 5KV system is obsolete and increasingly difficult to support (i.e. transformers, switchgear, etc). Upgrade from 5 to 15KV increases system feeder capacity approximately three times and installs a long term supportable system without having to replace or increase the size of the overhead and underground distribution system. Installing larger substation transformers increases system capacity to meet current/future needs and provides a system which is maintainable with minimum inconvenience to customers.					
<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Operation and maintenance of base functions and activities could be seriously impacted which would be detrimental to the base's ability to perform its mission.					
<u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		MMHV923008
<p>Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project, status quo, and upgrade was done. It indicates that the only option that will satisfy operational requirements is to upgrade the existing electrical distribution system. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	MHMV923008	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 23
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 01
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 24
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		64
(b) All Other Design Costs		299
(c) Total		363
(d) Contract		64
(e) In-house		299
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE	
AIR FORCE									
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX	
POPE AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH CAROLINA				AIR COMBAT COMMAND				0.80	
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT		STUDENTS		SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL	
a. As of 30 SEP 92		672	4017	449	23	46	48	9	157
b. End FY 1998		422	3697	365	23	46	48	9	157
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)									
a. Total Acreage: (1,890)									
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92)								108,343	
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:								28,680	
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:								8,600	
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995)								11,950	
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:								0	
g. Remaining Deficiency:								0	
h. Grand Total:								157,573	
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994									
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS	
CODE								START	CMPL
721-312	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES			192 PN		4,300		NOV 92	JAN 94
722-351	DINING FACILITY			26,200 SF		4,300		NOV 92	DEC 93
TOTAL:						8,600			
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)									
112-211	ADD TO TAXIWAY ALPHA			39,800 SY		4,050			
136-661	AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON			LS		1,500			
LIGHTING									
179-511	FIREMEN TRAINING FACILITY			500 SF		900			
211-159	AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY			40,000 SF		5,500			
TOTAL:						11,950			
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:									
10. Mission or Major Functions: A composite wing which includes one A/OA-10 squadron and one C-130 squadron; and an Air Mobility Command airlift wing with two C-130 squadrons and the USAF Mobility Center. The composite wing will also include an F-16 squadron.									
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:									
a. Air pollution:								0	
b. Water pollution:								0	
c. Occupational safety and health:								0	
d. Other Environmental:								0	

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION POPE AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH CAROLINA			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 1.18.96C	6. CATEGORY CODE 721-312	7. PROJECT NUMBER TMKH943006	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 4,300		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (250 PN)		SP	50,500		2,601
ALTERATION		SP	43,500	54	(2,349)
ADDITION		SP	7,000	36	(252)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					1,090
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(210)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(225)
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS REMOVAL		SP	43,500	4	(175)
UTILITIES		LS			(320)
AREA DEVELOPMENT		LS			(160)
SUBTOTAL					3,691
CONTINGENCY (10%)					369
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					4,060
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					244
TOTAL REQUEST					4,304
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					4,300
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alteration of structural, mechanical, and electrical systems including, installation of exterior balconies for entrances to each room; installation of room-bath-room modules; noise attenuation, and asbestos removal. Prewire each room for telephone and cable television. Includes safety and energy conservation features. Includes all utilities and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 100 Tons. Grade Mix: 250 El-E4.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,503 PN ADEQUATE: 408 PN SUBSTANDARD: 952 PN PROJECT: Add to and alter two dormitories. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective is to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing that will be conducive to their proper rest, relaxation, safety, and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters, which provide some degree of individual privacy, are essential to successfully accomplish the increasingly complicated and critical jobs these people must perform supporting the base mission. CURRENT SITUATION: These dormitories have received no major upgrades since originally constructed over twenty years ago to standards in effect at the time. These facilities lack privacy and adequate living space per occupant. The dormitories have obsolete electrical and mechanical systems and inadequate lighting, insulation and sound attenuation. The existing fire detection systems do not meet the current standards as set forth in the NFPA Life Safety Code. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Substandard living conditions will continue to degrade the morale, productivity and career satisfaction of the enlisted force. Obsolete mechanical and electrical systems, inadequate laundry facilities, insufficient lounge and storage areas will continue to interfere with required rest, relaxation and comfort of dorm residents.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
POPE AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH CAROLINA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES	TMKH943006	
<p>Efforts by dorm residents to accomplish daily routine housekeeping requirements will become more difficult as dorms continue to deteriorate resulting in further demoralization of the residents.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, revitalization was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
POPE AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH CAROLINA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES		TMKH943006	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a)	Date Design Started	92 NOV 01	
(b)	Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c)	Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 01	
(d)	Date Design Complete	94 JAN 01	
(2) Basis:			
(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):			(\$000)
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	224	
(b)	All Other Design Costs	84	
(c)	Total	308	
(d)	Contract	224	
(e)	In-house	84	
(4) Construction Start			94 APR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A			

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
POPE AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH CAROLINA			DINING FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
1.18.96C	722-351	TMKH943007	4,300		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
DINING FACILITY	SF	22,900		2,755	
DINING HALL	SF	18,900	125	(2,363)	
POSTAL SERVICE CENTER	SF	2,000	98	(196)	
LINEN EXCHANGE	SF	2,000	98	(196)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,090	
UTILITIES	LS			(260)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(195)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(185)	
DEMOLITION	SF	13,200	34	(450)	
SUBTOTAL				3,845	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				192	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,037	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				242	
TOTAL REQUEST				4,279	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,300	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete slab, brick exterior, and standing seam metal roof. Facility includes dining area, serving line, kitchen, dishwashing area, bakery, refrigerated and non-perishable storage, latrines, offices, receiving area, postal service center, and linen exchange areas. Includes all utilities and required support. Air Conditioning: 110 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 22,900 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 18,613 SF PROJECT: Construct an airman dining facility to include an area for a postal service center and linen exchange. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Adequately sized and configured dining facility to properly feed assigned and transient unaccompanied personnel. Must provide space for food preparation, dishwashing equipment, dining, and food storage. An area is also required for linen exchange and for the Postal Service Center. By consolidating the dining facility, Postal Service Center, and linen exchange, economies of scale can be realized, resulting in reduction of utility costs and maintenance requirements that would have accompanied construction of individual facilities. Demolish 13,177 square foot existing dining facility. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing dining facility is substandard and beyond economical repair. The 1955 facility has extensive utility and structural problems. The plumbing/sewage has failed on numerous occasions and is presently being held together with temporary repairs to allow the dining facility to continue operating. The electrical system is severely deteriorated. It is difficult and expensive to maintain the system due to the lack of replacement parts and their high cost. The HVAC system is approximately 20 years old and provides a continuous source of maintenance					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
POPE AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH CAROLINA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
DINING FACILITY	TMKH943007	
<p>problems. Food preparation workers are frequently working in an environment where temperatures exceed 100 degrees Fahrenheit and there is little ventilation. The roof system has failed, and complete replacement is required. Also, the loading dock is inadequate and causes inefficient handling of supplies. Storage space is inadequate. One freezer unit sits outside on the loading dock due to insufficient indoor space. The linen exchange function and postal service center are located in facilities constructed in 1953 and 1942 respectively. These old facilities are inadequate for providing postal service and linen exchange to the enlisted force.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Food service and preparation will continue to be inefficient due to facility inadequacies. The poor accommodations the dining area offers to the enlisted force will continue to degrade morale.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
POPE AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH CAROLINA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
DINING FACILITY	TMKH943007	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 NOV 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 01
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 13
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		264
(b) All Other Design Costs		88
(c) Total		352
(d) Contract		264
(e) In-house		88
(4) Construction Start		94 APR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)				2. DATE	
AIR FORCE							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SEYMOUR-JOHNSON AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH CAROLINA				4. COMMAND AIR COMBAT COMMAND		5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 0.80	
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT		STUDENTS		SUPPORTED	
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		564	4123	532	16		
b. End FY 1998		534	3925	553	16		
		TOTAL					
		5,235					
		5,028					
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)							
a. Total Acreage: (41,157)							
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 176,848							
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 18,230							
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 5,380							
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 6,290							
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 11,100							
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0							
h. Grand Total: 217,848							
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994							
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN START	STATUS CMPL		
610-144	MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE SUPPORT FACILITY	3,250 SF	480	OCT 92	APR 93		
721-312	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES	304 PN	4,900	DEC 92	JUN 93		
TOTAL:			5,380				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)							
411-134	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	360				
722-351	DINING HALL AND TROOP ISSUE WAREHOUSE	26,200 SF	4,430				
871-183	STORM DRAINAGE DISPOSAL	LS	1,500				
TOTAL:			6,290				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:							
218-712	ACFT SPRT EQUIP SHOP/STORAGE	LS	3,500				
411-135	JET FUEL STORAGE	4,000 SY	900				
730-142	ADD TO FIRE STATION	5,500 SF	1,000				
730-441	EDUCATION/LEARNING CENTER	25,700 SF	4,200				
740-443	TRANSIENT LODGING FACILITY	31 UN	1,500				
10. Mission or Major Functions: A flying wing which includes three fighter squadrons (F-15 aircraft) and two air refueling squadrons (KC-10 aircraft); an Air Force Reserve KC-10 associate air refueling group; and an Air National Guard fighter interceptor detachment (F-16 aircraft).							
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:							
a. Air pollution:						0	
b. Water pollution:						360	
c. Occupational safety and health:						0	
d. Other Environmental:						0	

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SEYMOUR JOHNSON AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH CAROLINA			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 2.75.96C	6. CATEGORY CODE 721-312	7. PROJECT NUMBER VKAG943003	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 4,900		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (304 PN)	SF	59,100		3,217	
ALTERATION	SF	50,500	54	(2,727)	
ADDITION (BALCONIES)	SF	8,600	57	(490)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				995	
UTILITIES (INCL REPL CENTRAL CHILLER)	LS			(10)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(120)	
PAVEMENTS	SY	4,450	44	(195)	
ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS			(670)	
SUBTOTAL				4,212	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				421	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,633	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				278	
TOTAL REQUEST				4,911	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,900	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alteration of structural, mechanical, and electrical systems, including: installation of exterior entrances to each room, safety and energy conservation features, noise attenuation, and asbestos removal. Prewire each room for telephone and cable television. Includes all utilities and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 100 Tons. Grade Mix: 304 El-E4.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,520 PN ADEQUATE: 608 PN SUBSTANDARD: 608 PN <u>PROJECT:</u> Add to and alter two dormitories. (Current Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> A major Air Force objective is to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing that will be conducive to their proper rest, relaxation, safety, and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters, which provide some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> These dormitories have received no major upgrade work since they were built over thirty years ago to the standards in effect at that time. They lack privacy, adequate living space per occupant, and individual room temperature controls. They have inadequate lighting, minimal fire protection, poor insulation and sound attenuation, and obsolete electrical and mechanical systems. They have dark narrow hallways with low ceilings (due to design of the mechanical system) and exposed conduit in the hallways and rooms. This project exceeds 70 percent of the facility replacement cost; however, the economic analysis supports and justifies the decision to add to and alter the existing facility. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Substandard living conditions will continue to degrade the morale, productivity and career satisfaction of the enlisted					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
SEYMOUR JOHNSON AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH CAROLINA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES	VKAC943003	
<p>force.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, revitalization was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
SEYMOUR JOHNSON AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH CAROLINA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES	VKAC943003	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 DEC 04
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 11
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		220
(b) All Other Design Costs		80
(c) Total		300
(d) Contract		200
(e) In-house		100
(4) Construction Start		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)				2. DATE	
AIR FORCE							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND		5. AREA CONST	
GRAND FORKS AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH				AIR COMBAT COMMAND		COST INDEX	
DAKOTA						0.96	
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT		STUDENTS		SUPPORTED	
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		680	4154	471			5,305
b. End FY 1998		634	3662	457			4,753
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)							
a. Total Acreage: (5,777)							
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 301,361							
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 19,750							
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 2,600							
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 20,600							
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 29,900							
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0							
h. Grand Total: 374,211							
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994							
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS			
CODE				START	CMPL		
411-135	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	2,600	MAY 92	JAN 93		
			TOTAL:	2,600			
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)							
111-111	UPGRADE AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS	43,000 SY	10,200				
130-835	SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS	31,500 SF	5,300				
411-000	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	33 EA	4,100				
		MISSILE FACILITIES					
871-183	STORM DRAINAGE FACILITIES	LS	1,000				
			TOTAL:	20,600			
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:							
111-111	UPGRADE RUNWAY	LS	5,000				
121-122	UPGRADE HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	LS	4,200				
610-128	SUPPORT OPERATIONS CENTER	80,021 SF	7,900				
690-000	PROCUREMENT FACILITY	8,500 SF	1,400				
831-155	INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER	LS	5,000				
		TREATMENT FACILITIES					
10. Mission or Major Functions: A bomb wing which includes one B-1 squadron; a missile wing consisting of three Minuteman intercontinental ballistic missile squadrons which maintain a continuous alert posture; a combat air rescue detachment with HH-1 helicopters; and an Air Mobility Command refueling squadron (KC-135 aircraft).							
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:							
a. Air pollution:				0			
b. Water pollution:				16,050			
c. Occupational safety and health:				0			
d. Other Environmental:				0			

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
GRAND FORKS AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH DAKOTA			UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT		6. CATEGORY CODE		7. PROJECT NUMBER	
1.18.56C		411-135		JFSD932502	
				2,600	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			2,228
REPLACE UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS		EA	24	68,210	(1,637)
UPGRADE UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS		EA	9	65,670	(591)
SUBTOTAL					2,228
CONTINGENCY (10%)					223
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,451
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					147
TOTAL REQUEST					2,598
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,600
<p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Excavate/remove 24 underground storage tanks. Dispose of tank residue and test soil at each site. Remove/dispose of contaminated soil. Replace tanks with new double-walled tanks, interstitial leak detectors, double-wall piping and spill/overflow protectors. Upgrade 9 tanks with leak detectors, double-wall piping, corrosion, spill, overflow protection and epoxy lining. Tightness test.</p> <p>11. REQUIREMENT: As required.</p> <p><u>PROJECT:</u> Replace/upgrade underground storage tanks (USTs) at missile launch and launch control facilities. (Current Mission)</p> <p><u>REQUIREMENT:</u> This is a Level II environmental compliance project. All regulated USTs must be upgraded in accordance with Federal Law (40 CFR 280.21) by December 1998. The Law also requires that underground tanks have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention systems to protect human health and the environment.</p> <p><u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Underground storage tanks at Grand Forks AFB do not meet Federal regulatory requirements for corrosion protection, leak detection monitoring, and overflow/spill protection. These deficiencies must be corrected to prevent violation of Federal UST regulations. This project is the first of four phases, and will replace/upgrade 33 USTs. Unit costs for this project are higher than other locations due to the deep buried nature of the tanks.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Undetected UST releases can result in contamination of soil and ground water supplies, resulting in a threat to human health and the environment along with extremely costly cleanup measures. These improvements to USTs are mandated by federal law. If not accomplished by the established deadline, the base will be in violation of the law and subject to Notices of Violation, fines and significant adverse</p>					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
GRAND FORKS AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH DAKOTA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	JFSD932502	
<p>accomplished by the established deadline, the base will be in violation of the law and subject to Notices of Violation, fines and significant adverse publicity.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project was done. It indicates there is only one option that satisfies statutory requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exclusion has been prepared. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
GRAND FORKS AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH DAKOTA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	JFSD932502	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 MAY 27
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		100%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 JUL 27
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JAN 20
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		135
(b) All Other Design Costs		89
(c) Total		224
(d) Contract		168
(e) In-house		56
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE	
AIR FORCE									
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX	
MINOT AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH DAKOTA				AIR COMBAT COMMAND				1.07	
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED	
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		753	4285	578		22			5,638
b. End FY 1998		680	3847	568		22			5,117
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)									
a. Total Acreage: (5,385)									
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92)								292,102	
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:								16,200	
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:								2,000	
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995)								9,975	
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:								53,950	
g. Remaining Deficiency:								0	
h. Grand Total:								374,227	
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994									
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS START CMPL	
CODE									
411-135	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS			LS		2,000		NOV 92	MAY 93
TOTAL:						2,000			
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)									
130-142	FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION				20,500 SF	4,000			
411-000	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS			39 EA		1,950			
MISSILE FACILITIES									
411-135	UPGRADE POL DIKES AND BASINS			LS		1,425			
411-135	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS			LS		1,100			
871-183	STORM DRAINAGE FACILITIES			LS		1,500			
TOTAL:						9,975			
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:									
112-211	UPGRADE TAXIWAY (PH 1)			LS		12,600			
112-211	UPGRADE TAXIWAY (PH 2)			LS		10,000			
113-321	UPGRADE AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON			LS		3,800			
121-122	UPGRADE HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM			LS		15,700			
211-175	MOIST DOCK FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM			LS		3,400			
10. Mission or Major Functions: A bomb wing which includes one B-52 squadron; a missile wing consisting of three Minuteman intercontinental ballistic missile squadrons which maintain a continuous alert posture; a combat air rescue detachment with HH-1 helicopters; and an Air Mobility Command air refueling squadron (KC-135 aircraft).									
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:									
a. Air pollution:								0	
b. Water pollution:								13,825	
c. Occupational safety and health:								0	
d. Other Environmental:								0	

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MINOT AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH DAKOTA			4. PROJECT TITLE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 1.18.56C	6. CATEGORY CODE 411-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER QJVF952500	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 1,950		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS			1,560	
REPLACE UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS	EA	39	40,000	(1,560)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				130	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(30)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(100)	
SUBTOTAL				1,690	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				169	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,859	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				112	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,971	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,950	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Excavate/remove 39 underground storage tanks. Dispose of tank residue and test soil at each site. Remove/dispose of contaminated soil. Replace tanks with new double-walled tanks, interstitial leak detectors, double-wall piping, and spill/overflow protectors. Project includes all necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Upgrade/replace underground storage tanks (USTs) at missile launch and launch control facilities. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance project. Adequate fuel storage, properly designed and located, is required to satisfy wing mission requirements. All petroleum dispensing and operating facilities must be provided with positive means for preventing release of pollutants into the surrounding environment. All regulated USTs must be upgraded in accordance with Federal Law (40 CFR 280.21) by December 1998. The law also requires underground tanks have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention systems to protect human health and the environment. CURRENT SITUATION: Underground storage tanks at Minot AFB do not meet federal (40 CFR 280) and state requirements for corrosion protection, leak detection monitoring, and overflow/spill protection. Replacement is required to assure environmental compliance. This is the first phase of a four-phase effort. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Failure to replace these tanks will result in an unacceptable risk of pollution. Additionally, Minot AFB will not be in compliance with federal and state environmental requirements thereby subjecting the base to enforcement action and monetary penalties. ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MINOT AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH DAKOTA		
4. PROJECT TITLE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		5. PROJECT NUMBER QJVF952500
<p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MINOT AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH DAKOTA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	QJVF952500	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 NOV 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 01
(d) Date Design Complete		93 MAY 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		89
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		89
(d) Contract		79
(e) In-house		10
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)				2. DATE	
AIR FORCE							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO				4. COMMAND AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND		5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.00	
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT		STUDENTS		SUPPORTED	
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		4438	3655	15936	342		24,371
b. End FY 1998		2925	1980	10135	342		15,382
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)							
a. Total Acreage: (8,245)							
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 773,201							
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 61,770							
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 27,650							
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 38,200							
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 81,200							
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0							
h. Grand Total: 982,021							
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994							
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START	CMPL		
310-932	ADD TO AVIONICS RESEARCH LABORATORY, PHASE II	60,000 SF	5,650	JUN 92	DEC 93		
311-173	ADD TO AND ALTER ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT COMPLEX, PHASE II	LS	12,850	AUG 92	DEC 93		
411-135	SEAL FUEL CONTAINMENT DIKES	LS	1,500	APR 92	JUL 93		
411-135	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS PHASE II	95 EA	3,200	TURN KEY			
813-231	RENOVATE ELECTRIC SUBSTATIONS	LS	4,450	JAN 92	SEP 92		
TOTAL:			27,650				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)							
130-142	FIRE STATION	9,600 SF	1,300				
171-851	ADD TO AND ALTER ENGINEERING AND RESEARCH LABORATORY	53,000 SF	17,800				
311-173	ACQUISITIONS MANAGEMENT COMPLEX, PHASE IIB	108,000 SF	15,000				
871-183	STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM, PHASE I	12,650 LF	3,100				
880-221	FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS	205,000 SF	1,000				
TOTAL:			38,200				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:							
171-851	AFIT OPERATIONS COMPLEX	82,500 SF	9,400				
171-851	ADD TO AND ALTER AU PROF/TECH EDUCATION (AFIT)	25,000 SF	4,500				
311-173	ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT COMPLEX PHASE III	LS	11,500				
841-165	WATER TREATMENT PLANT, AREA C	7,000 KG	10,000				
880-211	FIRE PROTECTION BASE FACILITIES	250,000 SF	6,000				
10. Mission or Major Functions: HQ AFMC; AF Contract Law Center; AFMC International Logistics Ctr; AF Logistics Operations Ctr; Security Assistance Ctr; Materiel Systems Ctr; Center for Support & Technology Insertions; AFMC Safety/Inspection Ctr; Aeronautical Systems Ctr; Wright Laboratory; Aerospace Medical Research Directorate; & test wing with various types of aircraft; AF Institute of Technology; AF Intelligence							

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO				4. COMMAND AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND			5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.00			
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of										
b. End FY										
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage:										
b. Inventory Total As Of:										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program:										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:										
g. Remaining Deficiency:										
h. Grand Total:										
Command Foreign Aerospace Ctr; an AFRES fighter squadron (F-16's); and AMC airlift detach (C-12/C-21); AF Museum; & a major Air Force medical center.										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution:								19,400		
b. Water pollution:								12,500		
c. Occupational safety and health:								3,000		
d. Other Environmental:								3,850		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AVIONICS RESEARCH LABORATORY, PHASE II		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 7.28.06	6. CATEGORY CODE 310-932	7. PROJECT NUMBER ZHTP913302	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 5,650		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ADD TO AVIONICS RESEARCH LABORATORY, PHASE II	SF	37,000	125	4,625	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				450	
UTILITIES	LS			(250)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(50)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(25)	
COMMUNICATION SUPPORT	LS			(20)	
TEMPEST SHIELDING	LS			(30)	
PASSIVE SOLAR	SF	36,900	2	(75)	
SUBTOTAL				5,075	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				254	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,329	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				320	
TOTAL REQUEST				5,649	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,650	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, structural frame, walls, roof system, utilities, and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 200 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 645,705 SF ADEQUATE: 59,068 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Add to an avionics research laboratory, phase II (of II). (Current Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> An adequate facility is required for research and development of new mission integrated avionics systems which serve as the eyes, ears, and brains for modern aircraft. Sensor data from radar, reconnaissance, weapons delivery, and electronic warfare systems on board the aircraft, when merged with data from off-board systems, must provide the air crew with the best possible information relative to the location of friends, foes, and targets. Data previously treated separately for these systems must be integrated to permit aircrews to make rapid decisions in a dynamic battle environment. This integration must first be tested in the laboratory for the new technology to be successfully proven. The close location of avionics equipment and personnel is needed for successful research and development of this technology. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Many of the critical laboratory functions are housed in widely dispersed substandard facilities built in the 1940's. Being separated from the main avionics complex prevents real-time transfer of data among functions working on avionics integration. Electronics response times are now being measured in billionths of a second. With these faster electronic response times, physical separations over a few hundred feet cause timing and signal distortions in real-time research simulations. Real-time processing of enemy threat signal reception and					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AVIONICS RESEARCH LABORATORY, PHASE II		5. PROJECT NUMBER ZHTP913302
<p>jamming responses requires extremely short signal transmission delays. Transmissions between existing facilities result in delays of 25 to 100 times the acceptable maximum. Avionics development programs in the forefront of the technology cannot be fully integrated or evaluated utilizing the facilities as they presently exist, thereby limiting the avionics capability projected for the Advanced Tactical Fighter and other advanced aircraft. There are no available facilities capable of housing the required tasks. Industrial facilities are limited in capacity and are tailored to their respective products.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Without this project the processing of real time data cannot be performed, thus seriously impacting the laboratory ability to test critical avionics equipment.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or in Air Force Manual 86-2. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AVIONICS RESEARCH LABORATORY, PHASE II	ZHPT913302	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUN 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 21
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		330
(b) All Other Design Costs		252
(c) Total		582
(d) Contract		400
(e) In-house		182
(4) Construction Start		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT COMPLEX, PHASE II		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 7.28.06		6. CATEGORY CODE 311-173		7. PROJECT NUMBER ZHTV933302	
				8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 12,850	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AND ALTER ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT COMPLEX, PHASE II		SF	100,000		7,578
ADDITION (UTILITIES VAULT)		SF	38,000	95	(3,610)
ALTERATION (RESEARCH LAB)		SF	62,000	64	(3,968)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					3,340
UTILITIES		LS			(1,400)
PAVEMENTS		SY	18,000	45	(810)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(250)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT		LS			(200)
PREWIRED WORK STATIONS		EA	200	3,400	(680)
SUBTOTAL					10,918
CONTINGENCY (10%)					1,092
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					12,010
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					721
TOTAL REQUEST					12,731
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					12,850
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation, slab, columns and wall panels, built-up roof, freight elevator, and utilities connections to base systems. Alter existing building to accommodate simulators, rehabilitate building systems and provide corridor linkage to other buildings; provide prewired work stations, 500 vehicle parking area and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 650 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,125,100 LS ADEQUATE: 220,100 LS SUBSTANDARD: 1,455,500 LS PROJECT: Add to and alter acquisition management complex, phase II (of II), which includes construction of a utilities vault and alteration of an engineering research laboratory. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A consolidated utilities distribution vault to serve the acquisition management center is required to achieve efficient reliable facilities support through centralized utilities and communications services to the complex, thereby providing economy of scale, necessary redundancy, reliability, and controlled growth capacity. The utilities vault will support programmed expansion of the complex. Alteration of adjacent engineering research lab, which will be incorporated into the complex, is needed to accommodate simulator relocations, extend security access control, and provide more effective space utilization of existing assets. This project is the cornerstone of the 10-year plan for revitalization of the Aerospace Systems Center in support of aerospace development into the next century. CURRENT SITUATION: Capacity and reliability of 1940s vintage utilities, such as the gas and electrical distribution systems, and communications connections are totally inadequate to meet the needs of the AMC.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
ADD TO AND ALTER ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT COMPLEX, PHASE II		ZHTV933302
<p>Utilities terminals are in the wrong locations for efficient and reliable servicing of the proposed complex. Ground communications are outdated, decentralized, inefficient, and present potential security compromises, reflecting years of piecemeal expansion and constantly changing usage. Existing utilities and communications capabilities have been exhausted in support of Phase I construction. The existing engineering research lab is isolated and its high bay area, originally planned as an optical lab, is currently underutilized while the remainder of the space is highly segmented and inefficient as lab space.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Without the utilities distribution vault, the acquisition management complex cannot be effectively utilized, depriving the Air Force of the economies and other benefits attributed to this effort. The engineering research laboratory cannot be integrated into the complex without the security and infrastructure support of this project, thereby depriving us of the capability to perform classified simulations.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT COMPLEX, PHASE II	ZHTV933302	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 AUG 16	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 01	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 DEC 31	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	792	
(b) All Other Design Costs	358	
(c) Total	1150	
(d) Contract	980	
(e) In-house	170	
(4) Construction Start		
	94 APR	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO			4. PROJECT TITLE SEAL FUEL CONTAINMENT DIKES		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 7.80.56	6. CATEGORY CODE 411-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER ZHTV923206	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 1,500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
SEAL FUEL CONTAINMENT DIKES	LS			1,174	
SEAL FUEL CONTAINMENT DIKES	SY	20,300	38	(771)	
REPLACE PIPELINE	LF	5,300	76	(403)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				110	
UTILITIES	LS			(40)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(20)	
RESHAPE EARTH BERMS	LS			(50)	
SUBTOTAL				1,284	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				128	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,412	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				85	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,497	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,500	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a concrete liner on bottom of 13 spill basins, extending the liner into the earth dikes. Replace fuel pipeline, valves and accessories beneath area to be paved.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Seal thirteen fuel containment dikes. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a Level I environmental compliance requirement. Secondary containment around fuel storage areas is needed to contain all liquid petroleum which may spill from above-ground fuel storage tanks in event of tank rupture. The Federal Oil Pollution Prevention Regulation (40CFR, Section 112-7(e)(2)) requires impervious secondary containment for above ground tanks. This project is required in order to seal the dikes and replace deteriorated sections of pipeline beneath the containment area to prevent fuel from penetrating the ground or the dikes and polluting the soil and aquifer. CURRENT SITUATION: Existing earthen dikes are not impervious and are coated with asphalt to reduce erosion. The base of the diked area is sand and gravel which permit leaching to the aquifer. The dikes cannot contain spilled fuel and do not meet criteria stated in the Federal Oil Pollution Prevention Regulation (Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Section 112) and Ohio Revised Code (ORC) 6111.02. Additionally, there is evidence that fuel lines in the vicinity of the fuel farm have been leaking. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: In event of a fuel spill, surrounding soil and the underlying aquifer will be quickly contaminated causing significant damage to the environment. ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in either Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or Air Force Manual 86-2.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
SEAL FUEL CONTAINMENT DIKES	ZHTV923206	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 APR 05
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		45%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 JUL 19
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 12
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		90
(b) All Other Design Costs		40
(c) Total		130
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		130
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO		4. PROJECT TITLE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS PHASE II		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 7.80.56	6. CATEGORY CODE 411-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER ZHTV953204	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 3,200	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS PHASE II	EA	99	21,580	2,136
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				610
UTILITIES	LS			(120)
MAINTENANCE AND CLEANING	LS			(195)
SITE ASSESSMENTS AND REMEDIATION	LS			(295)
SUBTOTAL				2,746
CONTINGENCY (10%)				275
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,021
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				181
TOTAL REQUEST				3,202
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,200
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Install cathodic protection, release detection, and overfill prevention systems in 99 underground storage tanks. Also includes cleaning, sandblasting, coating, pressure testing, and installation of manways as required.				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.				
PROJECT: Upgrade 99 underground storage tanks, phase 2 of 2. (Current Mission)				
REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance project. Environmentally safe storage tanks are required to ensure continued operating storage of petroleum products and other environmentally controlled substances which are consumed in support/operation of emergency generators, gas stations, base shops, experimental laboratories, etc. Underground tanks must comply with federal and state environmental regulations. Under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Subtitle (40 CFR, parts 280 & 281) underground storage tanks must be provided with leak detection, spill/overfill prevention and for steel tanks, cathodic protection. The mandatory compliance date for this requirement is December 1998. The Ohio Administration Code (OAC) 1301: rules 7-7-28, 7-9-6, 7 requires compliance by December 1998; rules 7-9-9, 10 require compliance by 22 December 1995. Additionally, ORC 6111.04, Water Pollution Control, prohibits pollution of state waters.				
CURRENT SITUATION: The existing 99 underground tanks do not comply with the following EPA standards which become effective in December 1995: OAC 1301: 7-9-9 designated Wright-Patterson as a sensitive area because it is located on sole source aquifer; OAC 1301: 7-9-10, Alternative Release Containment & Release Detection Methods for UST Systems Located in Sensitive Areas. Other rules requiring compliance are: 7-7-28 Ohio Fire				

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS PHASE II	5. PROJECT NUMBER ZHTV953204	
<p>Code Article 28, Flammable & Combustible Liquids; 7-9-6 Design, Construction, Installation & Upgrading for UST Systems; and 7-9-7 Leak Detection Requirements and Methods for UST Systems. Existing tanks do not have cathodic protection. This is the final phase of a two phased effort to upgrade regulated underground storage tanks at this base.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Wright-Patterson AFB will continue to pose a threat of contaminating the environment, especially groundwater, with hazardous petroleum products. Noncompliance with state or federal environmental laws and directives could result in issuance of Notices of Violation. Alternatively, abandoning these tanks would seriously impact base missions.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in either Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or in AFM 86-2. The number and size of tanks are determined based upon individual requirements at each facility. All reasonable alternatives (status quo, revitalization and replacement) were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, a formal economic analysis was not needed or performed. A certificate of exemption has been prepared.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS PHASE II	ZHTV953204	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Project to be accomplished by one step turn key procedures		
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	WRIGHT P	
(3) Design Allowance	165	
(4) Construction Start	93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO			RENOVATE ELECTRIC SUBSTATIONS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT		6. CATEGORY CODE		7. PROJECT NUMBER	
7.28.96		813-231		ZHTV933203	
				4,450	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
RENOVATE ELECTRIC SUBSTATIONS		LS			3,350
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					440
UTILITIES		LS			(250)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(120)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(70)
SUBTOTAL					3,790
CONTINGENCY (10%)					379
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					4,169
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					250
TOTAL REQUEST					4,419
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					4,450
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 69 KV circuit breakers and relays in six substations. Includes replacement of lighting arrestors and provision of manholes, and other required support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. <u>PROJECT:</u> Renovate six electrical substations. (Current Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> The repair of these electrical substations is required to provide reliable and sufficient power to critical facilities located in this area of the base. Expansion throughout the years of the major tenants in this area of the base (Aeronautical Systems Center, Air Force Institute of Technology and the Air Force Museum) has heightened the need to replace major components of this 40 year old electrical distribution system. The primary functions of these facilities which are used for research and development, testing, and computer technology make electrical reliability mission essential. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The electrical distribution system serving these facilities is 40 years old and not reliable. Faulty relaying and failed (exploding) breakers have caused two complete power outages in this part of the base in one year. This has produced an estimated loss of nearly \$4 million in man-hours along with productivity losses. A single failure in the electrical system could blackout a large portion of this base for an extended period of time. A power failure will cause the shutdown of critical equipment supporting research and development efforts and sensitive computer equipment. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> In the event of a power failure at any of these substations, the continuous mission of one or more organizations will be interrupted, causing the loss of millions of dollars in lost manhours and productivity.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
RENOVATE ELECTRIC SUBSTATIONS	ZHTV933203	
<p>ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or in Air Force Manual 86-2. The scope is based on the engineering design of this base infrastructure system. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of renovation or replacement of the system, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, renovation was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
RENOVATE ELECTRIC SUBSTATIONS	ZHTV933203	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JAN 10
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		100%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 FEB 05
(d) Date Design Complete		92 SEP 21
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		150
(b) All Other Design Costs		50
(c) Total		200
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		200
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST			
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA				AIR MOBILITY COMMAND				COST INDEX 0.86			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		394	2778	483	150	219	3				4,027
b. End FY 1998		372	2495	475	150	219	3				3,714
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (4,698)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 171,693											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 94,740											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 6,930											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 3,750											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 51,970											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 329,083											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY											
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN START	STATUS CMPL						
130-142	C-17 FIRE STATION (DBOF)	2,600 SF	780	AUG 92	MAY 93						
171-212	C-17 ADD TO FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING FACILITY, PHASE II	12,400 SF	2,850	JUL 92	JUN 93						
218-712	C-17 ADD TO AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	LS	3,300	AUG 92	MAY 93						
TOTAL:			6,930								
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)											
721-312 ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORY			100 PN	3,750							
TOTAL:			3,750								
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
121-122	ADD TO AND ALTER HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	LS	8,400								
121-122	ADD TO AND ALTER HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	LS	13,200								
179-511	FIREMEN TRAINING FACILITY	LS	950								
211-111	MAINTENANCE HANGAR	98,900 SF	15,000								
411-135	REPAIR JET FUEL STORAGE	23 TK	920								
10. Mission or Major Functions: An air mobility wing with one C-5 squadron and one C-141 squadron that are responsible for training all C-5 and C-141 aircrews, and two air refueling squadrons (KC-135 aircraft). Also, designated to be the primary base for training all C-17 aircrews.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:										950	
b. Water pollution:										0	
c. Occupational safety and health:										0	
d. Other Environmental:										2,370	

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA			C-17 ADD TO FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING FACILITY, PHII (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT		6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)	
4.11.30M		171-212	AGGN943004	2,850	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
C-17 ADD TO FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING FACILITY, PHII (DBOF)		SF	12,400	165	2,046
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					375
UTILITIES		LS			(210)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(55)
PAVEMENTS		SY	2,850	39	(110)
SUBTOTAL					2,421
CONTINGENCY (10%)					242
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,663
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					160
TOTAL REQUEST					2,823
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,850
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)					(63,600)
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundations, floor slab, masonry walls, high bay areas, and sloped roof. All special foundations and utilities systems to support the simulator equipment. Also included are supporting pavements for roads, parking and sidewalks. Air Conditioning: 50 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 127,667 SF ADEQUATE: 115,292 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Add to C-17 flight simulation training facility, phase 2 of 2. (New Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Four bays are required to house new six-way flight simulators for the C-17 aircrew training program. These simulators will provide initial training, qualification, proficiency, and effective mission procedures training. These simulators are essential to provide hazardous emergency training procedures that otherwise could not be provided. For example, it would not be possible to actually fly three engine take offs safely. Facility construction is required in FY94 in order that the facility be construction complete in August 1995 to meet the simulator equipment delivery date of September 1995. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> This project is the second phase of a two phase program to construct flight simulator facilities to support the beddown of the C-17 aircraft at this base. The first phase was approved in the FY91 MILCON program to support initial delivery of the new aircraft and construction will be completed in 1993. This request will provide the final three bays needed to support C-17 aircrew training requirements. Other existing simulator facilities are and will continue to be in use for C-141, C-5, and KC-135 aircrew training. There are no other facilities on base which can be upgraded to meet this requirement. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The beddown of the C-17 aircraft could not be					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
C-17 ADD TO FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING FACILITY, PHII (DBOF)		AGGN943004
<p>accomplished without providing an adequate flight simulator facility for training aircrews. Delay in providing requested construction will be grounds for the simulator equipment vendor to renegotiate the contract to provide the three simulators. It is estimated that a new contract will result in a \$30 to \$40 million increase to purchase simulator equipment.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, addition and new construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option (addition) that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE C-17 ADD TO FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING FACILITY, PHII (DBOF)		5. PROJECT NUMBER AGGN943004
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 16
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 AUG 16
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 11
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		135
(b) All Other Design Costs		116
(c) Total		251
(d) Contract		180
(e) In-house		71
(4) Construction Start		94 FEB
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:		
EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED
		COST (\$000)
C-17 FLIGHT SIMULATOR	3080	FY92 63600

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA			4. PROJECT TITLE C-17 ADD TO AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 4.11.30M	6. CATEGORY CODE 218-712	7. PROJECT NUMBER AGGN923002	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 3,300		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
C-17 ADD TO AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY (DBOF)		SF	27,000		2,518
AEROSPACE GROUND EQUIPMENT ADDITION		SF	8,200	100	(820)
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT ADDITION		SF	12,000	86	(1,032)
EQUIPMENT SHOP/STORAGE ADDITION		SF	6,800	98	(666)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					295
UTILITIES		LS			(100)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(85)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(60)
FUEL DISPENSING/STORAGE		LS			(50)
SUBTOTAL					2,813
CONTINGENCY (10%)					281
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					3,094
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					186
TOTAL REQUEST					3,280
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					3,300
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Addition to Aircraft Ground Equipment (AGE) Shop will have concrete footings, foundation and floor slab with high bay steel frame, insulated walls and roof and overhead two ton hoist. Addition to the Aircraft Maintenance Unit (AMU) will be a steel framed mezzanine, latrines, utilities and necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Add to C-17 aircraft maintenance facility. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: Properly sized and configured facility for maintenance, repair and storage of powered aircraft support equipment including administrative support, tools, maintenance equipment, bench stock, technical data library and battery storage to support the beddown of the C-17 aircraft. A properly sized Aircraft Maintenance Unit (AMU) is required for the displaced C-5 AMU in Building 279 (AGE Shop) and the new C-17 AMU to provide adequate administrative support, tool kit storage area, change rooms, and technical data library to support each weapon system. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing aircraft support shop is not properly configured, sized to support the new mission. The existing facility does not allow for easy access to maintenance bays for daily maintenance or storage to prevent damage from inclement weather. Equipment awaiting parts must be secured and placed outside to allow other equipment to be maintained. There are no other facilities available to house the new C-17 AMU or the C-5 AMU relocated with this project without construction of additional space. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: It will not be possible to provide adequate aircraft support equipment maintenance and AMU space for the new C-17 aircraft and AMU space to support the C-5 aircraft. Lack of functional					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
C-17 ADD TO AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY (DBOF)		AGGN923002
<p>AGE and AMU facilities will delay aircraft generation, and in some cases, loss of critical training missions.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". An economic analysis has been prepared which supports this project. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
C-17 ADD TO AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY (DBOF)	AGGN923002	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 16
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 17
(d) Date Design Complete		93 MAY 21
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		200
(b) All Other Design Costs		187
(c) Total		387
(d) Contract		239
(e) In-house		148
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)				2. DATE	
AIR FORCE							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. COMMAND			5. AREA CONST	
TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA			AIR FORCE			COST INDEX	
			MATERIEL COMMAND			0.87	
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT		STUDENTS		SUPPORTED	
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		1433	5657	13357			20,447
b. End FY 1998		1413	5576	11476			18,465
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)							
a. Total Acreage: (4,885)							
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 562,263							
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 104,330							
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 21,549							
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 25,000							
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 87,600							
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0							
h. Grand Total: 800,742							
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994							
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS	
CODE						START	CMPL
121-122	ALTER HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	5,300	LF	4,129	SEP 92	SEP 93	
131-132	MILSTAR COMMUNICATIONS GROUND TERMINAL		LS	800	NOV 92	SEP 93	
411-135	SEAL FUEL CONTAINMENT DIKES	14,800	SY	620	JUN 92	SEP 93	
411-135	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	78	EA	4,700	JUL 92	SEP 93	
610-243	ENGINEERING AND CONTRACT SUPPORT FACILITY	47,700	SF	5,900	AUG 92	SEP 93	
831-155	INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER REGIONAL CONNECTION (DBOF)	12,000	LF	5,400	AUG 92	DEC 93	
TOTAL:					21,549		
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)							
141-753	SQUADRON OPERATIONS/MOBILITY CENTER	40,600	SF	5,700			
217-742	POWER PRODUCTION-REFRIGERATION MAINTENANCE FACILITY	36,500	SF	4,000			
610-287	ENGINEERING AND INSTALLATIONS FACILITY	66,275	SF	8,800			
721-312	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES	280	PN	4,500			
871-183	STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM		LS	2,000			
TOTAL:					25,000		
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:							
121-122	ADD TO AND ALTER JET FUEL TRANSFER SYSTEM		LS	2,500			
141-764	SOFTWARE SUPPORT FACILITY	52,000	SF	8,800			
217-742	MOBILITY OPERATIONS FACILITY	12,000	SF	1,200			
217-742	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	44,300	SF	4,350			
826-123	ALTER AIR CONDITIONING PLANT		LS	2,650			
10. Mission or Major Functions: Oklahoma City Air Logistics Center which is responsible for logistics management, support, and depot-level maintenance of E-3, B-1, B-2, B-52, and KC-135 aircraft, and aircraft engines; Air Combat Command Air control wing (E-3 and EC-135/C-135 aircraft) and combat communications group; and an Air Force Reserve							

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX			
TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA				AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND				0.87			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of											
b. End FY											
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage:											
b. Inventory Total As Of:											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program:											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:											
g. Remaining Deficiency:											
h. Grand Total:											
fighter group (F-16 aircraft).											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:								30,100			
b. Water pollution:								5,100			
c. Occupational safety and health:								6,000			
d. Other Environmental:								4,000			

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA			4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 7.28.96	6. CATEGORY CODE 121-122	7. PROJECT NUMBER WWYK943028	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 4,129		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ALTER HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	LS			2,697	
REPLACE FUEL LINES	LF	10,600	240	(2,544)	
REPLACE HYDRANT PUMPS	EA	4	38,250	(153)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				825	
UTILITIES	LS			(95)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(50)	
LEAK DETECTION SYSTEM	LS			(195)	
REMOVE CONTAMINATED SOIL	LS			(485)	
SUBTOTAL				3,522	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				352	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,874	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				232	
TOTAL REQUEST				4,106	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,129	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 20 inch aluminum fuel lines with 12 inch lines, including removal and replacement of PCC apron pavement and removal of contaminated soil; replace 1200 gpm pumps with 600 gpm pumps; provide leak detection system, utilities and other support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace hydrant fueling lines and pumps. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A reliable and environmentally safe and compliant hydrant fueling system is required to expedite refueling of E-3A AWACS aircraft and transient aircraft supporting the depot aerial port. Replacement of the existing system is needed to assure continued operational capability of these aircraft and to reduce fuel spills and to eliminate the present environmental problem of soil and ground water contamination. CURRENT SITUATION: The 4800 gallon per minute system was installed in two phases which were completed in 1978 and 1986. The 20 inch aluminum fuel lines are subject to breaks at elbow joints connecting long straight pipe sections caused by thermal expansion. This results in mission delays for the serviced aircraft and potential contamination of ground water. Breaks are located visually as fuel bubbles up between joints in the apron concrete. Since 1982, there have been at least six releases from the hydrant system due to system failure, resulting in release of thousands of gallons of fuel. Each release required removal of about 500 cubic yards of contaminated soil. The design of the present system is conducive to migration of contaminants over great distances. The aluminum fuel lines must be replaced with stainless steel to eliminate an environmental hazard and a serious operational/safety threat. The project is needed to comply with future environmental requirements and to provide for cleanup of contaminated soil. Replacement of the hydrant system is required to meet					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ALTER HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM		WWYK943028	
<p>compliance standards of operation, to eliminate present extent of contamination and to avoid exacerbation of threats to environment, safety, and mission.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Failure to implement this project will result in continued mission delays and soil/water contamination and could subject the base to civil or criminal actions associated with environmental damage.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in either Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission and regulatory requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exemption has been prepared.</p>			

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM		5. PROJECT NUMBER WWYK943028
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 12
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 11
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 07
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		255
(b) All Other Design Costs		128
(c) Total		383
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		383
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA			4. PROJECT TITLE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 7.80.56		6. CATEGORY CODE 411-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER WYK933057	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 4,700	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			2,615
REPLACE UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS		EA	54	25,130	(1,357)
ALTER UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS		EA	24	12,670	(304)
INSTALL CENTRAL MONITORING SYSTEM		LS			(954)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					1,410
SITE ASSESSMENT AND REMEDIATION		LS			(430)
UTILITIES		LS			(250)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(100)
TANK REMOVAL		EA	54	11,667	(630)
SUBTOTAL					4,025
CONTINGENCY (10%)					403
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					4,428
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					266
TOTAL REQUEST					4,694
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					4,700
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Remove and replace 54 underground storage tanks; upgrade 24 existing tanks to include installation of leak detection, spill/overflow prevention and corrosion protection, removal of oil/water separators; install a basewide tank monitoring system.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Replace or upgrade 78 underground storage tanks. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance project. Environmentally safe storage tanks are required to ensure continued operating storage of petroleum products and other environmentally controlled substances which are used in support/operation of depot and base shops, electric generators, gas stations, laboratories, etc. Underground tanks must comply with federal and state environmental and safety regulations. In order to comply with recent Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulations under Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Subtitle I (40CFR, part 280) and comparable state regulations, all underground storage tanks must be upgraded or replaced by December 1998.					
CURRENT SITUATION: Most of the existing underground storage tanks were installed in the 1940s and are inadequate to meet stricter requirements of current regulations including leak detection, corrosion protection and spill/overflow protection. This underground storage capacity is for mission essential fuel storage. Leak tests are conducted annually and the base maintains an active manual inventory control. A central monitoring system will enable the base to perform leak detection on all their active regulated tanks from a single location and respond immediately to leaks					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	WWYK933057	
<p>and fires. This expandable system will initially monitor all 78 tanks included in this project.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The base will be out of compliance with EPA regulations and with the passage of time, the potential for groundwater contamination will increase. Non-compliance could result in fines of up to \$25,000 per day. Removal of tanks without replacement would seriously impact accomplishment of the base missions.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in either Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or AFM 86-2. All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exemption has been prepared.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	WWYK933057	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 JUL 13	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 SEP 28	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 SEP 13	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	288	
(b) All Other Design Costs	225	
(c) Total	513	
(d) Contract	363	
(e) In-house	150	
(4) Construction Start		
	93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA			ENGINEERING AND CONTRACT SUPPORT FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
9.12.12S	610-243	WWYK923033	5,900		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ENGINEERING AND CONTRACT SUPPORT FACILITY		SF	47,700	97	4,627
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					655
COMMUNICATION SUPPORT		LS			(120)
DEMOLITION		SF	49,000	4	(195)
FIRE PROTECTION		LS			(90)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(50)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(35)
SPECIAL FOUNDATIONS		LS			(55)
UTILITIES		LS			(110)
SUBTOTAL					5,282
CONTINGENCY (5%)					264
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					5,546
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					333
TOTAL REQUEST					5,879
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					5,900
10. Description of Proposed Construction: A two-story structural steel and masonry building, reinforced concrete foundations and floors, prewired workstations. Includes space for engineers, technicians, and contracting staff; rooms for drawing reproduction equipment, technical library and electronic equipment testing; administrative area and other necessary support. Demolish eight facilities totaling 48,980 square feet. Air Conditioning: 90 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 47,700 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 70,576 SF <u>PROJECT</u> : Construct an engineering and contracting support facility. (Current Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT</u> : A properly sized and configured facility to house the 1845th Engineering Installation Group (AFCC) engineering and contracting staff of more than 300 people. The engineers design communications, air traffic control, and weather system installations. The contracting staff buys commercial components based on plans and performance specifications developed by the engineers. These systems support Air Force, Joint Chiefs of Staff, and Presidentially-directed programs valued at more than \$3.25 billion. Examples are DOD Red Switch, Base Information Digital Distribution System, Milstar, and Next Generation Weather Radar programs. The proposed facility is part of an overall plan to replace the engineering and installation facilities through projects done sequentially in order to optimally use the existing real estate. <u>CURRENT SITUATION</u> : Engineering and contracting personnel occupy two-story, asbestos-sided, wood-framed Korean War vintage facilities originally built for use as dormitories. These facilities have deteriorated beyond economical repair. Basic structural members have extensive termite and water damage, floors have sunk and pulled away from					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE ENGINEERING AND CONTRACT SUPPORT FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER WWYK923033
<p>walls and ceilings, and buildings are poorly insulated. Mechanical and electrical systems have outlived their serviceable life. The base currently is providing interim fixes such as shoring up floors and expedient utility repairs that minimize the expenditure of scarce operations and maintenance resources. Eight substandard facilities totaling 48,980 square feet will be disposed of upon completion of this project.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Existing facilities, even with continued repair, are not expected to remain habitable beyond 1994. At that time, the Air Force will need Title 10 authority for an off-base lease. No other space will be available on base for this requirement. This will delay the fielding of major Air Force, JCS, and Presidentially-directed systems. The existing facilities will continue to tie up base maintenance manpower and drain the base operations and maintenance funds totaling about \$100,000 annually. The worsening conditions of existing buildings over the past several years necessitate immediate construction of new</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction and revitalization. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be more cost efficient over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
ENGINEERING AND CONTRACT SUPPORT FACILITY		WWYK923033
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 21
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 14
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		366
(b) All Other Design Costs		188
(c) Total		554
(d) Contract		369
(e) In-house		185
(4) Construction Start		94 FEB
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA			INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER REGIONAL CONNECTION (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
7.80.56	831-155	WWYK943011	5,400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER REGIONAL CONNECTION (DBOF)	LS			3,650	
INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER LINES	LF	15,000	170	(2,550)	
LIFT STATIONS	EA	2	550,000	(1,100)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,175	
UTILITIES	LS			(225)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(300)	
LEAK MONITORING SYSTEM	LS			(400)	
COMMINUTOR	LS			(250)	
SUBTOTAL				4,825	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				241	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,066	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				304	
TOTAL REQUEST				5,370	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,400	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Install a force and gravity flow wastewater connection main with an interstitial monitoring system. Includes pumps, valves, ancillary items and necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Install a wastewater regional connection pipeline. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance project to provide a connection to the local municipal sewage collection system to allow Tinker AFB to meet permitted effluent limitations for wastewater discharge from the two base treatment plants. A sewage lift station and a section of forced mains are required to pump the effluent uphill from the base treatment plants, and a comminutor is needed to grind solids in the wastewater. Connection must be provided by August 1995 to ensure compliance.					
CURRENT SITUATION: Effluent from the existing industrial wastewater treatment plant (IWTP) and sanitary treatment plant (STP) discharges into an intermittent creek. The low water to effluent ratio at the point of discharge results in very low permit discharge limits for many constituents. The plants have a history of noncompliance. Both plants are barely meeting discharge limits. The current base discharge permit expires in August 1993. The state has indicated that upon renewal, the base's permit will contain even lower limits to meet Clean Water Act, Section 304(1) requirements for toxicity control. The IWTP could not meet lower limits without the benefit of a major upgrade. Upon completion of this project the IWTP would be retained as a pretreatment facility and the STP will be closed.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The base industrial wastewater treatment plant					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER REGIONAL CONNECTION (DBOF)		5. PROJECT NUMBER WWYK943011
<p>will continue to exceed National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitted limits for effluent discharge. Potential fines and possible plant closure may result. Plant closure will shut down critical depot maintenance operations, severely crippling depot mission accomplishment.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in either Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or AFM 86-2. All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exemption has been prepared.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER REGIONAL CONNECTION (DBOF)	WWYK943011	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 16
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 06
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		320
(b) All Other Design Costs		175
(c) Total		495
(d) Contract		330
(e) In-house		165
(4) Construction Start		
		94 APR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX			
VANCE AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA				AIR TRAINING COMMAND				0.83			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		355	398	92	204				1		1,050
b. End FY 1998		393	385	96	231				1		1,106
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (4,394)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 77,705											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 7,500											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 6,000											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 3,650											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 23,700											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 118,555											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY						COST		DESIGN STATUS			
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE				(\$000)	START	CML			
136-664	UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING	46,800 LF				3,300	JUL 92	DEC 93			
442-000	T-1 SPECIALIZED UPT	26,000 SF				2,700	JUL 92	DEC 93			
MAINTENANCE SUPPORT											
TOTAL:						6,000					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)											
179-511 FIRE TRAINING FACILITY		LS				750					
832-266 UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM		LS				1,100					
871-183 UPGRADE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM		LS				1,800					
TOTAL:						3,650					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
113-321 T-37 REPLACEMENT FACILITY		LS				3,250					
MODIFICATIONS											
211-111 MAINTENANCE HANGAR		53,000 SF				6,500					
219-944 BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX		47,600 SF				5,000					
442-758 CONSOLIDATED LOGISTICS COMPLEX		119,700 SF				7,400					
812-223 REPAIR PRIMARY OVERHEAD DIST		LS				1,550					
LINES - BASEWIDE											
10. Mission or Major Functions: A flying training wing which conducts Undergraduate Pilot Training (UPT) with one T-37 squadron and one T-38 squadron. Also, base will undergo a T-37 to T-1 aircraft conversion.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:						0					
b. Water pollution:						3,275					
c. Occupational safety and health:						0					
d. Other Environmental:						375					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VANCE AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA			4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 8.57.96T	6. CATEGORY CODE 136-664	7. PROJECT NUMBER XTLF933303	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 3,300		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING	LF	46,800		1,708	
RUNWAY LIGHTING 17L/35R	LF	23,400	46	(1,076)	
TAXIWAY LIGHTING	LF	23,400	27	(632)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,115	
DISTANCE MARKERS/WIND CONES	LS			(110)	
THRESHOLD LIGHTING/RW END INDICATOR	LS			(665)	
SALS APPROACH LIGHTING/FLASHERS	LS			(290)	
VISUAL GLIDESLOPE INDICATOR	LS			(50)	
SUBTOTAL				2,823	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				282	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,105	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				186	
TOTAL REQUEST				3,291	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,300	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade the airfield lighting on the three north-south parallel runways and associated taxiways. Work shall include: installation of lighting fixtures and equipment on the inside runway (17L-35R) to convert it for nighttime operations, replacement of existing fixtures and equipment on the center (17C-35C) and outside (17R-35L) runways and taxiways with state-of-the-art systems.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Upgrade airfield lighting. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to properly modify, upgrade and standardize existing visual navigational aid facilities to FAA and Air Force standards. This will improve operational safety, reliability and efficiency of the airfield through the use of equipment, fixtures and materials that can be adequately maintained. This lighting upgrade was identified in the 1988 Master Planning Study of Airfield Lighting Systems at Vance AFB and is required for the proper training and safety of inexperienced student pilots. CURRENT SITUATION: Inexperienced student pilots fly 260 sorties per day to comply with the strict flying syllabus. Lack of runway lighting on the inside runway limits operations to only one type of aircraft at night to avoid marginally safe in-flight separation of aircraft. Threshold and runway edge lights do not provide high-intensity lighting required by Air Force directives. Visual glide slope indicators and other lighting equipment are both difficult and expensive to maintain. Existing cables have excessive current losses associated with advanced stages of insulation deterioration. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Safety of pilots are in jeopardy if landings are attempted during outages. Night flying capacity will continue to be					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VANCE AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING	XTLF933303	
<p>limited to one type of aircraft.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis was not prepared because this project directly supports a mission function for which there is no available alternative but to upgrade the airfield lighting. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VANCE AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING	XTLF933303	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 02
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 29
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 20
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		210
(b) All Other Design Costs		106
(c) Total		316
(d) Contract		236
(e) In-house		80
(4) Construction Start		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
VANCE AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA			T-1 SPECIALIZED UPT MAINTENANCE SUPPORT		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.47.41	442-000	XTLFP933002	2,700		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
T-1 SPECIALIZED UPT MAINTENANCE SUPPORT		SF	26,000		2,247
COMBS WAREHOUSE		SF	17,500	50	(875)
SIMULATOR CONTRACTOR LOGISTIC SUPPORT		SF	8,500	77	(655)
ALTER IN-FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY		LS			(147)
CENTRALIZED AIRCRAFT SUPPORT SYSTEM		EA	38	13,290	(505)
ALTER OPERATIONAL FACILITIES		LS			(65)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					170
UTILITIES/COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT		LS			(70)
PAVEMENTS/SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(100)
SUBTOTAL					2,417
CONTINGENCY (5%)					121
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,538
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					152
TOTAL REQUEST					2,690
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,700
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Masonry building with concrete floor slab and foundation to house a Contractor Managed Base Supply (COMBS) function. Also includes modifying hangars and simulator facility, installing centralized aircraft support system (CASS), tie-down and grounding points, and other necessary support. Air Conditioning: 5 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
<u>PROJECT:</u> Construct a Contractor Operated and Managed Base Supply (COMBS) facility and modify facilities and systems to beddown the T-1 Jayhawk trainer aircraft. (New Mission)					
<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Per contract agreement, an integrated logistics support facility is to be provided to the contractor responsible for maintenance of 40 T-1 Jayhawk aircraft. The mission change will require enlargement of the flight training facilities for the new simulator contractor's support and management area, modifications to the in-flight simulator facility and other operational facilities, and the installation of a centralized aircraft support system (CASS) to start and maintain the aircraft while on the ramp.					
<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Vance AFB is currently an Undergraduate Pilot Training (UPT) base and utilizes both T-37 and T-38 aircraft. All facilities are configured for these airframes, which the T-1 Jayhawk aircraft is 9.7' wider than the T-37 and 18.1' wider than the T-38. Existing facilities are not available on the flightline for the COMBS warehouse/office function. This function must provide rapid response to parts replacement and secure storage of contractor owned parts. Simulator bays will need to be modified to permit the new simulator to be installed. Two additional floors must be constructed in one of the terrain model board rooms for					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VANCE AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
T-1 SPECIALIZED UPT MAINTENANCE SUPPORT	XTLF933002	
<p>simulator contractor support for the T-1 Jayhawk trainer. The ramp parking plan must be altered and a new CASS system installed to support the new aircraft. First aircraft is scheduled for delivery in February 1995.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Failure to accomplish this project will impact overall mission capabilities and jeopardize the beddown of the T-1 Jayhawk aircraft. Will be in violation of a contract agreement resulting in contractor claims.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis was not prepared for this project because there is only one method possible to accomplish the objective. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VANCE AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
T-1 SPECIALIZED UPT MAINTENANCE SUPPORT	XTLF933002	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 JUL 16	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	15%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 OCT 23	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 DEC 15	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	REESE	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	162	
(b) All Other Design Costs	54	
(c) Total	216	
(d) Contract	156	
(e) In-house	60	
(4) Construction Start	94 MAR	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE	
AIR FORCE									
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CHARLESTON AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA				4. COMMAND AIR MOBILITY COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 0.91	
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT		STUDENTS		SUPPORTED			
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		533	3642	1134	103	306	5	1	5,729
b. End FY 1998		484	2714	1009	103	306	5	1	4,627
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)									
a. Total Acreage: (6,235)									
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 145,509									
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 65,700									
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 1,100									
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 13,600									
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 21,500									
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0									
h. Grand Total: 247,409									
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994									
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS	
CODE								START	CMPL
179-511	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)			LS	1,100			JUN 92	JUN 93
TOTAL:						1,100			
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)									
121-000	C-17 ADD TO AND ALTER APRON/ HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM, PH III			LS	7,500				
211-153	C-17 ADD TO AND ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE AND NDI SHOP	4,900	SF		3,500				
442-257	ALTER BASE HAZ MATERIALS STORAGE			LS	1,500				
811-149	AIRFIELD LIGHTING VAULT	3,000	SF		1,100				
TOTAL:						13,600			
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:									
211-000	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE	24,000	SF		4,400				
219-000	BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX	41,000	SF		5,600				
442-257	BASE HAZ MATERIALS STORAGE	9,600	SF		1,300				
721-315	ALTER VISITING AIRMEN DORMITORY	420	PN		5,000				
843-315	ADD TO AND ALTER FIRE HYDRANTS	24,000	LF		1,750				
10. Mission or Major Functions: An airlift wing which includes four C-141 squadrons; an Air Force Reserve C-141 associate airlift wing; and an Air National Guard fighter interceptor detachment with F-16 aircraft. A joint military/civil use airfield. Also, primary base to receive new C-17 aircraft.									
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:									
a. Air pollution:								0	
b. Water pollution:								0	
c. Occupational safety and health:								0	
d. Other Environmental:								1,450	

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CHARLESTON AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA			4. PROJECT TITLE FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.18.56	179-511	DKFX963500	1,100		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)	EA	1	815,000	815	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				155	
UTILITIES	LS			(60)	
PAVEMENTS	SY	1,300	35	(45)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(50)	
SUBTOTAL				970	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				49	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,019	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				61	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,080	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,100	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Live fire training facility with large frame aircraft mock-up, polyethylene liner system, JP-4 fuel pump and piping system, commercial power hook-up, gravity oil/water separator, access road, effluent holding pond and all necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1 LS ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 1 LS PROJECT: Construct fire training facility. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance project. A live fire training facility is required to meet all environmental and safety regulations. Live fire training exercises, an FAA quarterly requirement, enable fire fighters to maintain a high level of proficiency by extinguishing two types of live fires: mass fuel spills and three dimensional (running fuel) fires. These exercises, performed on mock-ups typical of the mission assigned aircraft, have created compliance problems with the Clean Water Act. An impermeable lining below the pit area is required to prevent waste oils and fuels from leaching into the ground and contaminating the groundwater. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing live fire training facility violated EPA pollution standards and was closed in 1984. It is inadequate for training as defined by Air Force regulation. The current aircraft mock-up is smaller than the required size and is not accessible for multi-directional approaches creating an artificial environment which limits the quality of training. The existing facility does not have high-density polyethylene flexible membrane liners and nets, a leak detection system, and spill containment capability. There are no environmentally approved live fire training facilities in the local area. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The existing facility is closed because it does not meet environmental requirements. Required live fire training for the					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
CHARLESTON AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOP)		DKFX963500	
<p>assigned fire fighters is not available. Without the stress and realism that come only with live fires, the fire fighters lose proficiency in combating fires. The potential for loss of aircraft and life is increased.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There are no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". This project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>			

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
CHARLESTON AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)		DKFX963500
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUN 30
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		65%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 25
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		SCOTT
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		57
(b) All Other Design Costs		19
(c) Total		76
(d) Contract		57
(e) In-house		19
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SHAW AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA				4. COMMAND AIR COMBAT COMMAND		5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 0.83			
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT		STUDENTS		SUPPORTED		TOTAL	
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		746	4579	518	16	26	252	11	42
b. End FY 1998		705	4465	556	16	26	252	11	42
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)									
a. Total Acreage: (3,416)									
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92)								157,483	
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:								11,080	
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:								5,870	
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995)								0	
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:								15,000	
g. Remaining Deficiency:								0	
h. Grand Total:								189,433	
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994									
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS	
CODE								START	CMPL
149-962	CONTROL TOWER			1 EA		2,700		AUG 92	APR 93
411-135	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS			LS		520		JUL 92	DEC 92
740-884	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER			24,500 SF		2,650		SEP 92	JUN 93
TOTAL:						5,870			
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE									
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:									
113-321	REPLACE APRON 3			LS		3,000			
211-179	FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK			18,500 SF		2,800			
722-351	DINING FACILITY AND TROOP ISSUE WAREHOUSE			24,000 SF		3,800			
730-835	SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS			12,000 SF		1,600			
740-675	BASE LIBRARY & EDUCATION CENTER			32,000 SF		3,800			
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters Ninth Air Force; a fighter wing which includes three fighter squadrons (F-16 aircraft) and one air control squadron (OA-10 and A-10 aircraft). Also, the temporary beddown location of one F-16 squadron from Homestead AFB, FL.									
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:									
a. Air pollution:								0	
b. Water pollution:								6,500	
c. Occupational safety and health:								0	
d. Other Environmental:								0	

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
SHAW AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA			CONTROL TOWER		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
3.51.14	149-962	VLSB943002	2,700		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
CONTROL TOWER	LS			1,552	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				870	
UTILITIES	LS			(255)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(90)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(35)	
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS	LS			(10)	
COMMUNICATION SUPPORT	LS			(290)	
SPECIAL FOUNDATION	LS			(25)	
AIRFIELD WIRING	LS			(115)	
DEMOLITION	LS			(50)	
SUBTOTAL				2,422	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				121	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,543	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				153	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,696	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,700	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete footings, special foundation, floor slab, supporting superstructure, control tower cab, operations and training areas. Facility includes elevator, all site work, utilities, mechanical, electrical, fire protection and backup power systems. Existing tower will be demolished. Air Conditioning: 20 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1 EA ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 1 EA PROJECT: Construct an 86-foot high air traffic control tower with a 540 square foot cab. (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: A new air traffic control tower with larger cab to accommodate up to 11 air traffic control personnel, air traffic control equipment, crew briefings, operations, and training functions. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing control tower was constructed in 1956. The 225 SF tower cab was configured to accommodate three controllers and the standard compliment of 1950s vintage equipment. The base mission and characteristics of aircraft supported have significantly changed since then, and more air traffic controllers and equipment are needed now to cover the current air operation. Furthermore, changes in airport configuration, air traffic patterns and visual obstructions to controllers make the proposed tower site more desirable than the existing site. Air traffic control operations at Shaw number 120,000 landings and take-offs annually. Shaw AFB is home base for three F-16 squadrons and one A/OA-10 squadron. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Overcrowded cab conditions limit air traffic controller mobility and impact controller communications with pilots. These conditions, coupled with the additional effort required to safely control multiple F-16, A-10 and OA-10 aircraft during routine operations,					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
SHAW AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
CONTROL TOWER	VLSB943002	
<p>create conditions that could jeopardize pilot safety and cause aircraft loss.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> The project is part of the Air Force Control Tower upgrade program managed by Air Force Communications Command (AFCC). Upon completion of this project, the existing tower will be demolished. The economic analysis for this project considered three alternatives: status quo, modify the existing tower, and construct a new tower. Status quo would not eliminate all deficiencies, and tower modification was determined to be technically infeasible. New construction is the only viable alternative. There are no criteria for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide," or in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements."</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
SHAW AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
CONTROL TOWER	VLSB943002	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		90%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 01
(d) Date Design Complete		93 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		162
(b) All Other Design Costs		81
(c) Total		243
(d) Contract		162
(e) In-house		81
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
SHAW AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA			CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
2.75.96C	740-884	VLSB903015	2,650		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	SF	24,500	81	1,985	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				405	
UTILITIES	LS			(250)	
PAVEMENTS	SY	2,000	40	(80)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(75)	
SUBTOTAL				2,390	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				120	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,510	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				151	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,661	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,650	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, structural frame, masonry exterior, roof system, utilities, parking, playground, covered walkway and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 40 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 24,500 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 10,500 SF PROJECT: Construct a child development center. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A properly sized and functionally configured facility to provide supervised care and development experience for all eligible patrons. The current waiting list of 107 military children and 50 civilian eligible users validates this requirement. The facility must provide a comfortable, safe, and clean environment where patrons can leave their children on an hourly, or drop-in basis, without worrying about the level or nature of care. CURRENT SITUATION: There are presently over 150 children on the waiting list for child care at Shaw AFB. Until recently child care services were provided out of two substandard facilities. In April 1990, one of the facilities was condemned due to severe structural problems from termite damage uncovered during an asbestos removal contract. The base is currently leasing a 3,360 SF modular classroom at a cost of \$2,954 per month to enable continuation of child care services. The second facility is being used on an interim basis until a new facility can be constructed. The inadequacy of the existing child care facility and the shortage of on-base child care services creates serious hardships for personnel, especially single parents, who are unable to obtain adequate child care and is particularly trying during no-notice mission exercises. Demands have forced more of our young enlisted and junior officer families to pay a higher price for off-base child care.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
SHAW AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	VLSB903015	
<p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Eligible patrons will continue to be denied service. Personnel must continue to use expensive off-base programs or place children in unlicensed babysitting situations. This results in an additional hardship on military parents and degrades the morale, productivity and career satisfaction of the force.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
SHAW AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	VLSB903015	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 02
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 23
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 18
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		130
(b) All Other Design Costs		114
(c) Total		244
(d) Contract		174
(e) In-house		70
(4) Construction Start		94 FEB
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELLSWORTH AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH DAKOTA				4. COMMAND AIR COMBAT COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.02		
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		870	5067	494	34	76	12			
b. End FY 1998		616	3385	480	34	76	12			
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (6,470)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 410,072										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 30,420										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 630										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 8,930										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 51,050										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 501,102										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START	CMPL	
211-173	ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE			LS	630	AUG 92	APR 93			
	DOCK									
					TOTAL:	630				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
124-000	UPGRADE POL DIKES AND BASINS			LS	1,230					
610-128	CONSOLIDATED ADMINISTRATION			38,005 SF	6,200					
	SUPPORT PH1									
871-183	STORM DRAINAGE FACILITIES			LS	1,500					
					TOTAL:	8,930				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
113-321	UPGRADE AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON			LS	10,300					
121-122	UPGRADE HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM			LS	17,200					
141-461	USAF COMMAND POST			10,000 SF	3,900					
610-000	CONSOLIDATED MANAGEMENT			41,650 SF	5,700					
	SUPPORT CENTER (PH 3)									
841-161	ADD TO AND ALTER WATER SUPPLY			45,200 LF	4,400					
	SYSTEM									
10. Mission or Major Functions: A bomb wing which includes two B-1 squadrons; a missile wing with two Minuteman intercontinental ballistic missile squadrons; a tactics and training wing (responsible for combat situation training of all ACC bomber crews); a combat air rescue detachment with HH-1 helicopters; and an Air Mobility Command air refueling squadron (KC-135 aircraft).										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution: 0										
b. Water pollution: 7,730										
c. Occupational safety and health: 0										
d. Other Environmental: 0										

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST		
ARNOLD AIR FORCE BASE, TENNESSEE				AIR FORCE				COST INDEX		
				MATERIEL COMMAND				0.90		
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		74	58	199						
b. End FY 1998		70	48	197						
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (39,081)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 1,059,660										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 2,400										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 1,500										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 6,800										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 20,800										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 1,091,160										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START CMPL		
831-165		UPGRADE SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT		LS		1,500		SEP 92 AUG 93		
				TOTAL:		1,500				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
130-142		UPGRADE FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM		6,800 SF		3,500				
800-000		ALTER COOLING WATER SYSTEM		LS		3,300				
				TOTAL:		6,800				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
219-944		BASE MAINTENANCE SHOP		24,960 SF		3,000				
411-139		HARDER UTILITY VULNERABILITY, SPECIAL FUEL STORAGE		LS		4,800				
610-811		SECURE STORAGE VAULT		2,400 SF		1,000				
821-113		ALTER STEAM AND CONDENSATE SYS TEM		012,286 SF		1,100				
844-367		BASE FACILITY COOLING TOWER		1 EA		10,900				
10. Mission or Major Functions: Arnold Engineering Development Center which conducts research, development, testing, and evaluation in support of aerospace system acquisition. The complex of wind tunnels, jet and rocket engine test cells, space simulation chambers, and hyperballistic ranges is the largest in the U. S.										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution:		2,000								
b. Water pollution:		1,000								
c. Occupational safety and health:		0								
d. Other Environmental:		2,600								

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ARNOLD AIR FORCE BASE, TENNESSEE			UPGRADE SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
7.80.56	831-165	ANZY870369	1,500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UPGRADE SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT	LS			1,050	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				240	
UTILITIES	LS			(110)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(30)	
O&M MANUAL, TRAINING AND START-UP	LS			(100)	
SUBTOTAL				1,290	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				129	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,419	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				85	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,504	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,500	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade sewage treatment plant by adding primary and secondary settling basins, a grit removal system, rebuilding sludge drying beds and replacing/upgrading trickling filter components, pumps, meters, a pump station and ancillary items. Upgrade laboratories and provide pretreatment facilities at steam plants, necessary support, O&M manuals and 180 day start-up operation and training.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Upgrade a sewage treatment plant. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: This is a Level I environmental compliance project. An adequate sewage treatment plant is required to treat sewage and waste water. The treatment process must produce an effluent which meets federal and State of Tennessee water quality standards, as required by a permit issued under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) and the Clean Water Act, as amended.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The existing sewage treatment plant does not consistently meet the discharge limits required by the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) and State of Tennessee. Limits were exceeded 22 times in 1990. Arnold AFB has received one notice of violation for the operation of the plant during 1990. This leaves the plant in noncompliance with its permit, State of Tennessee regulations and the Clean Water Act. Lack of recirculation basins often results in the trickling filter running dry or operating too rapidly. The hydraulic capacity of the plant is exceeded about 75 percent of the time. The lack of duplicate primary settling tanks violates current environmental regulations and precludes taking a unit out of service for periodic maintenance. The plant capacity is 230 thousand gallons per day (TGD), but the flow has averaged 298 TGD over a recent 12-month period.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ARNOLD AIR FORCE BASE, TENNESSEE		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT	ANZY870369	
<p>Inadequate facilities preclude effective operation of the sludge digestion equipment, which results in handling of foul smelling sludge. Discharge from the plant enters Woods Reservoir, which is a source of drinking water for Arnold AFB. Discharge of inadequately treated sewage into drinking water sources is not permissible and is a violation of the plant's permit. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The sewage treatment plant would continue to be out of compliance with its permit limits and the Clean Water Act. Arnold AFB will potentially be exposed to fines ranging from \$10,000 per day per violation. Significant adverse public reaction can be expected for continued violations.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ARNOLD AIR FORCE BASE, TENNESSEE		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT	ANZY870369	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 28
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 16
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		51
(b) All Other Design Costs		84
(c) Total		135
(d) Contract		85
(e) In-house		50
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. COMMAND						5. AREA CONST		
MEMPHIS NAVAL AIR STATION, TENNESSEE								COST INDEX 0.00		
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92										
b. End FY 1998										
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (0)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 0										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 6,200										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 0										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 0										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 6,200										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START	CMPL	
171-621	ALTER TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY			49,650 SF		2,000		OCT 92	SEP 93	
171-625	ADD TO AND ALTER HIGH-BAY TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY			25,000 SF		3,000		OCT 92	DEC 93	
721-315	RENOVATE DORMITORY			42,000 SF		1,200		OCT 92	AUG 93	
TOTAL:						6,200				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE										
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution: 0										
b. Water pollution: 0										
c. Occupational safety and health: 0										
d. Other Environmental: 0										

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MEMPHIS NAVAL AIR STATION, TENNESSEE			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER HIGH-BAY TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 8.47.31	6. CATEGORY CODE 171-625	7. PROJECT NUMBER DJDB948002	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 3,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AND ALTER HIGH-BAY TECHNICAL		SF	25,000		2,353
TRAINING FACILITY		SF	1,600	8	(13)
ALTERATION		SF	23,400	100	(2,340)
ADDITION					195
SUPPORTING FACILITIES		LS			(195)
UTILITIES/COMMUNICATIONS					2,548
SUBTOTAL					255
CONTINGENCY (10%)					2,803
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					168
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					2,971
TOTAL REQUEST					3,000
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct classroom and laboratory space in existing high-bay area, to include all necessary supporting features.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 25,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 18,700 SF PROJECT: Add to and alter high-bay technical training facility. (New Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Classrooms and laboratories of adequate size and configuration to support Air Force aircraft structures training. Additional square footage will be provided by a new mezzanine in the part of the existing high bay area. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Existing facilities are not adequate for the total training and housing requirements to support consolidation and collocation of Air Force aircraft structures training with the Navy at Memphis Naval Air Station. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Aircraft structures training cannot be accomplished jeopardizing the realignment from and closure of Chanute AFB and the production of qualified technicians. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Aircraft structures training initially was moving to Sheppard AFB with the closure of Chanute AFB and was in the BRAC program. After an Interservice Training Review Organization (ITRO) study, \$10 million in additional savings was identified by combining this training at Memphis NAS. Since BRAC funds were appropriated to move this training to Sheppard AFB, BRAC funds cannot be used to move training to Memphis NAS. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MEMPHIS NAVAL AIR STATION, TENNESSEE		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER HIGH-BAY TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY	DJDB948002	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 OCT 15	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 30	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 DEC 15	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	162	
(b) All Other Design Costs	86	
(c) Total	248	
(d) Contract	210	
(e) In-house	38	
(4) Construction Start	94 MAR	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
MEMPHIS NAVAL AIR STATION, TENNESSEE			ALTER TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.47.31	171-621	DJDB948003	2,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ALTER TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY	SF	49,700		1,595	
TECHNICAL TRAINING LABORATORY	SF	6,400	80	(512)	
TECHNICAL TRAINING CLASSROOM	SF	43,300	25	(1,083)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				100	
UTILITIES/COMMUNICATIONS	SF	2,500	4	(10)	
WORKSTATIONS	EA	26	3,462	(90)	
SUBTOTAL				1,695	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				170	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,865	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				112	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,977	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,000	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter technical training building to include construction of partitions and dropped ceilings, and alterations to the electrical system, air conditioning/humidity control system, ventilation/exhaust system, vacuum system, and plumbing.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 49,700 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 49,700 SF					
PROJECT: Alter technical training facility. (New Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: Classrooms and laboratories of adequate size, configuration and with proper support systems for the nondestructive inspection, structural, and composite repair training of the Air Force aircraft structures training program.					
CURRENT SITUATION: Existing facilities are not adequate for the total training and housing requirements to support consolidation and collocation of Air Force aircraft structures training with the Navy at Memphis Naval Air Station.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Aircraft structures training cannot be accomplished jeopardizing the realignment from and closure of Chanute AFB and the production of qualified technicians.					
ADDITIONAL: Aircraft structures training initially was moving to Sheppard AFB with the closure of Chanute AFB and was in the BRAC program. After an Interservice Training Review organization (ITRO) study, \$10 million in additional savings was identified by combining this training at Memphis NAS. Since BRAC funds were appropriated to move this training to Sheppard AFB, BRAC funds cannot be used to move training to Memphis NAS. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MEMPHIS NAVAL AIR STATION, TENNESSEE		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ALTER TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY	DJDB948003	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 OCT 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 20
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		105
(b) All Other Design Costs		56
(c) Total		161
(d) Contract		140
(e) In-house		21
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
MEMPHIS NAVAL AIR STATION, TENNESSEE			RENOVATE DORMITORY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.47.31	721-315	DJDB948001	1,200		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
RENOVATE DORMITORY (375 PN)		SF	42,000	25	1,050
SUBTOTAL					1,050
CONTINGENCY (10%)					105
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,155
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					69
TOTAL REQUEST					1,224
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,200
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Renovate enlisted dormitory and detached administrative space to include replacement of damaged ceiling tiles, new doors to restrooms, new carpet, and paint walls. Grade Mix: 275 E1-E4; 100 E5-E6.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 42,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 42,000 SF PROJECT: Renovate dormitory and detached management space. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: Adequate student housing and supporting management space to house Air Force students attending aircraft structures training. CURRENT SITUATION: Existing facilities are not adequate for the total training and housing requirements to support consolidation and collocation of Air Force aircraft structures training with the Navy at Memphis Naval Air Station. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Aircraft structures training cannot be accomplished jeopardizing the realignment from and closure of Chanute AFB and the production of qualified technicians. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MEMPHIS NAVAL AIR STATION, TENNESSEE		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
RENOVATE DORMITORY	DJDB948001	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 OCT 17
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		56
(b) All Other Design Costs		20
(c) Total		76
(d) Contract		60
(e) In-house		16
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX		
DYESS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS				AIR COMBAT COMMAND				0.92		
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		765	4465	401						
b. End FY 1998		752	4188	390						5,330
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (6,432)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 218,829										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 16,770										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 10,390										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 6,200										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 21,050										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 273,239										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE			SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS	
CODE									START	CMPL
121-122	UPGRADE HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM, PHASE II				LS	9,500			AUG 92	JUL 93
872-247	WEAPONS STORAGE AREA SECURITY				11,300 LF	890			JUL 92	JUL 93
TOTAL:						10,390				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
721-312	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES				25,300 SF	5,200				
871-183	STORM DRAINAGE FACILITIES				LS	1,000				
TOTAL:						6,200				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
111-111	UPGRADE RUNWAY				LS	3,900				
211-111	HANGAR FIRE PROTECTION				LS	1,300				
211-153	ADD TO NONDESTRUCTIVE INSPECTION SHOP				LS	500				
724-417	VISITING OFFICERS QUARTERS				40,000 SF	4,600				
730-835	SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS				9,000 SF	1,350				
10. Mission or Major Functions: A flying wing which includes two bomb squadrons (B-1 aircraft) one of which is responsible for training all B-1 aircrews, and one air refueling squadron (KC-135 aircraft); and an Air Mobility Command airlift wing which includes two C-130 squadrons.										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution:									0	
b. Water pollution:									0	
c. Occupational safety and health:									0	
d. Other Environmental:									0	

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
DYESS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			UPGRADE HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM, PHASE II		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
1.18.96C	121-122	FNWZ9230042	9,500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/H	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UPGRADE HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM, PHASE II				7,772	
HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM PITS	EA	20	75,000	(1,500)	
RAMP SUPPLY AND RETURN PIPING	LF	10,600	530	(5,618)	
ISOLATION VALVE PITS	EA	8	39,880	(319)	
INTERCONNECTION TO B-1B SYSTEM	LF	2,200	125	(275)	
LEAK DETECTION SYSTEM	LF	7,500	8	(60)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				760	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(560)	
DEMOLITION	SY	4,000	50	(200)	
SUBTOTAL				8,532	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				427	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				8,959	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				538	
TOTAL REQUEST				9,497	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				9,500	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace flight line fuel supply and return piping, refueling pits, and lateral control pits to complete construction of hydrant system project. Includes leak detection, 20 refueling pits, isolation valve pits, interconnection to existing type III system, and demolition and disposal of five Type II pumphouses with tanks. Project includes all necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Upgrade hydrant fuel system Phase II. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: A hydrant refueling system with 20 refueling pits (one for each aircraft parking position) is required to support assigned aircraft. Force generation schedules for emergency war and contingency missions require a simultaneous refueling capability for five aircraft within one hour. This drives the requirement for a hydrant system rated at 3000 gallons per minute. This phase of the two-phase initiative will complete the hydrant system by providing flightline fuel supply and return piping with leak detection (as required for new systems by Texas law) plus refueling pits and lateral control pits. Phase I provided limited capabilities by constructing pumphouses, tanks, backup power, fillstands and connections to the bulk fuel receiving system. This project is phased due to construction timing which will require execution over a two-year period.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The existing hydrant refueling system, consisting of five pump houses, 30 underground operating tanks, and 55 refueling outlet pits was installed in 1957 to support B-47 and KC-97 aircraft. This system cannot provide the fuel flow rates necessary to meet the one-hour generation requirement for five KC-135 aircraft. The system is old and unreliable. Extensive maintenance and repairs are required just to keep					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DYESS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM, PHASE II	FNWZ9230042	
<p>this system operational due to its age and heavy use. Ground water has penetrated electrical conduits causing excessive corrosion of the electrical pump controls and necessitating costly repairs just to sustain minimal capability. Existing refueling pits are improperly spaced for the assigned aircraft, thus adjacent pits cannot be used at the same time. Of 55 existing refueling pits, only 20 are usable due to spacing problems, and 10 of these are only authorized for use by a MAJCOM waiver to safety distance criteria and hose length restrictions on the refueling equipment. These same 10 pits also require refueling the aircraft from the blind side, which involves extensive work-arounds to comply with safety procedures.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The base will not be able to meet required force generation times to support emergency war order/readiness requirements. Safety waivers will continue to be required for refueling aircraft under conditions conducive to fuel spills and accidents which could jeopardize the health and safety of maintenance personnel, as well as place multimillion dollar aircraft at risk.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project was done. It indicates there is only one option that satisfies statutory requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exclusion has been prepared. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
DYESS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM, PHASE II	FNWZ9230042	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 23
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 02
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		200
(b) All Other Design Costs		42
(c) Total		242
(d) Contract		200
(e) In-house		42
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX		
GOODFELLOW AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS				AIR TRAINING COMMAND				0.89		
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		148	1255	317	219	562	19	12	861	
b. End FY 1998		167	1145	384	170	438	1	12	861	
		TOTAL								
		3,393								
		3,178								
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (1,139)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 108,733										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 5,380										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 3,700										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 0										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 17,200										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 135,013										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START CMPL		
219-944		BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX		44,900 SF		3,700		JUN 92 SEP 93		
				TOTAL:		3,700				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE										
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
442-758		LOGISTICS COMPLEX		91,000 SF		6,300				
442-769		FURNITURE MANAGEMENT AND SERVICES FACILITY		22,200 SF		1,100				
721-312		STUDENT DORMITORY		288 PN		6,800				
812-223		PRIMARY OVERHEAD DIST LINE		LS		3,000				
10. Mission or Major Functions: Training center for cryptology, intelligence, and linguistic training courses.										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution:										0
b. Water pollution:										0
c. Occupational safety and health:										0
d. Other Environmental:										0

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
GOODFELLOW AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.57.96T	219-944	JCCUB80901	3,700		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX	SF	44,900		2,436	
MAINTENANCE SHOP	SF	9,600	79	(758)	
ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT	SF	13,300	69	(918)	
COVERED STORAGE FACILITY & SHED	SF	18,600	29	(539)	
PAVEMENTS & GROUNDS FACILITY	SF	3,400	65	(221)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				895	
UTILITIES/EMCS/COMMUNICATIONS	LS			(220)	
SITE IMPROVEMENT/PAVEMENTS	LS			(200)	
DEMOLITION	SF	56,500	4	(225)	
PREWIRED WORKSTATIONS	EA	60	4,167	(250)	
SUBTOTAL				3,331	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				167	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,498	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				210	
TOTAL REQUEST				3,708	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,700	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and concrete floor slab, pre-engineered metal frame, and roof system. Includes pre-wired work stations, management/engineering space, storage, shops, drafting room, control room, demolition of 19 buildings, relocate two storage sheds, and all necessary support. Air Conditioning: 50 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 45,500 SF ADEQUATE: 640 SF SUBSTANDARD: 41,639 SF PROJECT: Construct a base engineering complex. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A centralized Base Engineering facility, properly configured and sized to develop, program, and execute requirements to maintain, repair, operate and construct facilities, pavements and utility systems in support of the base mission. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing Base Civil Engineering function is housed, for the most part, in 23 facilities constructed in 1941 to support facility maintenance practices at that time. The management building has been extensively modified with various additions to the extent that today there are unusable spaces within the interior floor plan. Very poor office arrangements and most importantly, the roof system is made up of different coverings and many different slopes making leaks difficult to locate and nearly impossible to repair. These leaks have damaged very expensive equipment. The shop and storage buildings cannot support a modern facility maintenance activity. In addition, zonal maintenance and reorganization will render them even more useless and inefficient. Nineteen buildings totalling 56,500 SF will be demolished upon completion of this project. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Base engineering functions will remain decentralized in substandard energy inefficient facilities with continued					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
GOODFELLOW AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX	JCGU880901	
<p>safety and space problems. Civil engineering supplies and materials will deteriorate and become unusable due to constant exposure to the weather. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". Of the 23 existing buildings, 18 will be demolished, 2 relocated to new complex, and 3 converted to other missions. Other missions moving into converted buildings will vacate one building that will be demolished.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
GOODFELLOW AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX		JCGU880901
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUN 10
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 10
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		LAUGHLIN
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		77
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		77
(d) Contract		60
(e) In-house		17
(4) Construction Start		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND			5. AREA CONST COST INDEX			
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS				AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND			0.88			
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		875	3879	16243						
b. End FY 1998		828	3771	14251						
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (4,703)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 450,289										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 60,290										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 27,481										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 21,750										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 48,090										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 607,900										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN START	STATUS CMPL			
112-211	UPGRADE TAXIWAY			32,500 SY	3,550	MAY 92	NOV 93			
141-763	C-17 ENGINEERING TEST LABORATORY			19,000 SF	2,600	SEP 92	NOV 93			
211-153	C-17 ADAL NONDESTRUCTIVE INSPECTION FACILITY (DBOF)			73,800 SF	4,900	SEP 92	OCT 93			
217-712	C-17 ALTER DEPOT AVIONICS FACILITY (DBOF)			23,000 SF	731	SEP 92	OCT 93			
610-675	ALTER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT CENTER, PHASE II (DBOF)			130,000 SF	7,800	APR 91	JUN 93			
721-312	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)			136 PN	2,000	OCT 92	JAN 94			
832-266	UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER MAINS, PHASE I			40,000 LF	3,000	SEP 92	OCT 93			
871-183	UPGRADE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM, PHASE I			3,600 LF	2,900	SEP 92	DEC 93			
TOTAL:					27,481					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
121-122	ALTER HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEMS			LS	3,850					
211-152	C-17 COMPOSITE REPAIR FACILITY			55,000 SF	5,400					
211-157	C-17 ENGINE OVERHAUL FACILITY			65,000 SF	6,700					
721-312	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES			136 PN	2,100					
730-772	ADD TO AND ALTER CHAPEL CENTER			3,600 SF	600					
832-266	UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER LINES			40,000 LF	3,100					
TOTAL:					21,750					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
141-453	BASE OPERATIONS			44,000 SF	4,400					
441-758	SUPPLIES & EQUIP WHSE DEPOT			13,000 SF	1,380					
610-675	BASE CONTRACTING FACILITY			23,900 SF	2,600					
730-835	SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS			25,000 SF	2,400					
812-225	UPGRADE ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM			LS	2,900					

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST		
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS				AIR FORCE				COST INDEX		
				MATERIEL COMMAND				0.88		
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of										
b. End FY										
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage:										
b. Inventory Total As Of:										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program:										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:										
g. Remaining Deficiency:										
h. Grand Total:										
10. Mission or Major Functions: San Antonio Air Logistics Center which is responsible for logistics management, support, and depot-level maintenance of B-52, C-5, C-9, C-17, T-37, T-38, and T-41 aircraft, and all fuels and TF39/T56/F100 engines; an Air National Guard fighter group (F-16 squadron); an Air Force Reserve airlift wing (C-5 aircraft); Headquarters Air Force Intelligence Command; Air Force News Agency; Joint and Air Force Electronic Warfare Centers; Air Force Cryptological Applications Center; & an AF Intelligence Command intelligence wing.										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution:								12,500		
b. Water pollution:								23,400		
c. Occupational safety and health:								0		
d. Other Environmental:								4,350		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE TAXIWAY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 7.28.96	6. CATEGORY CODE 112-211	7. PROJECT NUMBER MBPB953505	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 3,550		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UPGRADE TAXIWAY		LS			2,421
REPAIR TAXIWAY		SY	32,500	73	(2,373)
REPAIR PAVED SHOULDERS		LS			(48)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					635
UTILITIES		LS			(50)
DEMOLITION		LS			(585)
SUBTOTAL					3,056
CONTINGENCY (10%)					306
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					3,362
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					202
TOTAL REQUEST					3,564
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					3,550
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Remove and replace taxiway pavement with medium load concrete on stabilized aggregate base; repair or replace asphalt shoulders and lighting as necessary, including pavement marking and necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 936,600 SY ADEQUATE: 904,100 SY SUBSTANDARD: 32,500 SY PROJECT: Upgrade taxiway. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A taxiway, in good repair, is required for ground movement of aircraft between parking aprons and the south end of the primary runway and to the hazardous cargo loading area. Access to the hazardous cargo area is especially important as Kelly Air Force Base is one of two collection and distribution points for standard munitions and equipment packages, referred to as Standard Air Munitions Packages (STAMP) and Standard Tanks, Racks, Adapters and Pylon Packages (STRAPP) that support combat units in contingency situations. The taxiway is also needed by operational C-5A and fighter aircraft for runway access. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing taxiway consists of an asphalt overlay of a 50 year old deteriorated concrete base. A January 1990 pavement evaluation report by the Air Force Civil Engineering Center shows this taxiway as serviceable with load limitations. It recommends restricting the lighter aircraft using the pavement to 50 percent payload reduction. Heavier aircraft will have to reduce payload by 70 percent. Heavier traffic experienced during "Just Cause" and "Desert Storm" has further damaged the subbase to the point where pavement failure is possible even under reduced loads. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The taxiway pavement is likely to fail within two to five years, which would require longer taxi distances for aircraft					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE TAXIWAY	MBPB953505	
<p>using the east ramp and would make the hazardous cargo pad inaccessible. Loading of munitions in support of operations such as "Desert Storm" would be hampered or would have to be accomplished in unsafe areas placing many lives at risk.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, a full economic analysis was not needed or performed. A certificate of exemption has been prepared. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE TAXIWAY	MBPB953505	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 MAY 21
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		40%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 AUG 10
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		97
(b) All Other Design Costs		110
(c) Total		207
(d) Contract		76
(e) In-house		131
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			C-17 ENGINEERING TEST LABORATORY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.11.30L	141-763	MBPB923003	2,600		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
C-17 ENGINEERING TEST LABORATORY		SF	19,000	90	1,710
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					635
UTILITIES		LS			(180)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(70)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(315)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT		LS			(30)
PRE-WIRED WORK STATIONS		EA	12	3,333	(40)
SUBTOTAL					2,345
CONTINGENCY (5%)					117
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,462
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					148
TOTAL REQUEST					2,610
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,600
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Environmentally controlled building with reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, steel frame, masonry walls and roof system; pre-wired work stations, site clearance, utilities, pavements and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 70 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 30,015 SF ADEQUATE: 11,015 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct a C-17 engineering test laboratory. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: An environmentally controlled facility is required for investigation of mishaps to life support equipment and engineering laboratory testing of primary C-17 aircraft components. Specifically, it is used to provide data for analysis of mishaps, to develop prototype engineering solutions to service revealed design deficiencies, develop nondestructive inspection procedures, gather engineering data from flight tests, resolve electronic component anomalies, verify contractor products and investigate corrosion and composite material problems. In addition to supporting C-17 requirements, the facility could be used to provide the same services for other weapon systems. CURRENT SITUATION: No existing facility at Kelly Air Force Base can adequately house the engineering test support required for the C-17. Engineering test functions are performed on a smaller scale in laboratory space in three separate buildings. One is shared with other functions with no space for expansion, while the other two do not meet the environmental or space criteria required to perform the C-17 engineering test workload. Continued use or expansion of these facilities is not feasible. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: C-17 engineering test workload will have to be accomplished by contract, resulting in significantly increased costs and					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE C-17 ENGINEERING TEST LABORATORY		5. PROJECT NUMBER MBPB923003
<p>program delays. It will also adversely impact the ability to resolve service revealed deficiencies and to undertake timely and effective engineering action to enhance system reliability and maintainability.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. There is no criteria/scope for this project in either Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or in AFM 86-2.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
C-17 ENGINEERING TEST LABORATORY	MBPB923003	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 SEP 25	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 05	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 SEP 30	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	140	
(b) All Other Design Costs	52	
(c) Total	192	
(d) Contract	140	
(e) In-house	52	
(4) Construction Start	93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			4. PROJECT TITLE C-17 ADAL NONDESTRUCTIVE INSPECTION FACILITY (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 4.11.30L	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-153	7. PROJECT NUMBER MBPB943007	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 4,900		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
C-17 ADAL NONDESTRUCTIVE INSPECTION FACILITY (DBOF)		SF	74,000		4,036
ALTERATION		SF	57,000	38	(2,166)
ADDITION		SF	17,000	110	(1,870)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					170
UTILITIES		LS			(120)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(50)
SUBTOTAL					4,206
CONTINGENCY (10%)					421
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					4,627
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					278
TOTAL REQUEST					4,905
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					4,900
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Addition includes concrete foundation and floor slab, metal walls and roof, relocation of hangar doors with new doors in the center section. Alteration includes upgrade of drainage and fire suppression systems, replacement of lighting and HVAC equipment, painting and necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 87,750 SF ADEQUATE: 13,750 SF SUBSTANDARD: 57,000 SF PROJECT: Add to and alter a C-17 nondestructive inspection (NDI) facility. (New Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: A properly sized and configured facility is required in which to perform nondestructive inspections on C-17 aircraft prior to the onset of depot maintenance operations at this base. The facility must be large enough to house the entire aircraft and must have adequate utilities and fire protection. An addition to the existing hangar is needed to enclose the C-17 empennage section and revitalization of the utilities is needed to ensure efficient and reliable inspections.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The existing facility was constructed in 1942 as an aircraft maintenance hangar. While the building is structurally sound, it is neither large enough nor high enough to enclose the C-17 tail section. Additionally, the heating and ventilation systems are marginal, while the industrial waste drains, lighting, hoists and fire protection system are inadequate for nondestructive inspection activities.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Deferral of inspection workloads until space becomes available in the maintenance hangar, which will upset depot maintenance schedules and delay return of the aircraft to the using organization.					
ADDITIONAL: The requirement for this project was validated by the Joint Service Depot Maintenance Industrial Military Construction Review Panel in					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
C-17 ADAL NONDESTRUCTIVE INSPECTION FACILITY (DBOF)		MBPB943007
<p>May 1990. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, contracting and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternative, revitalization was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
C-17 ADAL NONDESTRUCTIVE INSPECTION FACILITY (DBOF)	MBPB943007	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 05
(d) Date Design Complete		93 OCT 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		275
(b) All Other Design Costs		117
(c) Total		392
(d) Contract		275
(e) In-house		117
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT CENTER, PHASE II (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 7.28.96	6. CATEGORY CODE 610-675	7. PROJECT NUMBER MBPB943012	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 7,800		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ALTER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT CENTER, PHASE II (DBOF)		LS			5,770
INTERIOR ALTERATIONS		SP	130,000	29	(3,770)
EXTERIOR UPGRADE		LS			(2,000)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					905
UTILITIES		LS			(200)
PAVEMENTS		SY	10,500	29	(305)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(100)
DEMOLITION		LS			(300)
SUBTOTAL					6,675
CONTINGENCY (10%)					668
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					7,343
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					441
TOTAL REQUEST					7,784
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					7,800
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Interior alterations include new suspended ceiling and lighting, fire detection and suppression system, carpet, wall covering, asbestos removal, window/door replacement, HVAC and electrical system upgrade. Exterior work includes window and entrance improvements, painting, site clean-up and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 30 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,050,000 SF ADEQUATE: 729,600 SF SUBSTANDARD: 607,500 SF PROJECT: Alter a weapon systems support center. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A facility of adequate size and configuration with proper environmental controls is needed to assure timely and efficient logistical support to the managed weapon systems. Significant economies will result from collocation of logistics functions, directed by Defense Management Review (DMR) actions. These involve the acquisition, modification, repair and distribution of aircraft, engines and spare parts. Space savings envisioned in this project will make such a collocation possible without added floor space. This final phase will allow consolidation of material management functions currently located in remote buildings. Required improvements include installation of energy efficient doors, windows, lighting, and air conditioning, and replacement of worn out floor covering and asbestos ceilings. CURRENT SITUATION: Core logistic functions are fragmented in five inadequate facilities separated by up to 1.5 miles. This fragmentation adversely impacts productivity and increases logistics response and pipeline times. The majority of the logistics professionals have been cramped into the inadequate Weapon Systems Support Center, which was constructed in 1942 as a warehouse and later converted to an administrative facility.					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT CENTER, PHASE II (DBOF)		5. PROJECT NUMBER MBPB943012
<p>Projected force reductions resulting from the DMR, now make it possible to house all core logistics functions in the same building, if the floor plan is revised and building deficiencies corrected to accommodate long-term use. Building deficiencies include an unsafe electrical system (Risk Assessment Code 2 has been assigned), inadequate air conditioning, asbestos insulation and ceiling tiles, rotted window frames, and worn out exterior doors. The facility consumes energy at a rate 25% higher than a new or upgraded facility. Consolidation of functions will not only improve productivity, but will permit the demolition of about 28,000 square feet of substandard facilities upon completion of this project.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Continued fragmentation of core logistics personnel and processes will significantly degrade logistics support to other major commands and friendly foreign nations, weakening their combat readiness posture. It will also increase DOD logistical support costs, waste energy, and defeat zero overpricing initiatives.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing, private sector financing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, revitalization was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ALTER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT CENTER, PHASE II (DBOF)	MBPB943012	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 APR 12
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		50%
(c) Date 35% Designed		91 JUN 26
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		310
(b) All Other Design Costs		216
(c) Total		526
(d) Contract		310
(e) In-house		216
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 7.28.96	6. CATEGORY CODE 721-312	7. PROJECT NUMBER MBPB867337	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)	SF	28,650		1,500	
ADDITION	SF	3,350	70	(235)	
ALTERATIONS	SF	25,300	50	(1,265)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES		1		200	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(125)	
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(25)	
UTILITIES	LS			(25)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(25)	
SUBTOTAL				1,700	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				170	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,870	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				112	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,982	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,000	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Remodel interior partitioning to provide room-bathroom modules, exterior entrances and balconies; extend roofline and upgrade exterior; install cable TV system, upgrade laundry rooms and HVAC systems and provide necessary support. Grade Mix: 272 E1-E4.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,357 PN ADEQUATE: 1,085 PN SUBSTANDARD: 272 PN PROJECT: Add to and alter dormitories. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective is to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing that is conducive to their proper rest, relaxation, and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters, which provide some degree of individual privacy, are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated jobs these people must perform. CURRENT SITUATION: The buildings to be upgraded were constructed in the early 1950s with community latrines on each floor, and are deficient in living space, privacy, sound attenuation, convenience outlets, lighting, and insulation. Many occupants are shift workers who find that the traffic in the corridors make daytime sleep difficult or impossible. This is the fourth phase of a five-phased program. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Substandard on-base living conditions will continue to degrade the morale, productivity and career satisfaction of the enlisted force. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction and revitalization. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, revitalization was found to be the most cost					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)		5. PROJECT NUMBER MBPB867337
<p>efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)	MBPB867337	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 OCT 17
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 30
(d) Date Design Complete		94 JAN 20
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		120
(b) All Other Design Costs		200
(c) Total		320
(d) Contract		290
(e) In-house		30
(4) Construction Start		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER MAINS, PHASE I		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 7.80.56	6. CATEGORY CODE 832-266	7. PROJECT NUMBER MBPB943804	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 3.000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER MAINS, PHASE I		LF	40,000	50	2,000
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					590
MANHOLES		EA	150	1,600	(240)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(350)
SUBTOTAL					2,590
CONTINGENCY (10%)					259
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,849
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					171
TOTAL REQUEST					3,020
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					3,000
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Excavate and replace sanitary sewer lines with PVC pipe, bedded and backfilled; repair pavements and restore site. Abandon existing lines in place where possible.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. <u>PROJECT:</u> Upgrade sanitary sewer mains, phase 1 of 3. (Current Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> This is a Level I environmental compliance project. A sanitary sewage collection system in good working order is required to convey wastes from a base population of over 20,000, housed in more than 500 buildings, to a connection point with the City of San Antonio for treatment. The system must not discharge untreated sewage into local aquifers consistent with a Texas Water Commission (TWC) compliance order dated 4 May 1989. This order requires repair of all leaking underground collection systems by July 1995. This is the first phase of a three phased effort to upgrade sanitary sewage mains at this base. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Most of the base sewer lines are over 40 years old and made of brittle vitrified clay which is susceptible to cracking and breaking by shifting soils. Emergency repairs to the system are often necessary as the lines are badly deteriorated. This condition was confirmed by a recent inspection using television cameras inside the lines. This inspection found 20 percent of the 200,000 feet of sewer lines needed replacement, with some sections completely disintegrated. Cross connections exist between industrial waste and sanitary sewer lines, leaving the potential of contaminating the sanitary sewage in violation of state and federal EPA regulations. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Contamination of soil and aquifers will continue in violation of federal and state EPA regulations. High cleanup costs as well as fines and lawsuits are likely.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER MAINS, PHASE I	MBPB943804	
<p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide", or in AFM 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the regulatory requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exemption has been prepared. This project complements the FY93 MILCON project to upgrade the industrial wastewater collection system and is not redundant.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER MAINS, PHASE I	MBPB943804	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 SEP 15	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 05	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 OCT 01	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	186	
(b) All Other Design Costs	93	
(c) Total	279	
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house	279	
(4) Construction Start		
	93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			UPGRADE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM, PHASE I		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
7.80.56	871-183	MBPB953003	2,900		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UPGRADE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM, PHASE I	LF	3,600	210	756	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,735	
UTILITIES	LS			(95)	
OTHER SUPPORTING FACILITIES	LS			(1,640)	
SUBTOTAL				2,491	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				249	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,740	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				164	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,904	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,900	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace existing storm sewer with 84 inch reinforced concrete pipe; remove contaminated soil as necessary; provide lift station, precast manholes, and necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Upgrade storm drainage system, phase 1 of 2. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance project. An adequate storm water runoff control system is required to prevent contamination of nearby streams and surface waters. Adequate detention/retention basins, stabilized ditches, fuel-water separators and elimination of cross-connections are needed to ensure heavy runoff cannot mix with oil and other contaminants associated with industrial activities, resulting in contamination of nearby streams. The volume and velocity of the runoff flow must be reduced in order to reduce the impact of heavy flows and allow contaminants to settle out before reaching off-base streams. Control of runoff from industrial areas is required by the Clean Water Act regulation (40 CFR 122.26). This is the first phase of a two phased effort to improve storm water control measures at this base.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The capacity of the existing drainage system is substantially inadequate and cannot accommodate the water runoff generated from approximately 30 thunderstorms per year, to comply with a November 4, 1989 Texas Water Commission compliance order, which requires all leaking collection systems to be repaired by July 1995. The surface runoff has been increasing in past years with the construction of additional buildings and parking lots. Meanwhile, inadequate drainage capacity and lack of retention/detention basins in the base storm drainage system have contributed to on-base flooding and possible contamination of off-base streams. Flooding problems have been experienced in such areas as the					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM, PHASE I	5. PROJECT NUMBER MBPB953003	
<p>flight line, fuel storage and materials storage areas. Flooding has frequently resulted in production downtime. One recent flood caused the loss of 5,000 manhours.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Uncontrolled runoff will result in the inability of the base to meet discharge limits during heavy rains. Also, continued flooding will adversely affect mission essential operations, increase potential health and safety hazards to base personnel, and increase surface water contamination.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM, PHASE I	MBPB953003	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		150
(b) All Other Design Costs		171
(c) Total		321
(d) Contract		163
(e) In-house		158
(4) Construction Start		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX			
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS				AIR TRAINING COMMAND				0.88			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		1665	4111	2519	82	7086	93	11	372		15,939
b. End FY 1998		1706	4337	2747	298	5052	5	14	756	2	14,917
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (2,705)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 346,839											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 66,900											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 30,093											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 14,600											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 8,744											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 467,176											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN		STATUS	
CODE								START		CPL	
171-617		TRAINING SERVICES FACILITIES		38,050 SF		5,800		JUN 92		SEP 93	
610-243		ALTER BASE SUPPORT FACILITY		98,500 SF		5,400		JUN 92		SEP 93	
610-281		BASE CONTRACTING CENTER		17,300 SF		2,450		JUN 92		NOV 93	
610-281		MISSION SUPPORT CENTER		60,000 SF		7,543		JUN 90		SEP 93	
721-315		7-LEVEL TRAINING DORMITORY		90,500 SF		8,900		OCT 92		DEC 93	
		TOTAL:				30,093					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)											
171-476		COMBAT ARMS TRAINING FACILITY		37,300 SF		4,200					
171-621		7-LEVEL TRAINING CLASSROOMS		12,000 SF		2,000					
610-249		ALTER TECHNICAL TRAINING GROUP SUPPORT COMPLEX		62,500 SF		5,000					
721-312		ALTER RECRUIT DORMITORY		1,000 PN		3,400					
		TOTAL:				14,600					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
130-835		SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY		15,450 SF		1,850					
131-111		ADD TO AND ALTER COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY		13,000 SF		2,000					
141-456		AFSS OPERATIONS		18,000 SF		3,244					
171-621		DETECTOR DOG TRAINING CLASSROOM		19,300 SF		1,650					
10. Mission or Major Functions: Air Force Military Training Center which includes Basic Military Training School, Officer Training School, and security police, cryptographic maintenance, recruiting, and social actions courses; Defense Language Institute English Language Center; DoD Military Working Dog Training Agency; and a major Air Force medical center.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:										0	
b. Water pollution:										0	
c. Occupational safety and health:										0	
d. Other Environmental:										0	

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			TRAINING SERVICES FACILITIES		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.57.96T	171-617	MPLS909083	5,800		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
TRAINING SERVICES FACILITY	SF	38,050		3,790	
TRAINING AIDS SHOP	SF	25,400	85	(2,159)	
AUDIO-VISUAL FACILITY	SF	10,100	140	(1,414)	
TRAINING SERVICES MANAGEMENT	SF	2,550	85	(217)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,420	
DEMOLITION	SF	42,500	7	(300)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(75)	
UTILITIES/COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(640)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(370)	
PREWIRED WORKSTATIONS	EA	12	2,917	(35)	
SUBTOTAL				5,210	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				261	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,471	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				328	
TOTAL REQUEST				5,799	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,800	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls, structural frame and standing seam metal roof system. Includes pre-wired workstations, maintenance shop, base graphics, film library, base photolaboratory, demolition of 8 buildings and other necessary support. Air Conditioning: 34 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 38,050 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 39,152 SF PROJECT: Construct training and audiovisual services facilities. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Adequate industrial shop type facilities are required to modify and maintain realistic training aids as required for tenant and Air Training Command missions. Audiovisual facilities are required to provide the very high demand graphic arts, audiovisual, and photo laborator support for the technical training mission. CURRENT SITUATION: Training services completes 80 orders/month in support of 56 different organizations with a month backlog on orders. The training services function currently occupies eight separate buildings in two areas of the base. Seven of these buildings are 40 to 50 years old and are deteriorated due to age and major termite damage. Paint spray and equipment manufacturing areas are grossly inadequate. The audiovisual function is housed in an old dining hall which has deteriorated structural, mechanical, and electrical systems and unsuitable ventilation. The entire training services function is in facilities that are deteriorated, cramped, and poorly configured with obsolete utility systems that contribute to health and safety deficiencies. Eight buildings totalling 42,460 SF will be disposed of upon completion of this project. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The training services function will continue to					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
TRAINING SERVICES FACILITIES	MPLS909083	
<p>operate at reduced efficiency or under unsafe conditions due to space limitations and dilapidated conditions greatly impacting the effectiveness of its support of the training mission. Audiovisual will remain in a totally inadequate facility well past its time for demolition. Energy and maintenance cost will continue to escalate.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE TRAINING SERVICES FACILITIES		5. PROJECT NUMBER MPLS909083
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUN 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		345
(b) All Other Design Costs		191
(c) Total		536
(d) Contract		350
(e) In-house		186
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			ALTER BASE SUPPORT FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.57.96T	610-243	MPLS943216	5,400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ALTER BASE SUPPORT FACILITY	SF	98,500	29	2,857	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,765	
UTILITIES	LS			(50)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(50)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(100)	
ELEVATOR	LS			(185)	
PREWIRED WORKSTATIONS	EA	90	3,722	(335)	
DEMOLITION AND ASBESTOS REMOVAL	SP	101,000	7	(705)	
COMMUNICATION SUPPORT/EMCS	LS			(340)	
SUBTOTAL				4,622	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				462	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,084	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				305	
TOTAL REQUEST				5,389	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,400	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter vacant 600 PN dormitory to provide administration, classroom, storage, and computer room. Includes interior partitions, electrical and mechanical upgrade, Energy Management and Control System (EMCS) points, communications support, handicapped elevator, demolition of 11 vacated facilities and other associated work. Air Conditioning: 350 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 138,618 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 87,617 SF PROJECT: Alter 600 PN dormitory to house numerous base support functions. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: An adequate, energy efficient, properly configured facility is required to allow numerous base support activities scattered throughout Lackland to vacate substandard facilities and consolidate into one facility. This facility will provide space for Food Service Contractor, Civilian Personnel, Airman Leadership School, 3541st Recruiting Squadron, CATO, 8050 Military Training Squadron, Education Center, Food Service Offices, 801st Battalion and 8th Group Military Police, Corps of Engineers Project Office and Honor Guard. CURRENT SITUATION: Support functions are currently located in eleven wood frame buildings totalling 101,000 SF, which will be demolished upon completion of this project. These buildings have far exceeded their life expectancy, are structurally unsound, energy inefficient, and lack adequate electrical, mechanical and fire protection systems. The facilities are generally inadequate in configuration and their age and condition make them uneconomical to upgrade. Final beddown will consolidate all personnel into one building with five buildings reverting to other base functions.					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER BASE SUPPORT FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER MPLS943216
<p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Continued use of energy inefficient facilities causing personnel to work in substandard, cramped, and deplorable facilities. A savings in energy and maintenance cost will not be realized.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, revitalization was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ALTER BASE SUPPORT FACILITY	MPLS943216	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUN 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		336
(b) All Other Design Costs		109
(c) Total		445
(d) Contract		301
(e) In-house		144
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			4. PROJECT TITLE MISSION SUPPORT CENTER		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 8.57.96T	6. CATEGORY CODE 610-281	7. PROJECT NUMBER MPLS889006	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 7,543		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
MISSION SUPPORT CENTER		SF	60,000	82	4,920
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					1,870
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS REMOVAL		SF	97,500	6	(585)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT		LS			(160)
UTILITIES		LS			(245)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(125)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(85)
PREWIRED WORKSTATIONS		EA	214	2,570	(550)
EMCS		LS			(120)
SUBTOTAL					6,790
CONTINGENCY (5%)					340
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					7,130
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					428
TOTAL REQUEST					7,558
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					7,543
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete slab, steel framing, masonry walls, and a standing seam metal roof. Includes office space, classrooms, courtroom, command post, secure storage, pre-wired workstations, demolition of nine buildings, and other necessary support. Air Conditioning: 150 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 60,736 SF ADEQUATE: 736 SF SUBSTANDARD: 96,799 SF PROJECT: Construct a mission support center. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: To consolidate the organizations for technical training center that provides command and control and service to military and civilian personnel working on the installation. A central facility will simplify operating procedures, reduce processing time and improve effectiveness. CURRENT SITUATION: Center Management and Support Group functions are presently located in eleven widely dispersed buildings on base. These buildings are over 50 year old wood frame construction and have far exceeded their life expectancy. They are structurally unsound, energy inefficient, and lack adequate electrical, mechanical, and fire protection systems. The facilities are generally inadequate in configuration and their age and condition make them uneconomical to upgrade. These nine facilities totalling 97,743 SF will be demolished upon completion of this project. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Continued use of these energy inefficient and poorly configured facilities will force personnel to work in substandard, cramped, and deplorable facilities. A savings in energy and maintenance cost will not be realized. ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
MISSION SUPPORT CENTER		MPLS889006	
<p>alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>			

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
MISSION SUPPORT CENTER	MPLS889006	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		90 JUN 19
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		60%
(c) Date 35% Designed		91 APR 23
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		460
(b) All Other Design Costs		126
(c) Total		586
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		586
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			BASE CONTRACTING CENTER		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.57.96T	610-281	MPLS929007	2,450		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CONTRACTING CENTER		SF	17,300	89	1,540
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					675
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT		LS			(50)
UTILITIES		LS			(75)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(80)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(60)
PREWIRED WORKSTATIONS		EA	83	3,675	(305)
DEMOLITION		SF	18,000	4	(70)
EMCS		LS			(35)
SUBTOTAL					2,215
CONTINGENCY (5%)					111
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,326
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					140
TOTAL REQUEST					2,466
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,450
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls, structural frame and standing seam metal roof system. Includes pre-wired workstations, computer room, bid conference rooms, storage, demolition of one interim use facility, and other support areas. Air Conditioning: 44 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 17,300 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0					
PROJECT: Construct a base contracting center. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: A permanent contracting facility is required to support the 94 permanent employees and an average of 30 contractors, vendors and design engineers which utilize the facility daily. Base contracting is the primary point of contact between base and private contractors who provide services and supplies to the base.					
CURRENT SITUATION: When the San Antonio Contracting Center (SACC) was disestablished on 1 October 1989, no funds were provided for a permanent facility. A relocatable building, purchased with equipment funds, was erected to support the additional 82 personnel assigned to Lackland from SACC. One interim facility will be disposed of upon completion of this project.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: No permanent solution other than the use of an interim facility.					
ADDITIONAL: All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	
FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CONTRACTING CENTER	MPLS929007
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:	
a. Estimated Design Data:	
(1) Status:	
(a) Date Design Started	92 JUN 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 SEP 30
(d) Date Design Complete	93 SEP 30
(2) Basis:	
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	140
(b) All Other Design Costs	98
(c) Total	238
(d) Contract	142
(e) In-house	96
(4) Construction Start	93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A	

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			4. PROJECT TITLE 7-LEVEL TRAINING DORMITORY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 8.57.96T	6. CATEGORY CODE 721-315	7. PROJECT NUMBER MPLS953226A	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 8,900		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
7-LEVEL TRAINING DORMITORY (453 PN)	SP	90,500	74	6,697	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,275	
UTILITIES	LS			(295)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(250)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(250)	
EMCS	PT	175	1,200	(210)	
PRE-WIRED TELEPHONE	SF	90,500	3	(270)	
SUBTOTAL				7,972	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				399	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				8,371	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				502	
TOTAL REQUEST				8,873	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				8,900	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation, brick structure with standing seam metal roof. Construct private rooms with adjoining latrines, community lounges, washroom facilities and linen storage. Air Conditioning: 112 Tons. Grade Mix: 453 E5-E6.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,921 PN ADEQUATE: 1,468 PN SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct 7-level training dormitory. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective provides unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Adequate on-base living quarters are required to accommodate enlisted student personnel attending advanced training courses and to ensure an environment conducive to studying is available. CURRENT SITUATION: Base facilities are not available to house E-5 and E-6 students that will attend 7-level training. No excess dormitory capacity exists or is projected to exist. Additional dormitory projects are programmed to either renovate current space or to construct new space to accommodate other realigned and current mission requirements. None of these increased capacities can be dedicated for this requirement. Quantity of off-base rentals are insufficient for this requirement. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate living quarters will not be available for personnel attending 7-level training jeopardizing the 7-level training mission. ADDITIONAL: This project does not meet the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" and does not meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, upgrade,					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
7-LEVEL TRAINING DORMITORY		MPLS953226A
<p>leasing, new construction) was done. It indicates new construction is the only option that will meet the requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exemption has been prepared.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
7-LEVEL TRAINING DORMITORY	MPLS953226A	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 OCT 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 21
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 20
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		LACKLAND
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		450
(b) All Other Design Costs		200
(c) Total		650
(d) Contract		550
(e) In-house		100
(4) Construction Start		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX				
LACKLAND TRAINING ANNEX, TEXAS		AIR TRAINING COMMAND				0.88				
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		56	41	14	17	55				183
b. End FY 1998		56	40	15	35	35				181
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (3,973)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 47,419										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 1,170										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 1,200										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 0										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 0										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 49,789										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START	CMPL	
214-425	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY			8,000 SF		1,200		AUG 92	JUL 93	
						TOTAL:		1,200		
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE										
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
10. Mission or Major Functions: Some Officer Training School functions; an Air Force Materiel Command weapons distribution detachment; and an Air Force Intelligence Command detachment. Also, the temporary beddown location of the Inter-American Air Forces Academy that had been at Homestead AFB.										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution: 0										
b. Water pollution: 0										
c. Occupational safety and health: 0										
d. Other Environmental: 0										

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
LACKLAND TRAINING ANNEX, TEXAS			VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
2.80.19 TIARA	214-425	PAYZ944057	1.200		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	SF	8,000	92	736	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				340	
UTILITIES	LS			(120)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(75)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(100)	
DEMOLITION AND DISPOSAL	SF	4,650	10	(45)	
SUBTOTAL				1,076	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				54	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,130	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				68	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,198	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,200	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct reinforced concrete foundation, floor slab, masonry walls (high-bay); structural steel frame with flat roof; parking area; and lighting. Includes hoist system, lubrication system, compressed air, and all utilities. Area to include tire maintenance, battery shop, bench stock, tool issue, toilets, admin area, water-oil separator and area for refueling.					
Air Conditioning: 10 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 8,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 4,650 SF					
PROJECT: Construct a vehicle maintenance facility. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: Adequate, functionally suited facility to provide in-garrison, essential day-to-day maintenance and capability to provide depot-level support for a fleet of 150 vehicles assigned to the unit's SENIOR TROUPE mission.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The foundation of the 35-year-old facility is settling. In addition, space is not available in the existing facility to locate bench stock supplies and the master reference library. These functions currently are located in an adjacent area in deteriorated, portable metal buildings, and items stored in these buildings have suffered water and heat damage.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: This unit remains the only unit of this type in the command as others were deactivated as part of the drawdown of overseas forces. This places increased importance on the readiness of this SENIOR TROUPE mission, and vehicles must remain in a high state of readiness at all times. Without an adequate vehicle maintenance facility, vehicle maintenance will continue to operate below effective levels. Numerous vehicle maintenance tasks will continue to be accomplished in uncovered areas using field methods and equipment. In-garrison major overhaul					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LACKLAND TRAINING ANNEX, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	PAYZ944057	
<p>capability of assigned vehicles will continue to be slow and tedious due to insufficient space.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Demolition of the existing, substandard facility is included in this project. The unit vehicle sets are not part of the inventory of the main operating base, Lackland Air Force Base, Texas. There are no criteria for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide," or in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements."</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LACKLAND TRAINING ANNEX, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY		PAYZ944057
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 20
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 17
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 28
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		72
(b) All Other Design Costs		87
(c) Total		159
(d) Contract		86
(e) In-house		73
(4) Construction Start		93 NOV
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)				2. DATE	
AIR FORCE							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. COMMAND		5. AREA CONST COST INDEX			
LAUGHLIN AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		AIR TRAINING COMMAND		0.95			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT		STUDENTS		SUPPORTED	
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		400	738	858	243		
b. End FY 1998		438	684	854	224		2,200
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)							
a. Total Acreage: (5,188)							
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 104,587							
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 17,020							
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 8,650							
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 8,300							
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 12,850							
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0							
h. Grand Total: 151,407							
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994							
CATEGORY				COST		DESIGN STATUS	
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)	START	CMPL		
111-111	UPGRADE AIRFIELD PAVEMENT	96,500 SY	3,250	JUN 92	DEC 93		
130-142	FIRE STATION	15,500 SF	2,400	JUL 92	OCT 93		
136-664	UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING	26,700 LF	3,000	JUN 92	DEC 93		
TOTAL:			8,650				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)							
113-321	UPGRADE AIRFIELD PAVEMENT	77,000 SY	5,300				
812-223	UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	91,000 LF	3,000				
TOTAL:			8,300				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:							
113-321	UPGRADE AIRFIELD PAVEMENT, PHASE 1	48,000 SY	3,000				
113-321	JPATS BEDDOWN	LS	3,450				
131-111	INFORMATION SYSTEMS FACILITY	14,000 SF	2,900				
149-962	CONTROL TOWER CAB	1 EA	1,000				
610-249	RESOURCE MANAGEMENT FACILITY	20,000 SF	2,500				
10. Mission or Major Functions: A flying training wing (T-37 and T-38 aircraft) which conducts Undergraduate Pilot Training (UPT). Also, base will undergo a T-37 to T-1 aircraft conversion.							
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:							
a. Air pollution: 0							
b. Water pollution: 440							
c. Occupational safety and health: 0							
d. Other Environmental: 440							

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LAUGHLIN AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE AIRFIELD PAVEMENT		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 8.57.96T	6. CATEGORY CODE 111-111	7. PROJECT NUMBER MXDP933004	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 3,250		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UPGRADE AIRFIELD PAVEMENT	SY	96,500		2,755	
ASPHALTIC CONCRETE PAVEMENT	SY	78,500	25	(1,963)	
PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE PAVEMENT	SY	18,000	44	(792)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				20	
PAVEMENT MARKINGS	LS			(20)	
SUBTOTAL				2,775	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				278	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,053	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				183	
TOTAL REQUEST				3,236	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,250	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Demolition and disposal of existing asphalt surface. Rework subgrade and install subsurface drainage system as required. Provide new 4" asphalt pavement. Reconstruct 10" Portland cement concrete touch down area. Patch portions of existing asphalt; seal cracks, and provide all pavement markings.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 96,500 SY ADEQUATE; 0 SUBSTANDARD: 96,500 SY PROJECT: Upgrade airfield pavement. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: High quality airfield pavements are required to continue the large number of training flights conducted in support of the undergraduate pilot training mission. Airfield lighting project to be combined with this project to minimize airfield downtime. CURRENT SITUATION: Inexperienced pilots fly 260 sorties per day to comply with the strict flying syllabus. The existing airfield pavement was originally constructed in 1952. The last major repair work was done during the early 1970s. Moderate to severe cracking exists throughout the runways. Touchdown zones are severely damaged and require total replacement. Testing with ground penetrating radar has detected some areas of high subsurface soil moisture content. Ponding occurs on the runways and at taxiway crossings creating potential hydroplaning/loss of aircraft control. Approximately 100 manhours/month are spent on foreign object damage (FOD) control of the deteriorated pavements. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: FOD incidents and flight safety hazards will increase. Deterioration of existing airfield pavements will accelerate. ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis was not prepared because this project directly supports a mission function for which there is no available alternative but to upgrade the airfield pavement. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LAUGHLIN AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE AIRFIELD PAVEMENT	MXDP933004	
<p>"Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LAUGHLIN AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE AIRFIELD PAVEMENT	MXDP933004	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUN 20
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 22
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		200
(b) All Other Design Costs		77
(c) Total		277
(d) Contract		150
(e) In-house		127
(4) Construction Start		
		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
LAUGHLIN AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			FIRE STATION		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.57.96T	130-142	MXDP889994	2,400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
FIRE STATION	SF	15,500	120	1,860	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				310	
UTILITIES	LS			(60)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(60)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(60)	
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS REMOVAL	SF	14,600	6	(90)	
COMMUNICATIONS/EMCS	LS			(40)	
SUBTOTAL				2,170	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				109	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,279	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				137	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,416	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,400	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floors, masonry walls and roof system. Includes six drive-through vehicle stalls, offices, communication center, sleeping quarters, training room, physical fitness room, fire extinguisher room, kitchen, dining room, storage, utility rooms, demolition of one building, and all necessary support. Air Conditioning: 32 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 15,500 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 14,647 SF PROJECT: Construct a base fire station. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A properly sized and configured fire station is required to provide fire protection and fire fighting services for base facilities and aircraft crash rescue/fire fighting. The station will house all fire fighting equipment and crews, a central fire alarm system, command and control and 24 hour crew quarters. CURRENT SITUATION: In 1990, \$160K in emergency funds were spent to make temporary structural repairs to keep the facility structurally sound and in operation. The existing facility is energy inefficient and requires excessive resources to maintain. There are insufficient stalls to house existing equipment and existing stalls are too narrow to properly accommodate currently assigned fire fighting vehicles. A crash truck (P-19) and a crash support truck (P-20) are parked outdoors causing rubber seals and other high cost equipment to fail due to excessive high temperatures. The existing Korean War vintage fire station is inadequately configured to properly accomplish the base fire fighting mission. There are no separate latrine or sleeping quarters for female fire fighters. Training and education is accomplished in the dining room. One building totalling 14,647 SF will be demolished upon completion of					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LAUGHLIN AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
FIRE STATION	MXDP889994	
<p>this project.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Vehicles and equipment become unreliable when parked outdoors. Weathering of expensive vehicles reduces the operational life span and increases maintenance costs. Energy and maintenance costs will continue to escalate.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LAUGHLIN AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
FIRE STATION	MXDP889994	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 08
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 22
(d) Date Design Complete		93 OCT 18
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		144
(b) All Other Design Costs		89
(c) Total		233
(d) Contract		144
(e) In-house		89
(4) Construction Start		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
LAUGHLIN AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.57.96T	136-664	MXDP933003	3,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING	LF	44,800		806	
RUNWAY LIGHTING	LF	23,500	18	(423)	
TAXIWAY LIGHTING	LF	21,300	18	(383)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,745	
DISTANCE MARKERS	LS			(370)	
THRESHOLD LIGHTING	LS			(885)	
ALSF-1 APPROACH LIGHTING-CENTER RW	LS			(280)	
VISUAL GLIDESLOPE INDICATOR	LS			(210)	
SUBTOTAL				2,551	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				255	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,806	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				168	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,974	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,000	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace all taxiway and runway lighting systems, including threshold lights, pre-threshold and terminating bar lights, distance markers, edge lights, approach lights and flasher systems, lighting cables and isolation transformers. A Precision Approach Path Indicator (PAPI) system will replace the existing VASI system.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. <u>PROJECT:</u> Upgrade airfield lighting. (Current Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> This project is required to properly modify, upgrade and standardize existing visual navigational aid facilities to FAA and Air Force standards. This will improve operational safety, reliability and efficiency of the airfield through the use of equipment, fixtures and materials that can be adequately maintained. This lighting upgrade was identified in the 1988 Air Training Command Master Planning Study of Airfield Lighting Systems at Laughlin AFB and is required for proper training and safety of inexperienced student pilots. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Inexperienced student pilots fly 260 sorties per day to comply with the strict flying syllabus. Base experienced 8 major outages in 1991, resulting in 3-4 hours of airfield downtime per incident. Antiquated visual approach slope indicator lights cost 3 times more to operate. Threshold lighting is not in accordance with the Air Force directives. The existing cables have excessive current losses associated with advanced stages of insulation deterioration. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Airfield will be non-operational during inclement weather/night flying. Student pilots will not meet curriculum schedules when night flying is stopped. Alternate aircraft landing site is 112 miles away.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LAUGHLIN AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING	MXDP933003	
<p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LAUGHLIN AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING		5. PROJECT NUMBER MXDP933003
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUN 20
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 22
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		185
(b) All Other Design Costs		80
(c) Total		265
(d) Contract		190
(e) In-house		75
(4) Construction Start		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX		
RANDOLPH AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS				AIR TRAINING COMMAND				0.88		
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		1328	3026	2547	173			16	32	7
b. End FY 1998		1398	2777	2679	323			16	32	7
										TOTAL
										7,129
										7,232
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (5,003)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 163,690										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 3,410										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 5,300										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 5,200										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 12,550										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 190,150										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START CMPL		
149-962		CONTROL TOWER		1 EA		2,800		SEP 92 MAY 93		
812-223		UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		LS		2,500		JUL 92 DEC 93		
TOTAL:						5,300				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
113-321 JPATS BEDDOWN FACILITIES LS 3,300										
136-664 UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING 55,700 LF 1,900										
TOTAL:						5,200				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
113-321 REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENT RAMPS 84,000 SY 4,800										
149-962 CONTROL TOWER (WEST) 1 EA 900										
179-511 FIREMEN TRAINING FACILITY 1 EA 850										
219-944 BASE CIVIL ENGINEERING COMPLEX 50,000 SF 6,000										
10. Mission or Major Functions: HQ ATC; a flying training wing which conducts instructor pilot training (T-37 & T-38 aircraft) and Undergraduate Navigator Training (UNT) with T-43 aircraft; AF Recruiting Service; AF Management Engineering Agency; AF Military Personnel Center; AF Civilian Personnel Management Center, AF Morale, Welfare, and Recreation Agency; AF Instrument Flight Center; AF Occupational Measurement Center; HQ San Antonio Joint Military Medical Command; and an Air Mobility Command airlift detachment (C-21 aircraft).										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution: 0										
b. Water pollution: 425										
c. Occupational safety and health: 0										
d. Other Environmental: 425										

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
RANDOLPH AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			CONTROL TOWER		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
3.51.14	149-962	TYMX943002	2,800		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
CONTROL TOWER	LS			2,075	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				440	
UTILITIES	LS			(120)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(55)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(70)	
DEMOLITION	LS			(55)	
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(55)	
SPECIAL FOUNDATIONS	LS			(25)	
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS	LS			(10)	
AIRFIELD WIRING	LS			(50)	
SUBTOTAL				2,515	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				126	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,641	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				158	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,799	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,800	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete footings, special foundations, floor slab, supporting superstructure, control tower cab, operations and training areas. Facility includes all site work, utilities, mechanical, electrical, fire protection, backup power systems and an elevator. Existing tower will be demolished. Air Conditioning: 20 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1 EA ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 2 EA PROJECT: Construct an 86-foot high air traffic control tower with a 540 square foot cab. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A new air traffic control tower with larger cab to accommodate up to 11 air traffic control personnel, air traffic control equipment, crew briefings, operations, and training functions. CURRENT SITUATION: Randolph AFB has two control towers. The west runway's control tower will be upgraded in FY97. This project replaces the east control tower constructed in 1952. The 225 SF tower cab was configured to accommodate three controllers and the standard compliment of 1950s vintage equipment. The base mission and characteristics of aircraft supported have changed since then, and more air traffic controllers and equipment are needed now handle current operations. Air traffic control operations at Randolph average 100,000 landings and take-offs annually. Randolph is home base for one hundred T-37 and T-38 pilot trainer aircraft. In FY93, the base will receive ten T-43s as part of the Mather AFB closure. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Overcrowded cab conditions limit air traffic controller mobility and impact controller communications with pilots. These conditions, coupled with the additional effort required to safely control inexperienced pilots learning T-37 and T-38 aircraft, create					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
RANDOLPH AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
CONTROL TOWER	TYMX943002	
<p>conditions that could jeopardize pilot safety and cause aircraft loss. Additional radio equipment must be installed in the cab or in close proximity to minimize signal loss between radio and antennas which would significantly impact operational capability.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> The project is part of the Air Force Control Tower upgrade program managed by Air Force Communications Command (AFCC). Upon completion of this project, the existing tower will be demolished. The economic analysis for this project considered three alternatives: status quo, modify the existing tower, and construct a new tower. Status quo would not eliminate all deficiencies, and tower modification was determined to be technically infeasible. New construction is the only viable alternative. There are no criteria for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide," or in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements."</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
RANDOLPH AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
CONTROL TOWER	TYMX943002	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 09
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		45%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 14
(d) Date Design Complete		93 MAY 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		168
(b) All Other Design Costs		84
(c) Total		252
(d) Contract		168
(e) In-house		84
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
RANDOLPH AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.57.96T	812-223	TYMX933004	2,500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		LF	37,600	37	1,391
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					755
FEEDER CABLE E & S SWITCH STA-NEW DUCT		LF	16,000	40	(640)
CIRCUIT BREAKERS AT SWITCHING STATIONS		EA	2	57,500	(115)
SUBTOTAL					2,146
CONTINGENCY (10%)					215
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,361
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					142
TOTAL REQUEST					2,503
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,500
10. Description of Proposed Construction: All work necessary to convert the underground 4.16 KV electrical wiring and transformers to 13.8 KV underground electrical distribution system. Areas include Feeders L, J, and 1300 area. Construct new underground feeder between the east and south switching stations.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
<u>PROJECT:</u> Upgrade the base electrical distribution system. (Current Mission)					
<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Upgrade of the electrical system is required to convert to 13.8 KV service and provide reliable power in support of the ATC Training Mission at Randolph. An electrical distribution system is required that will confine power outages to manageable areas, provide needed backfeed systems, and provide modern equipment that reduce maintenance costs and meet current life safety codes.					
<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The existing 4.16 KV underground electrical distribution system has deteriorated and electrical shorts have caused 12 major power outages in 1991. Eight of these outages occurred in circuit Q which feeds the east flight line and south ramp areas which includes HQ ATC facilities. Outages lasted up to 4 hours and affected entire area due to the lack of loop feeds which would have allowed outages to be confined to one or two facilities and limited them to a few minutes. Maintenance of the system has become manpower intensive because of obsolete equipment and the difficulty in procuring parts. This project completes the conversion of the base to a more economical 13.8 KV system, matching local commercial power and minimizing the need for substations.					
<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The present system will continue to deteriorate with more frequent and extensive power outages due to the inability to					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
RANDOLPH AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	TYMX933004	
<p>minimize the areas affected and/or duration of an outage. Mission degradation from personnel not able to perform work during outages will continue and O&M costs will continue to escalate.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of upgrade and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, upgrade was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
RANDOLPH AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	TYMX933004	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 20
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		142
(b) All Other Design Costs		70
(c) Total		212
(d) Contract		182
(e) In-house		30
(4) Construction Start		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX		
REESE AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS				AIR TRAINING COMMAND				0.86		
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		414	645	360	208					
b. End FY 1998		425	611	358	227					
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (3,953)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 91,981										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 900										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 7,200										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 15,290										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 115,371										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START CMPL		
411-135		UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		29 EA		900		JUL 92 SEP 93		
				TOTAL:		900				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
113-321		UPGRADE AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS		110,000 SY		4,850				
136-664		UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING		41,800 LF		2,350				
				TOTAL:		7,200				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
113-321		UPGRADE AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS/ PARKING APRON		94,000 SY		5,200				
171-213		JPATS BEDDOWN		30,000 SF		3,300				
179-511		ALTER FIREMEN TRAINING FACILITY		1 EA		690				
610-128		BASE ADMINISTRATION FACILITY		12,500 SF		1,200				
841-427		WATER STORAGE TANK		500 KG		500				
10. Mission or Major Functions: A flying training wing which conducts Undergraduate Pilot Training (UPT) with one T-37 squadron and one T-38 squadron. Also, base will undergo a T-37 to T-1 aircraft conversion.										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution:		0								
b. Water pollution:		345								
c. Occupational safety and health:		0								
d. Other Environmental:		345								

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SHEPPARD AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS				4. COMMAND AIR TRAINING COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 0.94			
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		666	2295	1050	332	3308	101	1	221		7,974
b. End FY 1998		711	3083	1567	110	2985	20	61	1711	12	10,260
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (5,480)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 247,423											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 30,590											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 18,030											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 4,800											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 16,800											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 317,643											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS			
CODE								START		CMPL	
171-211		ENJJPT ALTER FLIGHT TRAINING FACILITY		40,000 SF		2,200		JUN 92		OCT 93	
179-511		FIRE TRAINING FACILITY		LS		850		SEP 92		NOV 93	
721-315		7-LEVEL TRAINING DORMITORY		156,500 SF		14,200		OCT 92		DEC 93	
740-884		ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		4,800 SF		780		JUL 92		DEC 93	
TOTAL:						18,030					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)											
136-664		UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING		33,400 LF		1,300					
171-621		7-LEVEL TRAINING CLASSROOMS		21,000 SF		3,500					
TOTAL:						4,800					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
110-000		REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS (RAMP)		LS		3,800					
131-111		INFORMATION SYSTEMS FACILITY		20,000 SF		3,000					
171-623		COVERED AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT TRAINING FACILITY		8,500 SF		1,000					
442-758		LOGISTICS COMPLEX		154,000 SF		9,000					
10. Mission or Major Functions: Training center for aircraft maintenance, civil engineering, comptroller, transportation, and health science courses; a flying training wing with three squadrons (T-37 and T-38 aircraft) that trains US and NATO pilots under the Euro-NATO Joint Jet Pilot Training Program; a medical services training group; and a field training group.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:										0	
b. Water pollution:										0	
c. Occupational safety and health:										0	
d. Other Environmental:										0	

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SHEPPARD AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			4. PROJECT TITLE ENJJPT ALTER FLIGHT TRAINING FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 8.47.44		6. CATEGORY CODE 171-211		7. PROJECT NUMBER VNVP933005	
				8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,200	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ENJJPT ALTER FLIGHT TRAINING FACILITY		SF	40,000	29	1,160
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					715
REMOVE TYPICAL ASBESTOS MATLS		LS			(100)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT		LS			(60)
UPGRADE HVAC SYSTEM		TN	400	1,000	(400)
INSTALL FIRE SPRINKLER SYSTEM		SF	75,000	1	(75)
INSTALL ELEVATOR		LS			(60)
SUBTOTAL					1,875
CONTINGENCY (10%)					188
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,063
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					124
TOTAL REQUEST					2,187
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,200
<p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: All work necessary to expand existing classrooms and flight training rooms. Work will include removing and reconstructing interior walls; altering electrical, mechanical and cooling systems; upgrade all latrines; install fire sprinkler system; install an elevator; asbestos removal where necessary; and provide communications support where required.</p> <p>Air Conditioning: 400 Tons.</p> <p>11. REQUIREMENT: 76,822 SF ADEQUATE: 36,822 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0</p> <p>PROJECT: Alter flight training facility for the Euro-NATO Joint Jet Pilot Training (ENJJPT). (New Mission)</p> <p>REQUIREMENT: A facility to provide adequate classrooms and flight training rooms in support of the permanent beddown of the ENJJPT mission to the year 2005. Approximately 50% of the students are from foreign countries with limited use of the English language and require quiet classrooms to maximize their comprehension and understanding of the curriculum.</p> <p>CURRENT SITUATION: Sheppard is currently the Undergraduate Pilot Training (UPT) base for the ENJJPT program. Students and instructors are utilizing crowded training rooms. Students are not allowed to bring books or outer apparel into the existing flight rooms because of lack of space. Existing latrines and HVAC system are antiquated and cannot properly support the building occupants. Space to be altered becomes available with the completion of the FY91 Wing Operations Facility. To extend the ENJJPT program to the year 2005, the NATO Ministers of Defense and the US SECDEF directed the facility be altered. The ENJJPT Steering Committee subsequently approved this project and budgeted for the foreign-funded share (50%) of the cost. Funds will be available at time of award.</p>					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
SHEPPARD AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ENJJPT ALTER FLIGHT TRAINING FACILITY	VNVP933005	
<p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Will violate the country-to-country agreement with all ENJJPT nations. Students will remain in mass briefing/debriefing rooms which are overcrowded, noisy, and a distraction to individual mission briefings.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Total project cost of \$4,400,000 will be shared by Air Force and ENJJPT nations. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, alteration was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
SHEPPARD AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ENJJPT ALTER FLIGHT TRAINING FACILITY	VNPV933005	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUN 30
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 31
(d) Date Design Complete		93 OCT 17
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		125
(b) All Other Design Costs		40
(c) Total		165
(d) Contract		115
(e) In-house		50
(4) Construction Start		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
SHEPPARD AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			7-LEVEL TRAINING DORMITORY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.57.96T	721-315	VNVP943004A	14,200		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
7-LEVEL TRAINING DORMITORY (782 PN)	SF	156,500	69	10,799	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,980	
UTILITIES/EMCS	LS			(890)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(595)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(295)	
COMMUNICATION SUPPORT	LS			(200)	
SUBTOTAL				12,779	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				639	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				13,418	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				805	
TOTAL REQUEST				14,223	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				14,200	
<p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Masonry walls with brick veneer, concrete foundation and floor slabs, structural frame and metal roof system. Includes room-bath-room modules, freight elevator, linen storage and maids room, mechanical equipment room, communications, utilities, parking, and any other support facilities.</p> <p>Air Conditioning: 200 Tons. Grade Mix: 782 E5-E6.</p> <p>11. REQUIREMENT: 8,450 SF ADEQUATE: 6,548 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0</p> <p><u>PROJECT:</u> Construct 7-level training dormitory. (New Mission)</p> <p><u>REQUIREMENT:</u> A major Air Force objective is to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Adequate on-base living quarters are required to accommodate enlisted student personnel attending advanced training courses and to ensure that an environment conducive to studying is available.</p> <p><u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The base has insufficient facilities to accommodate unaccompanied enlisted personnel who will attend 7-level training at Sheppard AFB. Dormitory space is available only for the current manning. Other dormitory space is currently under construction or in design to accommodate personnel moves in conjunction with the Base Closure and this requirement. This project will satisfy the total requirement for personnel receiving 7-level training.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Adequate living quarters will not be available for personnel attending 7-level training jeopardizing the 7-level training mission.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project does not meet the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" and does not meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable</p>					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
SHEPPARD AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
7-LEVEL TRAINING DORMITORY	VNVP943004A	
<p>options for accomplishing this project was done. It indicates that new construction is the only option that will meet the requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exemption has ben prepared.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
SHEPPARD AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
7-LEVEL TRAINING DORMITORY	VNVP943004A	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 OCT 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 31
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 20
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		SHEPPARD
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		710
(b) All Other Design Costs		300
(c) Total		1010
(d) Contract		920
(e) In-house		90
(4) Construction Start		94 APR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX		
HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH				AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND				0.98		
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		660	4301	10898						
b. End FY 1998		582	3558	9045						13,185
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (8,144)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 563,787										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 29,600										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 8,380										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 6,900										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 52,600										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 661,267										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START CMPL		
179-511		FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DFOB)		1 EA		880		JUL 92 SEP 93		
831-155		UPGRADE INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT (DFOB)		1,500 KG		5,100		JUL 92 NOV 93		
842-245		UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		13,300 LF		2,400		APR 92 SEP 93		
TOTAL:						8,380				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
130-142		ADD TO AND ALTER FIRE STATION		8,050 SF		1,100				
821-113		UPGRADE STEAM DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		LS		2,400				
880-000		CORRECT FIRE PROTECTION DEFICIENCIES		930,000 SF		3,400				
TOTAL:						6,900				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
132-133		RANGE INSTRUCTIONAL SITES		10 EA		6,000				
171-620		MOBILITY TRAINING AND SUPPORT FACILITY		48,000 SF		4,000				
441-758		SUPPLY SUPPORT FACILITY		65,000 SF		4,600				
610-144		MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE CONTROL FACILITY		14,000 SF		2,400				
610-243		COMBAT SUPPORT CENTER		60,000 SF		7,000				
10. Mission or Major Functions: Ogden Air Logistics Center which is responsible for logistics management, support and depot-level maintenance of F-4 and F-16 aircraft, Minuteman and Peacekeeper ICBM's, Maverick missiles, and laser-guided bombs; a test group (HH-1 and MH-60 helicopters, and DC/HC/NC 130 aircraft); an Air Combat Command fighter wing with three F-16 squadrons; and an Air Force Reserve fighter wing (F-16 aircraft).										

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST			
HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH				AIR FORCE				COST INDEX			
				MATERIEL COMMAND				0.98			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of											
b. End FY											
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage:											
b. Inventory Total As Of:											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program:											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:											
g. Remaining Deficiency:											
h. Grand Total:											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:										4,000	
b. Water pollution:										6,200	
c. Occupational safety and health:										2,300	
d. Other Environmental:										8,000	

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH			4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 7.80.56	6. CATEGORY CODE 831-155	7. PROJECT NUMBER KRSM943032	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 5,100		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UPGRADE INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT (DBOF)		KG	1,500	2,290	3,435
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					945
UTILITIES		LS			(295)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(155)
STORAGE BUILDING		SF	1,600	50	(80)
REMOVE CONTAMINATED SOIL		LS			(295)
O&M MANUAL, TRAINING AND START-UP		LS			(120)
SUBTOTAL					4,380
CONTINGENCY (10%)					438
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					4,818
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					289
TOTAL REQUEST					5,107
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					5,100
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Remove concrete tanks, install new processing equipment and automated control system, and replace instrumentation. Includes necessary piping, accessories and site work, removal of existing tanks, construction of a 1600 SF storage building, removal of contaminated soil as required, and 180 day start-up operation and system certification.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,500 KG ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 1,500 KG PROJECT: Upgrade an industrial wastewater treatment plant. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance project. An adequate industrial wastewater treatment plant (IWTP) is required to remove pollutants from industrial wastewater generated from the depot's maintenance activities, including aircraft and jet engine overhaul, metal plating, painting and paint stripping. The treatment process must produce an effluent which meets the discharge pretreatment limits set by the North Davis County Sewer District. It is anticipated by 1995 that these pretreatment limits will be much lower as North Davis County further controls user discharges to allow themselves to meet lower Clean Water Act limits for toxicity and hazardous waste limits on sludge refuse. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing IWTP, built in 1970 and expanded in 1979, operates between 300 and 600 gallons per minute (gpm). It cannot consistently remove metals to the low concentrations required by the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System permit prior to discharge into a local waterway. This permit expires December 31, 1994, at which time the discharge limits governing Hill will likely be far more restrictive. District officials have advised base officials that new limits will be provided by August 1993. The clarifiers are too small to					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT (DBOF)	KRS943032	
<p>provide sufficient settling of heavy metals at flows above 350 gpm. The emergency spill tank does not have capacity to store over one hour's accumulation of wastes, and the grit chamber must be manually cleaned four or five times per year. The manual control system does not allow for real time monitoring of the plant's operating parameters, resulting in delays of up to 48 hours before necessary adjustments can be made. This situation resulted in 12 days of noncompliance leading to two notices of violation in FY 1991.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The capability of the IWTP to stay in compliance with its permit limits, the Clean Water Act and RCRA will be in jeopardy. Fines or other enforcement actions, including shutdown or reduction of depot maintenance activities that generate wastewater, are a distinct possibility if the project is not provided.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in either Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". A life cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction, and contracting out the function). This analysis indicates that alteration is clearly the best alternative over the life of the facility.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
UPGRADE INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT (DBOF)		KRSM943032
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 02
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 01
(d) Date Design Complete		93 NOV 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		HILL
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		300
(b) All Other Design Costs		201
(c) Total		501
(d) Contract		399
(e) In-house		102
(4) Construction Start		94 FEB
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH			UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
7.28.96	842-245	KRSM913003	2,400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	LS			1,819	
WATER DISTRIBUTION MAINS	LF	15,800	93	(1,469)	
RESERVOIR COVER	LS			(350)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				240	
UTILITIES	LS			(100)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(140)	
SUBTOTAL				2,059	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				206	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,265	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				136	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,401	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,400	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Install 15,800 feet of water main, including necessary valves, manholes, hydrants, and corrosion control; install additional valves on existing pipe; replace cover on 3.5 million gallon reservoir; restore pavements and landscape.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Upgrade water distribution system. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: The water distribution system must be upgraded to meet pressure and fire protection flow requirements for depot aircraft maintenance, munitions storage area and warehouse complex. Larger primary water mains from the base's two largest reservoirs (4.5 million gallon total capacity) to the munitions storage area are needed to meet fire flow and pressure requirements. New valves are needed to isolate sections of water mains when repair is required. A rigid cover (180 feet by 120 feet) for the 3.5 million gallon reservoir is needed to provide a reliable debris barrier, prevent algae growth and protect water resources					
CURRENT SITUATION: The primary water mains from the bases's two largest reservoirs are not sized for pressure and fire flow requirements resulting from additional facility construction at Hill AFB over the last 25 years. Further, the water mains have accumulated mineral deposits that reduce the effective size of the pipe. Even though water storage capacity is adequate, the means of transmission is deficient. A computer analysis shows that, without larger lines to the two remote reservoirs, the reservoir serving the warehouse area (1.25 million gallons) could be drawn down at a rate of 5300 gallons per minute during peak demand periods. In less than an hour the tank level would drop below the 1 million gallons required for firefighting in this area. The lack of adequate pressure and fire flow has been given a Fire Safety Deficiency (FSD) priority 2-C-III.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	KRS913003	
<p>The existing 3.5 million gallon reservoir has a thin polypropylene floating cover, which is highly susceptible to rips and tears during freezing conditions and must be replaced every two years. Floating covers are rarely used on potable water reservoirs as they are difficult to maintain, easily penetrated and have a high risk of contamination. County health officials have stated concerns that the chemicals used on the adjacent golf course may contaminate the reservoir.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The depot maintenance and warehouse areas will continue to be compromised due to the lack of adequate fire flow and pressure. A large fire could burn unsuppressed due to lack of water.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in either Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide", or AFM 86-2. All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, a full economic analysis was not needed or performed. A certificate of exemption has been prepared.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	KRSM913003	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 APR 23
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 JUL 29
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 11
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		128
(b) All Other Design Costs		141
(c) Total		269
(d) Contract		184
(e) In-house		85
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX			
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE, VIRGINIA				AIR COMBAT COMMAND				0.92			
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		2259	6511	1859	64	106	10	3	11	19	10,842
b. End FY 1998		2185	6612	1863	64	106	10	3	11	19	10,873
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (3,440)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 249,505											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 19,000											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 17,823											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 0											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 19,300											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 305,628											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS			
CODE								START		CMPL	
130-142		FIRE STATION		23,700 SF		3,850		JUL 91		AUG 93	
141-745		ADD TO AND ALTER CARS OPERATIONS FACILITY		LS		5,373		NOV 92		AUG 93	
219-944		BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX, PHASE II		LS		4,000		OCT 92		JUL 93	
411-135		UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS		500		NOV 92		AUG 93	
851-142		RESTORE KING STREET BRIDGE		LS		4,100		OCT 91		MAY 93	
TOTAL:						17,823					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE											
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
211-159		ACFT CORROSION CONTROL FCLTY		30,000 SF		5,500					
214-425		ADD TO VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY		5,200 SF		1,100					
721-315		ALTER TRANSIENT DORMITORY		140 PN		2,000					
740-674		PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER		24,000 SF		2,500					
740-884		CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		18,000 SF		2,700					
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters Air Combat Command; a fighter wing with three fighter squadrons (F-15 aircraft); an aircraft delivery group; an air intelligence group; the Air Combat Operations School; and an Air Mobility Command airlift detachment with C-21 aircraft.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:										0	
b. Water pollution:										4,000	
c. Occupational safety and health:										0	
d. Other Environmental:										0	

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE, VIRGINIA			FIRE STATION		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
2.75.96C	130-142	MUHJ923032	3,850		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
FIRE STATION	SP	23,700	95	2,252	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,185	
UTILITIES	LS			(220)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(230)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(240)	
SPECIAL FOUNDATIONS	LS			(95)	
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(115)	
DEMOLITION	SP	18,900	5	(95)	
ASBESTOS REMOVAL	SP	18,900	10	(190)	
SUBTOTAL				3,437	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				172	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,609	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				217	
TOTAL REQUEST				3,826	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,850	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor, masonry walls, and pitched roof. Includes vehicle stalls, offices, communication center, sleeping quarters, training rooms, exercise room, kitchen, dining room, storage and utility room, parking, access pavements, demolition and all necessary support. Air Conditioning: 70 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 23,700 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 18,900 SF PROJECT: Construct a central fire station. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A properly sized and configured fire station is required to provide fire protection for base facilities and aircraft crash rescue. The station must provide space to house fire fighting equipment and crews, a central fire alarm system, command and control, 24-hour crew quarters, exercise room, storage for operating supplies and chemical agents, and maintenance functions. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing facility is experiencing structural failure (deformation/buckling of columns, footings, slabs, ceiling and walls) due to the southward thrust of ramp pavement from thermal expansion/subsurface drift. Fire fighters that work and sleep (24 hours/day) in this structurally unsound facility are exposed to a serious safety hazard. Even after the building had been shored/reinforced with beams, there is still structural shifting and movement in the facility. The most recent corrective action was attempted in 1990. This effort to repair the footings has already failed due to the unprecedented ground movement. An independent engineering consulting firm has confirmed that inevitable structural failure will occur. The facility is an undersized Korean War-era concrete masonry building that will be demolished upon completion of this project.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE, VIRGINIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
FIRE STATION	MUHJ923032	
<p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Personnel and equipment will continue to be placed under unnecessary and unacceptable risk. The Air Force will continue to assume the liability for the safety of personnel in a building that is experiencing structural failure.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE, VIRGINIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
FIRE STATION	MUHJ923032	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	91 JUL 01	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	65%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	91 OCT 04	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 AUG 01	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	197	
(b) All Other Design Costs	149	
(c) Total	346	
(d) Contract	231	
(e) In-house	115	
(4) Construction Start		
93 DEC		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE, VIRGINIA			ADD TO AND ALTER CARS OPERATIONS FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
3.13.17 NFIP	141-745	MUHJ943010	5,373		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AND ALTER CARS OPERATIONS FACILITY		SF	62,900		3,419
CONVERT TO HARDWARE MAINT (SCIF)		SF	10,400	120	(1,248)
CONVERT TO DCS WAREHOUSE		SF	22,000	47	(1,034)
CONVERT TO DCS HANGAR BAY		SF	17,000	20	(340)
CONVERT TO TRAINING		SF	13,500	59	(797)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					1,185
UTILITIES		LS			(495)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(295)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(395)
SUBTOTAL					4,604
CONTINGENCY (10%)					460
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					5,064
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					304
TOTAL REQUEST					5,368
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					5,373
10. Description of Proposed Construction: All electrical, structural and mechanical work to convert various existing facilities to operations, training, and maintenance support areas for the Contingency Airborne Reconnaissance System (CARS). Certain facilities will contain Sensitive Compartmented Information Facility (SCIF) areas. Work also includes all sitework, pavements and utilities required for support. Air Conditioning: 350 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 78,000 LS ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Add to and Alter existing facilities for the Contingency Airborne Reconnaissance System (CARS) complex. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: Permanent facilities of adequate size and configuration are required to accommodate the beddown of the CARS Deployable Ground Station (DGS). Adequate facilities are essential to support training, operational and maintenance missions of the DGS. CARS is a unique system that is capable of collection, processing and exploitation of multi-source intelligence with multi-level security. This system is needed to enhance theater commanders' ability to most effectively manage tactical assets on the battlefield through near-real-time intelligence. In garrison, the system will support requirements such as counterdrug and treaty verification. Facilities must be prepared in FY94 for initial system receipt. CURRENT SITUATION: CARS was originally programmed for beddown in Europe. Congress cancelled the European beddown and directed a US based ground station with the capability to provide deployable assets directly to theater commanders. The facilities proposed for alteration for CARS were vacated in late 1991. These facilities are not currently configured to support the CARS mission and need to be reconfigured.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE, VIRGINIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER CARS OPERATIONS FACILITY	MUHJ943010	
<p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> CARS DGS is the only system in existence, or currently programmed, that will be capable of collection, processing, and exploitation of signal intelligence and imagery intelligence with multi-level security. Without adequate facilities to support the mission, the US defense posture will be degraded by the loss of this unparalleled capability to provide dynamic, responsive intelligence support to air component/theater commanders.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE, VIRGINIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER CARS OPERATIONS FACILITY	MUHJ943010	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 NOV 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		298
(b) All Other Design Costs		5
(c) Total		303
(d) Contract		275
(e) In-house		28
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE, VIRGINIA			BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX, PHASE II		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
2.75.96C	219-944	MUHJ953004	4,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX, PHASE II	SP	55,000		3,280	
BCE MANAGEMENT FACILITY	SP	30,000	76	(2,280)	
BCE STORAGE FACILITY	SP	25,000	40	(1,000)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				275	
UTILITIES	LS			(205)	
PAVEMENTS	SY	1,100	32	(35)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(35)	
SUBTOTAL				3,555	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				178	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,733	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				243	
TOTAL REQUEST				3,976	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,000	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundations and floor slabs, pre-engineered metal frames, split face concrete masonry veneer, pitched standing seam metal roofs and facias. Project includes all utilities, pavements and site improvements.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 100,484 LS ADEQUATE: 12,916 LS SUBSTANDARD: 93,369 LS					
PROJECT: Construct Base Civil Engineer (BCE) complex, Phase II. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: Adequate BCE facilities are required to plan, program and implement projects to maintain, repair, operate and construct facilities, pavements, and utility systems in support of the base, HQ ACC, and Air Force missions. This project is a required follow-on to the FY 93 MILCON project, "Base Civil Engineering Complex Phase I."					
CURRENT SITUATION: BCE shop and warehouse functions are housed in facilities that are undersized, functionally misconfigured and unsuitable for upgrade for their current use. Existing BCE functions are dispersed throughout 20 facilities on base. The BCE functions are being displaced by the standup of Air Combat Command and the resulting requirement for facilities in the headquarters area. These facilities are located in the congested headquarters administrative area; narrow streets, parked cars, large delivery vehicles, and special purpose vehicles make access difficult and create numerous traffic hazards. Overcrowded and cramped shops make it difficult and unsafe for the operation of machinery and power tools. Substandard covered storage facilities do not accommodate modern material storage and handling practices. Material storage is inadequate, and as a result, materials requiring indoor storage must be stored outdoors, which accelerates deterioration.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: BCE personnel will continue to work in					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE, VIRGINIA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX, PHASE II		MUHJ953004	
<p>substandard, inefficient, and overcrowded facilities which will adversely impact their capability to support missions of the 1st Fighter Wing, HQ ACC and other units assigned to this installation.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing all known alternatives. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>			

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE, VIRGINIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX, PHASE II	MUHJ953004	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 OCT 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 23
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		242
(b) All Other Design Costs		110
(c) Total		352
(d) Contract		242
(e) In-house		110
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE, VIRGINIA			RESTORE KING STREET BRIDGE		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
2.75.96C	851-142	MUHJ933001	4,100		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
RESTORE KING STREET BRIDGE	LF	1,850	1,920	3,552	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				140	
UTILITIES	LS			(30)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(10)	
DEMOLITION	SF	25,000	4	(100)	
SUBTOTAL				3,692	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				185	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,877	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				233	
TOTAL REQUEST				4,110	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,100	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace entire concrete deck, sidewalk, parapets and hardware. Replace all superstructure steel girders, girder bearing pads and anchor bolts. Repair damaged pilings. Install elastomeric bearing pad under bridge seats and new expansion joint assemblies. Replace all corroded utility supports and perform all necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Restore King Street Bridge. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: An adequate, safe access bridge is required at the King Street entrance to Langley AFB to accommodate vehicular traffic entering and leaving the base. The King Street Bridge crosses the Back River and connects the eastern portion of Langley AFB with the city of Hampton. It is the primary route from Hampton to the main family housing area on Langley and to the Air Combat Command Headquarters complex. Large numbers of assigned personnel use the bridge to commute to and from major residential areas in Hampton. Approximately 13,000 vehicles cross the bridge each day. Additionally, the city strongly supports continued viability of the bridge. Alternative routes through the city to Langley are already overburdened. If the bridge was not available, increased traffic on alternative city streets would create long delays, adversely impacting residents and businesses. Weight limits on the bridge have been reduced to a level where fire trucks, semi-trailers, fuel trucks and construction vehicles must take longer routes to use other entrances. CURRENT SITUATION: The King Street Bridge is in imminent danger of structural failure due to severe and rapidly accelerating deterioration. It must be repaired immediately to avert failure and the real possibility of injuries and property damage. The bridge was constructed in 1934 and					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE, VIRGINIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
RESTORE KING STREET BRIDGE	MUHJ933001	
<p>has undergone no significant repairs since it was built. Traffic on the bridge has been progressively restricted since 1987 due to rapid, persistent deterioration of major components. Three engineering investigations have been conducted since 1987. These investigations confirm that deterioration is accelerating because of the age of the span and the highly corrosive salt water environment. A December 1991 investigation found that up to 35% of the deterioration has occurred since 1987. Base engineers have been forced to progressively reduce weight limits on the bridge from a 20-ton design limit to 14 tons and then 10 tons following 1987 and 1991 structural investigations. The Corps of Engineers has advised base officials that current restrictions will not provide a reliable margin of safety after December 1993. The following are examples of the major deterioration identified in engineering investigations: Sections of the concrete deck have extensive transverse cracks, many of which go completely through the deck; expansion joints in the deck are no longer functioning, and slabs adjacent to the joints have consequently received severe damage; this damage is accelerating, particularly at the ends of the span. The bridge superstructure is extensively damaged from wear and the corrosive environment; steel girders, flanges and rivets that attach the sole plates to the beams are badly rusted; (Continued below in ADDITIONAL)</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Critical access to Langley AFB will continue to be limited by vehicle weight restrictions. Ongoing deterioration will shortly result in closure of the bridge in order to avoid structural failure and possible loss of life. Closing the bridge would also result in unacceptable traffic impacts to the city of Hampton and would inconvenience bridge users by forcing them to drive 4.5 miles further to the next nearest entrance to the base.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> bridge stiffeners are also severely corroded, and in some areas have rusted away completely; this, in addition to severe girder corrosion, presents a concern for lateral stability and shear buckling resistance at the girder ends; concrete on the bottom surface of the deck is grossly spalled which presents a safety hazard to boaters crossing under the bridge and exposes reinforcing bars to corrosion.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE, VIRGINIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
RESTORE KING STREET BRIDGE	MUHJ933001	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	91 OCT 10	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	93 JAN 14	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 MAY 01	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	240	
(b) All Other Design Costs	170	
(c) Total	410	
(d) Contract	300	
(e) In-house	110	
(4) Construction Start	93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX			
FAIRCHILD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON				AIR COMBAT COMMAND				1.00			
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		562	3570	495	140	372	22				5,161
b. End FY 1998		709	3923	576	140	372	22				5,742
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (6,060)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 280,299											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 34,960											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 3,500											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 9,300											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 35,730											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 363,789											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS			
CODE								START	CMPL		
171-621	INTELLIGENCE TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY			14,400 SF		3,500		SEP 92	JUN 93		
TOTAL:						3,500					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)											
218-712	ADD TO AND ALTER MUNITIONS			16,850 SF		1,400					
442-257	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP/STORAGE HAZARDOUS MATERIALS STORAGE FACILITY			7,000 SF		1,400					
610-243	SURVIVAL TRAINING SUPPORT COMPLEX			42,000 SF		5,000					
871-183	STORM DRAINAGE FACILITIES			LS		1,500					
TOTAL:						9,300					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
121-122	ADD TO HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM			10 OL		4,200					
124-000	UPGRADE POL DIKES AND BASINS			LS		2,330					
131-111	COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY			18,000 SF		3,450					
214-425	LOGISTICS COMPLEX			22,200 SF		1,850					
822-265	UPGRADE STEAM DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM			LS		3,500					
10. Mission or Major Functions: A bomb wing which includes one B-52 squadron and a combat air rescue detachment with UH-1 helicopters; an Air Mobility Command air refueling group with two KC-135 squadrons; an Air National Guard air refueling wing (KC-135 aircraft); an Air Training Command combat crew training group (survival training school); and an Air Force Space Command satellite control squadron.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution: 0											
b. Water pollution: 5,034											
c. Occupational safety and health: 0											
d. Other Environmental: 0											

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
FAIRCHILD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON			INTELLIGENCE TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
9.12.12S	171-621	GJKZ920029	3,500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
INTELLIGENCE TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY		SF	14,400	160	2,304
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					820
UTILITIES		LS			(165)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(105)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(80)
DEMOLISH BUILDINGS		LS			(15)
SECURITY UPGRADE REQUIREMENTS		LS			(455)
SUBTOTAL					3,124
CONTINGENCY (5%)					156
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					3,280
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					197
TOTAL REQUEST					3,477
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					3,500
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Masonry walls, concrete foundations and floor slabs, standing seam metal roof, utilities and other necessary support. Special security construction needed to meet Top Secret clearance requirements. Air Conditioning: 50 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 14,400 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 4,196 SF PROJECT: Construct an intelligence technical training facility for the Special Survival Training Program (SSTP). (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A major objective of HQ USAF is to increase the manning, course load, and number of students in the Special Survival Training Program and provide quality instruction for military and civilian members throughout DoD. A properly designed and furnished facility is essential to meet unique mission requirements and provide a quality learning environment to ensure adequacy of training in this complex, HQ USAF-directed, special training program. This facility should be located at Fairchild because courses taught in adjacent training facilities are prerequisites to the Special Survival Training Program. Collocation saves spending additional TDY travel funds for students. Furthermore, these facilities are to be shared with Air Training Command for special access exercises. The isolated location of these training facilities is considered by JCS to be critical to the security and effectiveness of their exercises. CURRENT SITUATION: The present academic facility originally was designed as a weapon assembly building. It is of Korean War vintage and located within a weapons storage area's Quantity Distance (QD) zone. Air Force regulations prohibit this type of facility in a QD zone, so any major addition is strongly discouraged. This building currently supports a					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FAIRCHILD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON		
4. PROJECT TITLE INTELLIGENCE TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER GJK2920029
<p>full-time staff of 13 and an average of 15 students per week. Restroom facilities are shared by all male/female instructors and students. The instructor office area is one large room with partitions. These conditions have very direct, negative impacts on the research, study, and lesson preparation necessary for each instructor on a daily basis. Supervisors do not have adequate private areas to provide subordinate counseling. Vast amounts of research materials are scattered throughout the building, exemplifying the need for a dedicated library/research room. The future installation of a STU-111 (secure telephone) will necessitate a secure, private room for classified discussions. Because of the class sizes and differences in the classes taught, two small and two large classrooms are required. Only one classroom currently is available, and instructors must conduct two of their courses in the program via Mobile Training Team to locations worldwide. The SSTP presently is unable to provide resident training to the DoD community requiring this instruction. This places DoD and the US Government in a politically vulnerable position, prevents maintenance of optimum DoD readiness of operational forces, and directly affects capability to meet objectives.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Expansion to meet Joint Services, multi-course program requirements cannot be achieved without this facility. Additionally, to continue in the present facility means that instructor and student productivity will continue to be degraded. This project is in concert with the Air Training Command and Survival School Master Plan. If not approved, the Special Survival Training Program will remain at its present location, isolated from the necessary logistical support provided by the 3636 CCTW new survival complex, training facilities, billeting, administration, and supply.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There are no criteria for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide," or in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements."</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
FAIRCHILD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
INTELLIGENCE TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY	GJKZ920029	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 30
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 01
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		219
(b) All Other Design Costs		182
(c) Total		401
(d) Contract		267
(e) In-house		134
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX			
MCCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON				AIR MOBILITY COMMAND				1.00			
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		514	3536	1194	2	45	27	2			5,320
b. End FY 1998		414	3555	1158	2	45	27	2			5,203
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (5,745)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 187,188											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 2,540											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 10,900											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 15,350											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 18,770											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 234,748											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS			
CODE								START	CMPL		
721-312	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)			259	PN	6,500		JUN 92	AUG 93		
740-884	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER COMPLEX (DBOF)			31,000	SF	4,400		MAY 92	DEC 93		
TOTAL:						10,900					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)											
149-962	CONTROL TOWER			1	EA	2,650					
610-000	ADD TO AND ALTER CONSOLIDATED SUPPORT CENTER			67,940	SF	5,900					
721-312	ALTER DORMITORIES			280	PN	6,800					
TOTAL:						15,350					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
219-000	BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX			125,175	SF	8,600					
219-944	BASE MAINTENANCE SHOP			2,400	SF	470					
411-135	REPAIR JET FUEL STORAGE				LS	6,700					
411-135	IMPROVE JET FUEL STORAGE				LS	2,000					
610-243	GROUP HEADQUARTERS FACILITY			5,900	SF	1,000					
10. Mission or Major Functions: An airlift wing which includes three C-141 squadrons; an Air Force Reserve C-141 associate airlift wing; Air Combat Command Northwest Air Defense Sector; and an Air National Guard fighter interceptor detachment (F-15 aircraft).											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:										0	
b. Water pollution:										0	
c. Occupational safety and health:										0	
d. Other Environmental:										8,700	

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
MCCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON			ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.18.96	721-312	POWY933030	6,500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)	SF	63,800		5,075	
ALTERATION	SF	49,600	82	(4,067)	
ADDITION (BALCONIES)	SF	14,200	71	(1,008)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				485	
UTILITIES	LS			(245)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(120)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(120)	
SUBTOTAL				5,560	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				556	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				6,116	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				367	
TOTAL REQUEST				6,483	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				6,500	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade existing facility and construct addition for balcony entrances. Includes room-bath-room configuration, insulation and sound attenuation, utilities and other necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,770 PN ADEQUATE: 918 PN SUBSTANDARD: 819 PN PROJECT: Add to and alter dormitories. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective provides unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform. CURRENT SITUATION: Existing unaccompanied personnel housing is far below current DOD standards. These dormitories have central latrines, inadequate control of heating, insufficient noise attenuation and lack the necessary amenities to adequately house enlisted personnel. This project will upgrade two facilities to meet current DOD standards and is phase three of a five-phase program to upgrade dorms at McChord. Current dormitory occupancy rate at McChord is 96 percent. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Substandard living conditions on base and expensive off-base housing will continue to be a contributing factor to low morale, reduced productivity and dissatisfaction with Air Force life for unaccompanied enlisted personnel. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". A life-cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation and new					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MCCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)		PQWY933030
<p>construction). This analysis indicates renovation is the most economical. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON		
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)		5. PROJECT NUMBER POWY933030
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUN 03
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		30%
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 FEB 19
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 31
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		295
(b) All Other Design Costs		266
(c) Total		561
(d) Contract		393
(e) In-house		168
(4) Construction Start		
		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON			4. PROJECT TITLE CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER COMPLEX (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 4.18.96	6. CATEGORY CODE 740-884	7. PROJECT NUMBER PWY933011	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 4,400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER COMPLEX (DBOF)	SF	33,400		3,282	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER (A)	SF	16,000	100	(1,600)	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER (B)	SF	15,000	100	(1,500)	
MECHANICAL SUPPORT FACILITY	SF	2,400	76	(182)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				650	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(155)	
UTILITIES	LS			(295)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(160)	
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS REMOVAL	SF	3,200	13	(40)	
SUBTOTAL				3,932	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				197	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,129	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				248	
TOTAL REQUEST				4,377	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,400	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: All structural, electrical and mechanical work necessary to construct two child development center facilities. Includes classrooms, kitchen, multipurpose rooms, nurseries, administrative space, mechanical equipment room and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 40 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 50,550 SF ADEQUATE: 19,564 SF SUBSTANDARD: 3,200 SF PROJECT: Construct a child development center complex. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A complex which provides adequate space and environment for child development requirements and an atmosphere conducive to the needs of young dependent children of assigned military and civilian personnel. McChord has a total of 674 children of military and civilian families eligible for day care. Current space criteria restricts the size of child development centers to accommodate only 305 children in one facility. In order to provide the required space to support eligible children at this installation, two facilities are needed. CURRENT SITUATION: The current facilities accommodate only 38 percent of the demand for child care. Changes in the make-up of the military and civilian population have increased the need for full-day child care development services. Single parents, dual working couples, and working spouses have changed the focus from a recreational support activity to a mission support program. Because more children stay at the center ten hours a day, five days a week, improved developmental care facilities must provide more adequate indoor and outdoor play space, learning centers, sleeping facilities and kitchen/food service areas. Expanded program requirements cannot be provided and the increased demand for child care cannot be met due to lack of space. Current waiting list for full-time day care is 384 children. Certified home care is available (35 homes care					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MCCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER COMPLEX (DBOF)	POWY933011	
<p>for 210 children); however, additional facilities are required. One substandard facility will be disposed of upon completion of this project.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Lack of quality and affordable child care contributes to employee absenteeism, low morale and has a negative impact on the military and civilian workforces.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". A life-cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation and new construction). This analysis indicates the new construction alternative is the most economical. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MCCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER COMPLEX (DBOF)		PQWY933011
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 MAY 29
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		15%
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 JUL 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 04
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		273
(b) All Other Design Costs		114
(c) Total		387
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		387
(4) Construction Start		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. COMMAND						5. AREA CONST COST INDEX			
F E WARREN AIR FORCE BASE, WYOMING		AIR COMBAT COMMAND						1.08			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		559	3030	557							4,146
b. End FY 1998		546	2920	574							4,040
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (6,610)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 214,988											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 10,750											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 12,640											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 1,700											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 5,000											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 245,073											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY											
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN START	STATUS CMPL						
130-835	RENOVATE SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS	77,200 SF	6,000	NOV 92	DEC 93						
141-911	REMOTE MISSILE CREW FACILITIES	40,000 SF	3,800	NOV 92	JUL 93						
411-135	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS PHASE I	34 EA	2,200	OCT 92	MAR 93						
851-147	WEAPONS STORAGE AREA SECURITY	LS	640	SEP 92	MAY 93						
TOTAL:			12,640								
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)											
411-000	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS MISSILE FACILITIES	39 EA	1,700								
TOTAL:			1,700								
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
610-000	UPGRADE 5 HISTORIC FACILITIES	42,321 SF	2,500								
610-112	LAW CENTER	11,500 SF	1,000								
871-183	STORM DRAINAGE FACILITIES	LS	1,500								
10. Mission or Major Functions: A missile wing consisting of one Peacekeeper and three Minuteman intercontinental ballistic missile squadrons which maintain a continuous alert posture, and a combat air rescue detachment with UH-1 helicopters.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution: 0											
b. Water pollution: 7,780											
c. Occupational safety and health: 0											
d. Other Environmental: 0											

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
F E WARREN AIR FORCE BASE, WYOMING			RENOVATE SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
1.18.96C	130-835	GHLN941016	6,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
RENOVATE SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS	SF	77,000	57	4,389	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				750	
UTILITIES	LS			(95)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(60)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(95)	
DEMOLITION AND ASBESTOS REMOVAL	SF	50,000	10	(500)	
SUBTOTAL				5,139	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				514	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,653	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				339	
TOTAL REQUEST				5,992	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				6,000	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: All structural, mechanical, electrical, and architectural work for renovation of Security Police Operations located in an historic building. Includes interior/exterior finishes, communications rewiring, prewired workstations, asbestos removal, site improvements, landscaping, utility service upgrades, and parking lot improvements.					
Air Conditioning: 300 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 77,200 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 77,200 SF PROJECT: Renovate security police operations. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Adequate facilities are required to provide space for the Security Police Group and four Security Police Squadrons responsible for security of missile fields located in four states, and strategic weapons storage and maintenance. Facilities must provide adequate space for all security police functions, including law enforcement, resource and personnel protection, and base information security functions plus detention, training, and customer service functions such as "Pass and Identification." A consolidated facility is necessary to optimize command and control, and the location of the existing facility provides for short response times to emergencies. CURRENT SITUATION: This building was constructed in 1909 as a post hospital. It is on the National Register of Historic Places and is included in the Historic Landmark District. The facility must be retained and preserved as long as it is so designated. The type of renovation is restricted by this historic designation; however, these restrictions would not prevent efficient use of the facility by the Security Police Group. While the building's interior layout may have been appropriate for a 1909 hospital, it is haphazard and inefficient for Security Police functions.					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION F E WARREN AIR FORCE BASE, WYOMING		
4. PROJECT TITLE RENOVATE SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS	5. PROJECT NUMBER CHLN941016	
<p>There is no separation of customer service areas from law enforcement and security operations. For example, "Pass and Identification", which everyone assigned to the base must visit, is located in the center of the building, and customers must wait in a hallway used by armed Security Police. The entire facility is dilapidated. Some structural repairs are required to prevent further deterioration; floors are uneven due to structural members having shifted over the years. All windows and doors need replacing because of their age, as do some of the stone window sills and much of the exterior woodwork. The mechanical and electrical systems are outdated and undersized. Access to some of the key elements of these systems is restricted because the entire basement has been sealed off due to asbestos hazards. The building has the potential for many years of continued use if interior renovation is accomplished and repairs are made to the exterior to preserve the building.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Adequate facilities to house the Security Police will not be available. This historical building will become so deteriorated that it cannot be used for base functions and, new construction will be required to provide useable facilities. Due to the age and condition of this building, maintenance and repair costs are excessive, and with limited funding the building, will deteriorate from lack of maintenance unless a major renovation project is accomplished.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, renovation, and status quo operations. Based upon the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, renovation was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
F E WARREN AIR FORCE BASE, WYOMING		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
RENOVATE SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS	GHLN941016	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 NOV 02
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 64
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		150
(b) All Other Design Costs		210
(c) Total		360
(d) Contract		300
(e) In-house		60
(4) Construction Start		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
F E WARREN AIR FORCE BASE, WYOMING			REMOTE MISSILE CREW FACILITIES		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
1.18.96C	141-911	CHLN941017	3.800		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
REMOTE MISSILE CREW FACILITIES	EA	4		2,960	
MISSILE CREW FACILITY (10000 SF EACH)	SF	40,000	74	(2,960)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES		1		470	
UTILITIES	LS			(20)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(30)	
DEMOLITION	SF	28,400	13	(370)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(50)	
SUBTOTAL				3,430	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				172	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,602	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				216	
TOTAL REQUEST				3,818	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,800	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Two-story structure with concrete footings and foundation (use existing foundation if possible), masonry exterior walls, and a sloped metal roof. Includes demolition, site work, heated vehicle parking, fire protection, sleeping quarters, kitchen dining area, management offices, recreation rooms, hardened security observation room, mechanical rooms and all necessary support. Air Conditioning: 14 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 40,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 28,400 SF PROJECT: Construct missile crew facilities (MCFs). (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Provide operational facilities for missile launch crews, flight security crews, maintenance crews, and supporting ground crews at four Minuteman/Peacekeeper launch control centers to keep our ICBM force viable into the 21st century. These buildings provide sleeping quarters, recreational rooms, kitchen and dining facilities, study rooms, flight security control rooms, facility manager's rooms, mechanical and water treatment rooms, electric generator and equipment rooms, latrines and attached garages for snow removal, security and launch crew vehicles. Exterior requirements include utility upgrades and adequate paved parking. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing MCFs are over 28 years old and require constant repair. The buildings are in violation of fire codes. They are made of combustible materials such as light wood-frame construction and tar-based shingled roofs. The wiring is old and brittle and will not handle the increased amperage of modern day appliances and electronic equipment. From a recent survey, these facilities were also found to have significant structural/plumbing problems, contain asbestos, have inefficient and ineffective mechanical systems, and in general have out lived their economic life. From an operations standpoint, these					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
F E WARREN AIR FORCE BASE, WYOMING		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
REMOTE MISSILE CREW FACILITIES	CHLN941017	
<p>facilities adversely impact mission effectiveness by constantly requiring maintenance. For example, replacement parts for the elevators are no longer manufactured, and only through the ingenuity of maintenance personnel do they operate at all.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Guided missile launch crews and primary support personnel will be forced to stay in substandard, aging and deteriorated facilities and will not be able to perform at peak efficiency. The MCFs are critical to launch capability and their importance can't be overlooked in the context of maintaining a modern, capable and secure ICBM force.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no scope/criteria for this project in Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
F E WARREN AIR FORCE BASE, WYOMING		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
REMOTE MISSILE CREW FACILITIES	GHLN941017	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 NOV 01	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 01	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 JUL 10	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	200	
(b) All Other Design Costs	220	
(c) Total	420	
(d) Contract	360	
(e) In-house	60	
(4) Construction Start		
	93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION F E WARREN AIR FORCE BASE, WYOMING			4. PROJECT TITLE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS PHASE I		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 1.18.56C		6. CATEGORY CODE 411-135		7. PROJECT NUMBER GHLN952500	
				8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,200	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS PHASE I		EA	39		1,884
REPLACE UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS		EA	27	48,890	(1,320)
UPGRADE UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS		EA	12	47,000	(564)
SUBTOTAL					1,884
CONTINGENCY (10%)					188
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,072
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					124
TOTAL REQUEST					2,176
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,200
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Excavate/remove 27 underground storage tanks. Dispose of tank residue and test soil at each site. Remove/dispose of contaminated soil. Replace tanks with new double-walled tanks, interstitial leak detectors, double-wall piping and spill/overflow protectors. Upgrade 12 tanks with leak detectors, double-wall piping, corrosion, spill, overflow protection, and epoxy lining.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Replace/upgrade underground storage tanks (USTs) at missile launch and launch control facilities. Phase 1 of 4. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance project. All regulated USTs must be upgraded in accordance with Federal Law (40 CFR 280.21) by December 1998. The Law also requires underground tanks have leak detection, corrosion protection and spill/overflow prevention systems to protect human health and the environment.					
CURRENT SITUATION: Underground storage tanks at F E Warren AFB do not meet Federal regulatory requirements for corrosion protection, leak detection monitoring and overflow/spill protection. These deficiencies must be corrected to prevent violation of Federal UST regulations. Currently, 134 shallow buried USTs at missile launch and launch control facilities require upgrade or replacement to meet the 1998 Federal deadline. This project is the first of four phases, and will replace/upgrade 39 USTs.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: These improvements to USTs are required by Federal Law. If they are not accomplished by the established deadline, the base will be in violation of the law and may begin receiving Notices of Violation, fines, and significant adverse publicity. Undetected tank failures may result in contamination of soil and potable water supplies.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
F E WARREN AIR FORCE BASE, WYOMING		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS PHASE I	GHLN952500	
<p>resulting in a threat to human health as well as extremely costly cleanup measures.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project was done. It indicates there is only one option that satisfies statutory requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exclusion has been prepared. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
F E WARREN AIR FORCE BASE, WYOMING		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS PHASE I	GHLN952500	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 OCT 01	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 15	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 MAR 15	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	78	
(b) All Other Design Costs	10	
(c) Total	88	
(d) Contract	78	
(e) In-house	10	
(4) Construction Start 94 MAY		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST		
ANTIGUA AIR STATION,				AIR FORCE				COST INDEX		
ANTIGUA ISLAND, WEST INDIES				SPACE COMMAND				1.73		
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		1	1							
b. End FY 1998		1	1							2
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (274)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 9,424										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 8,200										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 1,000										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 0										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 0										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 18,624										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START	CMPL	
811-145	SLPI-UPGRADE BACKUP GENERATOR	1,000 KW		1,000		DEC 92		JUL 93		
TOTAL:						1,000				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE										
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
10. Mission or Major Functions: A missile and satellite tracking station.										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution: 1,500										
b. Water pollution: 0										
c. Occupational safety and health: 0										
d. Other Environmental: 0										

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ASCENSION ISLAND AUXILIARY AIRFIELD, SOUTH ATLANTIC OCEAN				4. COMMAND AIR FORCE SPACE COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 2.50			
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		1	1								2
b. End FY 1998		2	2								4
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (3,857)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 31,166											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 22,000											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 3,400											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 0											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 7,100											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 63,666											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY						COST		DESIGN STATUS			
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE				(\$000)	START	CMPL			
831-165	WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT	LS				3,400	NOV 92	SEP 93			
TOTAL:						3,400					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE											
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
214-425	VEHICLE AND HEAVY EQUIPMENT	20,000 SF				3,500					
MAINTENANCE FACILITY											
219-944	FACILITY MAINTENANCE BUILDING	15,000 SF				2,500					
371-475	GPS INSTRUMENTATION FACILITY	5,000 SF				1,100					
10. Mission or Major Functions: An Air Force Space Command down range missile and satellite tracking station supporting the Eastern Space and Missile Center.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:										0	
b. Water pollution:										0	
c. Occupational safety and health:										0	
d. Other Environmental:										0	

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ASCENSION AUXILIARY AIRFIELD, ASCENSION ISLAND, SOUTH ATLANTIC OCEAN			4. PROJECT TITLE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
3.58.56	831-165	YXTK963101	3,400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT	KG	80	8,700	696	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,205	
SANITARY SEWER MAINS	LF	12,000	160	(1,920)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(190)	
START-UP TRAINING AND O&M TRAINING	LS			(95)	
SUBTOTAL				2,901	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				290	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,191	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				207	
TOTAL REQUEST				3,398	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,400	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Provide and install an 80,000 gallon per day (CPD) corrosion resistant sewage treatment plant to treat saline effluent. Provide up to 180 days of operations and training. Includes all necessary foundation work, and all electrical and piping connections. Replace all 6" and 8" sewer mains.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 80 LS ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct a package sewage treatment plant and replace sewer mains. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a Level I environmental compliance requirement. DOD Directive 6050.16 requires that overseas installations comply with US EPA standards. An 80,000 gallon per day sewage treatment facility is required to properly treat and dispose of domestic and industrial wastewater. A distribution system of sewer laterals and mains is required to insure compliance and to safeguard personnel from potential health hazards resulting from leaks. CURRENT SITUATION: This base has no treatment system to handle the domestic and industrial sewage. The base uses a series of septic tanks located throughout the main base area to collect the sewage and discharge it by free-flow outfall directly into the ocean. Raw discharge of this nature is unacceptable and will continue to pollute the surrounding environment. The existing cast iron and vitrified clay pipe sewer mains were installed over 30 years ago and have deteriorated to the point of failure, resulting in health hazards to personnel who live and work on Ascension. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Septic tank effluents will continue to be discharged directly into the ocean, in violation of DOD policy. Continued deterioration of sewer mains will eventually lead to numerous failures.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ASCENSION AUXILIARY AIRFIELD, ASCENSION ISLAND, SOUTH ATLANTIC OCEAN		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT	YXTK963101	
<p>Improper handling of sewage is unsanitary and unhealthy for people stationed at this remote location.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ASCENSION AUXILIARY AIRFIELD, ASCENSION ISLAND, SOUTH ATLANTIC OCEAN		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT	YXTK963101	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 NOV 06
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 29
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		145
(b) All Other Design Costs		60
(c) Total		205
(d) Contract		175
(e) In-house		30
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)				2. DATE	
AIR FORCE							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS (INSIDE AND OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES)				4. COMMAND		5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 0.00	
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT		STUDENTS		SUPPORTED	
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92							
b. End FY 1998							
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)							
a. Total Acreage: (0)					
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92)						0	
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:						0	
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:						13,640	
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995)						44,200	
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:						119,800	
g. Remaining Deficiency:						0	
h. Grand Total:						177,640	
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994							
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START	CMPL		
100-000	SPECIAL TACTICAL UNIT DETACHMENT FACILITY	LS	5,540				
100-000	OMEGA FACILITIES	LS	2,600				
442-758	WAR READINESS MATERIEL WAREHOUSE	120,000 SF	5,500	MAY 92	SEP 93		
TOTAL:			13,640				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)							
100-000	SPECIAL TACTICAL UNIT DETACHMENT FACILITY	LS	2,140				
100-000	EUROPEAN GROUND STATION	LS	16,800				
100-000	VARIOUS FACILITIES	LS	2,060				
170-000	AIRCRAFT TRAINING FACILITIES	LS	2,000				
170-000	AIRCRAFT TRAINING FACILITIES	LS	5,200				
170-000	AIRCRAFT TRAINING FACILITIES	LS	2,100				
170-000	AIRCRAFT TRAINING FACILITIES	LS	9,600				
217-742	WAR READINESS MATERIEL MAINTENANCE/MANAGEMENT FAC	10,000 SF	1,300				
442-515	WAR READINESS MATERIEL MEDICAL STORAGE FACILITY	18,000 SF	1,800				
452-252	WAR READINESS MATERIEL OPEN STORAGE FACILITY	62,000 SF	1,200				
TOTAL:			44,200				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:							
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:							
a. Air pollution:						0	
b. Water pollution:						0	
c. Occupational safety and health:						0	
d. Other Environmental:						0	

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
CLASSIFIED LOCATION			WAR READINESS MATERIEL WAREHOUSE		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
2.80.31	442-758	HTAC943047	5,500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
WAR READINESS MATERIEL WAREHOUSE	SF	120,000	39	4,680	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				270	
UTILITIES	LS			(180)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(50)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(40)	
SUBTOTAL				4,950	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				248	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,198	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				338	
TOTAL REQUEST				5,536	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,500	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct pre-engineered metal and masonry buildings with lighting, ventilation, other utility systems, and supporting facilities, including pavements and site improvements.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 120,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0					
PROJECT: Construct a complex of war readiness materiel (WRM) storage warehouses. (New Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: Storage facilities are required for prepositioning and long-term storage of high-value WRM assets. These assets must be ready for use by US Central Command forces.					
CURRENT SITUATION: Other facilities in host country are unavailable for WRM storage requirements. WRM assets moved into the region during Operation DESERT SHIELD/DESERT STORM must either be stored in country or returned to the CONUS. CONUS storage and roundtrip transportation will exceed storage cost in host country.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate facilities will not be available for storage of assets required to support US Central Command contingency planning in the Persian Gulf area. Without adequate storage facilities, increased transportation demands will greatly impede US capability to successfully execute contingency plans and protect national interests.					
ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CLASSIFIED LOCATION		
4. PROJECT TITLE WAR READINESS MATERIEL WAREHOUSE		5. PROJECT NUMBER HTAC943047
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 MAY 18
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 AUG 01
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		212
(b) All Other Design Costs		70
(c) Total		282
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		282
(4) Construction Start		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST			
RAMSTEIN AIR BASE, GERMANY				UNITED STATES AIR FORCES IN EUROPE				COST INDEX 1.40			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		1256	6004	826							8,086
b. End FY 1998		1158	5591	785							7,534
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (677)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 32,164											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 5,260											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 3,100											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 11,600											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 2,900											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 55,024											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS			
CODE								START	CMPL		
740-884	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER			11,900 SF		3,100		JUN 92	MAY 93		
TOTAL:						3,100					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)											
831-165	UPGRADE SEWAGE COLLECTION SYSTEM			LS		11,600					
TOTAL:						11,600					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
124-134	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS			LS		2,900					
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters United States Air Forces in Europe; a flying wing with two fighters squadrons (F-16 aircraft) and an airlift squadron (C-12, C-20, C-21, and T-43 aircraft, and UH-1 helicopters); a tactical intelligence wing; NATO's Headquarters Allied Air Forces Central Europe; an Air Force Intelligence Command intelligence wing; and an Air Mobility Command airlift support group. Also, the future beddown location of the aeromedical airlift mission (C-9 aircraft) from Rhein-Main AB, GE.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:										0	
b. Water pollution:										2,900	
c. Occupational safety and health:										1,000	
d. Other Environmental:										0	

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RAMSTEIN AIR BASE, GERMANY			4. PROJECT TITLE CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 2.75.96U	6. CATEGORY CODE 740-884	7. PROJECT NUMBER TYFR923006	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 3,100		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	SF	11,900	190	2,261	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				510	
UTILITIES	LS			(65)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(270)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(155)	
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(20)	
SUBTOTAL				2,771	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				139	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,910	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				189	
TOTAL REQUEST				3,099	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,100	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation, floor slab and frame, masonry walls and structural roofing system. Functional areas include reception, multi-purpose room, restroom, storage, isolation room, offices, laundry, kitchen, mechanical room and playground. Includes fire protection, all utilities and necessary support, and demolition of interim facilities.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 73,465 SF ADEQUATE: 13,455 SF SUBSTANDARD: 39,005 SF PROJECT: Construct a child development center. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Adequate facilities are required to support supervised care and developmental experience for dependent children aged six weeks to five years. The child development center must provide a comfortable, clean and educational environment for the dependent children of active duty military and DoD civilians stationed at this overseas location. CURRENT SITUATION: The Kaiserlautern Military Community (KMC) which includes Ramstein Air Base and the Vogelweh Annex receives child development services at both locations. The total requirement is based on the needs of the entire KMC. Since both areas are separated geographically and have respective housing areas, the services are provided at both locations. Deficiencies are being corrected by this project and a companion project at Vogelweh Annex. Currently, there is only one facility for child care at Ramstein Air Base. A second facility that had been used for child care was condemned by the Director of Air Force Child Development Youth Activities during a visit in July 1990 and was subsequently closed. Since this facility closed the waiting list for child care has grown to over 330 children. Of these, about 112 are going to licensed family day caregivers throughout the community. The rest are either going to unlicensed care providers or simply doing without. Two					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
RAMSTEIN AIR BASE, GERMANY		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	TYFR923006	
<p>relocatable buildings will be moved from Zweibrucken Air Base to provide an interim solution to the shortfall of space, but a permanent solution is desperately needed. Adequate child care is much more difficult to obtain in Europe than in the States since there are no franchised child care centers on the economy. The problem gets even worse during exercises and emergencies when short notice, 24-hour care is required by many of our personnel.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Without adequate child care for the dependents of active duty military and DoD civilians at Ramstein morale and readiness will decline. Airmen who have the extra burden of worrying about the care of their children simply will not operate as effectively as those who know their families are being provided for.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project is not eligible for NATO funding. The NATO infrastructure program does not support child care facilities; however, a precautionary prefinance statement will be submitted in the event the project becomes eligible in the future. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
RAMSTEIN AIR BASE, GERMANY		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	TYFR923006	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUN 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		50%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 MAY 31
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		129
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		129
(d) Contract		79
(e) In-house		50
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX		
THULE AIR BASE, GREENLAND				AIR FORCE SPACE COMMAND				2.48		
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		24	108	3						135
b. End FY 1998		22	1009	3						1,034
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (234,022)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 399,020										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 12,700										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 5,492										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 2,150										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 51,200										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 470,562										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START CMPL		
831-165		WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT		LS		5,492		AUG 92 SEP 93		
				TOTAL:		5,492				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
179-511		FIRE TRAINING FACILITY		LS		2,150				
				TOTAL:		2,150				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
112-211		UPGRADE AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS,		222,000 SY		8,900				
		PHASE III								
219-944		CONSOLIDATED CIVIL ENGINEERING		36,100 SF		5,200				
		SHOPS								
721-312		RENOVATE UNACCOMPANIED		58 PN		4,700				
		ENLISTED HSC								
721-315		ALTER DORMITORY		27,120 SF.		5,800				
721-315		DORMITORY		150 PN		11,000				
10. Mission or Major Functions: A space warning group; a satellite tracking group; and a communications squadron.										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution:								2,150		
b. Water pollution:								1,200		
c. Occupational safety and health:								0		
d. Other Environmental:								0		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
THULE AIR BASE, GREENLAND			WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT		6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)	
3.58.56		831-165	WWCX953004	5,492	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT		SF	14,400	330	4,752
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					130
UTILITIES		LS			(25)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT		LS			(15)
OTHER SUPPORTING FACILITIES		LS			(40)
START-UP TRAINING AND O&M TRAINING		LS			(50)
SUBTOTAL					4,882
CONTINGENCY (5%)					244
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					5,126
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)					333
TOTAL REQUEST					5,459
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					5,492
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 14,400 SF pre-engineered steel building. Provide and install wastewater treatment equipment. Provide up to 180 days of operations and training.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 14,400 LS ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct a wastewater treatment plant. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a Level I environmental compliance requirement. DoD Directive 6050.16 requires overseas installations to comply with US EPA standards. A 100,000 gallon/day treatment facility is required to treat domestic and industrial wastewater for the main base area of Thule AB, Greenland. CURRENT SITUATION: Raw sewage from the main base area enters a network of aboveground sanitary lines. The untreated sewage then discharges into North Star Bay. The two satellite sites to the main base (Det 3 and BMEWS) discharge to the ground and will be addressed under separate O&M contracts. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Raw sewage will continue to discharge directly into North Star Bay, violating DoD directives. ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
THULE AIR BASE, GREENLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT	WVCX953004	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 10
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 10
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		336
(b) All Other Design Costs		224
(c) Total		560
(d) Contract		448
(e) In-house		112
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX		
ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE, GUAM				PACIFIC AIR FORCES				2.24		
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		206	2271	561				21	25	
b. End FY 1998		203	2167	588				21	25	
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (15,971)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 268,861										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 15,690										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 4,100										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 23,696										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 78,450										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 390,797										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START CMPL		
411-137		UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS		4,100		SEP 92 AUG 93		
				TOTAL:		4,100				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
121-111		ADD TO AND ALTER PETROLEUM OPERATIONS FACILITY		4,150 SF		1,700				
130-835		ADD TO AND ALTER SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY		18,430 SF		3,650				
216-642		RENOVATE MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITIES		LS		4,296				
219-944		ENTOMOLOGY SHOP		2,500 SF		1,100				
411-135		UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS		4,150				
724-417		UPGRADE TRANSIENT DORMITORIES		108 PN		8,800				
				TOTAL:		23,696				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
219-944		CIVIL ENGINEERING COMPLEX		LS		9,000				
411-135		JET FUEL STORAGE		LS		4,150				
610-243		MISSION SUPPORT FACILITY		LS		5,000				
800-000		ECIP		LS		2,500				
841-165		INDUSTRIAL WASTE WATER TREATMENT FACILITY		200 KG		1,500				
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters Thirteenth Air Force; an airlift support squadron which provides enroute and staging support to transient and deploying US aircraft; and a combat communications group.										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution:		3,850								
b. Water pollution:		15,800								
c. Occupational safety and health:		0								
d. Other Environmental:		0								

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE, GUAM			UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
2.74.56	411-137	AJJY933105	4,100		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			2,825
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					830
CONTAINMENT DIKES		LS			(60)
CATHODIC PROTECTION/DETECTION DEVICES		LS			(200)
EXCAVATION/BACKFILL		LS			(450)
SOIL TESTING/DISPOSAL		LS			(120)
SUBTOTAL					3,655
CONTINGENCY (5%)					183
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					3,838
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)					249
TOTAL REQUEST					4,087
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					4,100
<p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replaces and upgrades six (6) Underground Storage Tanks (UST). Includes old tank removal, installation of new tanks with release detection, encasement, spill and overfill prevention devices, cathodic protection, soil testing/disposal, site work, utilities and other necessary support.</p> <p>11. REQUIREMENT: As required.</p> <p>PROJECT: Replaces and upgrades six (6) underground fuel storage tanks. (Current Mission)</p> <p>REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade of all USTs regulated by 40 CFR 280 and Guam Code 10 CCA 88 to new construction standards is required. The Federal and Guam Environmental Protection Agencies (EPA & GEPA) have set standards that require all regulated USTs to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention systems by December 1998. If USTs are to be replaced, Air Force Policy is to replace them with aboveground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults wherever possible. However, existing underground petroleum product storage tanks which are in good condition may be upgraded in place to bring them into compliance with applicable UST standards.</p> <p>CURRENT SITUATION: Andersen AFB has 38 USTs requiring replacement and upgrade. The tanks are made of steel, unlined and do not have cathodic protection. Eleven of these tanks will be removed or replaced by 1994. This project replaces the largest and oldest six (6) of the 27 tanks left. A FY95 MILCON project will address the remaining 21 tanks. The tanks in this project are 25-36 years old and do not have leak detection or prevention devices. The EPA's cause-of-release study found that unprotected steel tanks over 15 years of age represent the highest</p>					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE, GUAM		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	AJJY933105	
<p>potential leakage threat. Andersen AFB recently identified underground fuel tanks of the same construction and age which were leaking and had to be closed. This experience makes it probable that all tanks have been affected by the corrosive soil and lack of protection, and are liable to leak at any time. Guam EPA has recently adopted stringent regulations which require all tanks be replaced or upgraded because of the porous coral-based soil and the location of Andersen AFB over the island's main water aquifer.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Failure to take corrective action will result in underground storage tanks which do not meet regulatory requirements. After 22 December 1998, the US Air Force would be open to GEPA Notice of Violations and monetary penalties with possible litigation forcing compliance and remediation. The potential for soil/groundwater contamination would remain and is highly likely based on previous experience at Andersen AFB with tanks of this age.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, repair and replacement construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option that satisfies statutory requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exclusion has been prepared.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE, GUAM		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	AJJY933105	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 25
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 08
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 16
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		247
(b) All Other Design Costs		156
(c) Total		403
(d) Contract		346
(e) In-house		57
(4) Construction Start		93 NOV
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DIEGO GARCIA AIR BASE, INDIAN OCEAN				4. COMMAND PACIFIC AIR FORCES		5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 3.00	
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT		STUDENTS		SUPPORTED	
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		4	19				23
b. End FY 1998		4	50				54
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)							
a. Total Acreage: (0)							
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 0							
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0							
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 2,260							
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 0							
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 0							
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0							
h. Grand Total: 2,260							
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994							
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS	
CODE						START	CMPL
131-132		GPS INSTRUMENTATION FACILITY		3,100 SF	1,700	NOV 92	SEP 93
219-946		SATELLITE TRACKING STORAGE FACILITY		1,000 SF	560	NOV 92	SEP 93
TOTAL:					2,260		
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE							
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:							
10. Mission or Major Functions: A logistics group detachment; Air Force Space Command satellite tracking and space surveillance detachments; and an Air Mobility Command airlift support detachment.							
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:							
a. Air pollution: 0							
b. Water pollution: 0							
c. Occupational safety and health: 0							
d. Other Environmental: 0							

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DIEGO GARCIA AIR BASE, INDIAN OCEAN			4. PROJECT TITLE GPS INSTRUMENTATION FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 3.59.96	6. CATEGORY CODE 131-132	7. PROJECT NUMBER FGDA943001	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 1,700		

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
GPS INSTRUMENTATION FACILITY	SP	3,700	300	1,110
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				430
UTILITIES	LS			(80)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(35)
UPGRADE EMERGENCY GENERATOR	LS			(140)
DEMOLITION	LS			(25)
SECURITY PROVISIONS	LS			(150)
SUBTOTAL				1,540
CONTINGENCY (5%)				77
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,617
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				105
TOTAL REQUEST				1,722
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,700
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(500)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Permanent concrete masonry unit (CMU) structure with structural steel/built-up roof, slab-on-grade foundation, gypsum wall board and suspended ceiling, raised computer flooring, temperature and humidity controlled environment (heating not required) and emergency generator.
Air Conditioning: 20 Tons.

11. REQUIREMENT: 3,720 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 1,408 SF

PROJECT: Construct Global Positioning System (GPS) Instrumentation Facility. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Provide an environmentally controlled facility to house secure electronic equipment (worth over \$2.0M) necessary to provide telemetry, tracking, and command (TT&C) data for satellites in the Global Positioning System (GPS) constellation. The GPS requires three strategically located ground stations for worldwide coverage of its 21 satellite constellation which provides DOD, other government agencies and commercial users with state-of-the-art navigation data. The ground stations are located on Ascension Island, Diego Garcia, and Kwajalein Atoll. Each site provides required coverage for a portion of GPS satellite orbits above its sector of the earth. Hence, each ground station must be fully operational 24 hours per day to provide telemetry, tracking, and control (TT&C) support to each satellite.

CURRENT SITUATION: The GPS ground station at Diego Garcia is comprised of secure communications equipment located within a congested Navy Communications Station, and non-secure transmission equipment located in a small metal trailer adjacent to the ground antenna. The secure equipment facility has inadequate operations and storage space and limited access due to Navy communications security requirements. The facility is located

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
DIEGO GARCIA AIR BASE, INDIAN OCEAN		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
GPS INSTRUMENTATION FACILITY		FGDA943001
<p>approximately one mile from the main operations area. Technicians must travel between these two locations when troubleshooting or initializing equipment. The non-secure equipment trailer is severely deteriorated due to the extremely corrosive marine environment and now leaks when it rains. There is inadequate space to conduct routine maintenance on equipment (technicians must open the main door and stand outside the trailer to access some racks). The only latrine facility on site is a chemical toilet and the technicians use a shipping container for office space. Storage space is so limited that many of the technical supplies are housed in the ground antenna radome.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Valuable electronic equipment used to control GPS vehicles and transmit mission data will continue to be housed in inadequate facilities which hamper the ability to perform the mission. Depending on the timing of the failure and the health status of satellites operated by the particular site, this could result in the loss of crucial TT&C/navigation data, or the possibility of a multi-million dollar satellite receiving inadequate instructions. Improper management of satellites can result in errors in the data received by users on the ground worldwide.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
DIEGO GARCIA AIR BASE, INDIAN OCEAN		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
GPS INSTRUMENTATION FACILITY	PCDA943001	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 NOV 09
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		100
(b) All Other Design Costs		50
(c) Total		150
(d) Contract		125
(e) In-house		25
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:		
EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED
UPS	3080	1994
		COST (\$000)
		500

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX		
THUMRAIT AIR BASE, OMAN				AIR COMBAT COMMAND				1.58		
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92										
b. End FY 1998										
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (0)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 0										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 25,800										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 1,800										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 0										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 0										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 27,600										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE			SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS	
CODE									START	CMPL
442-628	WAR READINESS MATERIEL COVERED	60,000 SF			1,800		MAY 92		JUL 93	
STORAGE FACILITY										
TOTAL:							1,800			
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE										
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
10. Mission or Major Functions: A contingency operating location with pre-positioned wartime assets.										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution: 0										
b. Water pollution: 0										
c. Occupational safety and health: 0										
d. Other Environmental: 0										

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
AIR FORCE				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE		
THUMRAIT AIR BASE, OMAN		WAR READINESS MATERIEL COVERED STORAGE FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)	
2.80.31	442-628	HTAC943044	1,800	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
WAR READINESS MATERIEL COVERED STORAGE FACILITY	SF	60,000	20	1,200
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				410
UTILITIES	LS			(60)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(250)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(100)
SUBTOTAL				1,610
CONTINGENCY (5%)				81
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,691
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				110
TOTAL REQUEST				1,801
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,800
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct pre-engineered metal storage sheds with required supporting facilities, including asphalt and concrete pavements, fencing, and utility systems.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 60,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0				
PROJECT: Construct a war readiness materiel (WRM) covered storage shed and enclosure. (New Mission)				
REQUIREMENT: US Central Command requires prepositioning of WRM assets in the command's area of responsibility. Covered storage shed facilities are required for prepositioning and long-term storage of material and equipment which will deteriorate under continued direct exposure to sun and rain. These assets must be ready for use by US Central Command forces.				
CURRENT SITUATION: Other facilities in host country are unavailable for WRM storage requirements. Assets moved into the region during Operation DESERT SHIELD/DESERT STORM must either be stored in country or returned to the CONUS. CONUS storage costs and roundtrip transportation will exceed storage costs in host country.				
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate facilities will not be available for storage of assets required to support US Central Command contingency planning in the Persian Gulf area. Without adequate storage facilities, increased transportation demands will greatly impede US capability to successfully execute contingency plans and protect national interests.				
ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
THUMRAIT AIR BASE, OMAN		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
WAR READINESS MATERIEL COVERED STORAGE FACILITY	HTAC943044	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 MAY 18
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 AUG 03
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 21
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		84
(b) All Other Design Costs		27
(c) Total		111
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		111
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST			
INCIRLIK AIR BASE, TURKEY				UNITED STATES AIR FORCES IN EUROPE				COST INDEX 0.95			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		95	1891	124	107	494		3	30	4	2,748
b. End FY 1998		207	1983	130	107	494		3	30	4	2,958
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (3,471)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 204,179											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 1,100											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 2,400											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 0											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 1,250											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 208,929											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS			
CODE								START	CMPL		
721-312	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES			280 PN		2,400		DEC 92	NOV 93		
TOTAL:						2,400					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE											
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
121-111 PETROLEUM OPERATIONS COMPLEX LS 1,250											
10. Mission or Major Functions: Responsible for revional logistics in Turkey; a tactical group providing command and control for deployed fighter units; and an Air Mobility Command airlift support squadron. Also, home of a composite wing (provisional) with various types of aircraft.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution: 0											
b. Water pollution: 1,200											
c. Occupational safety and health: 0											
d. Other Environmental: 0											

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION INCIRLIK AIR BASE, TURKEY			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 2.75.96U	6. CATEGORY CODE 721-312	7. PROJECT NUMBER LJYC923002	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (280 PN)	SF	56,500		1,837	
ADDITION	SF	6,000	20	(120)	
ALTERATION	SF	50,500	34	(1,717)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				195	
UTILITIES	LS			(145)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(15)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(35)	
SUBTOTAL				2,032	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				203	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,235	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				145	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,380	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,400	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter existing facilities to provide room-bath-room configuration and construct balconies to provide exterior entrances. Project includes insulation, sound attenuation, energy conservation features, fire protection, utilities and necessary support.					
Air Conditioning: 160 Tons. Grade Mix: 280 E1-E4.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,797 PN ADEQUATE: 1,144 PN SUBSTANDARD: 617 PN PROJECT: Add to and alter two dormitories. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective is to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing that will be conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters which provide some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs that our enlisted people must perform. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing facilities are 30-35 years old. They have central latrines, inadequate lighting, poor insulation and sound attenuation, and obsolete electrical and mechanical systems. Currently, these facilities are being used as transient dormitories in conjunction with Operation Provide Comfort (OPC). The high traffic caused by OPC has accelerated the wear on already worn facilities. The current average occupancy rate for dormitories is 96 percent with 206 enlisted personnel struggling to get by off base. There is no Overseas Housing Allowance (OHA) for Turkey; therefore, affordable off-base quarters for enlisted personnel do not have adequate communications and security or reliable power and water service, and usually are in undesirable locations. Permanent party personnel occupying the dormitories before project start-up will be given basic allowance for quarters (BAQ) during the					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
INCIRLIK AIR BASE, TURKEY		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES	LJYC923002	
<p>alteration period.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> 280 enlisted troops will continue to stay in dormitories which degrade their morale, productivity, and career satisfaction.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project is not eligible for NATO funding. This type of facility is not within an established NATO infrastructure category for common funding and will most likely continue to be a user responsibility; however, a precautionary prefinance statement will be submitted in the event the project becomes eligible in the future. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, direct compensation, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, revitalization was found to be the most cost-effective over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
INCIRLIK AIR BASE, TURKEY		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES	LJYC923002	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 DEC 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 JAN 61
(d) Date Design Complete		93 NOV 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		228
(b) All Other Design Costs		198
(c) Total		426
(d) Contract		247
(e) In-house		179
(4) Construction Start		
		94 APR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST			
ROYAL AIR FORCE MILDENHALL, UNITED KINGDOM				UNITED STATES AIR FORCES IN EUROPE				COST INDEX 1.40			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		303	2710	178	158	284	10	16	25	6	3,690
b. End FY 1998		292	2679	173	158	284	10	16	25	6	3,643
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (1,144)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 98,189											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 4,800											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 14,100											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 0											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 117,089											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS			
CODE								START	CMPL		
211-152	NAVAL AIR FACILITY			28,000 SF		4,800		MAR 93	JAN 94		
				TOTAL:		4,800					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)											
141-753	DUAL SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY			24,000 SF		5,500					
217-712	AVIONICS MAINTENANCE SHOP			6,000 SF		1,100					
610-142	TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT FACILITY			11,000 SF		1,900					
721-312	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORY			25,308 SF		3,000					
721-312	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORY			21,784 SF		2,600					
				TOTAL:		14,100					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters Third Air Force; an air refueling wing with one KC-135 squadron; a communications wing; and an Air Mobility Command airlift group.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSH) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution: 0											
b. Water pollution: 0											
c. Occupational safety and health: 0											
d. Other Environmental: 0											

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ROYAL AIR FORCE MILDENHALL, UNITED KINGDOM		4. PROJECT TITLE NAVAL AIR FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 2.75.96U	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-152	7. PROJECT NUMBER QFOE943006	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 4,800	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
NAVAL AIR FACILITY	SF	28,000	125	3,500
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,020
UTILITIES	LS			(455)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(210)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(100)
FIRE PROTECTION MAINS	LS			(70)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(70)
SECONDARY CONSTRUCTION (BLDG 538)	SF	57,000	2	(115)
SUBTOTAL				4,520
CONTINGENCY (5%)				226
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,746
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (2.5%)				119
TOTAL REQUEST				4,865
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,800
10. Description of Proposed Construction: A hangar including necessary administrative, storage, and work space. Work also includes minor modifications to a current hangar (Bldg 538) for relocation purposes. Provide required electrical, mechanical, and communications support. Provide required security and fire protection.				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Construct a hangar for general purpose aircraft maintenance. REQUIREMENT: See classified DD Form 1391.				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ROYAL AIR FORCE MILDENHALL, UNITED KINGDOM		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
NAVAL AIR FACILITY	QFQE943006	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 MAR 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		%
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 AUG 15
(d) Date Design Complete		94 JAN 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		265
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		265
(d) Contract		265
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 19 <u>94</u> MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM						2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS				4. COMMAND			5. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX			
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH: a. AS OF b. END FY 19		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		TOTAL
		OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	OFFICER	ENLISTED	
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. TOTAL ACREAGE										
b. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF										
c. AUTHORIZATION NOT YET IN INVENTORY										
d. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM										
e. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM										
f. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE PROGRAM YEARS										
g. REMAINING DEFICIENCY										
h. GRAND TOTAL										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM.										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START		COMPLETE
010-211		Planning & Design		LS		63,180				
.										

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS			PLANNING & DESIGN		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
9.12.11D	010-211	PAYZ944016	63,180		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PLANNING AND DESIGN		LS			63,180
SUBTOTAL					63,180
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					63,180
TOTAL REQUEST					63,180
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					63,180
10. Description of Proposed Construction: The funds requested will be used to provide financing for architectural and engineering services and construction design for Air Force Military Construction Programs.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
<p><u>REQUIREMENT:</u> These planning and design funds are required to complete the design of facilities in the FY 95 Military Construction Program, initiate design of facilities in the FY 96 Military Construction Program and accomplish planning and design for major and complex technical projects with a long lead-time to be included in subsequent Military Construction Programs. Also provides funds for value engineering and for the support of construction management activities of projects that are funded by foreign governments and for design of classified and special programs.</p>					

1 COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 19 <u>94</u> MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2 DATE																																							
3 INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS	4. COMMAND	5. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX																																							
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH.	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <tr> <th colspan="3">PERMANENT</th> <th colspan="3">STUDENTS</th> <th colspan="3">SUPPORTED</th> <th rowspan="2">TOTAL</th> </tr> <tr> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> <th>CIVILIAN</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> <th>CIVILIAN</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> <th>CIVILIAN</th> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: left;">a. AS OF</td> <td colspan="7"></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: left;">b. END FY 19</td> <td colspan="7"></td> </tr> </table>		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	a. AS OF										b. END FY 19									
PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL																																
OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN																																	
a. AS OF																																									
b. END FY 19																																									
7 INVENTORY DATA (\$000)																																									
a. TOTAL ACREAGE b. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF c. AUTHORIZATION NOT YET IN INVENTORY d. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM e. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM f. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE PROGRAM YEARS g. REMAINING DEFICIENCY h. GRAND TOTAL																																									
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM. <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">CATEGORY</th> <th style="text-align: left;">PROJECT TITLE</th> <th style="text-align: left;">SCOPE</th> <th style="text-align: left;">COST (\$000)</th> <th style="text-align: left;">DESIGN STATUS</th> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">CODE</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: left;">START COMPLETE</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">010-211</td> <td style="text-align: left;">Minor Construction (Unspecified)</td> <td style="text-align: left;">LS</td> <td style="text-align: left;">6,844</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS	CODE				START COMPLETE	010-211	Minor Construction (Unspecified)	LS	6,844																									
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS																																					
CODE				START COMPLETE																																					
010-211	Minor Construction (Unspecified)	LS	6,844																																						

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS			UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
9.12.11M	010-211	PAYZ924015B	6,844		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION		LS			6,844
SUBTOTAL					6,844
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					6,844
TOTAL REQUEST					6,844
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					6,844
<p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Provide a lump sum amount for unspecified construction projects, not otherwise authorized by law, having a funded cost between \$300,000 and \$1,500,000, including construction, alteration or conversion of permanent or temporary facilities, in accordance with 10 USC 2805.</p> <p>11. REQUIREMENT: As required.</p> <p><u>REQUIREMENT:</u> This package provides the means of accomplishing urgent projects that are not identified but which are anticipated to arise during FY 94. Included would be projects to support new mission requirements, support of new equipment and concepts and other essential support to Air Force missions and functions that could not wait until availability of FY 95 Military Construction Program funds. 10 USC 2805 provides authority to the Secretaries of the military departments to accomplish projects of this nature.</p>					

DEFENSE ACCESS ROADS

1 COMPONENT AIR FORCE		2 DATE FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
3 INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS		4 PROJECT TITLE ACCESS ROADS	
5 PROGRAM ELEMENT 1.11.27	6 CATEGORY CODE 851-147	7 PROJECT NUMBER	8 PROJECT COST (\$000) 7,150
9 COST ESTIMATES			
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST COST (\$000)
ACCESS ROADS	LS		7,150
10 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION Finance construction of: (1) new off-station entrances for Air Force activities or new connections between Air Force activities; (2) urgently needed improvements of existing highways serving activities; (3) Federal Government's share of cost of relocating highways served by expansion or construction of new Air Force facilities; (4) alterations to roads near Air Force activities to accommodate special military vehicles; and (5) contractor damage to roads servicing missile bases. Funds provided will be transferred to the Federal Highway Administration of the Department of Transportation which is responsible for assuring proper execution of the work under Title 23 U.S. Code 210.			
11. REQUIREMENT: As Required. These funds are required to provide access roads under authorization contained in Title 23 U.S. Code 210, as amended. Access road items are required for construction, improvements, replacement or relocation of public highways necessitated by construction of new or expansion of existing Air Force activities which result in a sudden and significant impact on the adjacent highway system. Such items are also vital for relocation of highways to satisfy airway-highway or explosive-clearance criteria. Highways located within the boundaries of a military reservation are not eligible for financing from these funds. Projects in the regular Federal Aid Primary Systems are not normally considered eligible for financing with these funds (exceptions may occur for bases such as special vehicles, weapons safety, or other extraordinary impact generated by Air Force requirements.			

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI			B-2 DEFENSE ACCESS ROADS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
1.11.27	B51-147	YWHG939302	7,150		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
B-2 DEFENSE ACCESS ROADS	LS			5,395	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,010	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(555)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(455)	
SUBTOTAL				6,405	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				320	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				6,725	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				404	
TOTAL REQUEST				7,129	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				7,150	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Demolish existing pavements, reconstruct a wider road with adequate subbase and asphalt pavement. Includes concrete curbs, storm drains, street and traffic lighting. Relocate buried utilities and stripe roads.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Construct defense access roads. (New Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: Provide required safe access to the base gates on the west side of the base from State Highway 23 and US Highway 50, via a diamond interchange and connector to State route 132. This is the last phase of a multi-phase effort.					
CURRENT SITUATION: FY 91 B-2 MILCON funds constructed bridges, installed roadway subbase in areas of Highway 50/23/Route 132 connector and upgraded subbase and pavement on existing route 132. However, these public access roads to the base are still inadequate for any increased traffic volume. Although the preceeding work improved the condition of the existing roads to Whiteman AFB, it did not increase the volume of traffic they could safely accommodate. After a defense access road "needs" report submittal to the Military Traffic Management Command (MTMC, US Army) for review, validation and coordination with federal, state, and local transportation agencies, MTMC determined that widening Route 132 from the base to Route 50, constructing an interchange at the intersection of Routes 132, 23 and 50, and realigning State Route 23 are all needed to handle traffic volume. All are eligible for federal funding.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Public access roads, US Highway 50, State Highway 23 and State Route 132 leading to and from the base, will remain inadequate to handle traffic volume. Without these improvements, significant traffic hazards will go unabated. Excess volume on the					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
B-2 DEFENSE ACCESS ROADS	YWHG939302	
<p>existing roads will make driving unsafe as will traffic entering arterial Highway 50 from a perpendicular secondary state road.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. Consequently, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exclusion has been prepared. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide," nor in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements."</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
B-2 DEFENSE ACCESS ROADS	YWHG939302	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 MAY 06
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		65%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 20
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		300
(b) All Other Design Costs		100
(c) Total		400
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		400
(4) Construction Start		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
AIR FORCE				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE		
VARIOUS		PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
10. Description of Porposed Construction: Following are individual justification paragraphs for all projects \$1 million and under.				
<p>VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES</p> <p>VARIOUS LOCATIONS - OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES</p>				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>ALABAMA</u>		
GUNTER ANNEX ANX (AUN) JUBJ943087 442-257	HAZARDOUS WASTE ACCUMULATION FACILITY	310
<p>Construct a hazardous waste accumulation facility. (Current Mission) A facility is required with proper environmental controls to accumulate hazardous materials. These materials include, but are not limited to: hazardous paints, thinners, acids, solvents, flammables, gas cylinders, and various grease and oils. The facility must have fire protection and spill preventibn systems. There is no existing hazardous waste accumulation facility on Gunter that meets the life safety or environmental requirements. Currently wastes are staged at the satellite point, in violation of current regulations. The base will continue to function in violation of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) and will be subject to Notice of Violations and fines.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, GUNTER ANNEX, ALABAMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
HAZARDOUS WASTE ACCUMULATION FACILITY		JUBJ943087
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 OCT 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 01
(d) Date Design Complete		93 MAY 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		18
(b) All Other Design Costs		34
(c) Total		52
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		52
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>ALABAMA</u>		
GUNTER ANNEX ANX (AUN) JUBJ943091 831-000	SPILL CONTAINMENT CONTROLS	470
<p>Provide structures to contain spills and prevent the discharge of pollutants into the waters of the United States. (Current Mission) This is a Level I environmental compliance project. The Clean Water Act requires measures to prevent the discharge of pollutants into the waters of the United States. Oil water separators are required on vehicle and equipment washing facilities. Rainfall runoff cannot enter the sanitary sewer through base facilities. The base cannot ensure containment of a spill within its boundaries. Clean up would take place in a tributary of the Alabama River or in a public park. The base is in violation of the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit. Vehicle and equipment washing facilities and building floor drains discharge directly to storm water drainage system without oil water separators. Gunter will continue to be in violation of the Clean Water Act and the NPDES permit. A spill into the sanitary sewer would contaminate a publicly owned treatment facility.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, GUNTER ANNEX, ALABAMA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
SPILL CONTAINMENT CONTROLS		JUBJ943091	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a) Date Design Started		92 OCT 01	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 01	
(d) Date Design Complete		93 MAY 01	
(2) Basis:			
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):			(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		28	
(b) All Other Design Costs		51	
(c) Total		79	
(d) Contract			
(e) In-house		79	
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A			

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>ALABAMA</u>		
MAXWELL AFB (AUN) PNQS943090 831-000	SPILL CONTAINMENT CONTROLS	970
<p>Provide structures to contain spills and prevent the discharge of pollutants into the waters of the United States. (Current Mission) The Clean Water Act requires measures to prevent the discharge of pollutants into the waters of the United States. Oil/water separators are required on vehicle and equipment washing facilities. Rainfall runoff must be prevented from entering the sanitary sewer through base facilities. The base cannot ensure containment of a spill within its boundaries, posing a serious contamination risk for the Alabama River and a tributary next to a major public road. The base is currently in violation of the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit. Vehicle and equipment washing facilities and building floor drains discharge directly into the storm water drainage system without oil/water separators. Maxwell will continue to be in violation of the Clean Water Act and the NPDES permit. A spill into the sanitary sewer will contaminate a publicly owned treatment plant. The base spilled 4,500 gallons of heating fuel into the sanitary sewer in 1989 and was issued NOV's which required the pumping, transporting, storing and disposing of 70,000 gallons of contaminated sanitary sewage.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
SPILL CONTAINMENT CONTROLS	PNQS943090	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 OCT 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 01
(d) Date Design Complete		93 MAY 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		58
(b) All Other Design Costs		72
(c) Total		130
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		130
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>ARIZONA</u>		
DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB (ACC) FBNV943007 411-135	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	650
<p>Remove/replace underground storage tanks (USTs). (Current Mission) This is a level II environmental compliance project. Adequate fuel storage, properly designed and located, is required to satisfy base mission requirements. All petroleum dispensing and operating facilities must be provided with a means for detecting and preventing release of pollutants into the surrounding environment. All USTs must be upgraded in accordance with federal law (40 CFR 280.21) by December 1998. This includes leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention systems to protect human health and the environment. Underground storage tanks at Davis-Monthan AFB do not meet federal requirements for corrosion protection, secondary containment, and overfill/spill protection. The condition of these tanks varies with a majority of the tanks at or exceeding their design life. These deficiencies must be corrected to prevent violation of federal UST regulations. If underground storage tanks require replacement, Air Force policy is to replace them with aboveground tanks or relocate them into underground vaults, whenever possible. Undetected UST releases can result in contamination of soil and ground water supplies, resulting in a threat to human health and the environment along with extremely costly cleanup measures. These improvements to USTs are mandated by federal law. If not accomplished by the established deadline, the base will be in violation of the law and subject to receiving Notices of Violation, fines and significant adverse publicity. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	FBNV943007	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 NOV 02
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		30
(b) All Other Design Costs		10
(c) Total		40
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		40
(4) Construction Start		94 FEB
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>ARIZONA</u>		
LUKE AFB (ACC) NUEX943004 179-511	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	800
<p>Construct a fire training facility. (Current Mission) This is a Level I environmental compliance project. A live fire training facility meeting all environmental and safety regulations. Live fire training exercises, an FAA quarterly requirement, enable fire fighters to maintain a high level of proficiency in extinguishing two types of live fires: mass fuel spills and three dimensional (running fuel) fires. These exercises, performed on mock-ups typical of the mission assigned aircraft, have historically created compliance problems with the Clean Water Act. An impermeable lining below the pit area is required to prevent groundwater from becoming contaminated by residual unburned fuel. The existing facility does not have high-density polyethylene flexible liners and nets, a leak detection system, or spill containment capability. Continued use of the existing facility and site is prohibited due to non-compliance with State and Federal environmental regulations. Fire training at the current site was discontinued in September 1991. Required live fire training exercises cannot be conducted. The current site is an Installation Restoration Program site on the National Priority List. The existing facility was built in 1973 and includes an aircraft mock-up, a smoke house, a drafting pit, fire hydrant, and a fuel storage tank. The mock-up is located in a shallow, gravel-covered earthen pit without a protective liner which provides no protection to the surrounding soil and ground water. The existing fire training facility will remain out of compliance with State and Federal environmental laws. Firefighter proficiency will not be maintained. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An Economic Analysis is not required for this project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LUKE AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	NUEX943004	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 22
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 JUL 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		44
(b) All Other Design Costs		62
(c) Total		106
(d) Contract		44
(e) In-house		62
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>COLORADO</u>		
USAF ACADEMY (APA) XQPZ940031 411-135	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	780
<p>Remove and replace nine underground storage tanks. (Current Mission) This is a Level II environmental compliance project to upgrade by replacement underground storage tanks (other than those exempted and deferred by the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Part 280) to new construction standards by December 1998. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require all underground tanks to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/ overflow prevention systems. Air Force policy is to replace underground tanks with aboveground tanks where operational constraints do not dictate otherwise. This project will bring the Air Force Academy into compliance with established Federal and state regulations governing underground storage tanks. The majority of the USTs at the Air Force Academy are approaching the end of their design lives and are in need of replacement. All nine tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. Many of the single-walled tanks are located in extremely permeable soil with ground water within 10 feet of the tank, and any leak would have serious contamination potential. The existing tanks require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring, and monthly inventory reconciliation and control because they lack the proper continuous monitoring equipment. If these tanks are not replaced, the risk of environmental damage is increased. Failure to bring the USTs into environmental compliance will result in the Air Force Academy receiving a Notice of Violation (NOV) from the regulators. This will ultimately result in fines and unfavorable publicity for the Air Force. All tanks must meet regulations or be permanently closed. There are no criteria for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." However, this project does meet the criteria specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements."</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION UNITED STATES AIR FORCE ACADEMY, COLORADO		
4. PROJECT TITLE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		5. PROJECT NUMBER XQPZ940031
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 APR 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 MAY 11
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		47
(b) All Other Design Costs		59
(c) Total		106
(d) Contract		70
(e) In-house		36
(4) Construction Start		
		94 APR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>DELAWARE</u>		
DOVER AFB (AMC) FJXT943002 211-159	INSTALL EMISSION CONTROL DEVICES	860
<p>Install emission control devices. (Current Mission) This is a Level II environmental compliance project. Project is required to attain and maintain compliance with federal law and applicable regulations limiting the exhaust of volatile organic compounds (VOC). This legislation requires that Dover AFB use Maximum Available Control Technology (MACT) to reduce emissions of hazardous air pollutants by more than 90%. Dover currently produces 321 tons of VOC per year and must reduce these emissions to 32.1 tons per year. This project will enable Dover AFB to reduce VOC emissions at fuel transfer/storage locations, coating and cleaning operations and incinerator facilities. The base has contracted a firm to study air emissions on Dover. It evaluated liquid fuels and de-icer storage and transfer, stationary fuel burning sources, 40 industrial shops, three incinerators and mobile sources to include aircraft and vehicles. The study has determined that Dover AFB is a major stationary source of air emissions per federal Prevention of Serious Deterioration (PSD) Regulations, contained in 40 CFR 52.21. The study recommends that no major modifications be made to base boilers or liquid fuel operations without a PSD permit or reduction of emissions or both. The State of Delaware has been included within an interstate ozone implementation of Reasonable Available Control Technology (RACT) to reduce VOC emissions. Dover AFB does not meet the statutory requirements of the Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) of 1990. When the regulations are enacted in 1992, the base will be out of compliance. Non-compliance with the VOC/AIR emission statutes would subject Dover AFB to the potential for notices of violation, compliance orders and fines. Regulators could shut-down the liquid fuel, deicing or industrial shops which would shut-down flying operations. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, Standard Facility Requirements". Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
INSTALL EMISSION CONTROL DEVICES	FJXT943002	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 OCT 12	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	22	
(c) Date 35% Designed	93 MAR 15	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 JUL 14	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	50	
(b) All Other Design Costs	63	
(c) Total	113	
(d) Contract	75	
(e) In-house	38	
(4) Construction Start		
	93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER			
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>		<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>FLORIDA</u>			
CAPE CANAVERAL AFS (SPC)		UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	400
DBEH933103			
411-135			
<p>Remove, replace, or upgrade fuel storage tanks. (Current Mission). This is a Level II environmental compliance requirement. Tanks must be in compliance with DoD, Federal and State environmental regulations. These include the Air Force UST Management Strategy, Federal Regulation 40 CFR 280 and Florida Department of Environmental Regulations (FDER) 17.761 and 17.762. Seven regulated USTs require secondary containment or leak detection for piping systems and overflow protection/dispenser lines by Dec 1998 per Florida (FDER) 17.61.510 (6) Table 1, installed after 1984: R,P, & L required. Two USTs will be removed and five upgraded. Twelve regulated AST systems require overfill protection by Dec 1999 in accordance with Florida (FDER) 17.72.520(1)(a). Seven UST and eleven AST systems are regulated and are subject to 1998 and 1999 deadlines, respectively. Tanks will age and further deteriorate. There will be a continued risk of leaks and spills which would be harmful to CCAFS natural resources and personnel. The Installation will be out of compliance with Federal and State regulations and subject to fines. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>			

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
CAPE CANAVERAL AIR FORCE STATION, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	DBEH933103	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Project to be accomplished by one step turn key procedures		
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Design Allowance	24	
(4) Construction Start	93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>FLORIDA</u>		
CAPE CANAVERAL AFS (SPC) DBEH943007 811-147	SLFI - BACKUP POWER	800
<p>Provide backup power and SSUPS to the Central Telemetry Facility and Delta Integration & Checkout Facility. (Current Mission) This is a Space Launch Facilities Infrastructure (SLFI) requirement. The Medium Launch Vehicle (Delta) Integration & Checkout Facility at Cape Canaveral needs backup generator and SSUPS capability in order to avoid delays in testing of Delta launch vehicle equipment due to power outage or voltage fluctuations. The Central Telemetry Facility (located across the Banana River from Cape Canaveral) requires backup generator and SSUPS capability to avoid delays in launches. The SSUPS will be procured with equipment funds. The facilities currently have no backup power. When power outages or voltage fluctuations occur at the Medium Launch Vehicle Checkout Facility, critical testing of Delta launch vehicle equipment is aborted and must be rescheduled. Without the Central Telemetry Facility in full operation, launch schedules must be suspended. Power outages/fluctuations equate to delayed launch schedules. With the increasing frequency of launches, delays can generally affect future launch timetables, not just the current one. Missions impacted include Defense Satellite Communications System (DSCS), Global Positioning System (GPS), Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP), Shuttle, and classified launches. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or AFM 86-2, "Standard Facilities Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
CAPE CANAVERAL AIR FORCE STATION, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
SLFI - BACKUP POWER	DBEH943007	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 12
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 14
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		48
(b) All Other Design Costs		33
(c) Total		81
(d) Contract		65
(e) In-house		16
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:		
EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED
		COST (\$000)
SOLID STATE UPS	3080	1994
		170

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		5. PROJECT NUMBER
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u> <u>GEORGIA</u> ROBINS AFB (MTC) UHHZ923007 218-712		<u>PROJECT TITLE</u> AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PAINT FACILITY
		<u>COST (\$000)</u> 970
<p>Construct an aircraft support equipment paint facility. (Current Mission) This is a Level II environmental compliance project. A fully enclosed, environmentally controlled high-bay facility is required for cleaning and painting of tail stands, wing platforms, and other aircraft support equipment used in performing depot maintenance of large military aircraft. This project is needed to sustain compliance with air pollution limits pursuant to Georgia Permit 9711-076-10153 as regulated under Georgia Air Quality Regulation 391-3-1-.03, and to meet emission standards defined by Georgia Air Quality Regulation 391-3-1-.02(2)(a) pertaining to protection of personnel and the environment. Clean Air Act amendments of 1990 require that these standards be enforced by 1995. Functional aircraft support equipment is essential in depot maintenance operations. All equipment needs to be stripped of paint, repaired as necessary and repainted periodically to extend service life of the equipment. One fifth of the inventory is painted annually. Some tail stands require at least 60 feet vertical clearance. Robins AFB does not have a facility for stripping and painting of large aircraft support equipment. As such, stripping (sand blasting) and painting operations are performed outdoors but only on dry days when winds are calm. Even under these conditions, grit and dirt can contaminate painted articles, paint overspray can damage vehicles and equipment, and personal health hazard may occur. Also, emissions must be controlled to meet state air emission standards. Currently, weather conditions dictate when corrosion control activities can be performed, resulting in delays and inefficiencies. As there are no installed control devices for reducing air emissions generated by this operation and the total base emissions exceed 80 percent of the allowable level, continued outdoor stripping and painting will place the base in violation of air quality standards and permit conditions by 1995. Robins AFB will be in jeopardy of exceeding the 100 Ton/year limitation on basewide VOC emissions, resulting in fines or a court order to cease outdoor painting. Additionally, manpower will continue to be wasted when high winds dictate cessation of paint spraying and stripping operations, and the Air Force could be subjected to claims for damage of private property. Suspension of equipment painting would increase corrosion and render equipment unsafe, impacting mission capabilities within one year. The requirement for this project was validated by the Joint Service Depot Maintenance Industrial Military Construction Review Panel in May 1990. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". The project scope was developed based upon the size and quantity of equipment to be painted.		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PAINT FACILITY	UHHZ923007	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 20
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		ROBINS
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		23
(b) All Other Design Costs		70
(c) Total		93
(d) Contract		56
(e) In-house		37
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>KANSAS</u>		
MCCONNELL AFB (CSV) PRQE925001 149-962	CONTROL TOWER CAB	900
<p>Construct new 540 square foot air traffic control tower cab. (Current Mission) A new air traffic control tower cab to accommodate up to 11 air traffic control personnel, air traffic control communication equipment, crew briefings, operations and training functions. The McConnell control tower was constructed in 1969. The cab was built to accommodate three controllers and the standard complement of 1960s vintage equipment. The base mission and characteristics of the aircraft have changed significantly since then. The number of aircraft operations have increased, and McConnell now leads ACC bases in air traffic control operations with over 110,000 take-offs and landings annually. McConnell is home base for one B1-B squadron, one KC-135R squadron, one Air National Guard combat-ready F-16 fighter squadron, and two Air National Guard F-16 training squadrons. As a result, more air controllers and equipment are needed than in 1969 to cover the expanded airfield operations. Overcrowded cab conditions limit air traffic controller mobility and impact controller communications with pilots. These conditions, coupled with the additional effort required to safely control inexperienced F-16 fighter training pilots, create conditions that could jeopardize pilot safety and cause aircraft loss. The project is part of the Air Force Control Tower Upgrade Program managed by Air Force Communications Command (AFCC). There are no criteria for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide," or in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements."</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MCCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE, KANSAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
CONTROL TOWER CAB	PROE925001	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 SEP 17	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	50%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 OCT 14	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 APR 04	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	54	
(b) All Other Design Costs	20	
(c) Total	74	
(d) Contract	49	
(e) In-house	25	
(4) Construction Start	94 JAN	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>KANSAS</u>		
MCCONNELL AFB (ACC) PRQE910077 921-167	LAND RESTRICTIVE EASEMENT ACQUISITION	1000
<p>Land restrictive easement acquisition. (Current Mission) To establish a permanent interest in a northern clear zone which will enable the Air Force to control construction which could affect navigable air space, to limit land uses to those compatible with the noise environment associated with military flying installations and to reduce public exposure to hazards associated with flying missions. Obtain from the land owner an environmental baseline report acceptable to the Air Force and detailing the extent and type of any contamination on the land to be acquired under this easement. The land immediately adjacent to the northern boundary of McConnell AFB is owned by Cessna Aircraft Company, a subsidiary of General Dynamics, who may legally develop the property in accordance with recently adopted city/county Airport Overlay District (AOD) guidelines. Such development could be in conflict with base operations. The eastern two thirds of the northern clear zone is undeveloped land used primarily for agriculture and is included in the proposed easement. The remaining one third of the clear zone is developed property containing Cessna manufacturing facilities. The cost to obtain an easement on this tract is prohibitive. While AOD generally follows Air Force Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone (AICUZ) land use recommendations, some differences do exist. AICUZ allows only non-livestock agriculture in the clear zone, while AOD guidelines allow parking lots, storage areas/yards, aircraft runways and taxiways, cemeteries and all agriculture. Cessna could also pursue litigation to legally change zoning regulations for specific uses which could be incompatible with McConnell's flying mission. The cost to fee purchase this tract is equal to or slightly more than the cost to obtain this restrictive perpetual easement. Fee ownership is not being pursued due to an existing contamination problem on this tract and liability issues for the Air Force under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA). The Air Force is not responsible for generating any of this contamination. The restrictive easement will be written so that any environmental liabilities will be borne by Cessna through indemnity and lease provisions in the easement. Cessna is to retain all responsibility for remediating the site. Incompatible development in the runway clear zone could impact flying operations at this installation and could jeopardize the safety of people and property.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MCCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE, KANSAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
LAND RESTRICTIVE EASEMENT ACQUISITION	PRQE910077	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 29
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 10
(d) Date Design Complete		93 MAY 10
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		10
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		10
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		10
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>LOUISIANA</u>		
BARKSDALE AFB (ACC) AWUB935001 872-247	WEAPONS STORAGE AREA SECURITY	960
<p>Weapons storage area security improvements. (Current Mission) Adequate Weapons Storage Area (WSA) security to deter or delay intrusion and meet Department of Defense (DOD) protection criteria as identified in DOD Manual 5210.41. Department of Defense guidelines for security of Weapons Storage Areas require specific fence heights, and anchoring and stabilizing systems to ensure the integrity of security devices. A "double fence" system is required which includes an outer six-foot-high animal control fence to reduce false alarms from security sensors, and an inner 7-foot-high (plus outrigger) security fence on which sensors are mounted. Inner fences must be capable of supporting installation of second generation Fence Protection System sensors scheduled for installation in the future. All WSAs also require interior and exterior all weather access to permit a five-minute response time for any security alarm or incident. This project corrects a nuclear surety deficiency. The existing WSA fence does not meet DOD standards for fence height and does not meet security requirements. For example, fence braces are on the outside of the secure zone which makes the fence easy to scale. In addition, the fence is old and will not support installation of new second generation Fence Protection System sensors scheduled to be installed in June 1994 at a cost of \$2.3M. Current patrol roads are in poor condition and do not permit all weather response in accordance with DOD timing criteria. Inclement weather vehicle patrols are impeded by adverse terrain and swampy conditions in many areas adjacent to the WSA. This is the largest WSA in the Command. It is too large to effectively cover by foot patrol. This project will also remove an existing old and unneeded fence which is located between the required interior/exterior fences. This project will eliminate four DOD nuclear security/surety waivers and variances. Security for critical and sensitive munitions assets will not meet DOD requirements. Waivers will be required to continue use of the WSA. If waivers cannot be obtained, the base mission cannot be executed. Installation of second generation security sensors will be delayed until an adequate interior fence is provided. Security for munitions will be jeopardized, with possible major consequences and adverse publicity should the area be penetrated by unauthorized personnel. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
WEAPONS STORAGE AREA SECURITY	AWUB935001	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 12
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 01
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		57
(b) All Other Design Costs		53
(c) Total		110
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		110
(4) Construction Start		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:		
EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED
		COST (\$000)
DTR 90 VERTICAL TAUT WIRE SENSORS/FENCE PROTECTION SYS-2	3080	94
		2300

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>MARYLAND</u>		
ANDREWS AFB (AMC) AJXF953020 179-511	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)	1000
<p>Construct fire training facility. (Current Mission) This is a Level II environmental compliance project. A live fire training facility is required to meet all environmental and safety regulations. Live fire training exercises, an FAA quarterly requirement, enable fire fighters to maintain a high level of proficiency by extinguishing two types of live fires: mass fuel spills and three dimensional (running fuel) fires. These exercises, performed on mock-ups typical of the mission assigned aircraft, have created compliance problems with the Clean Water Act. An impermeable lining below the pit area is required to prevent waste oils and fuels from leaching into the ground and contaminating the groundwater. The existing live fire training facility violated EPA pollution standards and was closed 30 April 1990. It is inadequate for training as defined by Air Force regulation. The current aircraft mock-up is smaller than the required size and is not accessible for multi-directional approaches creating an artificial environment which limits the quality of training. The existing facility does not have high-density polyethylene flexible membrane liners and nets, a leak detection system, and spill containment capability. There are no environmentally approved live fire training facilities in the local area. The existing facility is closed because of previous violations of environmental requirements. Required live fire training for the assigned fire fighters is not available. Without the stress and realism that come only with live fires, the fire fighters lose proficiency in combating fires. The potential for loss of aircraft and life is increased. There are no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". This project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual B6-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)	AJXF953020	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 OCT 10	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	65%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 OCT 31	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 OCT 10	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	SCOTT	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	60	
(b) All Other Design Costs	20	
(c) Total	80	
(d) Contract	60	
(e) In-house	20	
(4) Construction Start		
	93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>MISSISSIPPI</u>		
KEESLER AFB (ATC) MAHG943009 179-511	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	690
<p>Construct a fire training facility. (Current Mission) This is a Level I environmental compliance project. Air Force Regulation specifies that quarterly day and night live training be performed by all fire fighting personnel. Facility will allow all fire fighters to remain proficient in AFFF application techniques and aircraft crash rescue fire fighting. The existing site was closed in June 1991 due to groundwater contamination from previous exercises and is currently designated as an IRP site undergoing remedial investigation. Since the closure, live fire training has ceased. Approximately seventy fire fighters would have to travel to another DOD or civilian facility for training. The cost for travel, per diem, and overtime pay for civilian fire fighters will be extremely high. Location and distance of the closest environmentally acceptable training facility will require overnight stays for training. Fire fighters will not remain proficient in aircraft crash fire fighting and rescue techniques. The safety of both firefighters and accident victims will continue to be compromised. TDY training is not feasible due to funded level of manning and mission support requirements. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KEESLER AIR FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	MAHG943009	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 06
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 06
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 20
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		REESE
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		40
(b) All Other Design Costs		50
(c) Total		90
(d) Contract		60
(e) In-house		30
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>MISSISSIPPI</u>		
KEESLER AFB (ATC) MAHG933003 411-135	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	600
<p>Upgrade or replace existing underground storage tanks. (Current Mission) This is a Level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all underground storage tanks (USTs) regulated by Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations, part 280 to new Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) standards. The EPA has set standards requiring all USTs to have leak detection, corrosion protection and spill/overflow prevention systems by December 1998. Existing USTs must be upgraded to comply with new standards, replaced with new UST systems, or replaced with aboveground tanks. Tanks range in age from 10 to 48 years. Cathodic action continues to weaken tanks increasing potential for leaks. USTs have to be leak-tested annually until they are upgraded with permanent leak detection systems. Testing costs are estimated at about \$1,000 per UST. Installation of permanent leak detection systems will eliminate recurring testing costs. In addition, the various upgrades will also help prevent releases which could result in future AF liability and expensive cleanup costs. Command-wide testing activities accomplished to date indicate a leak test failure rate of approximately 5%. USTs included in this project supports power production, fuels maintenance, AAFES, and military service station operations. Potential shutdown of mission essential facilities as leaks occur. Annual expenditure for tank inspections will continue. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KEESLER AIR FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	MAHG933003	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 27
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 10
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 23
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		KEESLER
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		35
(b) All Other Design Costs		55
(c) Total		90
(d) Contract		60
(e) In-house		30
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		5. PROJECT NUMBER
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u> NEW MEXICO	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u> CANNON AFB (ACC) CZQZ933002 116-665	<u>COST (\$000)</u> 665
<p>Provide support construction and utilities to accommodate installation of a sound suppressor facility. (Current Mission). Provide adequate sound suppression for the F-111 super wing with five flying squadrons. Sound suppressor facilities are required to perform aircraft engine tests, inspection, calibration, and repair. Sound suppressant qualities are required to eliminate health/occupational safety hazards and environmental problems associated with high noise levels created by aircraft engines running in military power and after-burner modes. The current delivery date for the sound suppressor is 1 June 1994. The current sound suppressors are running at 100% capacity. Adequate sound suppression facilities are not available to satisfy engine test stand and power pad requirements. The existing T-10 sound suppressors support 194 separate engines and 83 aircraft. Over the last year, 312 separate engines and 331 aircraft in the T-10 sound suppressors have been tested. This averages out to 26 separate engines and 28 aircraft run-ups per month. At Cannon AFB there are both the TF30P107 and TF30P109 engines which have a 0.9 hour Mean Time Between Maintenance (MTBM). Cannon AFB is projected to receive the TF30P111 engine with a 0.4 hour MTBM. The number of assigned aircraft will almost double within the next year. A majority of these will be the F-111F with the TF30P111 engine. Additionally, the spare engines and MTBM will increase the average monthly runs by 74 separate engines and 131 aircraft. The existing T-10 sound suppressors cannot handle this increased work load. A back log of engine/aircraft tests will result. Existing engine tests without adequate sound suppression will result in extreme noise levels which pose an occupational health hazard for maintenance personnel and are noise irritants to the adjacent community and work areas. The new sound suppressor will arrive 1 June 1994 but cannot be used until it is installed on this support pad. Effectiveness of engine maintenance will be compromised, severely limiting the wing's readiness. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide;" however, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements."</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
CANNON AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO			
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER		
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT PAD	CZQZ933002		
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUN 02	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 JUL 17	
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 30	
(2) Basis:			
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		39	
(b) All Other Design Costs		20	
(c) Total		59	
(d) Contract		39	
(e) In-house		20	
(4) Construction Start 93 DEC			
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:			
EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
DEMOUNTABLE HUSH HOUSE	3080	94	1500
T-20 ENGINE TEST STAND	3080	94	175
ENGINE GENERATOR (MC-1A)	3080	94	139
MOTOR GENERATOR	3080	94	9
RECTIFIER (B-9)	3080	94	2
TOTAL			1825

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>NEW MEXICO</u>		
CANNON AFB (ACC) CZQZ943002 179-511	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	1000
<p>Construct a fire training facility. (Current Mission) This is a level I environmental compliance project. A live fire training facility meeting all environmental and safety regulations. Live fire training exercises, an FAA quarterly requirement, enable firefighters to maintain a high level of proficiency by extinguishing two types of live fires; mass fuel spills and three dimensional (running fuel) fires. These exercises, performed on mock-ups typical of the mission assigned aircraft, have historically created compliance problems with the Clean Water Act. An impermeable lining below the pit area is required to prevent fuel from leaching into the ground and to prevent groundwater from becoming contaminated by residual unburned fuel. The existing fire training facility was closed in September 1991. The existing aircraft fire training facility does not have high-density polyethylene flexible liners and nets, a leak detection system, or spill containment capability. Use of the existing facility and site is prohibited due to non-compliance with State and Federal environmental regulations. The existing facility, which was built in 1977, includes an F-111 aircraft mock-up, a drafting pit, fire hydrant, and a fuel storage tank. The mock-up is located in a shallow, gravel-covered, earthen pit which has a liner that does not meet environmental standards. Pollutants have the potential to be released to the surrounding soil and ground water. Firefighters are unable to train using live fire. Currently the base relies on mock firefighting techniques to develop tactics and strategy. The existing fire training facilities will remain out of compliance with State and Federal environmental laws. Firefighter proficiency will not be maintained. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CANNON AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER CZQZ943002	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 MAY 19
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 JUL 07
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 09
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		40
(b) All Other Design Costs		8
(c) Total		48
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		48
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>NEW MEXICO</u>		
HOLLOMAN AFB (ACC) KWRD943014 411-135	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	1000
<p>Remove/replace underground storage tanks (USTs). (Current Mission) This is a Level II environmental compliance project. Adequate fuel storage, properly designed and located, is required to satisfy base mission requirements. All petroleum dispensing and operating facilities must be provided with a means for detecting and preventing release of pollutants into the surrounding environment. All USTs must be upgraded in accordance with federal law (40 CFR 280.21) by December 1998. This includes leak detection, corrosion protection and spill/overflow prevention systems. Underground storage tanks at Holloman AFB do not meet federal requirements for corrosion protection, secondary containment, and overfill/spill protection. The condition of these tanks varies with a majority of the tanks at or exceeding their design life. These deficiencies must be corrected to prevent violation of federal UST regulations. If underground storage tanks require replacement, Air Force policy is to replace them with aboveground tanks or relocate them into underground vaults, whenever possible. Undetected UST releases can result in contamination of soil and ground water supplies, resulting in a threat to human health and the environment along with extremely costly cleanup measures. These improvements to USTs are mandated by federal law. If not accomplished by the established deadline, the base will be in violation of the law and subject to receiving Notices of Violation, fines and significant adverse publicity. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
HOLLOMAN AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	KWRD943014	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 NOV 03
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 19
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		8
(c) Total		8
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		8
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>NORTH CAROLINA</u>		
SEYMOUR-JOHNSON AFB (ACC) VKAG933005 610-144	MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE SUPPORT FACILITY	480
<p>Construct a munitions maintenance support facility. (Current Mission) An adequate facility, remotely located from the base munitions maintenance explosive area, is required for personnel that are related to, but not directly involved in, the explosive operations of the base munitions maintenance function. This is required to comply with Department of Defense safety regulations and OSHA Standards 1910.109. The current arrangement places administrative personnel in unnecessary danger within the munitions building. Although these workers are directly related to the function, safety regulations and OSHA standard 1910.109, require that they be separated from the actual maintenance area. Combat Munitions Unit concept allows an office/training area within the Quantity Distance Zone but removed from the actual maintenance shop. The existing working conditions of the munitions management personnel in the maintenance shop directly threatens the safety of life of these personnel. An exemption to Quantity Distance criteria has been granted by the Secretary of the Air Force which requires that a facility be provided and personnel be relocated by December 1993. The base will seek a short extension to allow personnel to remain in the existing facility until construction is complete. Munitions management and administration personnel will continue to work in a hazardous area and in violation of OSHA explosives safety standards. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
SEYMOUR JOHNSON AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH CAROLINA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE SUPPORT FACILITY		VKAG933005
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 OCT 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 APR 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		29
(b) All Other Design Costs		48
(c) Total		77
(d) Contract		52
(e) In-house		25
(4) Construction Start		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>OKLAHOMA</u>		
ALTUS AFB (AMC) AGGN943000 130-142	C-17 FIRE STATION (DBOF)	780
<p>Construct C-17 fire station. (New Mission) A secondary fire station is needed to safeguard aircrews and aircraft on the assault strip (FY91 MILCON) and parallel runway (FY92 MILCON). This fire station will allow prepositioning of crash-rescue vehicles in close proximity to these new launch and recovery surfaces. Air Force regulations require that the fire department be able to respond to an unannounced aircraft emergency anywhere on the airfield within three minutes. Vehicles located at the base's single fire station cannot meet the response requirement to the new launch and recovery surfaces. Aircrew and aircraft will be at higher risk due to lack of fire protection in close proximity. Crash equipment will have to travel up to one mile further before they arrive at the accident scene. Minor accidents may become more severe, possibly resulting in the unnecessary loss of life, if the fire department cannot respond within three minutes. The base's airlift training and aerial refueling missions will be put at higher risk. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
C-17 FIRE STATION (DBOF)	ACGN943000	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 17
(d) Date Design Complete		93 MAY 21
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		47
(b) All Other Design Costs		67
(c) Total		114
(d) Contract		82
(e) In-house		32
(4) Construction Start		94 FEB
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>OKLAHOMA</u>		
TINKER AFB (CSV) WWYK943030 131-132	MILSTAR COMMUNICATIONS GROUND TERMINAL	800
<p>Construct a Milstar Communications Ground Terminal support facility. (New Mission) A properly sized facility is required to house one 60 KW generator, an uninterruptible power supply (UPS) system and electrical switchgear that will be used to provide a continuous power source to the Milstar Communications Ground Terminal located in a nearby facility. Since this facility houses emergency power equipment, the facility must be designed to Milstar facility specifications. The Milstar system, via satellites, provides the National Command Authority (NCA) with the only worldwide, secure, two-way, anti-jam, survivable, low probability of detection/interception voice and data communication capability. The Milstar communications equipment will be located in an existing facility. However, that facility has no available space to house the standby generator and UPS. Without this project, critical connectivity between NORAD/Space Command and other high priority users, including the NCA, would be lost during crises and deny the ability to command and control military forces through all levels of conflict. The Milstar terminal equipment for this site is scheduled for delivery in 1996. There are no criteria for this project in Air Force Manual 86-2, Standard Facility Requirements," or in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
MILSTAR COMMUNICATIONS GROUND TERMINAL	WWYK943030	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 NOV 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 JAN 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		48
(b) All Other Design Costs		42
(c) Total		90
(d) Contract		60
(e) In-house		30
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:		
EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED
		COST (\$000)
MILSTAR TERMINAL EQUIPMENT	3080	FY 95
EHF ANTENNA SUPPORT SHELTER	3080	FY 95
SOLID STATE UPS SYSTEM	3080	FY 95
		4200
		250
		200

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>OKLAHOMA</u>		
TINKER AFB (MTC) WWYK880037 411-135	SEAL FUEL CONTAINMENT DIKES	620
<p>Seal two fuel containment dikes. (Current Mission) This is a Level I environmental compliance requirement. Secondary containment around fuel storage areas is needed to contain all liquid petroleum which may spill from above-ground fuel storage tanks in event of tank rupture. The Federal Oil Pollution Prevention Regulation (40CFR, Section 112.7(e)(2)) requires impervious secondary containment for above ground tanks. This project is needed to seal the dikes and prevent the fuel from penetrating the ground or the dikes and polluting the soil and aquifer. Existing earthen dikes are not impervious and are coated with asphalt to reduce erosion. The base of the diked area is sand and gravel which permit leaching to the aquifer. The dikes cannot contain spilled fuel and do not meet criteria stated in the Federal Oil Pollution Prevention Regulation (Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Section 112) and comparable state laws. In event of a fuel spill, surrounding soil and the underlying aquifer will be quickly contaminated causing significant damage to the environment. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
SEAL FUEL CONTAINMENT DIKES	WWYK880037	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUN 25
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 17
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		36
(b) All Other Design Costs		41
(c) Total		77
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		77
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>SOUTH CAROLINA</u>		
SHAW AFB (ACC) VLSB943007 411-135	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	520
<p>Upgrade/remove/replace underground storage tanks (USTs). (Current Mission) This is a Level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade/remove/replace approximately 57 regulated storage tank systems to meet requirements of 40 CFR 280. The Environmental Protection Agency has set standards that require all regulated tank systems to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention systems. If tank systems are to be replaced, Air Force policy is to replace them with aboveground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults, wherever possible. However, existing petroleum product storage tanks which are in good condition may be upgraded in place to bring them into compliance with applicable environmental regulations. Over the past 20 years tanks have been installed without cathodic protection, leak detection, and spill/overflow protection. These tanks must be upgraded in order to remain in service after December 1988. Removal, replacement, or upgrade in-place will be required to assure a leak-free environment and compliance with federal and state laws. Undetected tank releases can result in contamination of soil and ground water supplies, resulting in a threat to human health and the environment, along with extremely costly clean-up measures. These improvements to USTs are mandated by federal law. If not accomplished by December 1998, the base will be in violation of the law and subject to receiving Notices of Violation, fines, and significant adverse publicity. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
SHAW AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	VLSB943007	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 JUL 13	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	100%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 JUL 27	
(d) Date Design Complete	92 DEC 27	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	30	
(b) All Other Design Costs	23	
(c) Total	53	
(d) Contract	34	
(e) In-house	19	
(4) Construction Start 94 JAN		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>SOUTH DAKOTA</u>		
ELLSWORTH AFB (ACC) FXBM900177 211-173	ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE DOCK	630
<p>Alter aircraft maintenance dock. (Current Mission) Aircraft maintenance activities require the capability to remove and replace heavy components on assigned aircraft. One hoist is required to operate on the tail area of aircraft, while another is required in the nose area. Structural modifications are necessary to support ceiling mounted cranes. The facility has no cranes or hoists to support maintenance and repair of large aircraft components. The facility is not structurally capable of supporting installation of needed cranes without modification. Aircraft maintenance personnel must rely on other base organizations to provide a mobile, vehicle mounted crane to support their requirements for heavy lifting. This requirement occurs at least once per week, and involves placement of a vehicle mounted crane inside the maintenance facility and in close proximity to an aircraft. The cranes are operated by individuals not accustomed to working in such confined areas where minor movements can cause costly damage to a facility or an aircraft. Since the mobile cranes are intended for other uses, they are not always readily available for "loan" to the aircraft maintenance function. When not available, aircraft maintenance schedules must be revised accordingly. The potential for costly damage to an aircraft or the interior of the maintenance dock will remain high. Maintenance delays will reduce aircraft readiness and mission execution capabilities. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ELLSWORTH AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH DAKOTA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE DOCK	FXBM900177	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 20
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 20
(d) Date Design Complete		93 APR 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		35
(b) All Other Design Costs		40
(c) Total		75
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		75
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>TEXAS</u>		
DYESS AFB (ACC) FNWZ953001 872-247	WEAPONS STORAGE AREA SECURITY	890
<p>Upgrade weapons storage area security. (Current Mission) Adequate Weapons Storage Area (WSA) security to deter or delay intrusion and meet Department of Defense (DOD) protection criteria as identified in DOD Manual 5210.41. Department of Defense guidelines for security of Weapons Storage Areas require specific fence heights, and anchoring and stabilizing systems to ensure the integrity of security devices. A "double fence" system is required which includes an outer six-foot-high animal control fence to reduce false alarms from security sensors, and an inner seven-foot-high (plus outrigger) security fence on which sensors are mounted. Inner fences must be capable of supporting installation of second generation Fence Protection System sensors scheduled for installation in the future. All WSAs also require interior and exterior all-weather access to meet a five-minute response time for any security alarm or incident. This project corrects a nuclear surety deficiency. The existing fence does not meet DOD standards for fence height and is improperly constructed to meet security requirements. The surrounding clear zone is not properly graded. Soil around the fence has eroded in some areas leaving openings beneath the fence, and has accumulated in other areas resulting in inadequate fence height. The fence is old and will not support installation of new second generation Fence Protection System sensors scheduled to be installed in May 1995 at a cost of \$1.2M. This project will eliminate three DOD nuclear security/surety waivers and variances. Security for critical and sensitive munitions assets will not meet DOD requirements. Waivers will be required to continue use of the WSA. If waivers cannot be obtained, the base mission cannot be executed. Installation of second generation security sensors will be delayed until an adequate interior fence is provided. Security for munitions will be jeopardized, with possible major consequences and adverse publicity should the area be penetrated by unauthorized personnel. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual B6-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
DYESS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER		
WEAPONS STORAGE AREA SECURITY	FNWZ953001		
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 10	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 04	
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 18	
(2) Basis:			
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		48	
(b) All Other Design Costs		16	
(c) Total		64	
(d) Contract		54	
(e) In-house		10	
(4) Construction Start 93 DEC			
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:			
EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
DTR 90 VERTICAL TAUGHT WIRE	3400	94	882
SENSORS/FENCE PROTECTIONS	3080	94	318
SYSTEM-2			

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		5. PROJECT NUMBER
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>TEXAS</u>		
KELLY AFB (MTC) MBPB933003 217-712	C-17 ALTER DEPOT AVIONICS FACILITY (DBOF)	731
<p>Alter a C-17 depot avionics facility. (New Mission) A suitable facility is required to provide a completely organic capability for depot repair and test of C-17 avionics systems and components to maintain combat readiness. Environmentally controlled areas for test and overhaul of shop-repairable components, radar and antenna array and radomes are required. Under the C-17 concept, the depot must also establish an avionics intermediate shop which will be used to test and repair line-replaceable units as well as some software associated with avionics. Minor alterations to an existing building are needed to satisfy this requirement. Even though the existing depot avionics shops are utilized to near capacity, reductions in other workloads will make space available to accommodate the C-17 workload within existing space; however, some of that space will have to be modified to accommodate state-of-the-art technologies associated with the C-17. Deficiencies include inadequate lighting, unconditioned electrical power and inadequate noise abatement. Most of the avionics support for the C-17 will have to be contracted out at greatly increased costs. More importantly, the divided repair responsibility will result in significant delays in completion of depot repairs and return of aircraft to operational units. The requirement for this project was validated by the Joint Service Depot Maintenance Industrial Military Construction Review Panel in May 1990. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide", or in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
C-17 ALTER DEPOT AVIONICS FACILITY (DBOF)	MBPB933003	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 05
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 20
(d) Date Design Complete		93 OCT 14
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		43
(b) All Other Design Costs		66
(c) Total		109
(d) Contract		56
(e) In-house		53
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:		
EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED
		COST (\$000)
13 SHOP REPLACEABLE UNIT TEST EQUIPMENT	3010	FY94 15400

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>TEXAS</u>		
REESE AFB (ATC) UBNY953002 411-135	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	900
<p>Upgrade or replace existing underground storage tanks. (Current Mission) This is a Level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all underground storage tanks (USTs) regulated by Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations, part 280 to new Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) standards. The EPA has set standards requiring all USTs to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill and overfill prevention systems by December 1998. Further, the 31 Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 334 requires spill and overfill prevention measures be installed by December 1994. Existing USTs must be upgraded to comply with new standards, replaced with new UST systems, or replaced with aboveground tanks. This project includes USTs used to store fuel for emergency power generation which are deferred by federal regulations, but are regulated in the state of Texas as per 31 Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 334. USTs have to be leak tested annually until they are upgraded with permanent leak detection systems. Testing costs are estimated at \$1,000 per UST. Installation of permanent leak detection systems will eliminate recurring testing costs. In addition, the various upgrades will also help prevent releases which could result in future AF liability and expensive cleanup costs. Command wide testing activities accomplished to date indicate a leak test failure rate of approximately 5%. USTs included in this project support transportation, POL operations, ACE operations, power production, and aircraft maintenance. Potential shutdown of mission essential facilities as leaks occur. Annual expenditure of \$29K for tank inspections. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
REESE AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	UBNY953002	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 JUL 24	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 OCT 24	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 SEP 15	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	REESE	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	50	
(b) All Other Design Costs	70	
(c) Total	120	
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house	120	
(4) Construction Start	94 JAN	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		5. PROJECT NUMBER
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>TEXAS</u>		
SHEPPARD AFB (ATC) VNP953003 179-511	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	850
<p>Construct a fire training facility. (Current Mission) This is a Level I environmental compliance project which will correct violations of existing statutes. A live fire training facility meeting all environmental and safety regulations. Live fire training exercises, an FAA quarterly requirement, enable fire fighters to maintain a high level of proficiency by extinguishing two types of live fires: mass fuel spills and three dimensional (running fuel) fires. These exercises, performed on mock-ups typical of the mission assigned aircraft, have historically created compliance problems with the Clean Water Act. An impermeable lining below the pit area is required to prevent waste oils and fuels from leaching into the ground and to prevent groundwater from becoming contaminated by residual unburned fuel. The existing site is designated as an Installation Restoration Program (IRP) site undergoing remedial investigation funded by Defense Environmental Restoration Account (DERA). Current facility is not environmentally acceptable for fire training purposes. Fire fighters will not remain proficient in aircraft crash fire fighting and rescue techniques. The safety of both firefighters and accident victims will continue to be compromised. TDY training is not feasible due to funded level of manning and mission support requirements. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SHEPPARD AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE FIRE TRAINING FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER VNVP953003
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 29
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 29
(d) Date Design Complete		93 NOV 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		REESE
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		50
(b) All Other Design Costs		26
(c) Total		76
(d) Contract		57
(e) In-house		19
(4) Construction Start		94 FEB
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>TEXAS</u>		
SHEPPARD AFB (ATC) VNVP963001 740-884	ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	780
<p>Add to and alter child development center. (Current Mission) A properly sized and functionally configured facility is required to provide supervised care and development experience for 327 dependent children ages four weeks through twelve years, including all preschool activities. Adequate child care facilities must be provided to accommodate the special requirements placed on military families, single parents and working spouses. The facility programs offered must provide professional care, operate during nonstandard hours, provide for services on an hourly, daily or part-time basis and provide early developmental care for children. The present center was constructed in 1973 to accommodate 140 children. Two additions have been constructed, in 1987 and 1990, to accommodate 44 additional children. Presently two WWII facilities are being used to supplement an additional 60 children which relieves only some of the pressure of lack of space. These two additional facilities are wood framed, contain asbestos, and have no central HVAC/environmental controls. One building has inadequate toilet facilities without privacy for the children, no indoor multi-purpose space, no kitchen facilities, and miscellaneous deficiencies such as extremely limited storage and administrative/staff capabilities. Those families turned away use off-base programs of which not all are licensed, do not accommodate all ages, and do not accommodate working hours of base personnel. Personnel must continue to use off base programs or place children in unlicensed babysitting situations and the morale, productivity and career satisfaction of service members will continue to be degraded. Lack of adequate space hinders the implementation of expanding the drop-in care program. Requirements do not include calculations based upon mission gains related to base realignment and closure (BRAC). A FY 94 BRAC project VNVP933021, with a scope of 5,000 SF, has been submitted and should be incorporated into this project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
SHEPPARD AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	VNVP963001	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 JUL 17	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 OCT 15	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 DEC 18	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	SHEPPARD	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	46	
(b) All Other Design Costs	30	
(c) Total	76	
(d) Contract	66	
(e) In-house	10	
(4) Construction Start	94 MAR	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>UTAH</u>		
HILL AFB (MTC) KRS933019 179-511	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)	880
<p>Construct a fire training facility. (Current Mission) This is a Level I environmental compliance project which will correct violations of existing statutes. A live fire training facility meeting all environmental and safety regulations is required. FAA requires quarterly live fire training exercises to enable fire fighters to maintain a high level of proficiency by extinguishing two types of live fires: mass fuel spills and three dimensional (running fuel) fires. These exercises, performed on mock-ups typical of the mission assigned aircraft, have historically created compliance problems with the Clean Water Act. An impermeable lining below the pit area is required to prevent waste oils and fuels from leaching into the ground and to prevent groundwater from becoming contaminated by residual unburned fuel. The live fire training facility at this base does not meet the standards established for this type of facility by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). While this facility is currently used on a limited basis, the lining and other environmental control measures do not meet requirements of the new Clean Water Act. Alternative training methods have been considered, but all have proven non-productive. Off-base training is not acceptable since it would remove both the crews and fire vehicles from the base, making them unavailable for response to emergencies. Base fire crews will continue to be denied FAA required live fire training, adversely impacting their degree of readiness. Lack of training could result in injury, loss of life, or loss of an aircraft. There is no criteria/scope for this project in either Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or AFM 86-2.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)	KRSM933019	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 JUL 15	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 OCT 21	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 SEP 15	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	MT HOME	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	53	
(b) All Other Design Costs	60	
(c) Total	113	
(d) Contract	47	
(e) In-house	66	
(4) Construction Start		
	93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>VIRGINIA</u>		
LANGLEY AFB (ACC) MUHJ943009 411-135	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	500
<p>Remove/replace underground storage tanks (USTs). (Current Mission) This is a Level II environmental compliance project. Adequate fuel storage, properly designed and located, is required to satisfy base mission requirements. All petroleum dispensing and operating facilities must be provided with a means for detecting and preventing release of pollutants into the surrounding environment. All USTs must be upgraded in accordance with federal law (40 CFR 280.21) by December 1998. This includes leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention systems to protect human health and the environment. Underground storage tanks at Langley AFB do not meet federal requirements for corrosion protection, secondary containment, and overfill/spill protection. The condition of these tanks varies with a majority of the tanks at or exceeding their design life. These deficiencies must be corrected to prevent violation of federal UST regulations. If underground storage tanks require replacement, Air Force policy is to replace them with aboveground tanks or relocate them into underground vaults, whenever possible. Undetected UST releases can result in contamination of soil and ground water supplies, resulting in a threat to human health and the environment along with extremely costly cleanup measures. These improvements to USTs are mandated by federal law. If not accomplished by the established deadline, the base will be in violation of the law and subject to receiving Notices of Violation, fines and significant adverse publicity. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE, VIRGINIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	MUHJ943009	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 NOV 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		5
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		5
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		5
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>WYOMING</u>		
F E WARREN AFB (ACC) GHLN931001 851-147	WEAPONS STORAGE AREA SECURITY	640
<p>Weapons storage area security improvements. (Current Mission) Adequate Weapons Storage Area (WSA) security to deter or delay intrusion and meet Department of Defense (DOD) protection criteria as identified in DOD Manual 5210.41. Department of Defense guidelines for security of Weapons Storage Areas require specific fence heights and anchoring and stabilizing systems to ensure the integrity of security devices. A "double fence" system is required which includes an outer six-foot-high animal control fence to reduce false alarms from security sensors. An inner seven-foot-high (plus outrigger) security fence on which sensors are mounted is also required. Inner fences must be capable of supporting installation of second generation Fence Protection System sensors scheduled for installation in the future. All WSAs also require interior and exterior all-weather vehicle access to permit a five-minute response time for any security alarm or incident. A weather shelter is required for personnel entrapment areas to protect individuals awaiting entry to or exit from the secure area. This project corrects a nuclear surety deficiency. The existing interior security fence does not meet DOD standards for fence height. To compensate for fence height deficiencies, security forces must physically inspect the entire perimeter every four hours instead of the normal once per shift. During the winter or wet weather, these inspections are conducted on foot, as the perimeter patrol roads are impassable by vehicle. During these "foot patrols", security forces are removed from optimum locations for responding to security alarms, and are unable to respond within the required five minutes. The interior fence is old, and will also not support installation of new second generation Fence Protection System sensors scheduled to be installed in FY 94 at a cost of \$1.2M. The WSA has no shelter to protect personnel from sub-zero temperatures, blowing snow, and other inclement weather as they enter and leave the area. These individuals are fully exposed to the weather while in the security entrapment area awaiting clearance to proceed. This causes extreme hardships for the 600 individuals entering and exiting the area each day. This project will eliminate one DOD nuclear security/surety waiver. Security for critical and sensitive munitions will not meet DOD requirements. A waiver will be required to continue use of the WSA. If waivers cannot be obtained, the base mission cannot be executed. Installation of second generation security sensors will be delayed until an adequate interior fence is provided. Security for munitions will be jeopardized, with possible major</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<p>consequences and adverse publicity should the area be penetrated by unauthorized personnel. Personnel will have to endure severe weather hardships as they await and undergo security checks prior to entering or exiting this secure area. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
F E WARREN AIR FORCE BASE, WYOMING		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
WEAPONS STORAGE AREA SECURITY	GHLN931001	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 SEP 01	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 NOV 01	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 MAY 01	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	24	
(b) All Other Design Costs	56	
(c) Total	80	
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house	80	
(4) Construction Start		
	93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:		
EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED
		COST (\$000)
DTR 90 VERTICAL TAUT WIRE SENSORS		1200
FENCE PROTECTION SYSTEM-2		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>COUNTRY AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>INVALID STATE ABBREVIATION</u>		
ANTIGUA AS (SPC) ALMY953007 811-145	SLFI-UPGRADE BACKUP GENERATOR	1000
<p>Provide additional backup power for the Consolidated Instrumentation Facility (CIF). (Current Mission) This is a Space Launch Facilities Infrastructure (SLFI) requirement. Backup power is required for the tracking radar to support launch operations. In the event of power interruptions, a reliable source of backup power is required for the CIF to sustain critical spacelift launch support. The Antigua site provides tracking and telemetry spacelift launch support for the Global Positioning System, Defense Satellite Communications System, Space Shuttle, classified missions, and space and ballistic missile tests. The existing generators provide power for critical loads at the antenna, but there is no backup generator to power critical electronic and support equipment in the CIF. The prime power plant is 33 years old, and will continue to suffer from unavoidable power outages. Power outages can result in launch delays or, during a launch, tracking and telemetry support can be lost. There were three power outages last year and numerous power fluctuations. Had these outages or fluctuations occurred during a launch the result would have been a delay of the launch or loss of contact with the launch vehicle during the launch. Launch delays can impact the mission through failure to meet specific in-orbit timelines and are costly in terms of overtime for the contractor. Telemetry and tracking are critical to attaining correct orbit; failure to do so can result in loss of the launch vehicle and its payload. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or AFM 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". High cost at this site is due to its location on a remote island that requires shipping of materials and equipment by boat, and because construction contractors must provide temporary housing for workers.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ANTIGUA AIR STATION, ANTIGUA, WEST INDIES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
SLFI-UPGRADE BACKUP GENERATOR	ALMY953007	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 DEC 08
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 24
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		60
(b) All Other Design Costs		30
(c) Total		90
(d) Contract		75
(e) In-house		15
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS - OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PROJECTS \$1 MILLION AND UNDER		
<u>COUNTRY AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>
<u>CLASSIFIED LOCATION</u>		
DIEGO GARCIA AB (SPC) FGDA943002 219-946	SATELLITE TRACKING STORAGE FACILITY	560
<p>Construct a satellite tracking storage facility. (Current Mission) An air conditioned storage and work facility is required to protect expensive technical and utility equipment spares from inclement weather and to provide work space for facilities personnel. When the Diego Garcia Automated Remote Tracking System (ARTS) facility was first installed, little or no administrative and storage space was provided for Air Force and contractor personnel. Although a pre-engineered office facility was added, no office space, maintenance workspace, or storage space was included. Facilities maintenance personnel are required to work outdoors which is ineffective due to the corrosive environment and frequent rain (over 100 inches/year). Most supplies are surface shipped to Diego Garcia and, because of its remote location in the central Indian Ocean and lead time of 6 months to a year, it is necessary for the installation to increase stock levels on many items. Recent closure of overseas support facilities such as Clark AFB has further degraded logistical support. As a result some materials are stored outside and others are stacked up inside inadequate space. The original technical supply area was also undersized. Spare magnetic tapes, electronics hardware, and other mission-related equipment is stored inefficiently and unsafely because of the congested conditions. Utility equipment will continue to be stored in hot, humid temporary storage facilities and will corrode in the aggressive salt atmosphere. The facilities manager will have inadequate space to store drawings, manuals, etc., and be unable to conduct repairs of utility equipment in a proper working environment. Technical equipment will continue to be stored in overcrowded and unsafe conditions. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
DIEGO GARCIA AIR BASE, INDIAN OCEAN		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
SATELLITE TRACKING STORAGE FACILITY	FGDA943002	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 NOV 09
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		34
(b) All Other Design Costs		16
(c) Total		50
(d) Contract		42
(e) In-house		8
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

DEFENSE BUSINESS OPERATIONS FUND (DBOF)

THE FOLLOWING IS A SPECIAL SECTION ON DBOF PROJECTS THAT ARE INCLUDED IN THE AIR FORCE FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION REQUEST. THESE PROJECTS ARE ALSO INCLUDED IN THE DD FORMS 1390 AND 1391 THAT ARE IN THE FRONT PART OF THIS VOLUME.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
DEFENSE BUSINESS OPERATIONS FUND

<u>STATE/COUNTRY</u> <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>PROJECT</u> <u>AUTH</u>	<u>AUTH</u> <u>FOR</u> <u>APPROP</u>	<u>APPROP</u> <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
ARKANSAS					
LITTLE ROCK AFB					
	ADD/ALTER ENGINE INSPECTION AND REPAIR SHOP (DBOF)	1,200	1,200	1,200	661A
	ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER (DBOF)	2,250	2,250	2,250	662
CALIFORNIA					
MCCLELLAN AFB					
	FIRE PROTECTION AIRCRAFT FACILITIES (DBOF)	1,900	1,900	1,900	665
TRAVIS AFB					
	UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS (DBOF)	2,840	2,840	2,840	666A
DELAWARE					
DOVER AFB					
	DORMITORY (DBOF)	3,200	3,200	3,200	667
	ADD TO AND ALTER DINING FACILITY (DBOF)	2,500	2,500	2,500	670
GEORGIA					
ROBINS AFB					
	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)	4,300	4,300	4,300	673
ILLINOIS					
SCOTT AFB					
	MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITY/ LAND ACQUISITION (DBOF)	2,450	2,450	2,450	676
MARYLAND					
ANDREWS AFB					
	AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL (DBOF)	4,400	4,400	4,400	678A
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)	1,000	1,000	1,000	
	UPGRADE COMPOSITE ADMINISTRATION FACILITY (DBOF)	9,940	9,940	9,940	682

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
DEFENSE BUSINESS OPERATIONS FUND

<u>STATE/COUNTRY</u> <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>PROJECT</u> <u>AUTH</u>	<u>AUTH</u> <u>FOR</u> <u>APPROP</u>	<u>APPROP</u> <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
MONTANA					
MALMSTROM AFB					
	BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX (DBOF)	6,200	6,200	6,200	685
OKLAHOMA					
ALTUS AFB					
	C-17 FIRE STATION (DBOF)	780	780	780	688
	C-17 ADD TO FLIGHT SIMULATOR (DBOF)	2,850	2,850	2,850	689A
	C-17 ADD TO AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY (DBOF)	3,300	3,300	3,300	689D
TINKER AFB					
	INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER REGIONAL CONNECTION (DBOF)	5,400	5,400	5,400	690
SOUTH CAROLINA					
CHARLESTON AFB					
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)	1,100	1,100	1,100	693
TEXAS					
KELLY AFB					
	C-17 ADD/ALTER NONDESTRUCTIVE INSPECTION FACILITY (DBOF)	4,900	4,900	4,900	696
	C-17 ALTER DEPOT AVIONICS FACILITY (DBOF)	731	731	731	699
	ALTER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT CENTER, PHASE II (DBOF)	7,800	7,800	7,800	702
	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)	2,000	2,000	2,000	705
UTAH					
HILL AFB					
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)	880	880	880	708
	UPGRADE INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT (DBOF)	5,100	5,100	5,100	711

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
INDEX
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1994
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
DEFENSE BUSINESS OPERATIONS FUND

STATE/COUNTRY <u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	PROJECT <u>AUTH</u>	AUTH FOR <u>APPROP</u>	APPROP <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
WASHINGTON MCCHORD AFB					
	ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)	6,500	6,500	6,500	714
	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER COMPLEX (DBOF)	4,400	4,400	4,400	717
	<u>FY 94 TOTAL:</u>	<u>87,921</u>	<u>87,921</u>	<u>87,921</u>	

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE, ARKANSAS			ADD/ALTER ENGINE INSPECTION AND REPAIR SHOP (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.18.96	211-157	NKAK903001	1,200		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD/ALTER ENGINE INSPECTION AND REPAIR					
SHOP (DBOF)		SF	9,600		883
ADDITION		SF	8,000	94	(752)
ALTERATION		SF	1,600	82	(131)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					150
UTILITIES		LS			(75)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(50)
PAVEMENTS		SY	700	36	(25)
SUBTOTAL					1,033
CONTINGENCY (10%)					103
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,136
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)					62
TOTAL REQUEST					1,198
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,200
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundations and floor, structural steel frames, masonry walls, roof and monorails with hoists. Area includes shop space, maintenance management space, utilities and other necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 41,564 SF ADEQUATE: 31,964 SF SUBSTANDARD: 1,600 SF PROJECT: Add to and alter aircraft engine inspection and repair shop. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: An expanded facility is required to maintain, inspect and repair aircraft engines and provide storage for spare engines, tools and support equipment. This facility supports maintenance and inspection operations for 401 C-130 aircraft engines.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The engine repair and build-up crew areas are not large enough to support the number of engines required for effective productivity. Space is necessary for maintenance on four engines while existing facility supports only three engine maintenance spaces. The gas turbine compressor section is overcrowded with special tools, test equipment, as well as with repairable, serviceable and in-work units. The quick engine change (QEC) kit section has been divided into separate sections due to critical space problems, creating span of control problems.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Maintenance operations will continue to be performed in an overcrowded facility increasing the risk of accidents.					
ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE, ARKANSAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD/ALTER ENGINE INSPECTION AND REPAIR SHOP (DBOF)	NKAK903001	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 APR 21	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	40%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 AUG 28	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 MAY 25	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	72	
(b) All Other Design Costs	42	
(c) Total	114	
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house	114	
(4) Construction Start		
	94 MAR	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE, ARKANSAS			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 4.18.96	6. CATEGORY CODE 740-884	7. PROJECT NUMBER NKAK923003	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,250		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER (DBOF)		SF	23,200		1,588
ADDITION		SF	8,300	89	(739)
ALTERATION		SF	14,900	57	(849)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					355
UTILITIES		LS			(200)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(50)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(105)
SUBTOTAL					1,943
CONTINGENCY (10%)					194
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,137
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					128
TOTAL REQUEST					2,265
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,250
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete footings, foundations, and floor slab, masonry walls, brick veneer and hip roof. Includes space for reception area, administrative offices, classrooms, kitchen, mechanical room, fire protection, utilities and other necessary support. Air Conditioning: 60 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 25,875 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 14,900 SF PROJECT: Add to and alter child development center. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A facility is required to provide adequate space and environment for child development requirements and an atmosphere conducive to the needs of 345 dependent children of military and civilian personnel assigned to the base. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing facility provides only two thirds of the space needed to adequately support child care needs. Inefficiencies include lack of classroom space, administration, food service area and recreational support area. Changes in the makeup of the military and civilian population have increased the need for full-day child care development services. Single parents, dual working couples, and working spouses have changed the focus from a recreational support activity to a mission support program. Because more children stay at the center ten hours a day, five days a week, improved developmental care facilities must provide more adequate indoor and outdoor play space, learning centers, sleeping facilities and kitchen/food service areas. Off-base child care centers are available; however, they are substandard in that they are not certified by the State of Arkansas and do not meet minimum Air Force and Department of Defense standards for child care. These facilities provide little more than custodial care. They experience an extremely high turn-over rate in workers because they pay minimum wages. Workers are not					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE, ARKANSAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER (DBOF)	NKAK923003	
<p>screened for health problems and criminal records. Most facilities do not provide care for children under two years old. The Little Rock Child Development Center is the only facility in the State of Arkansas accredited by the National Association for the Education of Young Children. Some home care is available on base but not nearly enough to meet base child care demands. The waiting list for full-time day care is for 83 children; however, many families do not bother to sign-up because the list is too long.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Lack of quality child care contributes to employee absenteeism, low morale and has a negative impact in the military and civilian workforces. Expanded program requirements and increased demand for child care cannot be provided due to lack of space.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". A life-cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, addition/alteration and new construction). This analysis indicates the addition/alteration alternative is the most cost effective. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE, ARKANSAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER (DBOF)	NKAK923003	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		50%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 JUL 27
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 14
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total' Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		135
(b) All Other Design Costs		90
(c) Total		225
(d) Contract		183
(e) In-house		42
(4) Construction Start		
		94 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
MCCLELLAN AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			FIRE PROTECTION AIRCRAFT FACILITIES (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
7.28.96	880-232	PRJY881011	1,900		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
FIRE PROTECTION AIRCRAFT FACILITIES (DBOF)		SP	196,000	7	1,372
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					240
UTILITIES		LS			(200)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(40)
SUBTOTAL					1,612
CONTINGENCY (10%)					161
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,773
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					106
TOTAL REQUEST					1,879
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,900
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Install aqueous film forming foam (AFFF) preaction sprinkler systems and wet pipe sprinkler systems in fifteen buildings, including piping, sprinkler heads, nozzles, and necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
<u>PROJECT:</u> Install fire protection systems in fifteen buildings. (Current Mission)					
<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Automatic AFFF preaction systems are required to support critical base facilities and their contents, including multi-million dollar aircraft and equipment. These systems are needed to minimize hazard to life and property by quickly suppressing a fuel fire before injury or widespread damage can occur. This level of fire protection is consistent with standards developed by the National Fire Protection Association.					
<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> There are no fire protection systems in fifteen docks used to accomplish depot maintenance of first line aircraft. A fire in any of these facilities could endanger the building, its contents and occupants. All of these buildings are needed to accomplish depot maintenance workloads assigned to the Sacramento Air Logistics Center.					
<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Loss of life, first line aircraft and equipment will remain a possibility. The total value of aircraft at risk could be as high as \$650 million.					
<u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MCCLELLAN AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
FIRE PROTECTION AIRCRAFT FACILITIES (DBOF)	PRJY881011	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 19
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 24
(d) Date Design Complete		93 NOV 22
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		100
(b) All Other Design Costs		122
(c) Total		222
(d) Contract		139
(e) In-house		83
(4) Construction Start		
		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.18.56	411-135	XDAT943075	2,840		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS (DBOF)	LS			2,400	
UPGRADE UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS	EA	7	40,000	(280)	
CONSTRUCT UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS	EA	10	107,000	(1,070)	
CONSTRUCT ABOVEGROUND STORAGE TANKS	EA	14	75,000	(1,050)	
SUBTOTAL				2,400	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				240	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,640	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				158	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,798	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,840	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade 7 tanks and their pressurized lines with leak detection. Replace 10 existing tanks with new double-walled underground tanks, leak detectors and other necessary support. In addition, replace another 14 underground tanks with aboveground tanks.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Upgrade underground fuel storage tanks. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: This is a Level I environmental compliance project to upgrade underground storage tanks (USTs) regulated by the California State Water Resources Control Board (CSWRCE). This board has set standards that require all regulated USTs to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention systems. If USTs are to be replaced, Air Force policy is to replace them with aboveground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults wherever possible.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The majority of the USTs at Travis have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. Approximately 97% of the regulated USTs are also out of compliance with current (1988) state regulations. All of the regulated USTs require annual integrity (tightness) testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control, since they lack the proper continuous monitoring appliances and controls. If these tasks are not performed, the exposure to environmental liability will increase. These liabilities can be eliminated through the installation of the new USTs or aboveground storage tanks (ASTs) and associated continuous monitoring/alarm systems.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Failure to bring the USTs into environmental compliance will result in Travis AFB receiving a Notice of Violation (NOV) from the regulators. This will ultimately result in fines or litigation					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS (DBOF)	XDAT943075	
<p>and unfavorable publicity for the Air Force. All tanks must meet regulations or be permanently closed. The absence of sufficient fuel storage due to mandatory tank closure would seriously jeopardize the base's mission.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, and new construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option that satisfies regulatory requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS (DBOF)	XDAT943075	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUN 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 JAN 31
(d) Date Design Complete		94 JAN 05
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		65
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		65
(d) Contract		65
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		
		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

6662

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE			DORMITORY (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.18.96	721-312	FJXT943005	3,200		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
DORMITORY (DBOF)		SF	25,000	90	2,250
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					630
UTILITIES		LS			(165)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(165)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(115)
DEMOLITION		SF	30,900	6	(185)
SUBTOTAL					2,880
CONTINGENCY (5%)					144
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					3,024
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					181
TOTAL REQUEST					3,205
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					3,200
<p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slabs, masonry walls and roof. Includes room-bath-room modules, laundries, storage and lounge areas and all supporting facilities. <u>Air Conditioning: 100 Tons. Grade Mix: 175 El-E4.</u></p> <p>11. REQUIREMENT: 1,787 PN ADEQUATE: 901 PN SUBSTANDARD: 1,624 PN <u>PROJECT:</u> Construct a dormitory. (Current Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> A major Air Force objective is to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> There are currently not enough adequate dormitories to meet the billeting requirements of unaccompanied enlisted personnel at this base. Substandard facilities to be replaced are semi-permanent, modular facilities which do not provide semi-private baths, adequate control of heating and air conditioning, sufficient noise attenuation or necessary amenities to adequately house enlisted personnel. This project is the fourth phase of a six phase program to provide adequate dormitories at this base. Current occupancy rate of dorms at Dover is 98 percent. Three substandard facilities which house 106 personnel will be disposed of upon completion of this project. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Adequate living quarters will continue to be unavailable resulting in degradation of morale, productivity, and career satisfaction for unaccompanied enlisted personnel. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". A</p>					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE		
4. PROJECT TITLE DORMITORY (DBOF)		5. PROJECT NUMBER FJXT943005
<p>life-cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, and new construction). This analysis indicates the new construction alternative is the most economical. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
DORMITORY (DBOF)	FJXT943005	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 12
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 JAN 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 23
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		192
(b) All Other Design Costs		208
(c) Total		400
(d) Contract		78
(e) In-house		322
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER DINING FACILITY (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 4.18.96	6. CATEGORY CODE 722-351	7. PROJECT NUMBER FJXT933000	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ADD TO AND ALTER DINING FACILITY (DBOF)	SF	15,950		1,738	
ADDITION	SF	1,150	160	(184)	
ALTERATION	SF	14,800	105	(1,554)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				420	
UTILITIES	LS			(200)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(75)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(110)	
ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS			(33)	
SUBTOTAL				2,158	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				216	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,374	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				142	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,516	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,500	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab and roof panels. All electrical, mechanical, and structural work necessary to reconfigure existing kitchen, serving area and dining area. Air Conditioning: 30 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 17,800 SF ADEQUATE: 1,850 SF SUBSTANDARD: 14,800 SF PROJECT: Add to and alter dining facility. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Adequate space is required for food preparation, serving line, dishwashing equipment, dining area, and storage of perishable and non-perishable food. CURRENT SITUATION: The dining hall activities are presently being conducted in a facility that is too small and not properly configured to support assigned and transient personnel. Inefficiencies include 17-year-old outdated serving line equipment, lack of adequate sanitation, and inadequately sized or configured dining and kitchen area which does not permit optimal use of existing space. The existing dining facility was constructed in 1975. Subsequent to this construction, sanitation standards have changed in kitchen washing and cleaning operations which cannot be met due to the design and equipment layout. Insufficient storage space for pots and pans has caused medical write-ups for sanitation discrepancies. Additional space is required for patron seating. Lack of adequate seating space and food serving line causes unnecessary delays for personnel who are on meal breaks from their jobs. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Dining hall operations will continue to be performed in a substandard and overcrowded facility. Morale of our personnel will continue to be adversely impacted if they are forced to take meals in such an inadequate and unattractive facility.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER DINING FACILITY (DBOF)	FJXT933000	
<p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". A life-cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, addition/alteration and new construction). This analysis indicates the addition/alteration alternative is the most economical. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER DINING FACILITY (DBOF)	FJXT933000	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 JAN 29
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		2%
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 APR 26
(d) Date Design Complete		93 NOV 12
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		150
(b) All Other Design Costs		100
(c) Total		250
(d) Contract		170
(e) In-house		80
(4) Construction Start		
		94 AUG
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 7.28.96	6. CATEGORY CODE 721-312	7. PROJECT NUMBER UHHZ933001	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 4,300		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)	SF	57,200		3,176	
ADDITION	SF	6,700	67	(449)	
ALTERATION	SF	50,500	54	(2,727)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				530	
UTILITIES	LS			(290)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(85)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(110)	
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(45)	
SUBTOTAL				3,706	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				371	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,077	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				245	
TOTAL REQUEST				4,322	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,300	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter interior partitioning to provide room-bath-room modules, exterior entrances and balconies; extend roofline and upgrade exterior; install cable TV system; upgrade laundry rooms, HVAC and utility systems, provide insulation and necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,526 PN ADEQUATE: 960 PN SUBSTANDARD: 272 PN PROJECT: Add to and alter two dormitories. (Current Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT</u> : A major Air Force objective is to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs which these people must perform. <u>CURRENT SITUATION</u> : The buildings were constructed in 1960 when standards of construction for bachelor quarters were considerably lower. Common latrines, inadequate lighting, poor insulation and sound attenuation, obsolete electrical and mechanical systems, and lack of privacy are major deficiencies of these facilities. This is the third phase of a three phase effort to provide adequate quarters for enlisted personnel at this base. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED</u> : Substandard living conditions will continue to degrade the morale, productivity and career satisfaction of enlisted personnel assigned to this base. <u>ADDITIONAL</u> : This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". While it is recognized that the initial cost of renovating these facilities exceeds 70 percent of the replacement cost, a life-cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)	UHHZ933001	
<p>(status quo, renovation, new construction, and leasing). This analysis indicates the renovation alternative is the most economical over the life of the facility.</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)		5. PROJECT NUMBER UHHZ933001
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 25
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		ROBINS
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		155
(b) All Other Design Costs		110
(c) Total		265
(d) Contract		178
(e) In-house		87
(4) Construction Start		
		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
SCOTT AIR FORCE BASE, ILLINOIS			MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITY/ LAND ACQUISITION (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.18.96	422-253	VDYD923004	2,450		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITY/ LAND ACQUISITION (DBOF)	LS			1,538	
MULTICUBICLE MAGAZINE STORAGE	SF	4,850	150	(728)	
MUNITIONS HOLDING AREA	SF	400	150	(60)	
MUNITIONS MAINT ADMINISTRATION	SF	1,000	100	(100)	
LAND, FEE PURCHASE	AC	22	19,090	(420)	
LAND, EASEMENT	AC	230	1,000	(230)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				480	
UTILITIES	LS			(380)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(100)	
SUBTOTAL				2,018	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				101	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,119	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				127	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,246	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,450	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab. Area includes 14 munitions storage bays, fire sprinkler system and a munitions inspection and maintenance facility. Also included are roads, parking fencing, security lighting and alarms and necessary support. Land purchase includes 22 acres and easements include 230 acres.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 6,250 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 410 SF PROJECT: Construct a munitions storage facility and land acquisition. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Adequate munitions storage and inspection area is required to support training, operational and mobility requirements. Storage magazines must be sized to accommodate the 463L aircraft pallet to meet mobility requirements. Space must be provided to support the security police air base ground defense unit, the explosives ordnance disposal team, HQ AMC combat controllers and mobility missions and training needs of various base organizations. Location should conform to quantity distance criteria for minimum blast and fragmentation distances from inhabited buildings and public roadways. Land purchase consisting of 22 acres is required for construction of munitions storage, munitions maintenance space, and parking area. Easements consisting of 230 acres is required to provide the required buffer zone specified in quantity distance criteria for munitions storage facilities. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing munitions storage/training facility is too small, providing less than ten percent of space required to support base requirements. Lack of space requires munitions to be stored at Little Rock AFB and an Army Depot 50 miles away. During recent DESERT STORM Operations, the 375 CSG Air Base Ground Defense Unit had to deploy without their munitions because munitions were stored at Little Rock. The					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
SCOTT AIR FORCE BASE, ILLINOIS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITY/ LAND ACQUISITION (DBOF)	VDYD923004	
<p>existing location does not meet quantity distance criteria for minimum blast and fragmentation distances to inhabited buildings (1,250 feet; nearest building is 250 feet) and public traffic routes (750 feet; nearest road is 100 feet). There is no available space on base on which to construct this facility. Therefore, land must be purchased as part of this project.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Mission requirements for training, mobility and operations will be severely impacted by depending on other installations, distant from the base, for munitions storage.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
SCOTT AIR FORCE BASE, ILLINOIS		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITY/ LAND ACQUISITION (DBOF)		VDYD923004
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 30
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		15%
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 AUG 16
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		123
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		123
(d) Contract		123
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND			AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.18.96	141-782	AJXF923002	4,400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL (DBOF)	LS			3,286	
AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL	SF	33,800	83	(2,805)	
ADMINISTRATIVE SPACE	SF	4,800	92	(442)	
AIR FREIGHT PAVED STORAGE	SY	1,700	23	(39)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				675	
UTILITIES	LS			(290)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(290)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(35)	
SUBTOTAL				3,961	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				198	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,159	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				250	
TOTAL REQUEST				4,409	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,400	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete footings, foundation and floor slab, structural steel frame, insulated walls and roof, fire protection system, and utilities. Area includes space for covered pallet-train buildup, packing and crating, administration and necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 38,600 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 18,700 SF PROJECT: Construct an air freight terminal. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Adequate facilities for conveyable and non-conveyable cargo, pallet buildup and netting, aircraft pallet storage, special cargo processing and storage, packing and crating and freight management. Facility will be configured to accommodate modern mechanized handling equipment. CURRENT SITUATION: Air freight operations are currently accomplished in an aircraft maintenance hangar which provides only 50 percent of the required space. Inefficiencies include lack of off-load docks, packing and crating area, outdated, inefficient material handling equipment and inadequate security for sensitive cargo. Cargo is processed to support State Department, embassy flights, foreign governments and special high visibility flights. Much of this cargo requires a secure storage area which can only be achieved by posting security police to guard the cargo until it can be processed for shipping. Aircraft pallet storage located outside offers no protection from the elements. When vacated, the space (18,700 SF) will be converted back to hangar space and thereby reduce the 64,000 SF hangar space deficiency at Andrews. Remaining hangar space deficiency will be satisfied in future MILCON program. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air freight operations will continue to be performed in a substandard facility which results in reduced productivity					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL (DBOF)	AJXF923002	
<p>and low worker morale.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". A life-cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, contracting out services, renovation and new construction). This analysis indicates the new construction alternative is the most economical. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL (DBOF)	AJXF923002	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 SEP 17	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 OCT 29	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 SEP 27	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	225	
(b) All Other Design Costs	138	
(c) Total	363	
(d) Contract	326	
(e) In-house	37	
(4) Construction Start		
	93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND			FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.18.56	179-511	AJXF953020	1,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)		EA	1	740,000	740
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					155
UTILITIES		LS			(60)
PAVEMENTS		SY	35	1,429	(50)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(45)
SUBTOTAL					895
CONTINGENCY (5%)					45
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					940
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					56
TOTAL REQUEST					996
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,000
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Live fire training facility with large frame aircraft mock-up, polyethylene liner system, JP-4 fuel pump and piping system, commercial power hook-up, gravity oil/water separator, access road, effluent holding pond and all necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1 LS ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 1 LS PROJECT: Construct fire training facility. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance project. A live fire training facility is required to meet all environmental and safety regulations. Live fire training exercises, an FAA quarterly requirement, enable fire fighters to maintain a high level of proficiency by extinguishing two types of live fires: mass fuel spills and three dimensional (running fuel) fires. These exercises, performed on mock-ups typical of the mission assigned aircraft, have created compliance problems with the Clean Water Act. An impermeable lining below the pit area is required to prevent waste oils and fuels from leaching into the ground and contaminating the groundwater. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing live fire training facility violated EPA pollution standards and was closed 30 April 1990. It is inadequate for training as defined by Air Force regulation. The current aircraft mock-up is smaller than the required size and is not accessible for multi-directional approaches creating an artificial environment which limits the quality of training. The existing facility does not have high-density polyethylene flexible membrane liners and nets, a leak detection system, and spill containment capability. There are no environmentally approved live fire training facilities in the local area. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The existing facility is closed because of previous violations of environmental requirements. Required live fire					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)	AJXF953020	
<p>training for the assigned fire fighters is not available. Without the stress and realism that come only with live fires, the fire fighters lose proficiency in combating fires. The potential for loss of aircraft and life is increased.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There are no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". This project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)	AJXF953020	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 OCT 10	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	65%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 OCT 31	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 OCT 10	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	SCOTT	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	60	
(b) All Other Design Costs	20	
(c) Total	80	
(d) Contract	60	
(e) In-house	20	
(4) Construction Start	93 DEC	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND			UPGRADE COMPOSITE ADMINISTRATION FACILITY (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
9.12.12S	610-000	AJXF943010	9,940		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UPGRADE COMPOSITE ADMINISTRATION FACILITY (DBOF)		SF	347,500	7	2,433
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					6,050
HVAC		LS			(3,600)
DEMOLITION		SF	215,000	5	(1,075)
COMMUNICATIONS		LS			(875)
SYSTEMS FURNITURE		LS			(500)
SUBTOTAL					8,483
CONTINGENCY (10%)					848
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					9,331
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)					607
TOTAL REQUEST					9,938
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					9,940
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)					(650)
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Structural, mechanical and electrical upgrade required to accommodate new occupants.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 672,931 SF ADEQUATE: 341,944 SF SUBSTANDARD: 190,087 SF PROJECT: Upgrade composite administration building and inadequate utility systems for new occupancy following relocation/integration of Air Force Systems Command into new command. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Improve existing facility to support complete change in occupancy. New occupants will move into the facility from substandard facilities on Andrews and from other installations. Demolition of 10 substandard facilities totalling 65 KSF and a Bolling AFB 119.8 KSF facility is included in this project. An additional 7 facilities totalling 31 KSF will be temporarily reused to relieve a space shortage in the Air National Guard Bureau (ANGB) HQ and demolished during FY95 MILCON construction of a new ANGB facility. Total space reductions achieved through this project are demolition of 215 KSF of substandard facilities. This project supports facility consolidation and reduction initiatives. This facility will provide a centralized customer service center for base civilian and military personnel, finance, pass and identification, legal, claim services, transportation management office and scheduled airlines ticket office. It will also become the new headquarters for HQ/AFOSI. CURRENT SITUATION: Combining Air Force Systems Command and Air Force Logistics Command into Air Force Materiel Command at Wright-Patterson AFB leaves bldg 1535 at Andrews AFB available for complete change of occupancy. Administrative occupants from Andrews and from the National Capital Region (NCR) will move into existing offices. HQ/AFOSI's current facility suffers from severe termite damage precluding the addition of					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE COMPOSITE ADMINISTRATION FACILITY (DBOF)	AJXF943010	
<p>safes and the updating of computer systems, in addition to causing a safety hazard. To date, the 89 AW and 89 SPTG staff have moved in with portions of finance, manpower, 89 AW Judge Advocate, 89 AW Community Support, CBPO, Civilian Personnel and Traffic Management. The functions which can move without construction have moved. The major components of the heating system are original from 1946 construction of the building, and the air conditioning system is 1964 construction. The main chillers and air handling units are no longer available, and the system is overtaxed because the loads in the building have gradually increased over the years. Air handling units are undersized for the building configuration and loads and wall mounted fan coil units are ineffective for open office areas. Current substandard facilities require inordinate amounts of utilities, maintenance and repair. Parts are not available to repair obsolete systems, requiring extra effort in manufacturing or altering substitutes.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> If upgrades are not accomplished building tenants will be forced to occupy substandard spaces. HQ AFOSI will not be able to meet expanding mission requirements levied by DOD, HQ USAF, and the NCA because of the structural limitations of their current facility. In addition, the condition of facility systems, including HVAC, lighting, electrical, and security will continue to degrade, increasing the drain on scarce O&M resources. System outages impact the ability of occupants to perform their missions.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project will result in the vacating of facilities on the following government properties: Washington Navy Yard (1,100 SF), Bolling AFB (98,500 SF) and Fort Belvoir (19,652 SF). Initial beddown requirements (excluding HQ AFOSI) were met under O&M renovation project AJXF921586, Renovate Base Headquarters. The primary justification for this project is consolidation and reduction of space. An economic analysis has been performed which supports this project as the most economical alternative to provide adequate facilities.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE COMPOSITE ADMINISTRATION FACILITY (DBOF)		AJXF943010	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a)	Date Design Started		93 APR 20
(b)	Percent Complete as of Jan 93		2%
(c)	Date 35% Designed		93 OCT 01
(d)	Date Design Complete		94 MAR 10
(2) Basis:			
(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):			(\$000)
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications		483
(b)	All Other Design Costs		322
(c)	Total		805
(d)	Contract		478
(e)	In-house		327
(4) Construction Start			94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:			
EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
OFFICE EQUIPMENT	3080	93	650

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
MALMSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, MONTANA			BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
1.18.96M	219-944	NZAS943250	6,200		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX (DBOF)		SF	47,900		4,753
BASE PAVEMENTS & GROUND FACILITY		SF	17,500	150	(2,625)
BASE ENGINEER COVERED STORAGE		SF	30,400	70	(2,128)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					840
UTILITIES/COMM SUPPORT		LS			(740)
PAVEMENTS		SY	2,800	36	(100)
SUBTOTAL					5,593
CONTINGENCY (5%)					260
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					5,873
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					352
TOTAL REQUEST					6,225
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					6,200
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation footings and floor slabs, concrete masonry unit or reinforced precast concrete walls, sloped roof on steel deck, electrically operated vehicle doors, utility services, oil/water separator, storm drainage, parking and storage area pavement upgrade, curbs, gutters, security fencing, CID, fire protection, area lighting and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 10 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 163,415 SF ADEQUATE: 107,353 SF SUBSTANDARD: 47,332 SF <u>PROJECT:</u> Construct a base engineering complex. (Current Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Adequate and properly configured facilities are required to house base and missile site workforce management and control and in-house engineering and contract management staffs. Covered storage is required to protect construction materials and snow removal equipment/materials from pilferage and exposure to the deteriorating influences of the weather. Properly configured pavements and grounds and maintenance shop space must be provided for effective execution of facility and utility maintenance and repair responsibilities throughout the base, and off-base missile launch and control facilities. These functions must have an environment conducive to the effective maintenance and support of all facilities, and design/construction of facility projects. Overall space requirements have been adjusted downward in view of reduced future manning for the BCE organization. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Adequate and safe facilities are not available. The pavements and equipment shop, exterior electric, equipment and material staging areas, and the management offices to support missile engineering, readiness management and training are currently accommodated in a					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MALMSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, MONTANA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX (DBOF)	NZAS943250	
<p>deteriorated World War II wood frame hangar. The facility has been assigned an OSHA Risk Assessment Code (RAC) II, and a Fire Protection Deficiency Code of II, both identifying a serious deficiency which could result in loss of life or resources valued at over \$1M. Because of its location on the flightline, the facility also creates a hazard for aircraft operations due to mud and debris falling from maintenance vehicles and creating a foreign object damage potential for aircraft. The wood roof and wall trusses are cracked and rotten and the built-up roof leaks onto equipment and materials stored in the facility. The hangar has large sections where the cement asbestos siding is missing and has been replaced with painted plywood. The hangar has no wall or roof insulation and no fire suppression or detection systems. Most of the electrical distribution and lighting systems are 1943 vintage and are totally inadequate. The electrical distribution system is overloaded and is a fire hazard. A portion of the base engineer materials and equipment staging areas are located separately from the maintenance complex. This project will consolidate these functions.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Base Civil Engineering management and support functions will continue operating from unsafe, undersized, separated and inadequate facilities which negatively impact mission capability and the morale of assigned personnel. The potential for catastrophic fire or industrial accident with serious injuries or significant loss of life and resources will remain unacceptably high.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MALMSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, MONTANA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX (DBOF)	NZAS943250	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		88 DEC 22
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		90 SEP 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		372
(b) All Other Design Costs		180
(c) Total		552
(d) Contract		439
(e) In-house		113
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA			C-17 FIRE STATION (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.11.30M	130-142	AGCN943000	780		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
C-17 FIRE STATION (DBOF)		SF	2,600	110	286
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					415
UTILITIES		LS			(150)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(250)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(15)
SUBTOTAL					701
CONTINGENCY (5%)					35
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					736
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					44
TOTAL REQUEST					780
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					780
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete footings, foundation, and floor slab, masonry walls, steel joists, roof decking, and sloped roof. Extend utilities as necessary, and construct asphalt access road. Air Conditioning: 5 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 19,100 SF ADEQUATE: 16,500 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct C-17 fire station. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: A secondary fire station is needed to safeguard aircrews and aircraft on the assault strip (FY91 MILCON) and parallel runway (FY92 MILCON). This fire station will allow prepositioning of crash-rescue vehicles in close proximity to these new launch and recovery surfaces. CURRENT SITUATION: Air Force regulations require that the fire department be able to respond to an unannounced aircraft emergency anywhere on the airfield within three minutes. Vehicles located at the base's single fire station cannot meet the response requirement to the new launch and recovery surfaces. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Aircrew and aircraft will be at higher risk due to lack of fire protection in close proximity. Crash equipment will have to travel up to one mile further before they arrive at the accident scene. Minor accidents may become more severe, possibly resulting in the unnecessary loss of life, if the fire department cannot respond within three minutes. The base's airlift training and aerial refueling missions will be put at higher risk. ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
C-17 FIRE STATION (DBOF)	ACGN943000	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 17
(d) Date Design Complete		93 MAY 21
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		47
(b) All Other Design Costs		67
(c) Total		114
(d) Contract		82
(e) In-house		32
(4) Construction Start		
		94 FEB
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA			C-17 ADD TO FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING FACILITY, PHII (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.11.30M	171-212	ACGN943004	2,850		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/H	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
C-17 ADD TO FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING FACILITY, PHII (DBOF)	SF	12,400	165	2,046	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				375	
UTILITIES	LS			(210)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(55)	
PAVEMENTS	SY	2,850	39	(110)	
SUBTOTAL				2,421	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				242	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,663	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				160	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,823	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,850	
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(63,600)	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundations, floor slab, masonry walls, high bay areas, and sloped roof. All special foundations and utilities systems to support the simulator equipment. Also included are supporting pavements for roads, parking and sidewalks. Air Conditioning: 50 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 127,667 SF ADEQUATE: 115,292 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Add to C-17 flight simulation training facility, phase 2 of 2. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: Four bays are required to house new six-way flight simulators for the C-17 aircrew training program. These simulators will provide initial training, qualification, proficiency, and effective mission procedures training. These simulators are essential to provide hazardous emergency training procedures that otherwise could not be provided. For example, it would not be possible to actually fly three engine take offs safely. Facility construction is required in FY94 in order that the facility be construction complete in August 1995 to meet the simulator equipment delivery date of September 1995. CURRENT SITUATION: This project is the second phase of a two phase program to construct flight simulator facilities to support the beddown of the C-17 aircraft at this base. The first phase was approved in the FY91 MILCON program to support initial delivery of the new aircraft and construction will be completed in 1993. This request will provide the final three bays needed to support C-17 aircrew training requirements. Other existing simulator facilities are and will continue to be in use for C-141, C-5, and KC-135 aircrew training. There are no other facilities on base which can be upgraded to meet this requirement. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The beddown of the C-17 aircraft could not be					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
C-17 ADD TO FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING FACILITY, PHII (DBOF)	AGGN943004	
<p>accomplished without providing an adequate flight simulator facility for training aircrews. Delay in providing requested construction will be grounds for the simulator equipment vendor to renegotiate the contract to provide the three simulators. It is estimated that a new contract will result in a \$30 to \$40 million increase to purchase simulator equipment. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, addition and new construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option (addition) that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA			
4. PROJECT TITLE C-17 ADD TO FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING FACILITY, PHII (DBOP)			5. PROJECT NUMBER ACGN943004
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a) Date Design Started			92 JUL 16
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93			35%
(c) Date 35% Designed			92 AUG 16
(d) Date Design Complete			93 JUN 11
(2) Basis:			
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -			NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -			N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):			(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications			135
(b) All Other Design Costs			116
(c) Total			251
(d) Contract			180
(e) In-house			71
(4) Construction Start			94 FEB
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:			
EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
C-17 FLIGHT SIMULATOR	3080	FY92	63600

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA			C-17 ADD TO AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.11.30M	218-712	AGGN923002	3,300		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
C-17 ADD TO AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY (DBOF)	SF	27,000		2,518	
AEROSPACE GROUND EQUIPMENT ADDITION	SF	8,200	100	(820)	
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT ADDITION	SF	12,000	86	(1,032)	
EQUIPMENT SHOP/STORAGE ADDITION	SF	6,800	98	(666)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				295	
UTILITIES	LS			(100)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(35)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(60)	
FUEL DISPENSING/STORAGE	LS			(50)	
SUBTOTAL				2,813	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				281	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,094	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				186	
TOTAL REQUEST				3,280	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,300	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Addition to Aircraft Ground Equipment (AGE) Shop will have concrete footings, foundation and floor slab with high bay steel frame, insulated walls and roof and overhead two ton hoist. Addition to the Aircraft Maintenance Unit (AMU) will be a steel framed mezzanine, latrines, utilities and necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Add to C-17 aircraft maintenance facility. (New Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: Properly sized and configured facility for maintenance, repair and storage of powered aircraft support equipment including administrative support, tools, maintenance equipment, bench stock, technical data library and battery storage to support the beddown of the C-17 aircraft. A properly sized Aircraft Maintenance Unit (AMU) is required for the displaced C-5 AMU in Building 279 (AGE Shop) and the new C-17 AMU to provide adequate administrative support, tool kit storage area, change rooms, and technical data library to support each weapon system.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The existing aircraft support shop is not properly configured, sized to support the new mission. The existing facility does not allow for easy access to maintenance bays for daily maintenance or storage to prevent damage from inclement weather. Equipment awaiting parts must be secured and placed outside to allow other equipment to be maintained. There are no other facilities available to house the new C-17 AMU or the C-5 AMU relocated with this project without construction of additional space.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: It will not be possible to provide adequate aircraft support equipment maintenance and AMU space for the new C-17 aircraft and AMU space to support the C-5 aircraft. Lack of functional					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
C-17 ADD TO AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY (DBOF)	AGGN923002	
<p>AGE and AMU facilities will delay aircraft generation, and in some cases, loss of critical training missions.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". An economic analysis has been prepared which supports this project. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
C-17 ADD TO AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY (DBOF)	AGGN923002	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 16
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 17
(d) Date Design Complete		93 MAY 21
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		200
(b) All Other Design Costs		187
(c) Total		387
(d) Contract		239
(e) In-house		148
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA			4. PROJECT TITLE INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER REGIONAL CONNECTION (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 7.80.56	6. CATEGORY CODE 831-155	7. PROJECT NUMBER WWYK943011	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 5,400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER REGIONAL CONNECTION (DBOF)		LS			3,650
INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER LINES		LF	15,000	170	(2,550)
LIFT STATIONS		EA	2	550,000	(1,100)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					1,175
UTILITIES		LS			(225)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(300)
LEAK MONITORING SYSTEM		LS			(400)
COMMINUTOR		LS			(250)
SUBTOTAL					4,825
CONTINGENCY (5%)					241
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					5,066
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					304
TOTAL REQUEST					5,370
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					5,400
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Install a force and gravity flow wastewater connection main with an interstitial monitoring system. Includes pumps, valves, ancillary items and necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Install a wastewater regional connection pipeline. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance project to provide a connection to the local municipal sewage collection system to allow Tinker AFB to meet permitted effluent limitations for wastewater discharge from the two base treatment plants. A sewage lift station and a section of forced mains are required to pump the effluent uphill from the base treatment plants, and a comminutor is needed to grind solids in the wastewater. Connection must be provided by August 1995 to ensure compliance.					
CURRENT SITUATION: Effluent from the existing industrial wastewater treatment plant (IWTP) and sanitary treatment plant (STP) discharges into an intermittent creek. The low water to effluent ratio at the point of discharge results in very low permit discharge limits for many constituents. The plants have a history of noncompliance. Both plants are barely meeting discharge limits. The current base discharge permit expires in August 1993. The state has indicated that upon renewal, the base's permit will contain even lower limits to meet Clean Water Act, Section 304(l) requirements for toxicity control. The IWTP could not meet lower limits without the benefit of a major upgrade. Upon completion of this project the IWTP would be retained as a pretreatment facility and the STP will be closed.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The base industrial wastewater treatment plant					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER REGIONAL CONNECTION (DBOF)	WWYK943011	
<p>will continue to exceed National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitted limits for effluent discharge. Potential fines and possible plant closure may result. Plant closure will shut down critical depot maintenance operations, severely crippling depot mission accomplishment.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in either Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or AFM 86-2. All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exemption has been prepared.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER REGIONAL CONNECTION (DBOF)	WWYK943011	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 16
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 06
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		320
(b) All Other Design Costs		175
(c) Total		495
(d) Contract		330
(e) In-house		165
(4) Construction Start		94 APR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CHARLESTON AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA			4. PROJECT TITLE FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.18.56	179-511	DKFX963500	1,100		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)		EA	1	815,000	815
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					155
UTILITIES		LS			(60)
PAVEMENTS		SY	1,300	35	(45)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(50)
SUBTOTAL					970
CONTINGENCY (5%)					49
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,019
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					61
TOTAL REQUEST					1,080
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,100
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Live fire training facility with large frame aircraft mock-up, polyethylene liner system, JP-4 fuel pump and piping system, commercial power hook-up, gravity oil/water separator, access road, effluent holding pond and all necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1 LS ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 1 LS PROJECT: Construct fire training facility. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance project. A live fire training facility is required to meet all environmental and safety regulations. Live fire training exercises, an FAA quarterly requirement, enable fire fighters to maintain a high level of proficiency by extinguishing two types of live fires: mass fuel spills and three dimensional (running fuel) fires. These exercises, performed on mock-ups typical of the mission assigned aircraft, have created compliance problems with the Clean Water Act. An impermeable lining below the pit area is required to prevent waste oils and fuels from leaching into the ground and contaminating the groundwater. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing live fire training facility violated EPA pollution standards and was closed in 1984. It is inadequate for training as defined by Air Force regulation. The current aircraft mock-up is smaller than the required size and is not accessible for multi-directional approaches creating an artificial environment which limits the quality of training. The existing facility does not have high-density polyethylene flexible membrane liners and nets, a leak detection system, and spill containment capability. There are no environmentally approved live fire training facilities in the local area. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The existing facility is closed because it does not meet environmental requirements. Required live fire training for the					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
CHARLESTON AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)	DKFX963500	
<p>assigned fire fighters is not available. Without the stress and realism that come only with live fires, the fire fighters lose proficiency in combating fires. The potential for loss of aircraft and life is increased.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There are no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". This project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
CHARLESTON AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)	DKFX963500	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 JUN 30	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	65%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 SEP 15	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 JUN 25	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	SCOTT	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	57	
(b) All Other Design Costs	19	
(c) Total	76	
(d) Contract	57	
(e) In-house	19	
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			C-17 ADAL NONDESTRUCTIVE INSPECTION FACILITY (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.11.30L	211-153	MBPB943007	4,900		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/H	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
C-17 ADAL NONDESTRUCTIVE INSPECTION FACILITY (DBOF)	SF	74,000		4,036	
ALTERATION	SF	57,000	38	(2,166)	
ADDITION	SF	17,000	110	(1,870)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				170	
UTILITIES	LS			(120)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(50)	
SUBTOTAL				4,206	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				421	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,627	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				278	
TOTAL REQUEST				4,905	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,900	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Addition includes concrete foundation and floor slab, metal walls and roof, relocation of hangar doors with new doors in the center section. Alteration includes upgrade of drainage and fire suppression systems, replacement of lighting and HVAC equipment, painting and necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 87,750 SF ADEQUATE: 13,750 SF SUBSTANDARD: 57,000 SF PROJECT: Add to and alter a C-17 nondestructive inspection (NDI) facility. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: A properly sized and configured facility is required in which to perform nondestructive inspections on C-17 aircraft prior to the onset of depot maintenance operations at this base. The facility must be large enough to house the entire aircraft and must have adequate utilities and fire protection. An addition to the existing hangar is needed to enclose the C-17 empennage section and revitalization of the utilities is needed to ensure efficient and reliable inspections. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing facility was constructed in 1942 as an aircraft maintenance hangar. While the building is structurally sound, it is neither large enough nor high enough to enclose the C-17 tail section. Additionally, the heating and ventilation systems are marginal, while the industrial waste drains, lighting, hoists and fire protection system are inadequate for nondestructive inspection activities. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Deferral of inspection workloads until space becomes available in the maintenance hangar, which will upset depot maintenance schedules and delay return of the aircraft to the using organization. ADDITIONAL: The requirement for this project was validated by the Joint Service Depot Maintenance Industrial Military Construction Review Panel in					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
C-17 ADAL NONDESTRUCTIVE INSPECTION FACILITY (DBOF)		MBPB943007	
<p>May 1990. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, contracting and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternative, revitalization was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project.</p>			

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE C-17 ADAL NONDESTRUCTIVE INSPECTION FACILITY (DBOF)		5. PROJECT NUMBER MBPB943007
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 05
(d) Date Design Complete		93 OCT 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		275
(b) All Other Design Costs		117
(c) Total		392
(d) Contract		275
(e) In-house		117
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		4. PROJECT TITLE C-17 ALTER DEPOT AVIONICS FACILITY (DBOF)	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 4.11.30L	6. CATEGORY CODE 217-712	7. PROJECT NUMBER MBPB933003	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 731

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
C-17 ALTER DEPOT AVIONICS FACILITY (DBOF)	SF	23,000	26	598
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				30
UTILITIES	LS			(30)
SUBTOTAL				628
CONTINGENCY (10%)				63
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				691
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				41
TOTAL REQUEST				732
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				731
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(15,400)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Installation of additional lighting, improved electric power, sound abatement and necessary support.

11. REQUIREMENT: 220,900 SF ADEQUATE: 189,900 SF

SUBSTANDARD: 23,000 SF

PROJECT: Alter a C-17 depot avionics facility. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: A suitable facility is required to provide a completely organic capability for depot repair and test of C-17 avionics systems and components to maintain combat readiness. Environmentally controlled areas for test and overhaul of shop-repairable components, radar and antenna array and radomes are required. Under the C-17 concept, the depot must also establish an avionics intermediate shop which will be used to test and repair line-replaceable units as well as some software associated with avionics. Minor alterations to an existing building are needed to satisfy this requirement.

CURRENT SITUATION: Even though the existing depot avionics shops are utilized to near capacity, reductions in other workloads will make space available to accommodate the C-17 workload within existing space; however, some of that space will have to be modified to accommodate state-of-the-art technologies associated with the C-17. Deficiencies include inadequate lighting, unconditioned electrical power and inadequate noise abatement.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Most of the avionics support for the C-17 will have to be contracted out at greatly increased costs. More importantly, the divided repair responsibility will result in significant delays in completion of depot repairs and return of aircraft to operational units.

ADDITIONAL: The requirement for this project was validated by the Joint Service Depot Maintenance Industrial Military Construction Review Panel in

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
C-17 ALTER DEPOT AVIONICS FACILITY (DBOF)	MBPB933003	
<p>May 1990. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide", or in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
C-17 ALTER DEPOT AVIONICS FACILITY (DBOF)	MBPB933003	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 SEP 05
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 20
(d) Date Design Complete		93 OCT 14
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		43
(b) All Other Design Costs		66
(c) Total		109
(d) Contract		56
(e) In-house		53
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:		
EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED
		COST (\$000)
13 SHOP REPLACEABLE UNIT TEST EQUIPMENT	3010	FY94
		15400

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT CENTER, PHASE II (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 7.28.96	6. CATEGORY CODE 610-675	7. PROJECT NUMBER MBPB943012	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 7,800		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ALTER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT CENTER, PHASE II (DBOF)		LS			5,770
INTERIOR ALTERATIONS		SF	130,000	29	(3,770)
EXTERIOR UPGRADE		LS			(2,000)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					905
UTILITIES		LS			(200)
PAVEMENTS		SY	10,500	29	(305)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(100)
DEMOLITION		LS			(300)
SUBTOTAL					6,675
CONTINGENCY (10%)					668
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					7,343
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					441
TOTAL REQUEST					7,784
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					7,800
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Interior alterations include new suspended ceiling and lighting, fire detection and suppression system, carpet, wall covering, asbestos removal, window/door replacement, HVAC and electrical system upgrade. Exterior work includes window and entrance improvements, painting, site clean-up and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 30 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,050,000 SF ADEQUATE: 729,600 SF SUBSTANDARD: 607,500 SF PROJECT: Alter a weapon systems support center. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A facility of adequate size and configuration with proper environmental controls is needed to assure timely and efficient logistical support to the managed weapon systems. Significant economies will result from collocation of logistics functions, directed by Defense Management Review (DMR) actions. These involve the acquisition, modification, repair and distribution of aircraft, engines and spare parts. Space savings envisioned in this project will make such a collocation possible without added floor space. This final phase will allow consolidation of material management functions currently located in remote buildings. Required improvements include installation of energy efficient doors, windows, lighting, and air conditioning, and replacement of worn out floor covering and asbestos ceilings. CURRENT SITUATION: Core logistic functions are fragmented in five inadequate facilities separated by up to 1.5 miles. This fragmentation adversely impacts productivity and increases logistics response and pipeline times. The majority of the logistics professionals have been cramped into the inadequate Weapon Systems Support Center, which was constructed in 1942 as a warehouse and later converted to an administrative facility.					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT CENTER, PHASE II (DBOP)		5. PROJECT NUMBER MBPB943012
<p>Projected force reductions resulting from the DMR, now make it possible to house all core logistics functions in the same building, if the floor plan is revised and building deficiencies corrected to accommodate long-term use. Building deficiencies include an unsafe electrical system (Risk Assessment Code 2 has been assigned), inadequate air conditioning, asbestos insulation and ceiling tiles, rotted window frames, and worn out exterior doors. The facility consumes energy at a rate 25% higher than a new or upgraded facility. Consolidation of functions will not only improve productivity, but will permit the demolition of about 28,000 square feet of substandard facilities upon completion of this project.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Continued fragmentation of core logistics personnel and processes will significantly degrade logistics support to other major commands and friendly foreign nations, weakening their combat readiness posture. It will also increase DOD logistical support costs, waste energy, and defeat zero overpricing initiatives.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing, private sector financing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, revitalization was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
ALTER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT CENTER, PHASE II (DBOF)		MBPB943012
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 APR 12
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		50%
(c) Date 35% Designed		91 JUN 20
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		310
(b) All Other Design Costs		216
(c) Total		526
(d) Contract		310
(e) In-house		216
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
7.28.96	721-312	MBPB867337	2,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)		SF	28,650		1,500
ADDITION		SF	3,350	70	(235)
ALTERATIONS		SF	25,300	50	(1,265)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES			1		200
PAVEMENTS		LS			(125)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT		LS			(25)
UTILITIES		LS			(25)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(25)
SUBTOTAL					1,700
CONTINGENCY (10%)					170
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,870
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					112
TOTAL REQUEST					1,982
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,000
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Remodel interior partitioning to provide room-bathroom modules, exterior entrances and balconies; extend roofline and upgrade exterior; install cable TV system, upgrade laundry rooms and HVAC systems and provide necessary support. Grade Mix: 272 E1-E4.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,357 PN ADEQUATE: 1,085 PN SUBSTANDARD: 272 PN PROJECT: Add to and alter dormitories. (Current Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> A major Air Force objective is to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing that is conducive to their proper rest, relaxation, and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters, which provide some degree of individual privacy, are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated jobs these people must perform. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The buildings to be upgraded were constructed in the early 1950s with community latrines on each floor, and are deficient in living space, privacy, sound attenuation, convenience outlets, lighting, and insulation. Many occupants are shift workers who find that the traffic in the corridors make daytime sleep difficult or impossible. This is the fourth phase of a five-phased program. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Substandard on-base living conditions will continue to degrade the morale, productivity and career satisfaction of the enlisted force. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction and revitalization. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, revitalization was found to be the most cost					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)		MBPB867337
efficient over the life of the project.		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)	MBPB867337	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 OCT 17	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 30	
(d) Date Design Complete	94 JAN 20	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	120	
(b) All Other Design Costs	200	
(c) Total	320	
(d) Contract	290	
(e) In-house	30	
(4) Construction Start	94 MAR	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH			4. PROJECT TITLE FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 7.80.56	6. CATEGORY CODE 179-511	7. PROJECT NUMBER KRS933019	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 880		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)		EA	1	570,000	570
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					220
UTILITIES		LS			(130)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(90)
SUBTOTAL					790
CONTINGENCY (5%)					40
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					830
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					50
TOTAL REQUEST					880
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					880
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Live fire training pit with aircraft mock-up and associated environmental and safety systems (water separator, holding tank and berms). Includes utilities and necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1 EA ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 1 EA PROJECT: Construct a fire training facility. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a Level I environmental compliance project which will correct violations of existing statutes. A live fire training facility meeting all environmental and safety regulations is required. FAA requires quarterly live fire training exercises to enable fire fighters to maintain a high level of proficiency by extinguishing two types of live fires: mass fuel spills and three dimensional (running fuel) fires. These exercises, performed on mock-ups typical of the mission assigned aircraft, have historically created compliance problems with the Clean Water Act. An impermeable lining below the pit area is required to prevent waste oils and fuels from leaching into the ground and to prevent groundwater from becoming contaminated by residual unburned fuel. CURRENT SITUATION: The live fire training facility at this base does not meet the standards established for this type of facility by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). While this facility is currently used on a limited basis, the lining and other environmental control measures do not meet requirements of the new Clean Water Act. Alternative training methods have been considered, but all have proven non-productive. Off-base training is not acceptable since it would remove both the crews and fire vehicles from the base, making them unavailable for response to emergencies.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)	KRS933019	
<p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Base fire crews will continue to be denied FAA required live fire training, adversely impacting their degree of readiness. Lack of training could result in injury, loss of life, or loss of an aircraft.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in either Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or AFM 86-2.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY (DBOF)	KRS933019	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 21
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		MT HOME
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		53
(b) All Other Design Costs		60
(c) Total		113
(d) Contract		47
(e) In-house		66
(4) Construction Start		
		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH			UPGRADE INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
7.80.56	831-155	KRSM943032	5,100		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UPGRADE INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT (DBOF)	KG	1,500	2,290	3,435	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				945	
UTILITIES	LS			(295)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(155)	
STORAGE BUILDING	SF	1,600	50	(80)	
REMOVE CONTAMINATED SOIL	LS			(295)	
O&M MANUAL, TRAINING AND START-UP	LS			(120)	
SUBTOTAL				4,380	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				438	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,818	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				289	
TOTAL REQUEST				5,107	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,100	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Remove concrete tanks, install new processing equipment and automated control system, and replace instrumentation. Includes necessary piping, accessories and site work, removal of existing tanks, construction of a 1600 SF storage building, removal of contaminated soil as required, and 180 day start-up operation and system certification.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,500 KG ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 1,500 KG PROJECT: Upgrade an industrial wastewater treatment plant. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance project. An adequate industrial wastewater treatment plant (IWTP) is required to remove pollutants from industrial wastewater generated from the depot's maintenance activities, including aircraft and jet engine overhaul, metal plating, painting and paint stripping. The treatment process must produce an effluent which meets the discharge pretreatment limits set by the North Davis County Sewer District. It is anticipated by 1995 that these pretreatment limits will be much lower as North Davis County further controls user discharges to allow themselves to meet lower Clean Water Act limits for toxicity and hazardous waste limits on sludge refuse.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The existing IWTP, built in 1970 and expanded in 1979, operates between 300 and 600 gallons per minute (gpm). It cannot consistently remove metals to the low concentrations required by the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System permit prior to discharge into a local waterway. This permit expires December 31, 1994, at which time the discharge limits governing Hill will likely be far more restrictive. District officials have advised base officials that new limits will be provided by August 1993. The clarifiers are too small to					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH		
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT (DBOF)	5. PROJECT NUMBER KRSM943032	
<p>provide sufficient settling of heavy metals at flows above 350 gpm. The emergency spill tank does not have capacity to store over one hour's accumulation of wastes, and the grit chamber must be manually cleaned four or five times per year. The manual control system does not allow for real time monitoring of the plant's operating parameters, resulting in delays of up to 48 hours before necessary adjustments can be made. This situation resulted in 12 days of noncompliance leading to two notices of violation in FY 1991.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The capability of the IWTP to stay in compliance with its permit limits, the Clean Water Act and RCRA will be in jeopardy. Fines or other enforcement actions, including shutdown or reduction of depot maintenance activities that generate wastewater, are a distinct possibility if the project is not provided.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> There is no criteria/scope for this project in either Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". A life cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction, and contracting out the function). This analysis indicates that alteration is clearly the best alternative over the life of the facility.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT (DBOF)	KRSW943032	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 02
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 01
(d) Date Design Complete		93 NOV 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		HILL
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		300
(b) All Other Design Costs		201
(c) Total		501
(d) Contract		399
(e) In-house		102
(4) Construction Start		94 FEB
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 4.18.96	6. CATEGORY CODE 721-312	7. PROJECT NUMBER PQWY933030	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 6,500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)		SF	63,800		5,075
ALTERATION		SF	49,600	82	(4,067)
ADDITION (BALCONIES)		SF	14,200	71	(1,008)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					485
UTILITIES		LS			(245)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(120)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(120)
SUBTOTAL					5,560
CONTINGENCY (10%)					556
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					6,116
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					367
TOTAL REQUEST					6,483
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					6,500
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade existing facility and construct addition for balcony entrances. Includes room-bath-room configuration, insulation and sound attenuation, utilities and other necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,770 PN ADEQUATE: 918 PN SUBSTANDARD: 819 PN PROJECT: Add to and alter dormitories. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective provides unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform. CURRENT SITUATION: Existing unaccompanied personnel housing is far below current DOD standards. These dormitories have central latrines, inadequate control of heating, insufficient noise attenuation and lack the necessary amenities to adequately house enlisted personnel. This project will upgrade two facilities to meet current DOD standards and is phase three of a five-phase program to upgrade dorms at McChord. Current dormitory occupancy rate at McChord is 96 percent. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Substandard living conditions on base and expensive off-base housing will continue to be a contributing factor to low morale, reduced productivity and dissatisfaction with Air Force life for unaccompanied enlisted personnel. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". A life-cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation and new					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MCCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)	PQWY933030	
<p>construction). This analysis indicates renovation is the most economical. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MCCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES (DBOF)	PQWY933030	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUN 03
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		30%
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 FEB 19
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 31
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		295
(b) All Other Design Costs		266
(c) Total		561
(d) Contract		393
(e) In-house		168
(4) Construction Start		
		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
MCCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON			CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER COMPLEX (DBOF)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
4.18.96	740-884	PQWY933011	4,400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER COMPLEX (DBOF)	SF	33,400		3,282	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER (A)	SF	16,000	100	(1,600)	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER (B)	SF	15,000	100	(1,500)	
MECHANICAL SUPPORT FACILITY	SF	2,400	76	(182)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				650	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(155)	
UTILITIES	LS			(295)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(166)	
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS REMOVAL	SF	3,200	13	(40)	
SUBTOTAL				3,932	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				197	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,129	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				248	
TOTAL REQUEST				4,377	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,400	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: All structural, electrical and mechanical work necessary to construct two child development center facilities. Includes classrooms, kitchen, multipurpose rooms, nurseries, administrative space, mechanical equipment room and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 40 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 50,550 SF ADEQUATE: 19,564 SF SUBSTANDARD: 3,200 SF PROJECT: Construct a child development center complex. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A complex which provides adequate space and environment for child development requirements and an atmosphere conducive to the needs of young dependent children of assigned military and civilian personnel. McChord has a total of 674 children of military and civilian families eligible for day care. Current space criteria restricts the size of child development centers to accommodate only 305 children in one facility. In order to provide the required space to support eligible children at this installation, two facilities are needed. CURRENT SITUATION: The current facilities accommodate only 38 percent of the demand for child care. Changes in the make-up of the military and civilian population have increased the need for full-day child care development services. Single parents, dual working couples, and working spouses have changed the focus from a recreational support activity to a mission support program. Because more children stay at the center ten hours a day, five days a week, improved developmental care facilities must provide more adequate indoor and outdoor play space, learning centers, sleeping facilities and kitchen/food service areas. Expanded program requirements cannot be provided and the increased demand for child care cannot be met due to lack of space. Current waiting list for full-time day care is 384 children. Certified home care is available (35 homes care					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MCCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER COMPLEX (DBOF)	POWY933011	
<p>for 210 children); however, additional facilities are required. One substandard facility will be disposed of upon completion of this project.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Lack of quality and affordable child care contributes to employee absenteeism, low morale and has a negative impact on the military and civilian workforces.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". A life-cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation and new construction). This analysis indicates the new construction alternative is the most economical. Project has been considered for FY97 force structure end strength.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MCCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER COMPLEX (DBOF)	PQWY933011	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 MAY 29	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	15%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	93 JUL 15	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 DEC 04	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	273	
(b) All Other Design Costs	114	
(c) Total	387	
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house	387	
(4) Construction Start	94 MAR	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

FY 1994 NARRATIVE SUMMARY

It is the Department of Defense policy that excellent housing facilities be provided for all military members and their families and continual improvement in quality be the measure of excellence. The annual military family housing appropriation supports this policy. We depend first on the local community to meet our housing needs. When not available, military family housing should meet contemporary community living standards. Our housing inventory should be operated and maintained to a standard that protects it from deterioration and maintains the quality level established by previous Congressional appropriations. Our goal is to provide quality homes that meet contemporary whole-house standards.

Family housing is one of the most important quality of life issues in the Air Force. Improving or replacing our aging housing inventory is a top facility priority. Our military members and their families expect and deserve homes which meet current standards of livability. In the era of downsizing, we cannot afford to lose highly trained Air Force members because adequate housing on or near our military installations is not available. Also, we cannot afford to let our existing military family housing inventory deteriorate, or fail to modernize it to reduce operating costs.

This budget provides a balanced program between construction, operations, maintenance, and leasing. Due to the uncertainty of basing in overseas areas, we have concentrated our construction efforts on housing in the CONUS. New construction projects for housing will replace worn-out and substandard homes in areas which violate airfield clearance and noise exposure criteria. We continue to propose projects to provide new support facilities at installations with the greatest need. The total construction funding level indicates the Air Force's dedicated commitment to replace or revitalize our existing inventory in order to meet contemporary standards. We are concentrating on our oldest homes located in the CONUS and replacing or improving as economic analysis indicates.

The operations, maintenance, and leasing accounts predominately support "must pay" requirements such as civilian pay, service contracts, lease contracts, utilities, and required maintenance to keep existing housing units from further deteriorating. The maintenance account also supports our goal to decrease the deferred maintenance and repair backlog to a one year real property maintenance level of approximately \$400 million over a ten year period. Also, the furnishings account provides for required government furniture overseas and initial issue of appliances to support new housing throughout the Air Force.

We believe this funding profile represents a well balanced program to achieve quality of life goals for military families within the fiscal constraints imposed. We respectfully request full and complete support for the Air Force family housing needs presented in this request.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

INDEX

<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
FAMILY HOUSING NARRATIVE	720
INDEX	721
SUMMARY	723
LEGISLATIVE LANGUAGE	724
Authorization	
Appropriation	
PROGRAM AND FINANCING SCHEDULES	727
NEW CONSTRUCTION	
Current Mission	732
Summary	733
Maxwell AFB AL	734
Vandenberg AFB CA	738
Patrick AFB FL	742
Tyndall AFB FL	746
Robins AFB GA	748
Barksdale AFB LA	752
Hanscom AFB MA	756
Lackland AFB TX	760
Fairchild AFB WA	764
F.W.Warren AFB WY	768
Comiso AS, IT	772
Little Rock AFB AR	773
Malmstrom AFB MT	774
Dyess AFB TX	775
Langley AFB VA	777
POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION	
Summary	780
United States	
Alaska	782
Arizona	782

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

INDEX (con't)

United States (continued)	
California	783
Colorado	784
Florida	784
Idaho	785
Illinois	785
Ohio	786
South Carolina	786
Washington	787
:	
Overseas	
Australia	788
Guam	788
Post Acquisition Construction Projects Over \$50,000 Per Unit	789
ADVANCE PLANNING AND DESIGN	808
OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE SUMMARY	
Narrative	810
Inventory and Funding Summary	813
OPERATIONS	814
UTILITIES	818
MAINTENANCE	820
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR OVER \$15,000 PER UNIT	823
GENERAL AND FLAG OFFICER QUARTERS	826
REIMBURSABLE PROGRAM	828
LEASING	
Narrative	829
Exhibit FH-4, Leasing (Other than Section 801 & 802)	832
Exhibit FH-4A, High Cost Foreign Leased Units	833
Exhibit FH-5, Section 801 Leases	834
DEBT PAYMENTS	836

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

FINANCIAL SUMMARY

AUTHORIZATION FOR APPROPRIATION REQUESTED FOR FY 1994
(\$ in Thousands):

FUNDING PROGRAMFY 1994

Construction	\$ 110,264
Post-Acquisition Construction	53,070
Design and Advance Planning	<u>9,901</u>

Appropriation Request: Construction \$ 173,235

Operations, Utilities and Maintenance	\$ 735,625
Operating Expenses	120,647
Utilities	211,036
Maintenance	403,942

Leasing - Worldwide \$ 118,266

Debt Payment	\$ 21
Premiums for Servicemen's	
Mortgage Insurance Coverage	21

Appropriation Request: O&M, Leasing,
and Debt Payment \$ 853,912

Appropriation Request \$1,027,147

Reimbursement Program \$ 9,397

FY 1994 Family Housing Program \$1,036,544

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

Authorization Language

SEC. 2302. FAMILY HOUSING

(a) CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION. - Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a)(7)(A), the Secretary of the Air Force may construct or acquire family housing units (including land acquisition) at the installations, for the purposes, and in the amounts set forth in the following table:

<u>STATE</u>	<u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Alabama	Maxwell AFB	55 Units	\$ 4,080,000
Arkansas	Little Rock AFB	Housing Office & Maint Fac	\$ 980,000
California	Vandenberg AFB	166 Units	\$21,907,000
Florida	Patrick AFB	155 Units	\$15,388,000
	Tyndall AFB	Infrastructure	\$ 5,732,000
Georgia	Robins AFB	118 Units	\$ 7,424,000
Louisiana	Barksdale AFB	118 Units	\$ 8,578,000
Massachusetts	Hanscom AFB	48 Units	\$ 5,135,000
Montana	Malmstrom AFB	Housing Office	\$ 581,000
Texas	Dyess AFB	Housing Maint Facility	\$ 281,000
	Lackland AFB	111 Units	\$ 8,770,000
Virginia	Langley AFB	Housing Office	\$ 452,000
Washington	Fairchild AFB	1 Unit	\$ 184,000
Wyoming	F.E. Warren AFB	104 Units	\$10,572,000
Italy	Comiso AB	460 Units	\$20,200,000

(b) PLANNING AND DESIGN. - Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Air Force may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of military family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$9,901,000.

SEC. 2303. IMPROVEMENT TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS

Subject to section 2825 of Title 10, United States Code, using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a)(7)(A), the Secretary of the Air Force may improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$53,070,000.

SEC. 2304. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, AIR FORCE

(a) IN GENERAL

(7) For military family housing functions -

(A) For construction and acquisition of military family housing and facilities, \$173,235,000.

(B) For support of military family housing (including functions described in section 2833 of title 10, United States Code), \$853,912,000, of which not more than \$118,266,000 may be obligated or expended for leasing of military family housing units worldwide.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

Appropriation Language

For expenses of family housing for the Air Force for construction, including acquisition, replacement, addition, expansion, extension and alteration and for operations and maintenance, including debt payment, leasing, minor construction, and insurance premiums, as authorized by law as follows: for Construction, (\$283,786,000) \$173,235,000, for Operations and Maintenance, and Debt Payment (\$927,941,000) \$853,912,000; in all (\$1,211,727,000) \$1,027,147,000: Provided: That the amount provided for construction shall remain available until (September 30, 1997) September 30, 1998.

7040f

Family Housing Construction, Air Force
Program and Financing (in thousands of dollars)

SUMMARY

Identification code	57-7040-0-1-081	Budget Plan (amounts for FAMILY HOUSING actions programmed)		
		1982 actual	1983 est.	1984 est.
Program by activities:				
Direct program:				
01.0101	Construction of new housing	70,047	126,326	110,264
01.0201	Post Acquisition Construction	141,326	150,000	83,070
01.0301	Planning and design	6,900	7,457	9,901
01.9101	Total direct program	217,793	283,786	173,235
01.0101	Reimbursable Program	324		
10.0001	Total	218,117	283,786	173,235
Financing:				
Offsetting collections from:				
14.0001	Non-Federal sources (-)			
17.0001	Recovery of prior year obligations	-324		
21.4002	Unobligated balance available, start of year:			
21.4009	For completion of prior year budget plans			
21.4009	Reprogramming from/to prior year budget plans	-3,997		
22.0001	Unobligated balance transferred from other accounts (-)	-6,900		
24.4002	Unobligated balance available, end of year:			
24.4002	For completion of prior year budget plans			
28.0001	Unobligated balance expiring	3,997		
40.0001	Budget authority (Appropriation)	206,293	283,786	173,235
Relation of obligations to outlays:				
71.0001	Obligations incurred			
72.4001	Obligated balance, start of year			
72.4001	Obligated balance, end of year			
74.0001	Adjustments in expired accounts (net)			
75.0001	Adjustments in unexpired accounts			
90.0001	Outlays (net)			

Family Housing Construction, Air Force
Program and Financing (in thousands of dollars) SUMMARY

REPORT 21

Obligations

Identification code	87-7040-0-1-091	1992 actual	1993 est.	1994 est.
Program by activities:				
Direct program:				
01.0101	Construction of new housing	88,008	112,473	141,485
01.0201	Post Acquisition Construction	162,701	231,801	72,228
01.0301	Planning and design	7,882	11,278	9,882
01.9101	Total direct program	158,438	355,552	223,673
01.0101	Reimbursable Program			
10.0001	Total	158,438	355,552	223,673
Financing:				
Offsetting collections from:				
14.0001	Recovery of prior year obligations	-334		
17.0001	Recovery of prior year obligations	-7,154		
21.4002	Unobligated balance available, start of year:	-118,092	-200,328	-128,582
21.4008	For completion of prior year budget plans			
22.0001	Reprogramming from/to prior year budget plans	-8,500		
24.4002	Unobligated balance transferred from other accounts (-)	200,328	128,582	78,124
24.4002	Unobligated balance transferred from other accounts (-)	3,997		
28.0001	Unobligated balance expiring			
40.0001	Budget authority (appropriation)	209,283	283,786	172,238
Relation of obligations to outlays:				
71.0001	Obligations incurred	139,104	355,552	223,673
72.0001	Obligations incurred, start of year	181,447	218,931	418,685
73.4001	Obligations incurred, end of year	-218,931	-418,685	-418,685
77.0001	Adjustments in expired accounts (net)	-2,035		
78.0001	Adjustments in unexpired accounts	-7,194		
90.0001	Outlays (net)	92,442	188,786	224,381

7040f

Family Housing Construction, Air Force
Object Classification (in thousands of dollars) SUMMARY

Identification code	97-7040-0-1-031	1992 actual	1993 est.	1994 est.

Direct obligations:				
132.001 Land and structures	-	139,439	355,892	223,673
		-----	-----	-----
199.001 Total Direct obligations		139,439	355,892	223,673
		-----	-----	-----
699.901 Total obligations		139,439	355,892	223,673
		-----	-----	-----

7045f

Family Housing Operations & Debt, AF
Program and Financing (in thousands of dollars)

Identification code	57-7048-0-1-051	1982 actual	1983 est.	1984 est.
Program by activities:				
Direct program:				
02.0101	Operating expenses	341,485	386,478	331,882
02.0201	Leasing	117,486	180,800	118,286
02.0301	Maintenance of real property	442,320	387,686	403,842
02.0801	Mortgage insurance premiums	80	70	21
02.9101	Total direct program	901,313	852,941	853,912
03.0101	Reimbursable Program	6,876	6,275	9,397
10.0001	Total obligations	910,281	859,216	863,309
Financing:				
Offsetting collections from:				
11.0001	Federal funds(-)	-1,175	-7,199	-7,227
14.0001	Non-Federal sources(-)	-7,803	-1,076	-2,170
22.0001	Unobligated balance transferred from other accounts (-)	-50,300		
28.0001	Unobligated balance expiring	32,187		
40.0001	Budget authority (Appropriation)	903,200	927,941	853,912
Relation of obligations to outlays:				
71.0001	Obligations incurred	901,313	827,641	853,912
72.4001	Obligated balance, start of year	274,421	274,421	274,421
74.4001	Obligated balance, end of year	-27,632	-30,178	-30,178
77.0001	Adjustments in expired accounts (net)	-16,003		
90.0001	Outlays (net)	811,681	824,136	874,777

Family Housing Operations & Dept. AF
Object Classification (in Thousands of dollars)

Identification code	57-7045-0-1-051	1992 actual	1993 est.	1994 est.
Direct obligations:				
121.001	Travel and transportation of persons	3,483	3,880	3,995
122.001	Transportation of things	8,987	7,720	4,516
123.201	Rental services to others	227,281	181,068	184,209
Other services:				
125.203	Contracts	252,548	279,837	243,248
126.204	Other	139,335	154,393	157,985
128.001	Supplies and materials	13,933	15,438	57,388
131.001	Equipment	193,842	48,248	19,094
132.001	Land and structures	22,841	212,290	183,500
143.001	Interest and dividends	25,089	25,089	25,089
199.001	Total Direct obligations	901,313	927,941	883,912
Reimbursable obligations:				
226.204	Other services:	8,978	8,278	9,397
299.001	Total Reimbursable obligations	8,978	8,278	9,397
999.901	Total obligations	910,291	936,219	893,309

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

CURRENT MISSION ACTIVITIES

In compliance with the Senate Appropriations Committee Report (100-380) of the FY 1989 Military Construction Appropriation Act, the Air Force has included the following exhibit that displays construction projects requested in two separate categories: new mission and current mission. For FY 1994 we are only requesting funds for current mission. "Current mission" projects are those projects that either replace inadequate existing facilities or construct new facilities which are not available to meet current requirements.

NEW CONSTRUCTION

LOCATIONS <u>REPLACEMENT HOUSING</u>	<u>MISSION</u>	NUMBER OF <u>UNITS</u>	REQUESTED AUTHORIZATION <u>AMOUNT (\$000)</u>
Maxwell AFB AL	Current	55	4,080
Vandenberg AFB CA	Current	166	21,907
Patrick AFB FL	Current	155	15,388
Tyndall AFB FL	Current	LS	5,732
Robins AFB GA	Current	118	7,424
Barksdale AFB LA	Current	118	8,578
Hanscom AFB MA	Current	48	5,135
Lackland AFB TX	Current	111	8,770
Fairchild AFB WA	Current	1	184
FE Warren AFB WY	Current	104	10,572
Comiso AF IT	Current	460	20,200
Little Rock AFB AR	Current	Hsg Ofc & Maint Fac	980
Malmstrom AFB MT	Current	Housing Office	581
Dyess AFB TX	Current	Housing Maint Fac	281
Langley AFB VA	Current	Housing Office	<u>452</u>
CURRENT MISSION TOTAL			110,264
IMPROVEMENTS			53,070
PLANNING AND DESIGN			9,901

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

NEW CONSTRUCTION

Program (In Thousands)
FY 1994 Program \$110,264
FY 1993 Program \$126,329

Purpose and Scope

This program provides for the replacement of existing homes where improvements are not economically feasible and acquisition of build/lease homes where purchase is more economical than continuous lease, and support facilities at locations where existing facilities are not adequate. Costs reflect all amounts necessary to provide complete and usable facilities.

Program Summary

Authorization is requested for:

Replacement of 876 units and 4 support facilities, and acquisition of 460 units through Lease Buy Out.

A summary of the funding program for FY 1994 follows:

<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>MISSION</u>	<u>NUMBER OF</u> <u>UNITS</u>	<u>REQUESTED AUTHORIZATION</u> <u>AMOUNT (\$000)</u>
<u>REPLACEMENT HOUSING</u>			
Maxwell AFB AL	Current	55	4,080
Vandenberg AFB CA	Current	166	21,907
Patrick AFB FL	Current	155	15,388
Tyndall AFB FL	Current	LS	5,732
Robins AFB GA	Current	118	7,424
Barksdale AFB LA	Current	118	8,578
Hanscom AFB MA	Current	48	5,135
Lackland AFB TX	Current	111	8,770
Fairchild AFB WA	Current	1	184
FE Warren AFB WY	Current	104	10,572
Comiso AB IT	Current	460	20,200
Little Rock AFB AR	Current	Hsg Ofc & Maint Fac	980
Malmstrom AFB MT	Current	Housing Office	581
Dyess AFB TX	Current	Housing Maint Fac	281
Langley AFB VA	Current	Housing Office	452
CURRENT MISSION TOTAL			110,264

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX			
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA				AIR UNIVERSITY				0.77			
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		973	1600	1466	1556	46	14				5,655
b. End FY 1998		1202	2731	2306	1557	457	36	4	34	23	8,350
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (3,528)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 196,253											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 23,320											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 4,080											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 2,100											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 0											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 225,753											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS START CMPL			
711-142		REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING		55 UN		4,080		TURN KEY			
				TOTAL:		4,080					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)											
711-142		REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING		25 UN		2,100					
				TOTAL:		2,100					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters Air University; Air War College; Air Command and Staff College; Squadron Officers School; Center for Aerospace Doctrine, Research, and Education; Air Force Quality Center; Ira C. Baker Center for Professional Development; Air Force Historical Research Agency; Headquarters Air Force Reserve Officer Training Corps; Headquarters Civil Air Patrol; Community College of the Air Force; an Air Force Reserve airlift group (C-130 aircraft); and Air Mobility Command airlift squadron (C-21 aircraft).											

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA					4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 8.87.41		6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142		7. PROJECT NUMBER PNQS944039		8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 4,080	
9. COST ESTIMATES							
ITEM				U/H	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING				UN	55	43,708	2,404
SUPPORTING FACILITIES							1,278
SITE PREPARATION				LS			(330)
ROADS AND PAVING				LS			(222)
UTILITIES				LS			(259)
LANDSCAPING				LS			(100)
RECREATION				LS			(42)
OTHER (SPECIFY) DEMOLITION				LS			(325)
SUBTOTAL							3,682
CONTINGENCY (5%)							184
TOTAL CONTRACT COST							3,866
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)							213
TOTAL REQUEST							4,080
AREA COST FACTOR				.77			
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 55 family housing units including all support facilities. Project will construct family housing units with associated carports, storage, patios and privacy fencing. Housing will include heating, cooling, energy conservation features, carpeting and appliances. Supporting facilities include all site preparation, utilities, roads, parking, playground and landscaping.							
<u>UNIT TYPE</u>	<u>NET AREA</u>	<u>PROJECT FACTOR</u>	<u>\$/NSF</u>	<u>NO. UNITS</u>	<u>TOTAL COST</u>		
JNCO 2BR	950	.78	53	35	1,374,555		
JNCO 3BR	1200	.78	53	14	694,512		
JNCO 4BR	1350	.78	53	6	334,854		
				55	2,403,921		
11. <u>PROJECT:</u> Demolish fifty-six existing units and replace by constructing fifty-five new units. (Current Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Project will provide adequate quarters for Air Force members and their families assigned to this installation. Project includes all work necessary to provide units meeting whole house/whole neighborhood criteria. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> These Row units were constructed in 1941. The units are not energy efficient and housing density is overcrowded. The existing area will allow construction of only 55 units using current planning factors. Play areas for children and toddlers are below standards or nonexistent; presently the youngsters use the streets as playgrounds. Off-street parking does not meet minimum requirement of 2.5 parking spaces per unit nor one covered space. During rainy periods, roofs leak,							

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING		PNQS944039
<p>damaging units as well as personal property of occupants. Existing electrical system does not meet National Electric Code requirements. All utilities systems have outlived life expectancy and need to be replaced. Expansion is required to alleviate lack of storage, cabinet, and counter space. Units are not compatible to reconfiguration. Kitchens and bathrooms are outdated and require complete renovation and/or replacement. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and their families will continue to be housed in unsuitable conditions affecting morale, performance, and the retention of quality personnel. ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of construction, improvement, leasing, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, replacement construction was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>		

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		1984		DD-AHL JAN 11 19	
3. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE		4. REPORTING INSTALLATION a. NAME MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE		b. LOCATION ADJACENT TO NORTHWEST CORNER OF MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA	
5. DATA AS OF 31 JANUARY 1992		ANALYSIS OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS		CURRENT	
		OFFICER (a)	E9-E4 (b)	E3-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)
		OFFICER (e)	E9-E4 (f)	E3-E1 (g)	TOTAL (h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH		2,584	2,812	456	5,854
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL		1,969	2,482	456	4,907
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		1,717	2,167	183	4,067
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)		403	536	87	1,026
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED		33	17	3	53
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED			55		55
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		370	464	84	918
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS		253	199	11	463
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		1,464	1,969	172	3,605
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)		1,136	1,507	75	2,718
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL		396	584		980
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED		326	569		895
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED					
(3) VACANT		66	15		81
(4) INACTIVE					
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		740	923	75	1,738
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED		733	919	75	1,727
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		7	4		11
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT		328	462	87	877
14. PROPOSED PROJECT					
15. REMARKS (SPECIFY ITEM NUMBER)					
ITEM 6 - 13. BASIC DATA WERE EXTRACTED FROM THE FAMILY HOUSING SURVEY OF JANUARY 1992.					
ITEM 14. PROPOSED PROJECT.					
CONSTRUCT: 35 TWO BEDROOM UNITS FOR E4-E6 14 THREE BEDROOM UNITS FOR E4-E6 6 FOUR BEDROOM UNITS FOR E4-E6					

DD FORM 152, NOV 88

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA				4. COMMAND AIR FORCE SPACE COMMAND		5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.36					
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		667	2650	1226							4,543
b. End FY 1998		638	2405	1217							4,260
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (98,831)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 1,058,848											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 67,750											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 21,907											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 16,460											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 0											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 1,164,965											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY						COST		DESIGN STATUS			
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE				(\$000)	START	CMPL			
711-142	REPLACE CAPEHART HOUSING, PHASE 1	166 UN				21,907	TURN KEY				
TOTAL:						21,907					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)											
711-142	FY70 APPROPRIATED FAMILY HSG	135 UN				16,460	TURN KEY				
TOTAL:						16,460					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters Twentieth Air Force; a space wing which is responsible for operational and test launches of missiles, satellites, and space vehicles in polar orbits and for research and development of missile and space systems; a test wing responsible for ICBM operations test and evaluation launches; a missile evaluation squadron; a combat air rescue detachment (UH-1 helicopters); and an Air Training Command missile crew training squadron.											

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE CAPEHART HOUSING, PHASE 1		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 8.87.41	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER XUMU924014P1	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 21,907		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING		UN	166	77,304	12,832
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					6,944
SITE PREPARATION		LS			(1,422)
ROADS AND PAVING		LS			(949)
UTILITIES		LS			(2,055)
LANDSCAPING		LS			(789)
RECREATION		LS			(168)
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES		LS			(1,561)
SUBTOTAL					19,776
CONTINGENCY (5%)					989
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					20,765
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)					1,142
TOTAL REQUEST					21,907
AREA COST FACTOR		1.36			
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 166 Capehart housing units. Work includes site preparation, landscaping, and provision of pedestrian/bike trails and recreational areas.					
UNIT TYPE	NET AREA	PROJECT FACTOR	S/ NSF	NO. UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO 2BR	950	1.35	53	89	6,049,553
JNCO 3BR	1200	1.35	53	61	5,237,460
JNCO 4BR	1350	1.35	53	16	1,545,480
				166	12,832,493
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,733 UN ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 2,078 UN PROJECT: Replace 166 Capehart units. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a replacement project to provide adequate housing for military personnel. CURRENT SITUATION: These units are over 30 years old and have deteriorated to the point where replacement is the most economical alternative. Wiring and fixtures have been identified by the Fire Department and Base Safety as not meeting code. Wiring is brittle and exposed in many units and is a fire hazard. Plumbing systems have succumbed to the effects of hard water and corrosion, resulting in severe constriction and pipe leakage. Plumbing fixtures are worn and unattractive. Main and master baths are deteriorated and outdated, having shower enclosures and medicine cabinets which are corroded, discolored, and pitted. Additionally, the way the units are presently configured is inefficient. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and their families will					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
REPLACE CAPEHART HOUSING, PHASE 1	XUMU924014P1	
<p>continue to be housed with inadequate water and electrical service. The occupants will suffer continual water leaks in their ceilings causing damage to the ceiling, light fixtures and furniture. Without the replacement of these units, repairs of these units will continue in a costly, piecemeal fashion, with no improvement in the quality of life for the occupants.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, replacement was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		1. DATE OF REPORT (YYMMDD)		2. FISCAL YEAR 1984		REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L (AR) 1716		
3. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE		4. REPORTING INSTALLATION		b. LOCATION				
5. DATA AS OF 31 JANUARY 1982		a. NAME VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE		ELEVEN MILES NORTH OF LOMPOC, CALIFORNIA				
ANALYSIS OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS		CURRENT		PROJECTED				
	OFFICER (a)	E8-E4 (b)	E3-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E8-E4 (f)	E3-E1 (g)	TOTAL (h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH	620	2,145	520	3,485	646	1,868	713	3,257
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL	703	2,145	520	3,368	646	1,868	713	3,257
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS	572	1,676	174	2,422	497	1,366	224	2,107
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSE (a + b + c)	6	184	2	192				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED		5	2	7				
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED		173		173				
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY	6	6		12				
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS	6	80	3	89	5	66	4	75
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS	566	1,596	171	2,333	492	1,320	220	2,032
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)	591	1,619	171	2,361	617	1,767	3	2,367
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL	483	1,340	166	1,971	485	1,486		1,971
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED	434	1,309	166	1,911	485	1,486		1,971
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED								
(3) VACANT	29	31		60				
(4) INACTIVE								
b. PRIVATE HOUSING	128	279	3	410	132	281	3	416
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED	128	276	1	405				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL	2	3	2	7				
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT	-25	-23		-48	-125	-447	217	-355
14. PROPOSED PROJECT						166		166
15. REMARKS (SPECIFY ITEM NUMBERS)								
ITEM 6 - 13. BASIC DATA WERE EXTRACTED FROM THE FAMILY HOUSING SURVEY JANUARY 1982.								
ITEM 14. PROPOSED PROJECT.								
CONSTRUCT: 89 TWO BEDROOM UNITS FOR E4-E6 61 THREE BEDROOM UNITS FOR E7-E9 16 FOUR BEDROOM UNITS FOR E4-E6								

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)				2. DATE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PATRICK AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA				4. COMMAND AIR FORCE SPACE COMMAND		5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 0.91					
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
a. As of 30 SEP 92		473	2317	1054				33	531	15	
b. End FY 1998		462	1704	960				33	531	15	3,705
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (2,341)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 148,294											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 7,700											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 15,388											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 10,000											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 0											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 181,382											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY						COST		DESIGN STATUS			
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE				(\$000)	START	CMPL			
711-142	REPLACE CENTRAL WHERRY HOUSING	155 UN				15,388	TURN	KEY			
TOTAL:						15,388					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)											
711-142	REPLACE CENTRAL WHERRY HOUSING	105 UN				10,000	TURN	KEY			
PHASE II											
TOTAL:						10,000					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
10. Mission or Major Functions: A space wing; the Air Force Technical Applications Center; two Air Mobility Command air rescue squadrons (HH-3 helicopters and HC-130 aircraft); and an Air Combat Command combat communications group. Also, the temporary beddown location for the Air Force Reserve air rescue squadron (MH-60 helicopters) from Homestead AFB, FL. Major tenants include the DOD Equal Opportunity Management Institute and a State Department aviation unit.											

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

1. COMPONENT		PY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
PATRICK AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA			REPLACE CENTRAL WHERRY HOUSING		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)		
8.87.41	711-142	SXHT944005	15,388		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING	UN	155	58,156	9,014	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				4,877	
GARAGES	LS			(1,068)	
ASBESTOS ABATEMENT	LS			(730)	
SITE PREPARATION	LS			(453)	
ROADS AND PAVING	LS			(481)	
UTILITIES	LS			(1,055)	
LANDSCAPING	LS			(380)	
RECREATION	LS			(90)	
BUILDING DEMOLITION	LS			(620)	
SUBTOTAL				13,891	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				695	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				14,586	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)				802	
TOTAL REQUEST				15,388	
AREA COST FACTOR		.91			
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Demolish 155 - (2/4) bedroom units in Central housing. Build/replace 155 - (2/4) bedroom units with attached or semi-attached garages in the Central housing area. This project will also include whole neighborhood improvements.					
	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	NSF	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO 3BR	1200	.89	53	121	6,849,084
JNCO 4BR	1350	.89	53	34	2,165,103
				155	9,014,187
11. PROJECT: Replace 155 Wherry housing units. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: This project is a replacement project to provide adequate housing for military personnel.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The Patrick AFB units were constructed between 1952 and 1958 and consist of 245 officer quarters and 1,331 junior and senior enlisted quarters. 923 out of the total 1,576 units are undersized by more than 100 net square feet. 573 of the units were constructed with flat built-up gravel roofs. The built-up gravel roofs are over 15 years old and deteriorated to where they must be replaced. The exterior walls are concrete and stucco and have developed cracks that allow water and moisture intrusion to the interiors. Many of the wood porch components have deteriorated and the porches have been removed to prevent a safety hazard to the occupants. The infrastructure (sewer, water, electrical) have deteriorated beyond economic repair. The plumbing, electrical and heating/air conditioning systems inside the units have also deteriorated beyond economic repair. All units have asbestos in the tiles, walls,					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
PATRICK AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
REPLACE CENTRAL WHERRY HOUSING	SXHT944005	
<p>ceilings, and exterior, and all units contain lead based paint. In the very near future a determination will be made as to the livability of the 311 units which contain friable asbestos. These units may have to be closed to occupant use for the safety of our military personnel.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Air Force members and their families would continue to be housed in unsatisfactory conditions, affecting morale and the retention of quality personnel. Ultimately, the mission of Patrick AFB would be degraded.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, replacement was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		1. DATE OF REPORT (YYMMDD)		2. FISCAL YEAR 1994		REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L (AR) 1718			
3. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE		4. REPORTING INSTALLATION							
5. DATA AS OF MARCH 1991		a. NAME PATRICK AIR FORCE BASE				b. LOCATION THREE MILES SOUTH OF COCOA BEACH, FLORIDA			
ANALYSIS OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS		CURRENT				PROJECTED			
		OFFICER (a)	E9-E4 (b)	E3-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E4 (f)	E3-E1 (g)	TOTAL (h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH		667	2,431	447	3,545	665	2,448	397	3,510
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL		667	2,431	447	3,545	665	2,448	397	3,510
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		501	1,705	164	2,370	499	1,718	145	2,360
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLE HOUSE (a + b + c)		29	262	7	298				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED				4	7				
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED			181		181				
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		29	97	4	130				
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS		9	95	10	114	9	94	6	111
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		492	1,810	154	2,256	490	1,822	137	2,249
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)		467	1,517	150	2,134	466	1,498	137	2,101
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL		168	1,245	140	1,553	166	1,248	137	1,553
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED		167	1,222	140	1,529	166	1,248	137	1,553
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED									
(3) VACANT		1	23		24				
(4) INACTIVE									
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		299	272	10	581	298	250		548
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED		295	268	10	573				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		4	4		8				
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT		25	93	4	122	24	124		148
14. PROPOSED PROJECT							155		155
15. REMARKS (SPECIFY ITEM NUMBER)									
ITEM 6 - 13. BASIC DATA WERE EXTRACTED FROM THE HOUSING MARKET ANALYSIS OF MARCH 1991.									
ITEM 14. PROPOSED PROJECT.									
CONSTRUCT: 121 THREE BEDROOM UNITS FOR E4 - E5 34 FOUR BEDROOM UNITS FOR E4 - E5									

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
TYNDALL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA			REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 1 (INFRASTRUCTURE)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.87.41	711-311	XLWU940101	5,732		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 1 (INFRASTRUCTURE)		LS			5,174
SITE PREPARATION		LS			(517)
ROADS & PAVEMENTS		LS			(1,578)
UTILITIES		LS			(2,789)
LANDSCAPING		LS			(114)
RECREATION		LS			(176)
SUBTOTAL					5,174
CONTINGENCY (5%)					259
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					5,433
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)					299
TOTAL REQUEST					5,732
AREA COST FACTOR		0.86			
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct roadways, streets, sidewalks, storm drainage, utilities, and all necessary infrastructure improvements to support the construction of 450 new MFH units. Includes recreation areas and all landscaping.					
11. PROJECT: Replace Family Housing, Phase 1 (Infrastructure). (Current Mission)					
<p>REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide adequate Military Family Housing to support military members and their families assigned to Tyndall AFB. This project is Phase 1 of a multi-phased project to construct 450 MFH units, and demolish 338 substandard MFH units. The first phase includes the installation of all necessary infrastructure for the construction of a new housing area.</p> <p>CURRENT SITUATION: The Wherry units to be replaced were constructed in the 1950s, and have received only routine maintenance and repair since that time. Age and continuous heavy use have taken their toll and the units are beyond economic feasibility to upgrade. The only feasible option for providing adequate housing is to demolish the existing 338 Wherry units, and construct replacement housing on another site located on the main base. Relocation is required, in part, by the fact that a portion of the Wherry Housing is located within Tyndall's airfield Accident Potential Zone One (APZ I), and by the fact that both Wherry Housing areas are negatively impacted by Air Installation Compatible Use Zones (AICUZ) (all Wherry units are in the 70 Ldn to 80 Ldn noise contours). In addition, many of the houses front on a busy four-lane divided highway. Existing assets on base, and in the local market area, cannot satisfy the total requirements for our military families.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without this and subsequent phases of this</p>					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
TYNDALL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 1 (INFRASTRUCTURE)		XLWU940101
<p>initiative, repairs of the existing units will continue in a costly, piecemeal fashion, with no improvement in the quality of life for the occupants. Our inability to take better care of our military families will result in low morale and subsequent retention problems if such conditions are permitted to continue.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An Economic Analysis has not been prepared for this infrastructure support project. However, an economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, improvement, leasing and status quo operation for the overall initiative to replace/construct 450 MFH units. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST		
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA				AIR FORCE				COST INDEX		
				MATERIEL COMMAND				0.77		
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		754	3150	13599						
b. End FY 1998		725	3025	11313						15,063
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (8,720)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 466,871										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 61,720										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 7,424										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 0										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 0										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0										
h. Grand Total: 536,015										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START	CMPL	
711-142	REPLACE WHERRY HOUSING			118 UN		7,424		TURN KEY		
						TOTAL:	7,424			
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE										
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
10. Mission or Major Functions: Warner Robins Air Logistics Center which is responsible for logistics management, support, & depot-level maintenance of F-15, C-130, & C-141 aircraft, helicopters; tactical missiles, & avionics & electronic warfare systems; HQ AFRES; AMC air refueling wing with two KC-135 squadrons; ACC combat communications group; & an Air Force Space Command missile warning squadron which operates one of the Phased Array Warning System (Pave PAWS) radars. Also, the main operating base for the Joint Surveillance & Target Attack Radar System (JSTARS) aircraft.										

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)				2. DATE																															
AIR FORCE																																					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. PROJECT TITLE																																	
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA				REPLACE WHERRY HOUSING																																	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT		6. CATEGORY CODE		7. PROJECT NUMBER		8. PROJECT COST (\$000)																															
8.87.41		711-142		UHHZ924014N2		7,424																															
9. COST ESTIMATES																																					
ITEM				U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)																														
REPLACE WHERRY HOUSING				UN	118	45,742	5,398																														
SUPPORTING FACILITIES							1,303																														
SITE PREPARATION				LS			(215)																														
ROADS AND PAVING				LS			(210)																														
UTILITIES				LS			(220)																														
LANDSCAPING				LS			(128)																														
RECREATION				LS			(137)																														
DEMOLITION				LS			(393)																														
SUBTOTAL							6,701																														
CONTINGENCY (5%)							335																														
TOTAL CONTRACT COST							7,036																														
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)							387																														
TOTAL REQUEST							7,424																														
AREA COST FACTOR					.77																																
<p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 118 JNCO units. Build units to authorized square footage limits for two, three, and four bedroom JNCO housing. Exterior appurtenances to include carports, porches, and patios. Neighborhood improvements include recreation, utilities, landscaping, signage, and drainage.</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">UNIT TYPE</th> <th style="text-align: right;">NET AREA</th> <th style="text-align: right;">PROJECT FACTOR</th> <th style="text-align: right;">\$/NSF</th> <th style="text-align: right;">NO. UNITS</th> <th style="text-align: right;">TOTAL COST</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>JNCO 2BR</td> <td style="text-align: right;">950</td> <td style="text-align: right;">.76</td> <td style="text-align: right;">53</td> <td style="text-align: right;">40</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1,530,640</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JNCO 3BR</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1200</td> <td style="text-align: right;">.76</td> <td style="text-align: right;">53</td> <td style="text-align: right;">62</td> <td style="text-align: right;">2,996,832</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JNCO 4BR</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1350</td> <td style="text-align: right;">.76</td> <td style="text-align: right;">53</td> <td style="text-align: right;">16</td> <td style="text-align: right;">870,048</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">118</td> <td style="text-align: right;">5,397,520</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>								UNIT TYPE	NET AREA	PROJECT FACTOR	\$/NSF	NO. UNITS	TOTAL COST	JNCO 2BR	950	.76	53	40	1,530,640	JNCO 3BR	1200	.76	53	62	2,996,832	JNCO 4BR	1350	.76	53	16	870,048					118	5,397,520
UNIT TYPE	NET AREA	PROJECT FACTOR	\$/NSF	NO. UNITS	TOTAL COST																																
JNCO 2BR	950	.76	53	40	1,530,640																																
JNCO 3BR	1200	.76	53	62	2,996,832																																
JNCO 4BR	1350	.76	53	16	870,048																																
				118	5,397,520																																
<p>11. <u>PROJECT</u>: Provide replacements for 118 Wherry housing units. Build units to meet "whole house/whole neighborhood" standards. (Current Mission)</p> <p><u>REQUIREMENT</u>: Replacement of these units is required to provide adequate quarters for junior military members and their families assigned to this installation. Provide units which meet current USAF or local standards and have the authorized net square footage. Provide carports, neighborhood sidewalks, and adequate recreation areas.</p> <p><u>CURRENT SITUATION</u>: The Wherry housing area was constructed in the 1950s and has had only one interior improvement (1979) since then. The room sizes do not meet the minimum Air Force "whole house" standards. Functional layouts are inefficient and do not reflect contemporary styles. Carports are needed to protect occupants' vehicles from exposure to the elements. Driveways and off-street parking access will eliminate unsafe</p>																																					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE WHERRY HOUSING	5. PROJECT NUMBER UHHZ924014N2	
<p>on-street parking. These units do not have adequate storage for lawnmowers, bicycles and tools. Interior wall finishes need revitalization, and the existing electrical wiring does not meet code. Lack of adequate drainage causes problems with standing water. Existing plumbing and HVAC systems are antiquated and unreliable. Water heaters are inadequate. These units require high maintenance and are inefficient. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Junior enlisted members and their families will continue to be housed in unsuitable housing. The units will continue to require high levels of maintenance and energy consumption will remain high.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of construction, improvement, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, replacement construction was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."</p>		

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		1. DATE OF REPORT (YYYYMMDD)		2. FISCAL YEAR 1994		REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L(AF)1718			
3. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE		4. REPORTING INSTALLATION a. NAME ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE		b. LOCATION EIGHTEEN MILES SOUTHEAST OF MACON, GEORGIA					
5. DATA AS OF JUNE 1992		ANALYSIS OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS				PROJECTED			
		CURRENT				PROJECTED			
		OFFICER (a)	E9-E4 (b)	E3-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (a)	E9-E4 (b)	E3-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH		690	2,308	730	3,728	1,030	3,150	1,020	5,200
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL		690	2,308	730	3,728	1,030	3,150	1,020	5,200
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		553	1,783	232	2,568	780	2,400	311	3,491
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)		53	318	80	451				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED		5	10	5	20				
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED			83		83				
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		50	223	75	348				
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS									
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		553	1,783	232	2,568	780	2,400	311	3,491
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)		498	1,568	184	2,231	694	1,973	221	2,888
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL		245	1,151		1,396	245	1,151		1,396
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED		245	1,151		1,396	245	1,151		1,396
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED									
(3) VACANT									
(4) INACTIVE									
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		253	418	184	835	449	822	221	1,492
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED		253	399	152	804				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL			19	12	31				
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT		55	214	68	337	88	427	90	603
14. PROPOSED PROJECT							118		118
15. REMARKS									
ITEM 6 - 13. BASIC DATA WERE EXTRACTED FROM THE HOUSING MARKET ANALYSIS OF JUNE 1992.									
ITEM 14. PROPOSED PROJECT CONSTRUCT: 40 TWO BEDROOM UNITS FOR E4-E6 62 THREE BEDROOM UNITS FOR E4-E6 16 FOUR BEDROOM UNITS FOR E4-E6									

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA				4. COMMAND AIR COMBAT COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 0.86			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		907	4789	1092		509		1	6		7,304
b. End FY 1998		822	4507	1265		509		1	6		7,110
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (22,382)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 205,446											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 43,340											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 8,578											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 6,564											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 0											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 263,928											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS			
CODE								START	CMPL		
711-142	REPLACE WHERRY HOUSING			118 UN		8,578		TURN KEY			
	PHASE 1										
TOTAL:						8,578					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)											
711-142	REPLACE WHERRY HOUSING			82 UN		6,564		TURN KEY			
	PHASE 2										
TOTAL:						6,564					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters Eighth Air Force; a flying wing which includes two bombardment squadrons (B-52 aircraft) and one air refueling squadron (KC-135 aircraft); Air Mobility Command operations group (two air refueling squadrons of KC-10 aircraft) and airlift detachment (C-21 aircraft); and an Air Force Reserve fighter wing (A-10 and OA-10 aircraft) and KC-10 associate air refueling group.											

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
AIR FORCE						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE			
BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA			REPLACE WHERRY HOUSING PHASE 1			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)			
8.87.41	711-142	AWUB945101	8,578			
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)		
REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING	UN	118	56,106	6,620		
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,124		
SITE PREPARATION	LS			(118)		
ROADS AND PAVING	LS			(507)		
UTILITIES	LS			(95)		
RECREATION	LS			(404)		
SUBTOTAL				7,744		
CONTINGENCY (5%)				387		
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				8,131		
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)				447		
TOTAL REQUEST				8,578		
AREA COST FACTOR		.86				
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct 59 duplex family housing units with all necessary supporting facilities including: garages, patios, fencing, utilities, air conditioning, appliances, exterior storage, site preparation, roads, parking, sidewalks, basketball court, playground, tot lots, pavilion, fitness trails, recreational vehicle storage, landscaping, and all other necessary support facilities.						
UNIT TYPE	NET AREA	PROJECT FACTOR	\$/NSF	NO. UNITS	TOTAL COST	
JNCO 3BR	1200	.83	53	76	4,011,888	
JNCO 4BR	1350	.83	53	16	950,184	
SNCO 4BR	1450	.83	53	26	1,658,423	
				118	6,620,495	
11. REQUIREMENT: 602 UN ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Replace Wherry Housing Phase 1. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient replacement housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Barksdale AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and provide a living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This is the first of multiple phases to provide adequate housing for base personnel. This project represents the first portion of the Housing Community Plan Phase "A". CURRENT SITUATION: This initiative replaces Wherry housing units which were declared uninhabitable due to condition and have already been demolished. The result is a shortage of NCO housing on the base. According to the most recent Housing Market Analysis a number of families						

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
REPLACE WHERRY HOUSING PHASE 1	AWUB945101	
<p>are unsuitably housed. Investigations determined that these families either live in housing below DoD standards, or in housing meeting DoD standards BUT exceeding their maximum housing allowance.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The base will continue to have a shortage of on-base housing which forces families to live in unsuitable off-base housing which exceeds housing allowances and causes financial hardship.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of replacement construction, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, replacement construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		1. DATE OF REPORT (YYMMDD)		2. FISCAL YEAR 1994		REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L (AR) 1718							
3. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE		4. REPORTING INSTALLATION a. NAME BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE		b. LOCATION TWO MILES SOUTHEAST OF BOSSIER CITY, LOUISIANA									
5. DATA AS OF JULY 1991		ANALYSIS OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS				CURRENT				PROJECTED			
		OFFICER (a)	E9-E4 (b)	E3-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (a)	E9-E4 (f)	E3-E1 (g)	TOTAL (h)				
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH		1,036	3,670	1,006	5,712	893	3,330	1,328	5,551				
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL		1,036	3,670	1,006	5,712	893	3,330	1,328	5,551				
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		815	2,931	347	4,093	703	2,659	459	3,821				
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)		136	1,124	171	1,433								
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED		4	4	5	13								
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED				122	122								
c. UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		134	996	166	1,296								
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS		8	132	16	156	8	120	22	150				
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		807	2,799	331	3,937	695	2,539	437	3,671				
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)		684	1,836	171	2,691	578	1,433	174	2,185				
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL		197	318		513	105	324		429				
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED		197	318		513	105	324		429				
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED													
(3) VACANT													
(4) INACTIVE													
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		487	1,520	171	2,178	473	1,109	174	1,756				
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED		476	1,465	166	2,127								
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		11	35	5	51								
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT		123	963	160	1,246	117	1,106	263	1,486				
14. PROPOSED PROJECT							118		118				
15. REMARKS (SPECIFY ITEM NUMBER)													
ITEM 6-13. BASIC DATA WERE EXTRACTED FROM THE HOUSING MARKET ANALYSIS OF JULY 1991.													
ITEM - 14. PROPOSED PROJECT.													
CONSTRUCT: 78 THREE BEDROOM UNITS FOR E4-E6 18 FOUR BEDROOM UNITS FOR E4-E6 26 FOUR BEDROOM UNITS FOR E7-E9													

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HANSCOM AIR FORCE BASE, MASSACHUSETTS				4. COMMAND AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.23		
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV
a. As of 30 SEP 92		928	882	2289						
b. End FY 1998		844	635	2228						
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: (1,075)										
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 154,874										
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 18,900										
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 5,135										
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 2,500										
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 0										
g. Remaining Deficiency: 26,200										
h. Grand Total: 207,609										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS		
CODE								START	CMPL	
711-142	REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING				48 UN	5,135		TURN	KEY	
TOTAL:						5,135				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)										
711-142	REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING				24 UN	2,500		TURN	KEY	
TOTAL:						2,500				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:										
10. Mission or Major Functions: This base hosts the Electronics Systems Division, responsible for management, command, control and direction of all electronics associated research and development with the Air Force Materiel Command; the Air Force Geophysics Laboratory conducting and researching terrestrial, atmospheric, and space environments; the Air Force Computer Acquisition Center; and 2 AFRES aerial port squadrons.										

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
HANSCOM AIR FORCE BASE, MASSACHUSETTS			REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.87.41	711-142	MXRD930103P1	5,135		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
FY70 APPROPRIATED FAMILY HSG	UN	48	83,641	4,015	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				619	
SITE PREPARATION	LS			(103)	
ROADS AND PAVING	LS			(129)	
UTILITIES	LS			(130)	
LANDSCAPING	LS			(45)	
DEMOLITION	LS			(212)	
SUBTOTAL				4,634	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				232	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,866	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)				268	
TOTAL REQUEST				5,135	
AREA COST FACTOR 1.23					
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Demolish 48 units of Scott Circle family housing and replace with the construction of 48 new units.					
UNIT TYPE	NET AREA	PROJECT FACTOR	S/ NSF	NO. UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO 2BR	950	1.25	53	14	881,125
JNCO 4BR	1350	1.25	53	6	536,625
SNCO 3BR	1350	1.25	53	14	1,252,125
SNCO 4BR	1450	1.25	53	14	1,344,875
				48	4,014,750
11. PROJECT: Replace 48 units of housing in Scott Circle. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: Provide units that comply with the Housing Community Plan. Build units to meet USAF current whole house/whole neighborhood standards.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The Scott Circle housing area is considered the least desirable housing on Hanscom. There are no private entryways. As many as three families share a common hallway. The units are deficient in required floor space and lack functional floor plans. The immediate living areas around the units are congested. Many units have only one reserved parking space. There is precious little room to live, and privacy is at a premium. The interior water lines are old and in need of replacement. The electrical system and bathrooms are out dated and need upgrading.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the replacement of these units, repairs of these units will continue in a costly, piecemeal fashion, with no improvement in the quality of life for the occupants. Military families					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HANSCOM AIR FORCE BASE, MASSACHUSETTS		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING		5. PROJECT NUMBER MXRD930103P1
<p>stationed at Hanscom Air Force Base will be forced to live in unsuitable conditions.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, replacement construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."</p>		

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		1. DATE OF REPORT (YYMMDD)		2. FISCAL YEAR 1994		REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L(A/P)1710			
3. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE		4. REPORTING INSTALLATION HANS COM AIR FORCE BASE				5. LOCATION TWENTY MILES NORTHWEST OF BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS			
5. DATA AS OF DECEMBER 1991		ANALYSIS OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS		CURRENT		PROJECTED			
		OFFICER (a)	E9-E4 (b)	E3-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (a)	E9-E4 (b)	E3-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH		1 085	930	183	2 198	1 022	836	126	1 984
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL		1 085	930	183	2 198	1 022	836	126	1 984
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		778	655	27	1 460	776	597	19	1 392
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)		134	136	16	286				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED		10	10	7	27				
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED			32		32				
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		124	94	9	227				
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS		2	3		5	2	3		5
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		776	652	27	1 455	774	594	19	1 387
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)		660	560	19	1 239	658	471	4	1 133
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL		366	472		858	402	458		858
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED		366	472		858	402	458		858
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED									
(3) VACANT									
(4) INACTIVE									
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		274	86	19	381	256	15	4	275
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED		266	86	16	370				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		8	2	1	11				
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT		116	92	6	216	116	123	15	254
14. PROPOSED PROJECT							48		48
15. REMARKS		<p>ITEM 6 - 13. BASIC DATA WERE EXTRACTED FROM THE HOUSING MARKET ANALYSIS OF DECEMBER 1991</p> <p>ITEM 14. PROPOSED PROJECT CONSTRUCT: 14 TWO BEDROOM UNITS FOR E4-E6 6 FOUR BEDROOM UNITS FOR E4-E6 14 THREE BEDROOM UNITS FOR E7-E9 14 FOUR BEDROOM UNITS FOR E7-E9</p>							

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE			
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION						4. COMMAND		5. AREA CONST COST INDEX			
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS						AIR TRAINING COMMAND		0.88			
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		1665	4111	2519	82	7086	93	11	372		15,939
b. End FY 1998		1706	4337	2747	298	5052	5	14	756	2	14,917
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (2,705)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92)										346,839	
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:										0	
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:										8,770	
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995)										0	
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years:										0	
g. Remaining Deficiency:										0	
h. Grand Total:										355,609	
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY						COST		DESIGN STATUS			
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE				(\$000)	START	Cmpl			
711-142	REPLACE WHERRY HOUSING	111 UN				8,770	TURN KEY				
TOTAL:						8,770					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE											
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
10. Mission or Major Functions: Air Force Military Training Center which includes Basic Military Training School, Officer Training School, and security police, cryptographic maintenance, recruiting, and social actions courses; Defense Language Institute English Language Center; DoD Military Working Dog Training Agency; and a major Air Force medical center.											

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)				2. DATE	
AIR FORCE							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. PROJECT TITLE			
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS				REPLACE WHERRY HOUSING			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT		6. CATEGORY CODE		7. PROJECT NUMBER		8. PROJECT COST (\$000)	
8.87.41		711-142		MPLS944003		8,770	
9. COST ESTIMATES							
ITEM				U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING				UN	111	56,770	6,301
SUPPORTING FACILITIES							1,616
SITE PREPARATION				LS			(113)
ROADS AND PAVING				LS			(218)
UTILITIES				LS			(450)
LANDSCAPING				LS			(61)
RECREATION				LS			(34)
OTHER (SPECIFY) DEMOLITION				LS			(740)
SUBTOTAL							7,917
CONTINGENCY (5%)							396
TOTAL CONTRACT COST							8,313
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)							457
TOTAL REQUEST							8,770
AREA COST FACTOR					.88		
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct family housing units to include heating, cooling, appliances, garages, patios, and storage. Support facilities include site grading, road systems, sidewalks, curb and gutter, utility distribution system, landscaping, and recreational areas.							
UNIT TYPE	NET AREA	PROJECT FACTOR	\$/NSF	NO. UNITS	TOTAL COST		
JNCO 2BR	950	.86	53	2	86,602		
JNCO 3BR	1200	.86	53	74	4,047,504		
JNCO 4BR	1350	.86	53	32	1,969,056		
SNCO 4BR	1450	.86	53	3	198,273		
				111	6,301,435		
11. REQUIREMENT: 111 UN ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 111 UN PROJECT: Replace 111 family housing units. (Current mission) REQUIREMENT: Project is required to provide 111 adequate family housing units meeting wholehouse criteria. In addition, this project will provide much needed neighborhood improvements and reduce housing density. CURRENT SITUATION: These two-story units were built in early 1950 and last renovated in the kitchen, bathroom, and patio areas between 1976 and 1978. These upgrades are now substandard and time-worn. Only routine change of occupancy maintenance and some HVAC repairs have been accomplished. The units are energy inefficient and were constructed with inadequate space. Kitchens are outdated and require complete renovation. Electrical and plumbing systems require replacement to meet current code requirements. These units have served their useful life. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and their families will							

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE WHERRY HOUSING		5. PROJECT NUMBER MPLS944003
<p>continue to be housed in unsatisfactory conditions affecting morale, performance, and the retention of quality personnel.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>		

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		1. DATE OF REPORT (YYMMDD)		2. FISCAL YEAR 1994		REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L (AR) 1718	
3. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE		4. REPORTING INSTALLATION a. NAME LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE				b. LOCATION THIRTEEN MILES SOUTHWEST OF SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS	
5. DATA AS OF 31 JANUARY 1990		ANALYSIS OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS		CURRENT		PROJECTED	
		OFFICER (a)	E9-E4 (b)	E3-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (a)	E9-E4 (f)
							E3-E1 (g)
							TOTAL (h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH		1,763	4,004	2,690	8,457	1,911	3,809
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL		1,261	3,995	1,094	6,350	1,849	3,809
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		830	2,789	282	3,901	1,086	2,266
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)		8	126	2	136		
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED		8	11	2	21		
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED			115		115		
c. UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED IN COMMUNITY							
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS		9	88	22	119	12	71
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		821	2,701	290	3,782	1,074	2,195
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)		756	2,483	272	3,521	756	2,483
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL		104	621		725	104	621
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED		97	606		703	104	621
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED							
(3) VACANT		7	15		22		
(4) INACTIVE							
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		652	1,872	272	2,796	652	1,872
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED		631	1,801	200	2,632		
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		21	71	72	164		
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT		85	208	-12	261	318	-298
14. PROPOSED PROJECT							776
15. REMARKS (PREFIX ITEM NUMBER)							111
ITEM 6-13. BASIC DATA WERE EXTRACTED FROM THE FAMILY HOUSING SURVEY OF JANUARY 1990							
ITEM 14. PROPOSED PROJECT.							
CONSTRUCT: 2 TWO BEDROOM UNITS FOR E4-E6 74 THREE BEDROOM UNITS FOR E4-E6 32 FOUR BEDROOM UNITS FOR E4-E6 3 FOUR BEDROOM UNIT FOR E7-E9							

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)								2. DATE	
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION						4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX	
FAIRCHILD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON						AIR COMBAT COMMAND				1.00	
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		562	3570	495	140	372	22				5,161
b. End FY 1998		709	3923	576	140	372	22				5,742
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (6,060)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 280,299											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 34,960											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 184											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 0											
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 0											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0											
h. Grand Total: 315,443											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994											
CATEGORY						COST		DESIGN STATUS			
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE				(\$000)		START	CMPL		
711-142	REPLACE GENERAL OFFICER HOUSING	1 UN				184		TURN KEY			
TOTAL:						184					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995) NONE											
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:											
10. Mission or Major Functions: A bomb wing which includes one B-52 squadron and a combat air rescue detachment with UH-1 helicopters; an Air Mobility Command air refueling group with two KC-135 squadrons; an Air National Guard air refueling wing (KC-135 aircraft); an Air Training Command combat crew training group (survival training school); and an Air Force Space Command satellite control squadron.											

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FAIRCHILD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE GENERAL OFFICER HOUSING		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 8.87.41	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER GJKZ930038	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 184		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
REPLACE GENERAL OFFICER QUARTERS	UN	1	127,050	127	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				39	
SITE PREPARATION	LS			(4)	
ROADS AND PAVING	LS			(7)	
UTILITIES	LS			(12)	
LANDSCAPING	LS			(2)	
DEMOLITION & ABESTOS ABATEMENT	LS			(14)	
SUBTOTAL				166	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				8	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				174	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)				10	
TOTAL REQUEST				184	
AREA COST FACTOR 1.00					
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replacement of one GOQ unit for the Wing Commander with all necessary support. This project will provide a new building with an attached single car garage, air conditioning, all amenities, and demolition of the existing 1527 square foot GOQ and garage. Supporting facilities include sitework, utility systems, roads, parking, walkways, and landscaping.					
<u>UNIT TYPE</u>	<u>NET AREA</u>	<u>PROJECT FACTOR</u>	<u>\$/ NSF</u>	<u>NO. UNITS</u>	<u>TOTAL COST</u>
GOQ 4BR	2310	1.10	50	1	127,050
				1	127,050
11. REQUIREMENT: 1 UN ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 1 UN PROJECT: Replace General Officer Housing. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Modern and efficient four bedroom housing appropriate for family living and the entertainment responsibilities of the General Officer Installation Commander. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing General Officer Quarters was constructed in 1943 from the plans for a farm house. Rooms are extremely small, and traffic flow from one room to another is very inefficient. Several subsequent additions to the facility, while providing for the needs at that time, have only served to further detract from current needs, modern home design, and home use. For example, what was once an exterior porch, is now an interior bedroom with no windows. The dining room was at one time a kitchen, which was long ago relocated to an addition at the rear of the house. The house was constructed on wood stringers over concrete piles. The stringers and some floor joists are badly rotted and weakened,					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FAIRCHILD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE GENERAL OFFICER HOUSING		5. PROJECT NUMBER GJK2930D38
<p>a condition believed to exist in many areas of the structure, which indicates that the unit is nearing the end of its useful life. In addition, the plumbing and electrical systems are in dire need of replacement and upgrade to modern standards. The unit is energy inefficient and has no central air conditioning system. The numerous additions and current state of structural and infrastructure deterioration have rendered it impractical and cost prohibitive to renovate. The detached garage also has structural problems and similarly is in need of replacement.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The base will continue to have an unsuitable housing unit to support the Wing Commander and family. The condition of the unit will detract from the representational responsibilities of the Commander. Maintenance and repair costs will continue to increase in the effort keep the house habitable and presentable.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, improvement, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		1. DATE OF REPORT (YYMMDD)		2. FISCAL YEAR 1994		REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L(AR)1718			
3. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE		4. REPORTING INSTALLATION				b. LOCATION			
6. DATA AS OF 11 JANUARY 1992		a. NAME FAIRCHILD AIR FORCE BASE				TEN MILES WEST OF SPOKANE, WASHINGTON			
ANALYSIS OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS		CURRENT				PROJECTED			
		OFFICER (e)	E9-E4 (f)	E3-E1 (g)	TOTAL (h)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E4 (f)	E3-E1 (g)	TOTAL (h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH		641	28,023	859	4,302	685	2,521	1,267	4,473
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL		841	2,802	859	4,302	545	2,487	964	3,996
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		483	2,188	263	2,934	395	1,699	313	2,607
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)		19	86	53	158				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED				9	15				
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED									
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		19	77	47	143				
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS		7	87	6	103	6	75	11	92
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		478	2,101	254	2,831	389	1,824	302	2,515
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)		481	2,024	204	2,689	484	2,026	205	2,695
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL		184	1,236	91	1,511	184	1,236	91	1,511
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED		183	1,232	90	1,505				
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED									
(3) VACANT		1	4	1	6				
(4) INACTIVE									
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		277	788	113	1,178	280	790	114	1,184
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED		274	783	111	1,168				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		3	5	2	10				
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT		15	77	50	142	-75	-202	-67	-180
14. PROPOSED PROJECT						1			1
15. REMARKS									
ITEM 6 - 13. BASIC DATA WERE EXTRACTED FROM THE FAMILY HOUSING OF JANUARY 1992.									
ITEM 14. PROPOSED PROJECT PROJECT CONSISTS OF A FOUR BEDROOM GENERAL OFFICER UNIT.									

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)						2. DATE	
AIR FORCE									
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX	
F E WARREN AIR FORCE BASE, WYOMING				AIR COMBAT COMMAND				1.08	
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS		SUPPORTED		
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL
a. As of 30 SEP 92		559	3030	557					
b. End FY 1998		546	2920	574					
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)									
a. Total Acreage: (6,610)									
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 92) 214,988									
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 10,750									
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 10,572									
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 1995) 10,621									
f. Planned In Next Four Program Years: 0									
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0									
h. Grand Total: 246,931									
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1994									
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN STATUS	
CODE								START	CMPL
711-142	REPLACE WHERRY HOUSING			104 UN	10,572		TURN KEY		
	PHASE 1								
TOTAL:						10,572			
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 1995)									
711-142	REPLACE WHERRY HOUSING			99 UN	10,621		TURN KEY		
	PHASE 2								
TOTAL:						10,621			
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:									
10. Mission or Major Functions: A missile wing consisting of one Peacekeeper and three Minuteman intercontinental ballistic missile squadrons which maintain a continuous alert posture, and a combat air rescue detachment with UN-1 helicopters.									

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION F E WARREN AIR FORCE BASE, WYOMING			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE WHERRY HOUSING PHASE 1		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 8.87.41	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER GHLN941009	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 10,572		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
PHASE 1	UN	104	67,416	7,011	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,533	
SITE PREPARATION	LS			(145)	
ROADS AND PAVING	LS			(776)	
UTILITIES	LS			(797)	
LANDSCAPING	LS			(246)	
RECREATION	LS			(65)	
OTHER (DEMOLITION)	LS			(504)	
SUBTOTAL				9,544	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				477	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				10,021	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)				551	
TOTAL REQUEST				10,572	
AREA COST FACTOR		1.08			
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct 104 new family housing units with all necessary supporting facilities. Project includes attached garages, energy conservation features, and appliances. Supporting facilities include site preparation, utilities, pavements, communications, parking, landscaping and recreation areas. Asbestos removal and demolition of 104 existing units.					
UNIT TYPE	NET AREA	PROJECT FACTOR	\$/NSF	NO. UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO 3BR	1200	1.06	53	104	7,011,264
				104	7,011,264
11. REQUIREMENT: 213 UN ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 213 UN PROJECT: Replace Wherry Housing, Phase 1. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and dependents stationed at F E Warren AFB. Housing will be improved to provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. Construction will meet "whole house" standards. This project completes Phase A of the Housing Community Plan. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing 210 Wherry family housing units were constructed over 40 years ago. These facilities are so poor that living in them is strictly voluntary and at reduced BAQ rates. They continue in use because off-base housing is extremely limited in this isolated community. When available, off-base housing is very expensive, and frequently little better than the units being replaced by this project. Few adequate affordable houses are available for Junior NCOs. The					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION F E WARREN AIR FORCE BASE, WYOMING		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE WHERRY HOUSING PHASE 1	5. PROJECT NUMBER GHLN941009	
<p>existing Wherry housing is deficient in size by an average of 300 square feet per unit. The concrete block cavity walls have one inch rigid board insulation, and the flat concrete roof decks have a minimum of rigid board insulation. The concrete floor slabs and block wall exteriors of many units have extensive structural cracks. Housing density is high, and there is little privacy for occupants using backyards. There are no family rooms, porches, carports, garages, or storage rooms. Electrical systems do not meet current national electric codes. There are no Ground Fault Interrupter (GFI) circuits, and the number of outlets is minimal. Furnaces are not installed per current construction codes and the majority require replacing. Bathroom fixtures and finishes are antiquated and in need of total replacement. Kitchens are small with badly deteriorated fixtures and cabinets. Kitchen space is further limited by the presence of washer and dryer equipment. All windows are single glazed, steel frame, and are not energy efficient. The units are drafty, and very difficult to keep warm.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The inadequate housing units will continue to be occupied because no other housing is available. Local community housing is almost nonexistent, and the situation is deteriorating. Without this and the subsequent phase of this initiative, repairs of these units will continue out of necessity, in a costly, piecemeal fashion, with no improvement in living quality.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, improvement, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		1. DATE OF REPORT (YYMMDD)		2. FISCAL YEAR 1994		REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L (AR) 1718			
3. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE		4. REPORTING INSTALLATION a. NAME FRANCIS E. WARREN AIR FORCE BASE		b. LOCATION ONE MILE NORTHWEST OF CHEYENNE, WYOMING					
5. DATA AS OF FEBRUARY 1992									
ANALYSIS OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS		CURRENT				PROJECTED			
		OFFICER (a)	E9-E4 (b)	E3-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (a)	E9-E4 (f)	E3-E1 (g)	TOTAL (h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH		635	2,346	683	3,664	555	2,337	678	3,570
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL		635	2,346	683	3,664	555	2,337	678	3,570
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		438	1,692	204	2,334	383	1,685	202	2,270
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)		77	524	31	632				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED		5	9	6	20				
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED			111		111				
c. UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		72	404	25	501				
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS		10	89	5	105	9	89	5	103
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		428	1,603	199	2,230	374	1,596	197	2,167
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)		386	1,108	82	1,556	296	1,009	106	1,411
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL		114	507		621	114	507		621
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED		113	506		619	114	507		621
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED									
(3) VACANT									
(4) INACTIVE		1	1		2				
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		252	601	82	935	182	502	106	790
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED		242	577	79	898				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		10	24	3	37				
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT		62	495	117	674	78	587	91	756
14. PROPOSED PROJECT							104		104
15. REMARKS (PRECEDY ITEM NUMBER)									
ITEM 6 - 13. BASIC DATA WERE EXTRACTED FROM THE HOUSING MARKET OF ANALYSIS FEBRUARY 1992.									
ITEM 14. PROPOSED PROJECT.									
CONSTRUCT: 104 THREE BEDROOM UNITS FOR E4-E6									

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
COMISO AS, ITALY			PURCHASE BUILD-LEASE MFH UNITS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
B.87.41	711-221	EFSA944001	20,200		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PURCHASE BUILD-LEASE MFH UNITS		UN	460	43,913	20,200
SUBTOTAL					20,200
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					20,200
TOTAL REQUEST					20,200
AREA COST FACTOR		1.43			
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Implement the buy out cost option in accordance with the Build-Lease Agreement, Lease No. ITCO-1219A-8512-10TOQA, for 460 MFH units between Si. A. C. Spa and the United States of America at Comiso AS, Italy.					
11. <u>PROJECT</u> : Purchase 460 MFH build-lease units located at Comiso AS, Italy. (Current Mission)					
<u>REQUIREMENT</u> : Discontinue the annual 10 year lease payment by purchasing 460 MFH units.					
<u>CURRENT SITUATION</u> : Due to the 10 year build-lease contract which started in 1988 between USAFE and the contractor, the Air Force is paying an annual rental fee of \$7.2 million. Since the 10 year lease does not expire until 1998, the buy out cost option of \$20.2 million is more economically feasible than to pay the remaining 4 year lease payment of \$28.8 million.					
<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED</u> : The Air Force will continue to pay an annual rental fee of \$7.2 million until 1998. If the buy out cost option is not implemented and we continue to pay the annual rental fee, this will result in the Air Force paying an additional \$8.6 million.					
<u>ADDITIONAL</u> : An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of the buy out cost option and continuing the lease payment. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, the buy out cost option was found to be the most cost efficient.					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE, ARKANSAS			4. PROJECT TITLE CONSTRUCT FAMILY HOUSING SERVICE CENTER		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 8.87.41	6. CATEGORY CODE 610-119	7. PROJECT NUMBER NKAK944001R1	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 980		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
CONSTRUCT FAMILY HOUSING SERVICE CENTER		LS			572
FAMILY HOUSING MGT OFFICE		SF	3,568	88	(314)
MAINTENANCE FACILITY		SF	3,538	73	(258)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					313
SITE IMPROVEMENTS/PAVEMENTS/UTILITIES		LS			(214)
DEMOLITION		LS			(22)
COMMUNICATION SUPPORT/FIRE DETECTION		LS			(11)
SYSTEMS FURNITURE		LS			(40)
ENERGY CONSERVATION		LS			(26)
SUBTOTAL					885
CONTINGENCY (5%)					44
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					929
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)					51
TOTAL REQUEST					980
AREA COST FACTOR		0.79			
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation & floor slab, masonry walls, sloped roof, all utilities & necessary support. Includes administrative offices, reception area, latrines, storage, & mechanical space. Maintenance shop areas include admin space, locker room, break area, equipment room, latrines, secure equipment parking, service call desk, and supply, bench stock & bulk storage.					
11. PROJECT: Construct a family housing management office and maintenance facility. Includes demolition of existing facility. (Current Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> An adequate facility for family housing customer service and housing maintenance activities to support 1,535 family housing units. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The family housing management office provides service to over 4,640 families and unaccompanied personnel living off-base. They manage the assignment, termination and maintenance of 1,535 on-base family housing units. The existing family housing operations are located in a deteriorated wood structure built in 1959. The housing maintenance functions are in lean-to type structures with dysfunctional existing space consisting of a maze of cubbyholes and rooms. Wood floors and walls are weakened by termites. The maintenance facility is also not centrally located. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The family housing management and maintenance facilities will continue to deteriorate, exacerbating maintenance and repair costs. Quality of life for Air Force members and their families will continue to be inadequate.					

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
MALMSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, MONTANA			HOUSING MANAGEMENT OFFICE		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.87.41	610-119	NZAS939038	581		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
HOUSING MANAGEMENT OFFICE	SF	3,000	135	405	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES		1		120	
SITE PREPARATION	LS			(44)	
PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT/FENCING	LS			(5)	
UTILITIES	LS			(8)	
PARKING/SIDEWALKS	LS			(63)	
SUBTOTAL				525	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				26	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				551	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)				30	
TOTAL REQUEST				581	
AREA COST FACTOR		1.20			
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete footings, foundation, floor slabs, masonry or reinforced concrete precast wall, standing seam metal roof. Includes parking, sidewalks, landscaping, area lighting, comprehensive interior design, prewired work stations, playground equipment and screen fencing. Includes demolition of existing facility.					
Air Conditioning: 10 Tons.					
11. <u>PROJECT</u> : Construct a housing management office. (Current Mission)					
<u>REQUIREMENT</u> : Provide an adequate, functional facility to support the management, inspection and referral for on-base and off-base housing.					
<u>CURRENT SITUATION</u> : The existing housing management office is in a small overcrowded building without adequate parking, children's play area, and space for private discussion. Current facility configuration is inadequate for space allocation and arrangement, and is inaccessible for the handicapped. The facility is also not centrally located. Serves 4,379 military members.					
<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED</u> : The housing management staff will not meet basic management office needs and Air Force members and their families who visit the facility cannot be adequately served.					
<u>ADDITIONAL</u> : This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
DYESS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			CONSTRUCT HOUSING MAINTENANCE FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.87.41	219-944	FNWZ910048	281		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
CONSTRUCT HOUSING MAINTENANCE FACILITY		SF	3,054	65	199
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					54
MISC/DEMOLITION		LS			(54)
SUBTOTAL					253
CONTINGENCY (5%)					13
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					266
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)					15
TOTAL REQUEST					281
AREA COST FACTOR		0.92			
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 3,054 SF facility using a steel frame and metal siding on a concrete foundation and slab on grade floor. This building will include interior walls with gypsum wallboard, electrical wiring, flammable and bulk storage areas, utilities, paved parking, and landscaping. Office area will be furnished with lighting and heating. Includes demolition of existing facility.					
Air Conditioning: 2 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 3,054 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 1,280 SF PROJECT: Construct Housing Maintenance Facility. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Construction of a new Housing Maintenance Facility is the key to meeting the maintenance and repair requirements of the Dyess AFB housing units. Required areas include: an entrance foyer with a self-help counter, an office area, a bench stock area, a shop area, and indoor bulk material storage. Also required is secure exterior bulk and flammable storage. The convenience of collocating housing maintenance and self-help supplies will encourage housing occupants to be more active in caring for their houses. Also, with the construction of a new facility, operational costs will decrease due to energy savings, and collocation of functional areas. CURRENT SITUATION: The Housing Maintenance Facility serves 5,335 military members. Housing maintenance is presently performed by contract in office space located in two 30-year old, temporary wood structures which have exceeded their life expectancy. They are energy and functionally inefficient. As a result, maintenance costs are rapidly increasing. One of the 640 SF buildings is used as office space, and the other is used for storage. These unsightly structures are located in the middle of the housing area and will be demolished as a part of this project. Currently,					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DYESS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE CONSTRUCT HOUSING MAINTENANCE FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER FNWZ910048	
<p>the self-help store is three miles away from the housing area.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: A dedicated cost effective facility is required to meet the needs of Air Force Members and their families, as well as to ensure the efficient operations of the Housing Maintenance Facility. Without this new facility, we seriously jeopardize Housing Maintenance operations.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria and scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, improvement, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE, VIRGINIA			CONSTRUCT HOUSING MANAGEMENT OFFICE		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.87.41	610-119	MUHJ940300	452		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/H	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
CONSTRUCT HOUSING MANAGEMENT OFFICE	SF	4,493	74	332	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				76	
UTILITIES	LS			(22)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(17)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(37)	
SUBTOTAL				408	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				20	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				428	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)				24	
TOTAL REQUEST				452	
AREA COST FACTOR		0.92			
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 4,493 square foot masonry building. Work consists of site work, fill and relocation of a drainage ditch, slab on grade, brick veneer exterior wall with concrete block back up, and a sloped asphalt shingled roof. Includes all utilities and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 15 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 4,493 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 2,200 SF PROJECT: Construct Housing Management Office. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Construction of a new Housing Management Office is key to the efficiency of daily operations of this office, and will ensure that personnel have adequate office space. The Langley Housing Management Office provides a vital service to over 6,000 permanent party families, and manages 1,638 MFH units. The task of Military Family Housing management and off-base housing referral services requires a facility that is conducive to an efficient flow of business. The facility will include open and closed offices, a lobby, a conference room, a breakroom, a storage room, a mechanical room, restrooms, and a children's playground area. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing housing management office does not have adequate space for assigned personnel, and the office layout has many inefficiencies. The office is on the first floor of a 10-story (highrise) housing complex of 90 units. The mechanical system is inadequate and designed to support individual apartments, not a large open area of over 2,200 square feet. Maintenance calls are frequent, causing many interruptions to daily operations. The existing space will be reconfigured and upgraded for a satellite housing office and possibly the Family Support Center.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE, VIRGINIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
CONSTRUCT HOUSING MANAGEMENT OFFICE	MUHJ940300	
<p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: People arriving on the base and seeking housing will be welcomed in a crowded, substandard facility which provides a poor first impression of their new locality and things to come.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, improvement, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION

Program (In Thousands)
FY 1994 Program \$ 53,070
FY 1993 Program \$150,000

Purpose and Scope

The Air Force operates over 129,000 family housing units. The average age of housing units in the Air Force inventory is over 31 years. Over 65,000 of these units now require improvements or renovation to meet contemporary living standards during the next decade. Many of these units require major expenditures to repair or replace deteriorated mechanical, electrical, or structural components, and to provide some of the modern amenities found in comparable community housing. The Post Acquisition Construction Program provides this needed revitalization. Each project also includes a significant amount of concurrent maintenance and repair to maximize the project cost effectiveness (average per project is 68%). An integral aspect of design and whole house revitalization construction projects is energy conservation improvements. Through utilization of improved insulation, high efficiency energy conserving mechanical and electrical systems, we improve efficiency and reduce utility costs. We anticipate generating \$300K in O&M cost avoidance in FY94 and we anticipate continued demonstration of this cost effectiveness.

The Air Force is the acknowledged DoD leader in developing the "whole house" revitalization concept. Whole house is the combination of needed maintenance and repair together with improvements to bring the unit to contemporary standards. In addition, we are looking beyond the house to the entire housing area in our requirements plan. Our "whole neighborhood" concept includes the development of neighborhood vehicular and pedestrian circulation concepts to consider siting, density, landscaping, parking, playgrounds, recreation area and utilities, in addition to the housing unit itself.

Consistent with Authorization and Appropriation Committees' language in FY 90, the Air Force seeks to maintain funding levels in this account to continue revitalizing our aging homes. Consistent with Appropriation Committees' language in FY 85, the Air Force has gathered data on the post acquisition construction projects and included in this submission detail on past projects for these units and any future work programmed within a three year period.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

Program Summary

Authorization is requested for:

- (1) Various improvements to existing public quarters, as described on DD Form 1391.
- (2) Appropriation of \$53,070,000 to fund these projects.

NOTE: Projects within the program are within the statutory limitation of \$50,000 per unit adjusted by area cost factor, except as identified by separate DD Form 1391.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES			4. PROJECT TITLE POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 8.87.42	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-000	7. PROJECT NUMBER XXXX9400PAIP	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 53,070		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION					53,070
PROJECTS TO IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING		UN	588	90,255	(53,070)
SUBTOTAL					53,070
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					53,070
TOTAL REQUEST					53,070
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Includes all work necessary to revitalize military family housing by providing: air conditioning, where authorized; modern functional layouts; soundproofing; and utility and site improvements. Energy conservation actions include new and additional insulation, storm windows, solar screens, and more efficient heating and cooling systems. (Continued on next pages.)					
11. PROJECT: This request is for appropriation of \$53.070 million to accomplish improvements in family housing units. (Current Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> To revitalize and improve the livability of older, obsolete family housing units, to conserve energy in these older housing units, and to bring utility systems up to current safety standards. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The majority of these housing units were constructed since the late 1940's using various design and construction criteria, with different types of material, installed equipment, appliances, livability, and appearance. Many utility and structural systems were designed and constructed during years of plentiful, inexpensive energy resources. Insulation, storm windows, etc., not previously cost effective, are now wise investments. This FY 1994 program will prolong the useful life of many of our older, less modern units by enhancing livability, reducing operation costs and improving safety aspects. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> These projects meet the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" except as noted on the individual DD Form 1391s.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																								
AIR FORCE																										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION																										
VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES																										
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER																									
POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION	N/A																									
<p>10. Description of work to be accomplished</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;"><u>Location and Project</u></th> <th style="text-align: center;"><u>Current Working Estimate (\$000)</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="2"><u>UNITED STATES</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"><u>ALASKA</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>ELMENDORF AFB IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING FXSB944002R2</td> <td style="text-align: right;">8,621</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> - Improve 37 duplexes; add attached 2-car garages, arctic entries, and eating areas adjacent to remodeled kitchens. Improve 2 GOQs and convert 5 duplexes to single family residences, add 2-car garages and arctic entries. Work includes utility upgrades, pavements, landscaping, roof repair/replacement, and recreational areas. Convert basement to indoor activity room. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached) </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"><u>ARIZONA</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB IMPROVE SENIOR OFFICER HOUSING FBNV933014</td> <td style="text-align: right;">289</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> - Improve 3 units by upgrading electrical systems, replace floor coverings, renovate kitchens and bathrooms, add privacy walls and covered patios. Renovate both interior and exterior storage areas and reroof as necessary. Restore one carport to original configuration and add master bedroom and bathroom to one unit. Abate asbestos in roof shingles, floor tile and ceilings. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached) </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None.</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			<u>Location and Project</u>	<u>Current Working Estimate (\$000)</u>	<u>UNITED STATES</u>		<u>ALASKA</u>		ELMENDORF AFB IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING FXSB944002R2	8,621	- Improve 37 duplexes; add attached 2-car garages, arctic entries, and eating areas adjacent to remodeled kitchens. Improve 2 GOQs and convert 5 duplexes to single family residences, add 2-car garages and arctic entries. Work includes utility upgrades, pavements, landscaping, roof repair/replacement, and recreational areas. Convert basement to indoor activity room. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached)		- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None		- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None		<u>ARIZONA</u>		DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB IMPROVE SENIOR OFFICER HOUSING FBNV933014	289	- Improve 3 units by upgrading electrical systems, replace floor coverings, renovate kitchens and bathrooms, add privacy walls and covered patios. Renovate both interior and exterior storage areas and reroof as necessary. Restore one carport to original configuration and add master bedroom and bathroom to one unit. Abate asbestos in roof shingles, floor tile and ceilings. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached)		- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None.		- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.	
<u>Location and Project</u>	<u>Current Working Estimate (\$000)</u>																									
<u>UNITED STATES</u>																										
<u>ALASKA</u>																										
ELMENDORF AFB IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING FXSB944002R2	8,621																									
- Improve 37 duplexes; add attached 2-car garages, arctic entries, and eating areas adjacent to remodeled kitchens. Improve 2 GOQs and convert 5 duplexes to single family residences, add 2-car garages and arctic entries. Work includes utility upgrades, pavements, landscaping, roof repair/replacement, and recreational areas. Convert basement to indoor activity room. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached)																										
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None																										
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None																										
<u>ARIZONA</u>																										
DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB IMPROVE SENIOR OFFICER HOUSING FBNV933014	289																									
- Improve 3 units by upgrading electrical systems, replace floor coverings, renovate kitchens and bathrooms, add privacy walls and covered patios. Renovate both interior and exterior storage areas and reroof as necessary. Restore one carport to original configuration and add master bedroom and bathroom to one unit. Abate asbestos in roof shingles, floor tile and ceilings. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached)																										
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None.																										
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.																										

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)	2. DATE														
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES																
4. PROJECT TITLE POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION	5. PROJECT NUMBER N/A															
<p>10. Description of work to be accomplished</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;"><u>Location and Project</u></th> <th style="text-align: center;"><u>Current Working Estimate (\$000)</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="2"><u>CALIFORNIA</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>EDWARDS AFB WHOLE HOUSE RENOVATION AREA C, PH 7 FSPM944501A7</td> <td style="text-align: right; vertical-align: bottom;">5,261</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> - Renovate 76 units by replacing wood trim and fascia, interior walls, exterior wooden storage sheds, and bathtubs. Replace exterior stucco coat. Construct gable roofs to replace existing flat roofs. Replace evaporative cooling units and duct work. Repair deteriorated interior utility systems. Provide an addition to units with a space deficiency greater than 100 SF. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached) - WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None - WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> TRAVIS AFB COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENTS, WHERRY XDAT944008P1 </td> <td style="text-align: right; vertical-align: bottom;">4,078</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> - Replace sanitary sewage service laterals, provide underground storm drainage, alter/widen streets and build new sidewalks, install street lighting, construct additional parking spaces, privacy screening, and detached carports. Provide community parks and tot lots. Plant trees and install underground drip irrigation. - WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None - WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None </td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			<u>Location and Project</u>	<u>Current Working Estimate (\$000)</u>	<u>CALIFORNIA</u>		EDWARDS AFB WHOLE HOUSE RENOVATION AREA C, PH 7 FSPM944501A7	5,261	- Renovate 76 units by replacing wood trim and fascia, interior walls, exterior wooden storage sheds, and bathtubs. Replace exterior stucco coat. Construct gable roofs to replace existing flat roofs. Replace evaporative cooling units and duct work. Repair deteriorated interior utility systems. Provide an addition to units with a space deficiency greater than 100 SF. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached) - WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None - WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None		TRAVIS AFB COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENTS, WHERRY XDAT944008P1		4,078	- Replace sanitary sewage service laterals, provide underground storm drainage, alter/widen streets and build new sidewalks, install street lighting, construct additional parking spaces, privacy screening, and detached carports. Provide community parks and tot lots. Plant trees and install underground drip irrigation. - WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None - WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None		
<u>Location and Project</u>	<u>Current Working Estimate (\$000)</u>															
<u>CALIFORNIA</u>																
EDWARDS AFB WHOLE HOUSE RENOVATION AREA C, PH 7 FSPM944501A7	5,261															
- Renovate 76 units by replacing wood trim and fascia, interior walls, exterior wooden storage sheds, and bathtubs. Replace exterior stucco coat. Construct gable roofs to replace existing flat roofs. Replace evaporative cooling units and duct work. Repair deteriorated interior utility systems. Provide an addition to units with a space deficiency greater than 100 SF. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached) - WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None - WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None																
TRAVIS AFB COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENTS, WHERRY XDAT944008P1		4,078														
- Replace sanitary sewage service laterals, provide underground storm drainage, alter/widen streets and build new sidewalks, install street lighting, construct additional parking spaces, privacy screening, and detached carports. Provide community parks and tot lots. Plant trees and install underground drip irrigation. - WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None - WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None																

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
AIR FORCE																								
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION																								
VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES																								
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER																							
POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION	N/A																							
<p>10. Description of work to be accomplished</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;"><u>Location and Project</u></th> <th style="text-align: center;"><u>Current Working Estimate (\$000)</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="2"><u>COLORADO</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>USAF ACADEMY</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>IMPROVE CAPEHART FAMILY HOUSING</td> <td style="text-align: right;">4,685</td> </tr> <tr> <td>XQPZ940030</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Improve 75 Capehart units. Renovate kitchens and bathrooms and add family rooms, bathrooms, privacy fencing, garages, & trash enclosures. Relocate washers & dryers to main level & patios next to the family room/kitchen. Functional layouts will be modified and net square feet increased as required. Repair interior and exterior features and landscape as required. Mitigate radon. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached) - WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: Includes some radon mitigation (average cost, \$2,700/unit), some minor roof repairs (\$1,400/unit average), and basement leak repairs (\$4,500/unit average). - WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: No work is programmed for the next three years in these units, although some roof repairs may be identified at a later date. </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"><u>FLORIDA</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>ELGIN AUX FIELD 9 (HURLBURT FIELD)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>MPH COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENTS</td> <td style="text-align: right;">658</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FTEV944001</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provide and install prefabricated wood/composite wood and steel playground equipment, retaining curbs, sidewalks, sitting areas, open lawns, and landscape plantings for shade and screening. Includes utilities and all necessary support. - WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None - WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			<u>Location and Project</u>	<u>Current Working Estimate (\$000)</u>	<u>COLORADO</u>		USAF ACADEMY		IMPROVE CAPEHART FAMILY HOUSING	4,685	XQPZ940030		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Improve 75 Capehart units. Renovate kitchens and bathrooms and add family rooms, bathrooms, privacy fencing, garages, & trash enclosures. Relocate washers & dryers to main level & patios next to the family room/kitchen. Functional layouts will be modified and net square feet increased as required. Repair interior and exterior features and landscape as required. Mitigate radon. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached) - WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: Includes some radon mitigation (average cost, \$2,700/unit), some minor roof repairs (\$1,400/unit average), and basement leak repairs (\$4,500/unit average). - WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: No work is programmed for the next three years in these units, although some roof repairs may be identified at a later date. 		<u>FLORIDA</u>		ELGIN AUX FIELD 9 (HURLBURT FIELD)		MPH COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENTS	658	FTEV944001		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provide and install prefabricated wood/composite wood and steel playground equipment, retaining curbs, sidewalks, sitting areas, open lawns, and landscape plantings for shade and screening. Includes utilities and all necessary support. - WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None - WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None 	
<u>Location and Project</u>	<u>Current Working Estimate (\$000)</u>																							
<u>COLORADO</u>																								
USAF ACADEMY																								
IMPROVE CAPEHART FAMILY HOUSING	4,685																							
XQPZ940030																								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Improve 75 Capehart units. Renovate kitchens and bathrooms and add family rooms, bathrooms, privacy fencing, garages, & trash enclosures. Relocate washers & dryers to main level & patios next to the family room/kitchen. Functional layouts will be modified and net square feet increased as required. Repair interior and exterior features and landscape as required. Mitigate radon. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached) - WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: Includes some radon mitigation (average cost, \$2,700/unit), some minor roof repairs (\$1,400/unit average), and basement leak repairs (\$4,500/unit average). - WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: No work is programmed for the next three years in these units, although some roof repairs may be identified at a later date. 																								
<u>FLORIDA</u>																								
ELGIN AUX FIELD 9 (HURLBURT FIELD)																								
MPH COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENTS	658																							
FTEV944001																								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provide and install prefabricated wood/composite wood and steel playground equipment, retaining curbs, sidewalks, sitting areas, open lawns, and landscape plantings for shade and screening. Includes utilities and all necessary support. - WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None - WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None 																								

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																																
AIR FORCE																																		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION																																		
VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES																																		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER																																	
POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION	N/A																																	
<p>10. Description of work to be accomplished</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;"><u>Location and Project</u></th> <th style="text-align: center;"><u>Current Working Estimate (\$000)</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="2"><u>IDAHO</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>MOUNTAIN HOME AFB</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING</td> <td>4,411</td> </tr> <tr> <td>QYZH945003</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> - Improve 60 family housing units by renovating kitchens and bathrooms, reconfiguring floor plans, improving interior and exterior finishes, upgrading mechanical and electrical systems, and remodeling garages or carports. Includes asbestos removal. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached) </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None.</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"><u>ILLINOIS</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>SCOTT AFB</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING</td> <td>7,705</td> </tr> <tr> <td>VDYD924000</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> - Improve 107 appropriated units. Upgrade kitchen/bathrooms, remodel interior, replace windows, install vinylsiding, upgrade/replace mechanical and electrical systems, reconfigure floor plans, add patios, privacy screens, and landscaping. Remove asbestos. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached) </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: FY91</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Expand parking, add storage \$117K</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			<u>Location and Project</u>	<u>Current Working Estimate (\$000)</u>	<u>IDAHO</u>		MOUNTAIN HOME AFB		IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	4,411	QYZH945003		- Improve 60 family housing units by renovating kitchens and bathrooms, reconfiguring floor plans, improving interior and exterior finishes, upgrading mechanical and electrical systems, and remodeling garages or carports. Includes asbestos removal. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached)		- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None.		- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.		<u>ILLINOIS</u>		SCOTT AFB		IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	7,705	VDYD924000		- Improve 107 appropriated units. Upgrade kitchen/bathrooms, remodel interior, replace windows, install vinylsiding, upgrade/replace mechanical and electrical systems, reconfigure floor plans, add patios, privacy screens, and landscaping. Remove asbestos. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached)		- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: FY91		Expand parking, add storage \$117K		- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None	
<u>Location and Project</u>	<u>Current Working Estimate (\$000)</u>																																	
<u>IDAHO</u>																																		
MOUNTAIN HOME AFB																																		
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	4,411																																	
QYZH945003																																		
- Improve 60 family housing units by renovating kitchens and bathrooms, reconfiguring floor plans, improving interior and exterior finishes, upgrading mechanical and electrical systems, and remodeling garages or carports. Includes asbestos removal. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached)																																		
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None.																																		
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.																																		
<u>ILLINOIS</u>																																		
SCOTT AFB																																		
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	7,705																																	
VDYD924000																																		
- Improve 107 appropriated units. Upgrade kitchen/bathrooms, remodel interior, replace windows, install vinylsiding, upgrade/replace mechanical and electrical systems, reconfigure floor plans, add patios, privacy screens, and landscaping. Remove asbestos. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached)																																		
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: FY91																																		
Expand parking, add storage \$117K																																		
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None																																		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES																								
4. PROJECT TITLE POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION	5. PROJECT NUMBER N/A																							
<p>10. Description of work to be accomplished</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;"><u>Location and Project</u></th> <th style="text-align: center;"><u>Current Working Estimate (\$000)</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="2"><u>OHIO</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING ZHTV820016P7</td> <td style="text-align: right;">3,822</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> - Improve 70 Wherry units and 4 SOQs. Work includes new plumbing, electrical, HVAC systems, refinishing interior surfaces, and reconfiguration of functional layout. Improve exterior by installing rear entry steel doors, provide patios, privacy fences, storage sheds and correct drainage. Construct additions to the SOQs to add authorized square footage. Provide radon mitigation. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached) </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"><u>SOUTH CAROLINA</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>CHARLESTON AFB COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENTS PH B DKFX954033</td> <td style="text-align: right;">3,500</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> - Improve housing neighborhood area. Upgrade lighting systems, regrade low-lying areas and install storm drainage, install underground drip irrigation system and construct community parks with open space and recreational facilities. </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None.</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			<u>Location and Project</u>	<u>Current Working Estimate (\$000)</u>	<u>OHIO</u>		WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING ZHTV820016P7	3,822	- Improve 70 Wherry units and 4 SOQs. Work includes new plumbing, electrical, HVAC systems, refinishing interior surfaces, and reconfiguration of functional layout. Improve exterior by installing rear entry steel doors, provide patios, privacy fences, storage sheds and correct drainage. Construct additions to the SOQs to add authorized square footage. Provide radon mitigation. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached)		- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None		- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None		<u>SOUTH CAROLINA</u>		CHARLESTON AFB COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENTS PH B DKFX954033	3,500	- Improve housing neighborhood area. Upgrade lighting systems, regrade low-lying areas and install storm drainage, install underground drip irrigation system and construct community parks with open space and recreational facilities.		- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None.		- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.	
<u>Location and Project</u>	<u>Current Working Estimate (\$000)</u>																							
<u>OHIO</u>																								
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING ZHTV820016P7	3,822																							
- Improve 70 Wherry units and 4 SOQs. Work includes new plumbing, electrical, HVAC systems, refinishing interior surfaces, and reconfiguration of functional layout. Improve exterior by installing rear entry steel doors, provide patios, privacy fences, storage sheds and correct drainage. Construct additions to the SOQs to add authorized square footage. Provide radon mitigation. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached)																								
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None																								
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None																								
<u>SOUTH CAROLINA</u>																								
CHARLESTON AFB COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENTS PH B DKFX954033	3,500																							
- Improve housing neighborhood area. Upgrade lighting systems, regrade low-lying areas and install storm drainage, install underground drip irrigation system and construct community parks with open space and recreational facilities.																								
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None.																								
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.																								

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																
AIR FORCE																		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION																		
VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES																		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER																	
POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION	N/A																	
<p>10. Description of work to be accomplished</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th><u>Location and Project</u></th> <th><u>Current Working Estimate (\$000)</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="2"><u>WASHINGTON</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>MCCHORD AFB</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING</td> <td>5,731</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PQWY924004</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> - Improve 70 Capehart units. Enlarge entrance, add family room, reconfigure kitchen, upgrade mechanical and electrical systems. Replace windows, doors, flooring, plumbing, exterior siding, and patios. Add showers and 3/4 bathe and expand parking. Provide neighborhood improvement. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached) </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> - WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: FY91 Repair roofs \$180K; FY91 Repair storage sheds/siding \$17K </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> - WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			<u>Location and Project</u>	<u>Current Working Estimate (\$000)</u>	<u>WASHINGTON</u>		MCCHORD AFB		IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	5,731	PQWY924004		- Improve 70 Capehart units. Enlarge entrance, add family room, reconfigure kitchen, upgrade mechanical and electrical systems. Replace windows, doors, flooring, plumbing, exterior siding, and patios. Add showers and 3/4 bathe and expand parking. Provide neighborhood improvement. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached)		- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: FY91 Repair roofs \$180K; FY91 Repair storage sheds/siding \$17K		- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None	
<u>Location and Project</u>	<u>Current Working Estimate (\$000)</u>																	
<u>WASHINGTON</u>																		
MCCHORD AFB																		
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	5,731																	
PQWY924004																		
- Improve 70 Capehart units. Enlarge entrance, add family room, reconfigure kitchen, upgrade mechanical and electrical systems. Replace windows, doors, flooring, plumbing, exterior siding, and patios. Add showers and 3/4 bathe and expand parking. Provide neighborhood improvement. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached)																		
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: FY91 Repair roofs \$180K; FY91 Repair storage sheds/siding \$17K																		
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None																		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																																
AIR FORCE																																		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION																																		
VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES																																		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER																																	
POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION	N/A																																	
<p>10. Description of work to be accomplished</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Location and Project</u></th> <th style="text-align: right;"><u>Current Working Estimate (\$000)</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="2"><u>OVERSEAS</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"><u>AUSTRALIA</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>WOOMERA AS</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING, PH III</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>ZGTT944002</td> <td style="text-align: right;">430</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> - Improve 6 family housing units. Renovate kitchens and baths; replace HVAC, electrical wiring and fixtures, plumbing and fixtures, doors and windows, ceilings, and weatherize with insulation; repaint interior; landscape yards and install sprinkler system. Includes all utilities and necessary support. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached) </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"><u>GUAM</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>ANDERSEN AFB</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>AJJY944404R2</td> <td style="text-align: right;">3,879</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> - Improve 36 family housing units. Work includes enlarging the master bedroom, modernizing kitchen and bathrooms, repairing entire plumbing and electrical systems; construction of outside storage, installation of package A/C system, removal of asbestos. Whole neighborhood renovation includes construction (i.e. bus shelters, playgrounds, sidewalks, pavements and utilities). </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			<u>Location and Project</u>	<u>Current Working Estimate (\$000)</u>	<u>OVERSEAS</u>		<u>AUSTRALIA</u>		WOOMERA AS		IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING, PH III		ZGTT944002	430	- Improve 6 family housing units. Renovate kitchens and baths; replace HVAC, electrical wiring and fixtures, plumbing and fixtures, doors and windows, ceilings, and weatherize with insulation; repaint interior; landscape yards and install sprinkler system. Includes all utilities and necessary support. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached)		- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None		- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None		<u>GUAM</u>		ANDERSEN AFB		IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING		AJJY944404R2	3,879	- Improve 36 family housing units. Work includes enlarging the master bedroom, modernizing kitchen and bathrooms, repairing entire plumbing and electrical systems; construction of outside storage, installation of package A/C system, removal of asbestos. Whole neighborhood renovation includes construction (i.e. bus shelters, playgrounds, sidewalks, pavements and utilities).		- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None		- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None	
<u>Location and Project</u>	<u>Current Working Estimate (\$000)</u>																																	
<u>OVERSEAS</u>																																		
<u>AUSTRALIA</u>																																		
WOOMERA AS																																		
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING, PH III																																		
ZGTT944002	430																																	
- Improve 6 family housing units. Renovate kitchens and baths; replace HVAC, electrical wiring and fixtures, plumbing and fixtures, doors and windows, ceilings, and weatherize with insulation; repaint interior; landscape yards and install sprinkler system. Includes all utilities and necessary support. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached)																																		
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None																																		
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None																																		
<u>GUAM</u>																																		
ANDERSEN AFB																																		
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING																																		
AJJY944404R2	3,879																																	
- Improve 36 family housing units. Work includes enlarging the master bedroom, modernizing kitchen and bathrooms, repairing entire plumbing and electrical systems; construction of outside storage, installation of package A/C system, removal of asbestos. Whole neighborhood renovation includes construction (i.e. bus shelters, playgrounds, sidewalks, pavements and utilities).																																		
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None																																		
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None																																		

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS (over \$50,000 per unit)

A separate DD Form 1391 follows for each Post Acquisition Construction project which is over \$50,000 per unit (multiplied by the Area Cost Factor).

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA			IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)		
8.87.42	711-143	FXSB944002R2	8,621		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	UN	81	87,542	7,091	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				880	
UTILITIES	LS			(124)	
LANDSCAPING AND PLAYGROUNDS	LS			(109)	
PAVEMENTS/SIDEWALKS/BIKE PATHS	LS			(446)	
ASBESTOS ABATEMENT	UN	90	2,000	(180)	
DEMOLITION	UN	7	3,000	(21)	
SUBTOTAL				7,971	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				399	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				8,370	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (3%)				251	
TOTAL REQUEST				8,621	
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT		\$148,930			
AREA COST FACTOR		1.69			
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Improve 37 duplexes; add attached 2-car garages, arctic entries, and eating areas adjacent to remodeled kitchens. Improve 2 GOQs and convert 5 duplexes to single family residences, add 2-car garages and arctic entries. Work includes utility upgrades, pavements, landscaping, roof repair/replacement, and recreational areas. Convert basement to indoor activity room.					
11. <u>PROJECT</u> : Improve 81 family housing units (including two general officer quarters). <u>REQUIREMENT</u> : These units must be upgraded to provide AF officers with quarters which meet AF standards for size, safety, maintainability, appearance and comfort. Garages and arctic entries are necessary for protection against the harsh Alaskan environment. Five buildings (10 units) will be converted to 4 bedroom single family units for senior officers. Private yards, landscaping along the new garage side of the quarters, recreational facilities, and underground placement of electric services are needed to complete development of the neighborhood. <u>CURRENT SITUATION</u> : These housing units were constructed in 1942. The units were built extremely close to narrow through streets, resulting in inadequate space for safe parking. Open vehicular parking for both occupants and guests has been provided in the rear of the units forcing primary entry to these quarters through the kitchen. Besides being the primary entry area, the kitchen lacks sufficient counter and storage space, and an eating area. The dining and living rooms are spacious, but the first floor lacks a powder room. Interior finishes, electric service and plumbing fixtures require upgrading. Exterior utilities are generally adequate. The neighborhood has been upgraded to natural gas service and water/sewer service is reliable. Electric service is overhead.					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	5. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB944002R2	
<p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Air Force members and their families will continue to be housed in unsatisfactory quarters with detrimental effects on morale and retention of personnel. These quarters will not provide vehicle protection which is the standard in this environment for all ranks.</p> <p><u>WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS:</u> None</p> <p><u>WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS:</u> None</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Average estimated replacement cost by the economic analysis for an individual unit is \$275,167. The average renovation/improvement cost including asbestos abatement is 31% of replacement cost. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook, "Facilities Planning and Design Guide." An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, revitalization was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA			4. PROJECT TITLE IMPROVE SENIOR OFFICER HOUSING		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 8.87.42		6. CATEGORY CODE 711-143		7. PROJECT NUMBER FBNV933014	
				8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 289	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
IMPROVE SENIOR OFFICER HOUSING		EA	3	85,000	255
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					13
PRIVACY FENCING		LS			(11)
DRIVEWAYS		LS			(2)
SUBTOTAL					268
CONTINGENCY (5%)					13
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					281
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (3%)					8
TOTAL REQUEST					289
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT			\$117,280		
AREA COST FACTOR			0.90		
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Improve 3 units by upgrading electrical systems, replace floor coverings, renovate kitchens and bathrooms, add privacy walls and covered patios. Renovate both interior and exterior storage areas and reroof as necessary. Restore one carport to original configuration and add master bedroom and bathroom to one unit. Abate asbestos in roof shingles, floor tile and ceilings.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 3 UN ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 3 UN PROJECT: Improve Senior Officer Housing. REQUIREMENT: Provide modern and efficient 4 bedroom housing appropriate for family living and the representational responsibilities of the installation senior command staff. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing housing units were built in 1952 and 1957, and do not meet current standards for senior officer housing. These units have never received major improvements and are beginning to show the wear and tear of years of continuous use. Layout, utilities, cabinets and fixtures are all dated, substandard, and in need of replacement. One unit has inadequate space for the authorized grade. All have outdated electrical systems which no longer meet national electrical codes. Insulation is substandard and results in high heat and air conditioning costs. Interior and exterior storage is inadequate. Wholehouse renovation is required to bring the senior officer housing up to current standards of living. This project corresponds with the Senior Officer Quarters project identified in the Housing Community Plan. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The base will continue to have unsuitable housing to support senior leadership. As the housing continues to age without upgrade, accelerated deterioration of electrical, plumbing, and other systems can be expected, with increasing and unacceptable maintenance and					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA			
4. PROJECT TITLE			5. PROJECT NUMBER
IMPROVE SENIOR OFFICER HOUSING			FBNV933014
<p>repair costs to the base. Accelerated deterioration could result in the necessity to replace the units at a greater cost rather than upgrade in the future.</p> <p><u>WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS:</u> None.</p> <p><u>WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS:</u> None.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, improvement, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>			

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			4. PROJECT TITLE WHOLE HOUSE RENOVATION AREA C, PH 7		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 8.87.42	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-121	7. PROJECT NUMBER FSPM944501A7	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 5,261		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
WHOLE HOUSE RENOVATION AREA C, PH 7		UN	76	62,740	4,768
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					97
LANDSCAPING		LS			(97)
SUBTOTAL					4,865
CONTINGENCY (5%)					243
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					5,108
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (3%)					153
TOTAL REQUEST					5,261
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT					\$98,000
AREA COST FACTOR					1.38
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Renovate 76 units by replacing wood trim and fascia, interior walls, exterior wooden storage sheds, and bathtubs. Replace exterior stucco coat. Construct gable roofs to replace existing flat roofs. Replace evaporative cooling units and duct work. Repair deteriorated interior utility systems. Provide an addition to units with a space deficiency greater than 100 SF.					
11. PROJECT: Renovate Wherry family housing units, phase 7. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Provide quality of life improvements to existing housing units in order to meet "whole house" standards. Provide adequate and modern housing units comparable to those available in the local community. Provide efficient and reliable interior utility systems. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Due to the harsh desert sun and severe temperature extremes at Edwards AFB, the exterior stucco and wood trim have deteriorated to the point where replacement of the trim and stucco is necessary. The existing flat roofs are leaking severely thus requiring high maintenance. Gable roofs are more energy-efficient, require less maintenance, and are more aesthetically pleasing than flat roofs. The existing evaporative cooling units are old and in need of replacement. Continued operation of these cooling systems is wasting valuable energy dollars. The interior utility systems are experiencing a high failure rate. Simply, the physical condition, general appearance, and quality of life in Area C requires improvement. This is the first "whole house" renovation for these housing units since construction in 1953. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> These homes will continue to deteriorate, and maintenance will become unmanageable. The exterior appearance of the residences will continue to be an eyesore, lacking in quality and aesthetics. Without the new roofs, water intrusion will get worse and					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
WHOLE HOUSE RENOVATION AREA C, PH 7	FSPM944501A7	
<p>energy will be wasted. The utility systems will become irreparable. Families will be forced to occupy substandard, inadequate housing.</p> <p>WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None</p> <p>WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, improvement, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
USAF ACADEMY, COLORADO			IMPROVE CAPEHART FAMILY HOUSING		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.87.46	711-111	XQPZ940030	4,685		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
IMPROVE CAPEHART FAMILY HOUSING	UM	75	57,760	4,332	
SUBTOTAL				4,332	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				217	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,549	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (3%)				136	
TOTAL REQUEST				4,685	
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT		\$74,595			
AREA COST FACTOR		1.05			
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Improve 75 Capehart units. Renovate kitchens and bathrooms and add family rooms, bathrooms, privacy fencing, garages, & trash enclosures. Relocate washers & dryers to main level & patios next to the family room/kitchen. Functional layouts will be modified and net square feet increased as required. Repair interior and exterior features and landscape as required. Mitigate radon.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,214 UN ADEQUATE: 506 UN SUBSTANDARD: 708 UN PROJECT: Provides improvements and repairs to 75 Capehart military family housing units. All units will meet "whole house/neighborhood" standards. REQUIREMENT: Project is required to provide adequate quarters for military members and their families assigned to this installation. It is the eighth phase of a multi-phase program which will renovate a total of 1214 units. 506 units were improved in the first seven phases. CURRENT SITUATION: These units were constructed in 1959 with kitchens, baths, windows, and siding partially renovated between 1977 and 1983. Units do not meet current DOD standards. Kitchens need modifications to provide adequate storage cabinet and countertop areas. Most units do not have enough bathrooms. Formal/informal dining areas are too small and very few units have family rooms. The units require extensive maintenance and repair on plumbing, heating, and electrical systems. Laundry areas are poorly located in the basement. Landscaping needs to be improved for better drainage and separation of units. Radon mitigation is needed in some units to meet EPA standards. Existing carports do not provide sufficient protection from the elements. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and their families will continue to be housed in unsuitable conditions, affecting the morale and retention of quality personnel.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
USAF ACADEMY, COLORADO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
IMPROVE CAPEHART FAMILY HOUSING	XQPZ940030	
<p><u>WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS:</u> Includes some radon mitigation (average cost, \$2,700/unit), some minor roof repairs (\$1,400/unit average), and basement leak repairs (\$4,500/unit average).</p> <p><u>WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS:</u> No work is programmed for the next three years in these units, although some roof repairs may be identified at a later date.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of construction, improvement, leasing, and status quo operation. Based on net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, preliminary findings indicate improvement to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
MOUNTAIN HOME AIR FORCE BASE, IDAHO			IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.87.42	711-111	QYZH945003	4,411		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING		EA	60	67,980	4,079
SUBTOTAL					4,079
CONTINGENCY (5%)					204
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					4,283
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (3%)					128
TOTAL REQUEST					4,411
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT					\$92,100
AREA COST FACTOR					1.10
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Improve 60 family housing units by renovating kitchens and bathrooms, reconfiguring floor plans, improving interior and exterior finishes, upgrading mechanical and electrical systems, and remodeling garages or carports. Includes asbestos removal.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 60 UN ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 60 UN PROJECT: Improve Appropriated Housing. REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide adequate quarters for military members and their dependents stationed at Mt Home AFB. This project improves 60 units correcting design, construction and age related deficiencies to "whole house" standards. Project includes total renovation of all units, and conversion of selected units from four to three bedrooms. CURRENT SITUATION: These units were constructed in 1948. All require repair and modernization to meet whole-house guidelines and current housing standards. The units have not had major renovation since construction, and are showing the effects of age and normal wear and tear throughout. Kitchens and bathrooms have outdated fixtures. Heating and air conditioning systems are inadequate and in need of replacement. Electrical systems no longer comply with national electric codes, and light fixtures are antiquated and inadequate. Some of the units have no direct access to backyards...access can only be gained by walking around the house from the front, which severely limits backyard use. The result is extremely cluttered front yards where barbecues and similar outdoor equipment is frequently located. Four to three bedroom conversions are needed to meet current requirements. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate rapidly with					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MOUNTAIN HOME AIR FORCE BASE, IDAHO		
4. PROJECT TITLE IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	5. PROJECT NUMBER QYZH945003	
<p>increasing age, resulting in excessive costs to the Government and inconvenience to residents. Without this project, repair of these units will continue in a costly, piecemeal fashion, with little or no improvement in the quality of life of the residents. Military families will live in inadequate facilities.</p> <p><u>WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS:</u> None.</p> <p><u>WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS:</u> None.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> The proposed project should provide adequate housing for another 25 years with no additional major investments other than routine maintenance. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, improvement, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, revitalization was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
SCOTT AIR FORCE BASE, ILLNOIS			IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)		
8.87.42	711-143	VDYD924000	7,705		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING		UN	107	66,590	7,125
SUBTOTAL					7,125
CONTINGENCY (5%)					356
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					7,481
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (3%)					224
TOTAL REQUEST					7,705
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT		\$94,910			
AREA COST FACTOR		1.05			
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Improve 107 appropriated units. Upgrade kitchen/bathrooms, remodel interior, replace windows, install vinylsiding, upgrade/replace mechanical and electrical systems, reconfigure floor plans, add patios, privacy screens, and landscaping. Remove asbestos.					
11. PROJECT: Improve 107 family housing units. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Provide adequate housing for military members and their families meeting wholehouse standards. This is part one of phase 5 to revitalize 1,448 units; 250 units have been or are in the process of being improved. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> These units were constructed between 1969 and 1973 and have received no major renovation, other than routine maintenance and repair since construction. Kitchen layout needs reconfiguration, cabinets, countertops, flooring, dishwasher, sink, disposal, and range require replacement. Bathroom commodes, lavatories, tubs, exhaust fans, and fixtures require replacement and half baths require conversion to full baths. Gas-fired furnace has exceeded its life expectancy, electrical wiring does not meet code. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Units will continue to deteriorate, exacerbating maintenance and repair costs. Quality of life for Air Force members and their families will continue to be inadequate. <u>WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS:</u> FY91 Expand parking, add storage \$117K <u>WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS:</u> None <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". These units are structurally sound and with this project will provide adequate housing					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
SCOTT AIR FORCE BASE, ILLINOIS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	VDYD924000	
<p>for another 25 years without additional major investments other than routine and cyclical repairs. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, revitalization was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO			IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.87.42	711-121	ZHTV820016P7	3,822		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING		LS			2,539
WHERRY FAMILY HOUSING		UN	70	30,860	(2,160)
ADD TO & ALTER SENIOR OFFICER HOUSING		UN	4	94,750	(379)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					995
OFF STREET PARKING		LS			(379)
AREA LIGHTING		LS			(335)
RECREATION		LS			(281)
SUBTOTAL					3,534
CONTINGENCY (5%)					177
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					3,711
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (3%)					111
TOTAL REQUEST					3,822
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT		\$151,200			
AREA COST FACTOR		1.00			
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Improve 70 Wherry units and 4 SOQs. Work includes new plumbing, electrical, HVAC systems, refinishing interior surfaces, and reconfiguration of functional layout. Improve exterior by installing rear entry steel doors, provide patios, privacy fences, storage sheds and correct drainage. Construct additions to the SOQs to add authorized square footage. Provide radon mitigation.					
11. PROJECT: Provide "whole house" improvements to 70 Wherry housing units and 4 Senior Officer Quarters.					
<p><u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Adequate living quarters are required for families of military members assigned to this base. Improvements needed to the Wherry units include installation of rear entry steel doors, patios with screens for occupant privacy, and area improvements to facilitate family recreation, safety and quality of life. Additional living space along with minor reconfiguration and upgrade of utilities in the existing structures are necessary to bring these units up to the livability standards of similar quarters both on and off base. Upgrade of electrical, plumbing and HVAC systems is needed to comply with national building codes and to improve safety, reliability and economy of operation. To meet current family requirements, thirty-one oversized 4/5 bedroom units are being converted to address the base's deficit of 2/3 bedroom units.</p> <p><u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The Wherry units were constructed in 1950's and have had no major improvements since original construction. Due to exposure to weather conditions and heavy usage the rear entry wooden doors have deteriorated. Because of the high density of this development, the occupants have no outdoor privacy. The SOQs were constructed in 1935 and have only had routine repairs and minor improvements. The wiring and</p>					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING		5. PROJECT NUMBER ZHTV820016P7
<p>plumbing consist of original systems in both the Wherry and SOQ units mixed with some newer material added over the years. The existing room layout in these units are cramped and poorly arranged. The SOQs have only 1350 NSF, well below the authorized 1700 NSF. The proposed additional square footage will provide a master bedroom with bath and family room. The "C" type of Wherry housing unit requires additional square footage to reach the authorized space and internal renovation and reconfiguration to meet current housing standards. Accoustical and thermal insulation is also required.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Air Force members and their families will continue to be housed in unsuitable conditions, affecting morale and the retention of quality experienced personnel. These units will continue to deteriorate past the point of repair, resulting in loss of valuable economic assets to the Air Force.</p> <p><u>WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS:</u> None</p> <p><u>WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS:</u> None</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> The SOQ units are eligible for the historic register. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, improvement, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present value and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON			4. PROJECT TITLE IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 8.87.42		6. CATEGORY CODE 711-111	7. PROJECT NUMBER POWY924004		8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 5,731	
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM			U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING			UN	70	75,700	5,299
SUBTOTAL						5,299
CONTINGENCY (5%)						265
TOTAL CONTRACT COST						5,564
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (3%)						167
TOTAL REQUEST						5,731
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT						\$98,245
AREA COST FACTOR						1.00
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Improve 70 Capehart units. Enlarge entrance, add family room, reconfigure kitchen, upgrade mechanical and electrical systems. Replace windows, doors, flooring, plumbing, exterior siding, and patios. Add showers and 3/4 baths and expand parking. Provide neighborhood improvement.						
11. PROJECT: Improve 70 Capehart units.						
REQUIREMENT: To provide adequate housing for military members and their families meeting wholehouse standards. This is part one of Phase 5 to renovate 831 housing units; 509 have been or are under renovation.						
CURRENT SITUATION: These units were constructed in 1964 and have received only routine maintenance and repair since construction. Bathroom fixtures need to be replaced, tiling and plumbing repaired, walls refinished. Kitchen counters and cabinets are worn and broken, layout requires reconfiguration, washers and dryers need to be moved out of the kitchen. Windows are single pane; there are no storm doors. Insulation is in poor condition. Exterior siding is deteriorated and beyond maintenance.						
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate, exacerbating maintenance and repair costs. Quality of life for Air Force members and their families will continue to be inadequate.						
WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: FY91 Repair roofs \$180K; FY91 Repair storage sheds/siding \$17K						
WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None						
ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". These units are structurally sound and with this project will provide adequate housing for another 25 years without additional major investments other than routine and cyclical repairs. An economic analysis has been prepared						

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MCCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	POMY924004	
<p>comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, revitalization was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
WOOMERA AIR STATION, AUSTRALIA			IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING, PH III		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.87.42	711-143	ZGTT944002	430		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING, PH III		UN	6	54,000	324
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					43
UTILITIES		LS			(14)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(20)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(9)
SUBTOTAL					367
CONTINGENCY (10%)					37
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					404
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)					26
TOTAL REQUEST					430
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT			\$68,227		
AREA COST FACTOR			1.55		
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Improve 6 family housing units. Renovate kitchens and baths; replace HVAC, electrical wiring and fixtures, plumbing and fixtures, doors and windows, ceilings, and weatherize with insulation; repaint interior; landscape yards and install sprinkler system. Includes all utilities and necessary support.					
11. PROJECT: Improve 6 family housing units.					
<p><u>REQUIREMENT:</u> To provide adequate, modern, and safe family housing for military members and their dependents. Provide all needed repairs and improvements under one contract while the entire group of houses is vacant to reduce the cost of the work and to avoid inconveniencing an occupant and the neighbors.</p> <p><u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Original insulation is 30 years old and thermal protection is inadequate for this harsh desert environment. Original window frames are difficult to operate and are not energy efficient. The existing reverse cycle HVAC systems were designed for use in the climate of Adelaide, South Australia, not the extreme temperatures found in Woomera. During the summer months, the temperature reaches 113 deg F and below 50 deg F in the winter. These units do not provide sufficient heating or cooling. The galvanized gutter system is corroded and leaking. Storm water drainage piping is broken and clogged from the debris flowing through the rotted gutter system.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The housing does not satisfy current Air Force Quality of Life Standards. Families are forced to live in facilities that are substandard and not consistent with the quality of today's housing construction. These units will continue to deteriorate at a rapid rate increasing repair and maintenance costs.</p> <p><u>WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS:</u> None</p>					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WOOMERA AIR STATION, AUSTRALIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING, PH III	ZGTT944002	
<p>WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: Woomera is a joint defense community with the Australian Department of Defense. Our homes are integrated into the overall housing area and do not comply with the Woomera Village housing concept. The Australian government has been replacing their modular units with permanent brick construction.</p>		

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

ADVANCE PLANNING AND DESIGN

Program (In Thousands)

FY 1994 Program \$9,901

FY 1993 Program \$7,457

Purpose and Scope

This program provides for preliminary studies to develop additional family housing facilities, one time multi-phase design, and housing community plan developments; studies for site adaptation and determination of type and design of units; and working drawings, specifications, estimates, project planning reports and final design drawings of family housing construction projects. This includes the use of architectural and engineering services in connection with any family housing new or post acquisition construction program.

Program Summary

Authorization is requested for:

- (1) Advance planning and design for future year housing programs; and
- (2) Appropriation of \$9,901,000 to fund this effort as outlined in the following exhibit:

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
AIR FORCE				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE		
VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES		FAMILY HOUSING ADVANCE PLANNING AND DESIGN		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)	
8.87.42	711-000	XXXX94000PAD	9,901	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
FAMILY HOUSING ADVANCE PLANNING AND DESIGN	LS			9,901
SUBTOTAL				9,901
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				9,901
TOTAL REQUEST				9,901
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Architect-engineer services, surveys, fees, etc., in connection with advance planning and design of family housing dwelling units and properties included in or proposed for the Air Force Family Housing Account.				
11. PROJECT: <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> The funds requested are necessary to procure architect-engineer services to make site and utility investigations; one time multi-phase design, and housing community plan (HCP) developments; for the preparation of design and specifications of advance plans for future year housing programs in connection with any family housing new or post acquisition construction programs. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Housing Community Plans cannot be developed. Advanced planning and design, site surveys, and other Architect-engineer services to support the new construction and post acquisition construction programs cannot be procured, therefore making these programs unexecutable.				

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

OPERATIONS, UTILITIES AND MAINTENANCE
(Excluding Leasing and Debt)

	<u>Program (In Thousands)</u>
FY 1994 Program	\$735,625
FY 1993 Program	\$777,071

Purpose and Scope

1. Purpose: This budget request supports must pay operations and utilities expenses, as well as the maintenance and repair of our existing housing inventory at over 130 installations. Funding also provides referral services to members seeking housing in the private sector.

2. Scope:

a. Operations. This portion of the program provides for operating expenses in the following sub-accounts:

(1) Management. Includes installation-level management such as housing office operations, quality assurance evaluators, administrative support, community liaison, and annual service fee paid to the Corporation-Trust Company to provide the required corporate presence in Delaware. United States Air Force Housing, Inc., continues as the entity holding title to Capehart and Wherry real property. Housing referral costs are also included. The housing referral program assists personnel to find quarters in the private sector and implements the Fair Housing Act of 1968.

(2) Services. Provides basic support services such as refuse collection and disposal; fire and police protection; entomology and pest control; snow removal, street cleaning.

(3) Furnishings. Procures household equipment (primarily stoves and refrigerators) and, in limited circumstances, furniture; controls furnishings inventories; maintains and repairs such items.

(4) Miscellaneous. Includes mobile home hookups, leased office and warehouse space supporting family housing, payments to other Federal agencies or foreign governments to operate Permit Housing units occupied by Air Force personnel, and similar costs.

b. Utilities. Includes all utilities serving family housing, purchased and base produced, except telephone.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

c. Maintenance. Provides upkeep of family housing real property, as follows:

(1) Maintenance/Repair of Dwellings. Service calls, routine maintenance, repairs and replacement.

(2) Exterior Utilities. Maintenance and repair of water, sewer, electric, heat and gas lines located within family housing areas.

(3) Other Real Property. Upkeep of grounds, roads, parking areas, and other property for the exclusive use of family housing not shown above.

(4) Alteration and Additions. Minor alterations to dwellings or housing support facilities. Larger scope or higher dollar value items are funded in the construction program.

Operations and Maintenance Program Summary - Highlights

Authorization/Appropriation is requested in FY 1994 for \$735,625,000. This amount, together with estimated reimbursements of \$9,397,000, will fund the FY 1994 Operations and Maintenance program of \$745,022,000.

A summary of the funding program for FY 1994 follows
(\$ in thousands):

<u>Operations Request</u>	<u>Utilities Request</u>	<u>Maintenance Request</u>	<u>Total Direct Request</u>	<u>Reimburse- ment</u>	<u>Total Program</u>
\$120,647	\$211,036	\$ 403,942	\$ 735,625	\$ 9,397	\$745,022

This request fully considers the effects of actual base closures and proposed overseas force structure draw downs and requests minimum essential resources to provide military families with housing in the private market, through assistance from a housing referral office, or in government housing. The Air Force's FY 1994 Operations and Maintenance program emphasizes the following areas:

* Maintaining the livability of the existing housing inventory worldwide.

* Reducing utility consumption per unit through a program of energy goals which places increased management emphasis on conservation and due to whole house improvement efforts.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

* Funding for government appliances and furniture consistent with cost/benefit studies, the delivery of new housing units which need government supplied appliances and the redistribution of appliances from closure bases.

* Reducing furnishings inventories in accordance with base closure schedules.

* Includes \$4.3 million for contract cleaning at overseas locations only. The budgeted amount will allow cleaning of approximately 17,200 units at an average per unit cost of \$248.00.

* Continuing the special effort to lower operations and maintenance costs in high cost quarters.

This budget request is for funds needed to meet must pay operations and utilities expenses, as well as the maintenance and repair of our existing housing inventory at over 130 installations. We also provide referral services to members seeking housing in the private sector. The Air Force shares the concerns of the Congress to improve support to military families and to properly maintain the housing inventory. This budget supports a long-range program responding to Congressional desires while considering the current environment of budget restraint.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

Operations (\$ in Thousands)

<u>FY 1993 Est. Execution</u>	<u>FY 1994 Request</u>
\$128,423	\$120,647

The FY 1994 program represents Air Force family housing requirements and was developed using OSD/OMB approved inflation and foreign currency formulation rates. To the extent known, adjustments have been made for actual base closures and proposed overseas force structure draw downs. Each program sub-account is described in detail in the following analysis:

A. Management

<u>FY 1993 Est. Execution</u>	<u>FY 1994 Request</u>
\$46,354	\$44,282

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases
(\$ in Millions)

1. FY 1993 President's Budget Request (Amended)	\$ 46.4
2. FY 1993 Appropriated Amount	46.4
3. FY 1993 Current Estimate	46.4
4. Price Growth	
a. Inflation (+1.1)	1.1
5. Program Decreases	
a. Decrease reflects a reduction in the number of units supported (-3.2).	- 3.2
6. FY 1994 President's Budget Request	\$ 44.3

Analysis of Changes in Management

The increases for inflation and pay raises for foreign national employees are offset partially by the decrease in units supported at bases projected for closure or force structure reductions.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

B. Services

<u>FY 1993 Est. Execution</u>	<u>FY 1994 Request</u>
\$26,633	\$28,183

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases
(\$ in Millions)

1. FY 1993 President's Budget Request (Amended)	\$ 26.6
2. FY 1993 Appropriated Amount	26.6
3. FY 1993 Current Estimate	26.6
4. Price Growth	
a. Inflation (+.7)	.7
5. Program Increase	3.9
a. Program increase due to new EPA requirements (+3.9)	
6. Program Decrease	
a. Program reflects a reduction in the number of units supported (-3.1)	- 3.1
7. FY 1994 President's Budget Request	\$ 28.1

Analysis of Changes in Services

The FY 1994 budget has remained fairly constant with increases to cover inflation. A reduction has been programmed for projected base closures and overseas force structure reduction. At each installation, new EPA requirements must be met such as landfill restrictions, recycling, and an increase in testing to ensure toxic waste avoidance.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases

C. Furnishings

	<u>FY 1993 Est. Execution</u>	<u>FY 1994 Request</u>
	\$45,681	\$43,543
	<u>(\$ in Millions)</u>	
1. FY 1993 President's Budget Request (Amended)		\$ 50.7
2. Congressional Adjustment		-5.0
3. FY 1993 Appropriated Amount		45.7
4. FY 1993 Current Estimate		45.7
5. Price Growth		
a. Inflation (+1.1)		1.1
6. Program Decreases		
a. Decrease reflects a reduction in the number of units supported (-3.3)		- 3.3
7. FY 1994 President's Budget Request		\$ 43.5

Analysis of Changes in Furnishings

The FY 1994 estimate reflects continued reduction of furnishings and appliances, especially at overseas installations. Increased burden sharing is encouraged to achieve reductions in the dollars required. Also, in some locations, until the size of overseas dependent population is stabilized continued support is required to ensure homes are adequately supplied with appliances and loaner furnishings. In Europe, certain furniture items will continue to be needed. Loaner sets of furniture are issued to military families overseas to let them occupy permanent quarters prior to the arrival of personally owned furniture and to let personnel stay in permanent quarters after furniture is shipped due to a change of station.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

D. Miscellaneous

<u>FY 1993 Est. Execution</u>	<u>FY 1994 Request</u>
\$9,755	\$4,639

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases
(\$ in Millions)

1. FY 1993 President's Budget Request (Amended)	\$ 9.7
2. FY 1993 Appropriated Amount	9.7
3. FY 1993 Current Estimate	9.7
4. Price Growth	-.8
a. Inflation (+.1)	
b. Foreign Currency exchange (-.9)	
5. Program Decreases	- 5.2
a. Decrease reflect accommodation change decrease (-.5)	
b. Foreign Currency exchange price decrease (-.9)	
c. Decrease reflect a reduction in the number of units supported. (-3.8)	
6. FY 1994 President's Budget Request	\$ 4.6

Analysis of Changes in Miscellaneous

The change in accommodation charges is for occupancy of units owned by the United Kingdom and the costs of units supported in Australia. These accommodation costs are incurred in accordance with requirements in host country agreements and are budgeted as "must pay" expenses.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

UTILITIES (\$ in Thousands)

<u>FY 1993 Est Execution</u>	<u>FY 1994 Request</u>
\$261,052	\$211,036

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases

(\$ in Millions)

1. FY 1993 President's Budget Request (Amended)	\$ 261.0
2. FY 1993 Appropriated Amount	261.0
3. FY 1993 Current Estimate	261.0
4. Price Growth	
a. Inflation (+5.5)	5.5
5. Program Decreases	- 55.5
a. Increased burden sharing Government of Japan (-28.5)	
b. Decrease reflects a reduction in the number of units supported (-18.5)	
c. Decrease reflects economies and efficiencies (-8.5)	
6. FY 1994 President's Budget Request	\$ 211.0

Analysis of Changes in Utilities

MFH facilities consume approximately one-fifth of Air Force facility energy; therefore, MFH residents and management have shared--and must continue to share--a significant role in the achievement of Air Force energy reduction goals. Since MFH occupants are not billed for their energy consumption, conservation motivation must be rooted in other than financial incentives. The single most effective incentive is command emphasis. Energy projects to install set back thermostats, water heater jacket insulation and insulation of crawl and attic spaces have had good results toward the attainment of Air Force energy conservation goals. It is the overall goal of the Air Force to achieve a one percent per year per square foot reduction in energy consumption in its MFH facilities starting from a baseline of

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

UTILITIES

FY 1985 through FY 1995. We are near reaching this goal and have established another long term goal. It is to reach a 20 percent reduction in energy consumption for Air Force MFH facilities by the year 2000 using FY 85 as the baseline. The funding stream depicted in the following table is consistent with the Air Force goal of reducing energy consumption and costs.

<u>ENERGY CONSUMPTION</u>	<u>FY 93</u>	<u>FY 94</u>
Electricity (MWH)	1,859,857	1,796,999
Fuel Oil (Bbls)	401,013	395,922
Natural Gas (KCF)	6,807,387	6,469,249
Coal (MBTUs)	486,671	391,671
Purchased Steam (MBTU)	582,316	580,445

The Budget request for utilities in FY 1994 includes the costs of electricity, coal, gas, fuel oil, water and sewage treatment. Overall, utility rates are stabilizing. Continued conservation efforts are reducing consumption and costs. The reductions in energy consumption are also due to closures of Bergstrom, Carswell, Chanute, Eaker, England, Mather, Myrtle Beach, Williams and Wurtsmith at the end of FY 93. Additional closures at Grissom, Loring, Lowry and MacDill in FY 94 further reduces energy consumption.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

Maintenance (\$ in Thousands)

<u>FY 1993 Est. Execution</u>	<u>FY 1994 Request</u>
\$387,596	\$403,942

Air Force family housing assets have a replacement value of over \$15 billion. Sound property management and economies dictate proper preservation and protection of these assets.

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases

	<u>(\$ in Millions)</u>
1. FY 1993 President's Budget Request (Amended)	\$ 396.9
2. Congressional Adjustment	- 9.3
3. FY 1993 Appropriated Amount	387.6
4. FY 1993 Current Estimate	387.6
5. Price Growth	
a. Inflation (10.7)	10.7
6. Program Increase	34.1
a. Additional turnover maintenance as customers rotate because of accelerated force restructuring (+28.5).	
b. Reflects an increase due to repairs to slow asset deterioration (+5.6).	
7. Program Decrease	- 28.5
a. Decrease reflects a reduction in the number of units supported (-13.9).	
b. Decrease due to savings from Whole House Investments (-14.6).	
8. FY 1994 President's Budget Request	\$ 403.9

Analysis of Changes in Maintenance Program

FY 1994 maintenance request will not provide any reduction to our continuing backlog of maintenance and repair. Previously limited maintenance funding and a high occupant turnover has accelerated deterioration of the Air Force's aging housing inventory. Continued emphasis on maintenance and repair of dwellings is essential to assure availability of quarters for occupancy.

April 1993

Page No. 820

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

Limited funding in prior years has resulted in temporary fixes while more permanent initiatives are slipped. Deferring such work has exacerbated the rate of deterioration in our inventory.

The Air Force continues to maintain dwelling units using a whole-house concept. Whole-house combines all requirements into one comprehensive project of which 68 percent is actually maintenance and repair work. Unexpected savings from the overseas lease program and from the increased burden sharing agreements for utility costs at Japanese bases have provided a source of funds to reprogram for dire maintenance requirements. Despite these reprogramming actions, MAJCOMs identified \$183M worth of unfunded ready-to-accomplish maintenance projects in FY 93 and another \$143M in FY94. The Air Force has minimized all maintenance and repair efforts at closure bases or bases scheduled for withdrawal.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

Backlog of Deferred Maintenance

Consistent with Congressional concerns, the Air Force is actively pursuing means to reduce its backlog of maintenance and repair. Our present goal is to reduce our end of year backlog to one year's normal recurring maintenance within the next 10 years.

Through the Air Force's emphasis on whole house revitalization, via our Post Acquisition Improvement Program (PAIP) and replacement construction, we have controlled the load on our maintenance account. PAIP and replacement construction is composed of approximately 68 percent maintenance and repair type work. As shown in the table below, when the limited available maintenance funds are combined with efforts in PAIP and replacement construction, the growth in DMAR is slowed. Additionally, reducing our beginning backlog in FY 90 to account for base closures has significantly lowered our initial backlog.

However, given the reduced funding levels in PAIP and replacement construction and maintenance in FY 94, we project a \$151 million increase in the Deferred Maintenance and Repair backlog in FY 1994.

The following chart illustrates the backlog of deferred maintenance (\$M).

Fiscal Year	<u>FY 90</u>	<u>FY 91</u>	<u>FY 92</u>	<u>FY 93</u>	<u>FY 94</u>
Backlog at Beginning of Year*	1,130	798	824	995	1,096
Normal Recurring Maintenance	415	422	656	590	601
Total Requirement	1,545	1,220	1,480	1,585	1,697
Funds Available Maintenance	315	333	442	388	404
68% of PAIP (P713) and Replacement Construction	75	102	96	150	95
Less Base Closures	395				
Total Funds Available	785	435	538	538	499
Backlog at End of Year	760	785	942	1,047	1,198
Backlog Reduction (Backlog Growth)	370	(25)	(157)	(105)	(151)

*Includes inflation and asset deterioration from prior year

April 1993

Page No.

822

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS
(Exceeding \$15K Threshold)

This information is provided to comply with the 1984 House Appropriations Committee language that requires the Services to report any expenditures from the maintenance account which will exceed \$15,000 per unit.

UNITED STATES

<u>Location</u>	<u>No. of Units</u>	<u>Age of Units</u>	<u>Per Un Cost</u>	<u>Unit (NSF)</u>	<u>Project (NSF)</u>	<u>Total Cost(\$K)</u>	<u>Improvements/ Non-Routine M&R (\$K FY89-93)</u>
-----------------	-------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	----------------------------	--

CALIFORNIA

<u>Vandenberg</u>	172	35	18.0	1064	183008	3096.0	None
-------------------	-----	----	------	------	--------	--------	------

Narrative: This project replaces overhead galvanized water pipes that are corroded and leaking, ruining sheet rock walls/ceilings and light fixtures. The water pipes are full of sediment and flow is severely constricted. In some cases, hot water travels so slowly it is luke warm by the time it reaches the bathroom. The electrical system is a two-prong dated 1960 technology system that is incompatible with today's appliance outlets causing damage to appliances and the system. The 50 Amp services need to be upgraded to safely handle the load placed on electrical systems. This project will add a grounding wire to achieve a 3-prong system. The project is the minimal requirement to provide basic safe water and electricity to the homes.

MONTANA

<u>Malmstrom</u>	2	33-34	35.5	1289	1386	65.7	0.7
------------------	---	-------	------	------	------	------	-----

Narrative: Work includes all structural, mechanical and architectural work required to correct wet basements, replace foundation walls and floor slabs, replace partition walls, window wells, caulking floor slab perimeter, under floor slab drain system with sump pump, topsoil, and sod replacement for surface drainage. Due to ground surface settlement, foundation walls and floor slabs have heaved and cracked. Every time it rains or snow melts, water seeps in. Wells in one unit have moved enough to require natural gas to be turned off until repairs are made, thus the unit must remain vacant. Without repairs, other units will continue to deteriorate. Malmstrom AFB needs every housing unit available as it has a deficit.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS
(Exceeding \$15K Threshold)

This information is provided to comply with the 1984 House Appropriations Committee language that requires the Services to report any expenditures from the maintenance account which will exceed \$15,000 per unit.

UNITED STATES

<u>Location</u>	<u>No. of Units</u>	<u>Age of Units</u>	<u>Per Un Cost</u>	<u>Unit (NSF)</u>	<u>Project (NSF)</u>	<u>Total Cost(\$K)</u>	<u>Improvements/ Non-Routine M&R (\$K FY89-93)</u>
-----------------	-------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	----------------------------	--

TEXAS

<u>Lackland</u>	4	35-43	28.5	1169	4676	86.4	None
-----------------	---	-------	------	------	------	------	------

Narrative: These housing units have been out of the inventory for two years. Extensive termite damage has occurred requiring repair before the houses can be used.

OVERSEAS

GERMANY

<u>Rhein-Main</u>	1	34	91.5	1549	1549	91.5	None
-------------------	---	----	------	------	------	------	------

Narrative: Project provides utility maintenance and repairs to two SOQs. It was constructed in 1957 and has received no major renovation on the utility system. The electrical system does not meet US or German safety standards. The heating system is antiquated and energy inefficient. The uninsulated water lines are corroded, providing brown water to the occupant. The sewer system is deteriorated and periodically backs up into the basement. The remaining units at this housing complex received utility upgrades between 1980 and 1990. This is the last SOQ requiring this work.

<u>Sembach</u>	18	40	46.5	1398	25158	837.0	\$135
----------------	----	----	------	------	-------	-------	-------

Narrative: Project repairs the heating and electrical systems of these units. Present heat system is inefficient and unit electrical wiring (two-wire) is posing a safety hazard due to deterioration of insulation. Project also repairs kitchens and bathrooms to replace deteriorating plumbing and fixtures, repair plaster walls and floors, and replace water/moisture damaged cabinets. Finally, this project will provide the appropriate level of fire protection to these units.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS
(Exceeding \$15K Threshold)

This information is provided to comply with the 1984 House Appropriations Committee language that requires the Services to report any expenditures from the maintenance account which will exceed \$15,000 per unit.

OVERSEAS

<u>Location</u>	<u>No. of Units</u>	<u>Age of Units</u>	<u>Per Un Cost</u>	<u>Unit (NSF)</u>	<u>Project (NSF)</u>	<u>Total Cost(\$K)</u>	<u>Improvements/ Non-Routine M&R (\$K FY89-93)</u>
-----------------	-------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	----------------------------	--

GUAM

<u>Anderson</u>	200	34	30.2	1150	230000	6048.0	None
-----------------	-----	----	------	------	--------	--------	------

Narrative: Project will replace severely deteriorated elastomeric foam roofs with built-up roofs.

JAPAN

<u>Kadena</u>	68	30	53.0	1078	78352	3,604.0	6,800.0
---------------	----	----	------	------	-------	---------	---------

Narrative: Replace interior water piping, bathroom fixtures, wall covering, tile and trim, kitchen cabinets; repair electrical system, mitigate radon, and replace exterior drain pipe brackets. Galvanized iron piping has developed numerous leaks and clogging due to corrosion. Bathrooms and kitchens require renovation due to rusted fixtures, bathtubs, sinks, deteriorated cabinets, and walls/trim. All work needs to be done at same time to avoid unnecessary or redundant costs and to limit disruptions to occupants.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

GENERAL OFFICER HOUSING
(Exceeding \$25K Threshold)

This information is provided to comply with the 1984 House Appropriations Committee language that requires the Services to report any expenditures from the maintenance account which will exceed \$25,000 for all GFOQs.

UNITED STATES

	Qrts	Size	Age of	Ops	Util	(\$K)	(\$K)	(\$K)	Improvements
<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>(BSF)</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Main</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Non-Routine M&R</u>
						<u>O&M</u>	<u>Cost</u>		<u>(\$K FY89-93)</u>

CALIFORNIA

<u>Edwards</u>	5308	2580	34	17000	3000	30.0	50.0	50.0	None
----------------	------	------	----	-------	------	------	------	------	------

Narrative: Repair the roof and fascia of the GOQ by replacing the roof with asphalt shingles and replacing and repainting the fascia boards. Relocate exposed conduit and wiring. Include all work necessary to make this a complete and usable roof.

TEXAS

<u>Randolph</u>	300	4442	62	8000	3560	49.0	60.6	60.6	None
-----------------	-----	------	----	------	------	------	------	------	------

Narrative: Repair driveway and parking area in front of residence. Correct drainage problems by demolishing existing driveway/curb and rebuild with lime stabilized subgrade, block base, hot mix asphaltic concrete. In addition, new curbs and gutters are necessary. Repair turf damaged in the process. Repair of driveway is required to provide adequate access to the quarters, and to prevent further deterioration of the pavement and curb.

OVERSEAS

GERMANY

<u>Rhein-Main</u>	628B	1752	37	1100	3400	103.3	107.8	107.8	None
-------------------	------	------	----	------	------	-------	-------	-------	------

Narrative: Project provides utility maintenance and repairs for this GOQ. It was constructed in 1957 and has received no major renovation on the utility system. The electrical system does not meet U.S. or German safety standards. The heating system is antiquated and energy inefficient. The uninsulated water lines are corroded, providing brown water to the occupant. The sewer system is deteriorated and periodically backs up into the basement. The remaining units at this housing complex received utility upgrades between 1980 and 1990. This is the last GOQ requiring this work.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

GENERAL OFFICER QUARTERS
(Exceeding \$25K Threshold)

This information is provided to comply with the 1984 House Appropriations Committee language that requires the Services to report any expenditures from the maintenance account which will exceed \$25,000 for all GFOQs.

GERMANY

<u>Location</u>	<u>Qrts</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Size</u> <u>(NSF)</u>	<u>Age of</u> <u>Unit</u>	<u>Ops</u> <u>Total</u>	<u>Util</u> <u>Total</u>	(\$K) <u>Main</u> <u>Total</u>	(\$K) <u>Total</u> <u>O&M</u>	(\$K) <u>Unit</u> <u>Cost</u>	Improvements Non-Routine M&R (\$K FY89-93)
<u>Vogelweh</u>	1050	1900	39	1100	3400	27.8	225.0	32.3	None
	1051	1900	39	1100	3400	26.0	225.0	30.3	None
	1052	1900	39	1100	3400	26.0	225.0	30.3	None
	1053	1900	39	1100	3400	26.0	225.0	30.3	None
	1054	1900	39	1100	3400	27.8	225.0	32.3	None
	2671	1900	39	1100	3400	27.8	225.0	32.3	None
	2673	1900	39	1100	3400	27.2	225.0	31.7	None
	2675	1900	39	1100	3400	39.3	225.0	43.8	None
	2676	1900	39	1300	3700	39.1	225.0	44.1	None

Narrative: Project provides for the complete replacement of the roofs of nine GOQs. These 39 year old roofs have become a continual maintenance burden. Repair crews are called out routinely to try to locate and stop small leaks that continue to cause damage to the support structure as well as the interior of the units. These units were programmed for improvement (which included roof replacement) in 1990 however due to the cancellation of the improvement program in Europe, the projects were never accomplished. The Air Force cannot economically wait any longer to repair these roofs.

PRIOR YEAR OUT OF CYCLE REQUESTS

JAPAN

<u>Kadena</u>	164	4608	39	5240	18483	67.0	90.7	90.7	315.2
---------------	-----	------	----	------	-------	------	------	------	-------

Narrative: In FY 1992 \$50,000 worth of operations and maintenance work had been approved for this GOQ. However, the work on the unit was disrupted by a typhoon, increasing the scope of work required in FY 1992 to \$90,706. The request from the Air Force to increase the scope of the work was submitted to the appropriate Congressional Committees on 1 September 1992.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

Reimbursement Authority

Program (In Thousands)

FY 1994 Program \$9,397

FY 1993 Program \$8,275

The FY 1994 program is based on FY 1993 estimated execution and considers inflationary/price escalation increases in the cost of rents, utility rates, services, and maintenance and repair work charged to family housing. The increase in anticipated reimbursements is due to members who separate voluntarily being authorized to live in government quarters for up to six months after separation.

The following chart shows the source of receipts for the Family Housing account:

	<u>FY 1993</u>	<u>FY 1994</u>
Mobile Home Parks/Rent		
Proceeds, Other	\$8,275	\$9,397
Receipts from Sales of		
Housing Assets	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
TOTAL	\$8,275	\$9,397

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

LEASING

Program (In Thousands)
FY 1994 Program \$118,266
FY 1993 Program \$150,800

Purpose and Scope

This program provides for worldwide leasing of privately owned housing and payment of the operation and maintenance of those units. The FY 1994 Authorization request for family housing is summarized as follows:

- (1) 9,201 foreign lease points,
- (2) 5,800 Section 801 lease points,
- (3) 3,333 domestic lease points and
- (4) \$118,266,000 for appropriation to fund leased units and related expenses as described in the following exhibits.

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases

(\$ in Millions)

1. FY 1993 President's Budget Request (Amended)	\$ 150.8
2. FY 1993 Appropriated Amount	150.8
3. Program Increases	-
4. FY 1993 Current Estimate	150.8
5. Price Growth	
a. Inflation (+3.6)	3.6
6. Program Decreases	- 36.1
a. Decrease reflects a reduction in overseas leases (-35.3)	
b. Decrease reflects reduction in CONUS leases (-.8)	
7. FY 1994 President's Budget Request	\$ 118.3

Foreign Leasing

Leasing in foreign countries is controlled by Congress. First by the number of lease points authorized, then by the review and approval of contract proposals, and finally by the funds appropriated.

April 1993

Page No. 829

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

Foreign Build-Lease projects are completed. The Comiso AB 460 unit build lease is proposed for buyout in FY 1994. The Bentwaters 293 unit project was completed and occupied in the 1st quarter FY 1992.

A project to lease 75 existing units at Osan AB, Korea by conversion of 150 unaccompanied to 75 accompanied quarters was completed and occupied last quarter of FY92.

Domestic Leasing

In FY 1984, Congress authorized testing a new leasing program for U.S. installations in P.L. 98-115, Section 801. Subsequently, eight housing projects were completed and occupied: Eielson AFB, AK, 300 units; Hanscom AFB, MA, 163 units; Goodfellow AFB, TX, 200 units; March AFB, CA, 200 units; Travis AFB, CA, 300 units; Ellsworth AFB, SD, 200 units and 828 units; and Hurlburt AFB, FL, 300 units. Status of the other projects awarded is as follows: Cannon AFB, NM, 200 and 150 units, date of full occupancy scheduled for 1st quarter FY 1993; Eielson AFB, AK, 366 units, date of full occupancy scheduled for 4th quarter FY 1993; Andrews AFB and Bolling AFB, 414 units each in a combined project with the Naval District of Washington, date of full occupancy scheduled for 3rd quarter FY 1994.

Congress also authorized leasing of domestic units (10 U.S.C. 2828) on a temporary basis to satisfy critical requirements until a permanent solution can be found or if more economical than construction. In FY 1993, the Air Force requested an extension of 125 domestic leases to satisfy urgent requirements at Onizuka AFB, CA and extension of 60 domestic leases to satisfy urgent requirements at Los Angeles AFB, CA. The Air Force is supporting 12 domestic leased units for personnel assigned to the Armed Forces Radio and Television Service in Los Angeles, CA. Additionally, the Air Force is seeking authority to enter into domestic leases at Moody AFB, GA, 300 units; and Shaw AFB, SC, 250 units; for personnel displaced in association with the move of Air Force units from Homestead AFB, FL due to the destruction caused by Hurricane Andrew.

In FY 1994, the Air Force plans to begin termination of all domestic leases at Onizuka AFB, CA. The Air Force will use the cost savings to operate and maintain housing at Moffett NAS, predominately for Air Force personnel. All leases at Onizuka should be terminated by the 1st quarter of FY 1995. The Air Force requests an extension of 60 domestic leases for Air Force

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

personnel at Los Angeles AFB; 20 domestic leases for Armed Forces Radio and Television Services personnel at Los Angeles CA; an extension of 12 leased and an increase of 13 leases at Holbrook Radar Bomb Scoring Site, AZ; and an extension of 300 domestic leases at Moody AFB, GA and 250 domestic leases at Shaw AFB, SC.

FAMILY HOUSING, DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
ANALYSIS OF LEASED UNITS
(Other than Section 801)
FY 1994

LOCATION (OAC)	FY 92			FY 93			FY 94		
	UNITS AUTH	LEASE MONTHS	COST (\$000)	UNITS AUTH	LEASE MONTHS	COST (\$000)	UNITS AUTH	LEASE MONTHS	CO (\$00)
DOMESTIC LEASES									
Orizaba, CA (83)	125	1,500	\$1,744	125	1,500	\$1,745	67	804	\$936
Holbrook, AZ (78)	12	144	\$133	12	144	\$137	25	300	\$288
Los Angeles, CA (47)	60	720	\$728	60	720	\$728	60	720	\$748
Los Angeles, CA/AFRTS (47)	0	0	\$0	10	120	\$120	20	240	\$240
Moody AFB, GE (78)	0	0	\$0	300	3,600	\$3,600	300	3,600	\$3,615
Shaw AFB, SC (78)	0	0	\$0	250	3,000	\$3,000	250	3,000	\$3,000
Unassigned	3,136			2,576			2,611		
TOTAL DOMESTIC LEASES	3,333	2,364	\$2,605	3,333	9,084	\$9,330	3,333	8,664	\$8,827
FOREIGN LEASES									
Copenhagen (83)	4	48	\$60	4	48	\$56	4	48	\$58
Seychelles (83)	2	24	\$10	2	24	\$12	2	24	\$12
Ascension (83)	1	12	\$15	1	12	\$17	1	12	\$17
Salpan (83)	1	12	\$14	1	12	\$14	1	12	\$14
Alconbury (80)	250	3,000	\$3,190	250	3,000	\$2,788	250	3,000	\$2,880
Ankara (80)	206	1,752	\$1,893	206	1,752	\$1,741	206	1,752	\$894
Aviano (80)	83	720	\$796	210	1,596	\$1,511	265	2,196	\$2,368
Bentwaters (80)	779	9,348	\$9,465	779	5,532	\$5,503	300	3,600	\$3,783
Buchsclag (80)	1	12	\$13	1	12	\$13	1	12	\$14
Comiso (80)	460	5,520	\$7,381	460	5,520	\$7,548	0	0	\$0
Dietzenbach (80)	108	1,296	\$1,204	108	1,296	\$1,216	108	1,296	\$1,309
Egelsbach (80)	52	624	\$577	52	624	\$583	52	624	\$627
Gellenkirchen (80)	1	12	\$26	1	12	\$26	1	12	\$28
Hahn (80)	300	3,600	\$4,065	300	900	\$837	0	0	\$0
Izmir (80)	9	108	\$250	9	108	\$206	9	108	\$230
Kakar (80)	39	468	\$1,027	39	468	\$665	39	468	\$714
Lakenheath (80)	1,067	12,804	\$9,654	1,066	12,792	\$9,708	1,066	12,792	\$10
Nieder-Roden (80)	140	1,680	\$1,978	140	1,680	\$1,998	140	1,680	\$2
Oslo (80)	2	24	\$112	2	24	\$114	2	24	\$119
Paris (80)	1	12	\$37	1	12	\$34	1	12	\$37
Ramstein (80)	720	8,424	\$5,911	720	6,369	\$6,078	691	6,360	\$6,616
Rhein Main (80)	300	2,148	\$5,073	300	3,600	\$5,459	300	3,600	\$5,902
Rome (80)	4	48	\$80	4	48	\$80	4	48	\$80
San Vito (80)	151	1,812	\$3,210	151	1,812	\$3,026	150	1,800	\$2,878
Soesterberg (80)	190	2,280	\$1,989	190	2,280	\$2,078	190	2,280	\$2,273
Spangdahlem (80)	500	6,000	\$6,062	500	6,000	\$6,216	500	6,000	\$6,684
Torrejon (80)	858	10,296	\$14,821	858	0	\$402	0	0	\$0
Upper Heyford (80)	50	600	\$1,023	50	600	\$888	50	600	\$700
Osan (74)	276	2,862	\$2,476	276	3,312	\$3,288	276	3,312	\$3,119
Lajes (65)	2	24	\$12	2	24	\$12	2	24	\$12
Bangkok (53)	7	84	\$125	7	84	\$132	7	84	\$136
Classified Location (53)	0	0	\$0	3	36	\$100	3	36	\$103
Cairo, Egypt (51)	3	36	\$103	3	36	\$106	3	36	\$108
Nairobi, Kenya (51)	2	24	\$69	2	24	\$72	2	24	\$75
Unassigned	2,632			2,503			4,575		
Estimated Termination Costs									
Lajes, Portugal	330			330		\$3,075			
H. Oldendorf	90			90		\$585			
Bentwaters, Great Britain	488			488		\$1,590			
Woodbridge, Great Britain	300			300		\$1,363			
Hellenikon, Gr.	7			7		\$267			
Decimomannu	1			1		\$25			
Torrejon, Spain	858			858		\$15,562			
Other Negotiations						\$12,140			
TOTAL FOREIGN LEASES	9,201	75,714	\$82,720	9,201	59,649	\$97,134	9,201	51,876	\$53,972
GRAND TOTAL FH-4	12,534	78,078	\$85,325	12,534	68,733	\$106,464	12,534	60,540	\$62,799

DD Form 2486-2, JUN 84

EXHIBIT.

FAMILY HOUSING, DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
ANALYSIS OF HIGH COST LEASED UNITS
(Other than Section 801)
FY 1994

LOCATION	FY 94 TOTAL LEASES Per Country	FY 92			FY 93			FY 94		
		HIGH COST UNITS	ACTUAL COST	HIGH COST UNITS	HIGH COST Defined	ACTUAL COST	HIGH COST UNITS	HIGH COST Defined	ACTUAL COST	HIGH COST UNITS
DOMESTIC LEASES										
Los Angeles, Ca		15	12,000	15	12,000	193	15	12,000	194	15
Orizuka, Ca		125	to 1,744	125	to 1,745	67	125	to 1,745	936	125
None over Domestic Cap		None	14,000	None	14,000	N/A	None	14,000	N/A	None
Sub - Total Domestic	722	140	1,933	140	1,938	82	140	1,938	1,130	140
FOREIGN LEASES										
*Arka, Turkey	215	1	5,338	60,000	1	2,968	1	1,814	70,584	1
*Gefenkirchen, Germany	1,832	1	27,285	26,000	1	25,590	1	26,076	30,000	1
*Izmir, Turkey	215	1	5,338	26,000	1	2,968	1	1,814	27,000	1
*Izmir, Turkey	215	1	5,338	51,000	1	2,968	1	1,814	50,000	1
*Izmir, Turkey	215	1	5,338	55,000	1	2,968	1	1,814	60,000	1
*Oslo, Norway	2	1	25,578	67,000	1	23,685	1	23,318	69,546	1
*Oslo, Norway	2	1	25,578	55,000	1	23,685	1	23,318	58,000	1
*Paris, France	1	1	26,457	37,000	1	24,392	1	25,028	38,000	1
**Egypt - 3 Units	N/A	N/A	N/A	103,000	N/A	109,000	N/A	N/A	109,000	N/A
**Kenya - 2 Units	N/A	N/A	N/A	69,000	N/A	75,000	N/A	N/A	75,000	N/A
**Thailand - 7 Units	N/A	N/A	N/A	172,000	N/A	132,000	N/A	N/A	136,356	N/A
Classified Location - 3 Units	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	100,000	N/A	N/A	103,300	N/A
Sub - Total Foreign		8	721,000	8	802,000	8	8	802,000	826,786	8
GRAND TOTAL FH-4A		148	N/A	722,933	148	N/A	90	N/A	827,916	90

The HIGH COST domestic leases range between \$12k and \$14k per year. The domestic lease cost cap is \$14k per year. Exhibit FH-4A

* The adjusted cost cap for overseas leases is determined by multiplying \$20k times the FY 88 exchange rate divided by the FY 94 exchange rate. Leases exceeding this cap are defined as HIGH COST and are counted against the number of high cost leases allowed. Air Force is allocated ten HIGH COST Foreign Lease slots.

** State Department pool leases do not count against the total number of high cost leases allowed.

FAMILY HOUSING, DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
SECTION 801 FAMILY HOUSING SUMMARY
(Dollars in Thousands)
FY 1994

LOCATION	NO. OF UNITS	FY OF INITIAL AUTH	DATE OF AWARD	DATE OF FULL OCCUP	DATE OF FULL OCCUP	FY92 COSTS	FY93 UNITS	FY93 COSTS	FY94 UNITS	FY94 COSTS
Bolling AFB DC	414	FY90	SEP 91	DEC 93	DEC 93	\$0	314	\$2,406	414	\$5,408
Hanscom AFB, MA	163	FY84	SEP 85	OCT 87	OCT 87	\$2,733	163	\$2,733	163	\$2,733
Goodfellow AFB, TX	200	FY86	SEP 86	JAN 88	JAN 88	\$1,861	200	\$1,923	200	\$1,970
Andrews AFB MD	414	FY90	SEP 91	DEC 93	DEC 93	\$0	314	\$2,406	414	\$5,408
Hurlburt AFB FL	300	FY90	JUN 90	JUL 92	JUL 92	\$1,932	300	\$2,842	300	\$3,014
March AFB, CA	200	FY86	NOV 87	NOV 88	NOV 88	\$1,946	200	\$2,021	200	\$2,088
Travis AFB, CA	300	FY88	SEP 89	AUG 91	AUG 91	\$3,891	300	\$4,114	300	\$4,249
Elmson AFB, AK	300	FY84	JAN 85	JUL 86	JUL 86	\$4,638	300	\$4,638	300	\$5,079
Elmson AFB, AK	366	FY91	SEP 91	SEP 94	SEP 94	\$0	0	\$704	366	\$4,201
Ellsworth AFB (2), SD	828	FY88	AUG 89	JUN 91	JUN 91	\$8,650	828	\$10,090	828	\$10,379
Ellsworth AFB, SD	200	FY88	JUN 89	JUL 90	JUL 90	\$2,437	200	\$2,437	200	\$2,507
Cannon AFB, NM	350	FY88	JUN 91	DEC 92	DEC 92	\$3,541	350	\$3,541	350	\$3,632
SOH Estimate/Maintenance						\$494		\$4,482		\$4,800
ANNUAL REQUIREMENT	4,035	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$32,123	3,469	\$44,336	4,035	\$55,467
Unused Lease Points	1,765					\$0	2,331	\$0	1,765	\$0
GRAND TOTAL FH-5	5,800	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	32,123	5,800	44,336	5,800	55,467

Exhibit FH-5

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

DEBT PAYMENT

Program (in Thousands)
FY 1994 Program \$21
FY 1993 Program \$70

Purpose and Scope

The Debt Payment program continues in FY 1994 in name only, as the last of the Capehart and Wherry mortgages were liquidated in FY 1989.

This program includes payment of Servicemen's Mortgage Insurance Premiums to FHA for mortgages assumed by active military personnel prior to FY 1980. These payments continue to decline to lower levels in FY 1994.

Program Summary

Authorization is requested for the appropriation of \$21,000 as follows:

<u>(\$ In Thousands)</u>	<u>FY 1993 ESTIMATE</u>	<u>FY 1994 ESTIMATE</u>
Servicemen's Mortgage Insurance Premiums	70	21
TOTAL OBLIGATING AUTHORITY (TOA)	70	21
Principal Payment		
Capehart	0	0
Wherry	0	0
Subtotal	0	0
TOTAL REQUIREMENTS (BUDGET AUTHORITY PLUS APPROPRIATION):	70	21

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FY 1994 BUDGET REQUEST

Servicemen's Mortgage Insurance Premiums

Servicemen's Mortgage Insurance Premiums, Section 124, Public Law 560, 83rd Congress, The Housing Act of 1954, aids in providing homes for members of the Armed Forces of the United States and their families through a system of FHA mortgage insurance especially designed to assist such members in financing the construction or purchase of homes.

This program was discontinued through Public Law 93-130 (Military Construction Appropriation Act, 1980) which allowed coverage only on existing mortgages covered prior to FY 1980. The amount needed to continue funding premiums on mortgages existing prior to FY 1980 continues to decrease. The program for FY 1993 and FY 1994 is as follows:

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Average Payment/YR</u>	<u>Amount(\$000)</u>
1993	380	184	70
1994	115	182	21

FY 1994
BUDGET ESTIMATES
AIR NATIONAL GUARD



FY 1994
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
PROGRAM

Justification Data Submitted to Congress
April 1993

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
AIR NATIONAL GUARD
JUSTIFICATION OF ESTIMATES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1994

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY PROJECT LIST	i - x
NEW MISSION vs CURRENT MISSION	I - VI
SECTION I BUDGET APPENDIX EXTRACT	
Language	a-i
Special Program Considerations	a-ii - a-iii
Program and Financing Schedule	a-iv - a-v
Object Classification Schedule FY 1994	a-vi
SECTION II INSTALLATION AND PROJECT JUSTIFICATION DATA	
DD FORM 1390s and 1391s	b-1 - b-356

SUMMARY PROJECT LIST
AIR NATIONAL GUARD
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM - FY 1994

STATE/ COUNTRY	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	AUTH/APPROP AMOUNT	DD FORM 1391 PAGE NO.
Alabama	Abston ANG Station Communications and Electronics Training Facility	693	b - 3
	Birmingham Municipal Airport (ANG) Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	5,500	b - 7
	Fuel Cell Dock	4,400	b - 9
	Dannelly Field Air National Guard Vehicle Maintenance Complex	<u>1,750</u>	b - 14
	Sub-total Alabama	12,343	
Alaska	Kulis Air National Guard Base Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	<u>1,100</u>	b - 18
	Sub-total Alaska	1,100	
Arizona	Tucson International Airport Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	440	b - 22
	Add to and Alter Communications Facility	<u>700</u>	b - 24
	Sub-total Arizona	1,140	
Arkansas	Ft Smith Municipal Airport ANG Aircraft Corrosion Control Facility	1,100	b - 28
	Little Rock Air Force Base Operations Facility	<u>3,750</u>	b - 33
	Sub-total Arkansas	4,850	
California	Fresno Air Terminal (ANG) Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	490	b - 38

<u>STATE/ COUNTRY</u>	<u>INSTALLATION AND PROJECT</u>	<u>AUTH/APPROP AMOUNT</u>	<u>DD FORM 1391 PAGE NO.</u>
California (Continued)	Ontario International Airport (ANG) Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	<u>310</u>	b - 348
	Sub-total California	800	
Colorado	Buckley Air National Guard Base F-16 Weapons Release Shop	<u>1,300</u>	b - 44
	Sub-total Colorado	1,300	
Connecticut	Bradley International Airport (ANG) Add to and Alter Base Civil Engineer Facility	<u>510</u>	b - 48
	Sub-total Connecticut	510	
Delaware	New Castle County Airport Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	890	b - 52
	Communications Facility	<u>900</u>	b - 54
	Sub-total Delaware	1,790	
Florida	Jacksonville IAP (ANG) Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	<u>1,150</u>	b - 58
	Sub-total Florida	1,150	
Georgia	Dobbins Air Force Base Petroleum Operations Complex	600	b - 62
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,150	b - 64
	Lewis B Wilson Airport (ANG) Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	340	b - 348
	McCollum ANG Station Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	315	b - 348
	Savannah ANG Communications Station Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	330	b - 348

STATE/ COUNTRY	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	AUTH/APPROP AMOUNT	DD FORM 1391 PAGE NO.
Georgia (Continued)	Savannah International Airport		
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	315	b - 348
	Fire Detection and Suppression Systems	1,650	b - 74
	Refueling Vehicle Parking and Operations Complex	<u>990</u>	b - 76
	Sub-total Georgia	5,690	
Hawaii	Hickam Air Force Base		
	Fuel System Maintenance and Corrosion Control Facility	<u>5,300</u>	b - 80
	Sub-total Hawaii	5,300	
Idaho	Boise Air Terminal (Gowen Field)		
	Fire Station and AGE Facility	<u>1,750</u>	b - 85
	Sub-total Idaho	1,750	
Illinois	Capital Municipal Airport ANG		
	Alter Storm Drainage Disposal	500	b - 90
	Greater Peoria Airport ANG		
	Add to and Alter F-16 Aircraft Avionics Shop	<u>840</u>	b - 94
	Sub-total Illinois	1,340	
Indiana	Fort Wayne Municipal Airport		
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,350	b - 98
	Hulman Regional Airport		
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	<u>950</u>	b - 102
	Sub-total Indiana	2,300	
Iowa	Des Moines Internat'l Airport ANG		
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	880	b - 106
	Add to and Alter Dining and Medical Training Facility	<u>1,800</u>	b - 108
	Sub-total Iowa	2,680	

STATE/ COUNTRY	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	AUTH/APPROP AMOUNT	DD FORM 1391 PAGE NO.
Kansas	Forbes Field ANG Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,400	b - 113
	McConnell Air Force Base Alter Medical Training and Telecom	<u>890</u>	b - 117
	Sub-total Kansas	2,290	
Louisiana	Hammond ANG Communications Station Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	350	b - 349
	New Orleans NAS ANG Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	<u>350</u>	b - 349
	Sub-total Louisiana	700	
Maryland	Andrews Air Force Base Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	890	b - 125
	Add to and Alter Avionics and ECM Pod Facility	1,100	b - 127
	Martin State Airport (ANG) Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	<u>1,000</u>	b - 131
	Sub-total Maryland	2,990	
Michigan	Alpena County Regional Airport Upgrade Water Distribution System	1,400	b - 135
	Selfridge Air National Guard Base Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	710	b - 139
	W K Kellogg Regional Airport Add to and Alter Fuel Cell and Corrosion Control Facility	<u>1,100</u>	b - 143
	Sub-total Michigan	3,210	
Minnesota	Duluth International Airport (ANG) Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	<u>1,000</u>	b - 147
	Sub-total Minnesota	1,000	

STATE/ COUNTRY	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	AUTH/APPROP AMOUNT	DD FORM 1391 PAGE NO.
Mississippi	Gulfport-Biloxi Regional Airport		
	Replace Underground Fuel		
	Storage Tanks	335	b - 349
	Upgrade Electrical Distribution		
	System	850	b - 151
	Allen C Thompson Field		
	Replace Underground Fuel		
	Storage Tanks	<u>730</u>	b - 156
	Sub-total Mississippi	1,915	
Missouri	Jefferson Barracks ANG Station		
	Alter Communications Electronic		
	Training Facilities	2,800	b - 160
	Upgrade Dining Hall	720	b - 163
	Rosecrans Memorial Airport		
	Replace Underground Fuel		
	Storage Tanks	<u>1,250</u>	b - 167
	Sub-total Missouri	4,770	
	Montana	Great Falls IAP ANG	
Replace Underground Fuel			
Storage Tanks		400	b - 349
Medical Training and Dining			
Hall		<u>2,900</u>	b - 171
	Sub-total Montana	3,300	
Nebraska	Lincoln Municipal Airport		
	Fire Station	<u>1,850</u>	b - 175
	Sub-total Nebraska	1,850	
Nevada	Reno Cannon International Airport		
	Aircraft Arresting Systems	1,830	b - 179
	Replace Underground Fuel		
	Storage Tanks	<u>460</u>	b - 181
	Sub-total Nevada	2,290	
New Hampshire	Pease Air National Guard Base		
	Upgrade KC-135 Hydrant		
	Refueling System	<u>5,100</u>	b - 185
	Sub-total New Hampshire	5,100	

STATE/ COUNTRY	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	AUTH/APPROP AMOUNT	DD FORM 1391 PAGE NO.
New Jersey	Atlantic City International Airport		
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,900	b - 190
	Fire Station	<u>1,350</u>	b - 192
	Sub-total New Jersey	3,250	
New Mexico	Kirtland Air Force Base		
	Power Check Pad with Sound Suppressor	800	b - 196
	Alter Operational Training Facility	390	b - 349
	Alter Maintenance Shops	<u>345</u>	b - 350
	Sub-total New Mexico	1,535	
New York	Hancock Field ANG		
	Fire Station	1,350	b - 201
	Niagara Falls International Airport		
	Alter KC-135 Operations Facilities	1,650	b - 205
	Schenectady Airport ANG		
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,050	b - 209
	Stewart International Airport		
	Industrial Waste Holding Pond	<u>320</u>	b - 350
	Sub-total New York	4,370	
North Dakota	Hector International Airport		
	Upgrade Storm Drainage	<u>400</u>	b - 350
	Sub-total North Dakota	400	
Oklahoma	Tulsa International Airport		
	Add to and Alter Fire Station	460	b - 217
	Will Rogers World Airport		
	Mobility Equipment Storage Warehouse	950	b - 221
	Composite Support Facility	<u>3,900</u>	b - 223
	Sub-total Oklahoma	5,310	

STATE/ COUNTRY	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	AUTH/APPROP AMOUNT	DD FORM 1391 PAGE NO.
Oregon	Portland International Airport Add to and Alter Fire Station Drainage Improvements	500 <u>600</u>	b - 228 b - 230
	Sub-total Oregon	1,100	
Pennsylvania	Ft Indiantown Gap ANG Station Civil Engineering Maintenance Shops	<u>850</u>	b - 234
	Sub-total Pennsylvania	850	
Rhode Island	Coventry ANG Station Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	840	b - 238
	North Smithfield ANG Station Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	550	b - 242
	Quonset State Airport ANG Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	890	b - 246
	Base Engineer Maintenance Facility	<u>2,750</u>	b - 248
	Sub-total Rhode Island	5,030	
South Carolina	McEntire Air National Guard Base Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,750	b - 253
	Upgrade Airfield Lighting and Pavement	<u>4,200</u>	b - 255
	Sub-total South Carolina	5,950	
South Dakota	Joe Foss Field ANG Alter Composite Operations and Training Facility	350	b - 350
	Add to and Alter Fuel Systems Maintenance/Corrosion Dock	<u>1,700</u>	b - 260
	Sub-total South Dakota	2,050	
Tennessee	Alcoa Air National Guard Station Add to and Alter Communication Electronics Training Facility	1,300	b - 265

STATE/ COUNTRY	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	AUTH/APPROP AMOUNT	DD FORM 1391 PAGE NO.
Tennessee (Continued)	McGhee Tyson Airport		
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,100	b - 269
	PMEC Administrative Support Facility	2,200	b - 271
	Nashville Metro Airport		
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	<u>1,000</u>	b - 276
	Sub-total Tennessee	5,600	
Texas	Ellington Field		
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,600	b - 280
	Kelly Air Force Base		
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	<u>560</u>	b - 284
	Sub-total Texas	2,160	
Utah	Salt Lake City Internat'l Apt ANG		
	Add to and Alter Communication and Electronics Training	850	b - 288
	Alter Composite Support Facility	950	b - 290
	Site Restoration	<u>2,000</u>	b - 292
	Sub-total Utah	3,800	
Vermont	Burlington International Airport		
	Fire Station	<u>1,500</u>	b - 297
	Sub-total Vermont	1,500	
Virginia	Camp Pendleton Military Reservation		
	Base Engineer Maintenance and Storage Facility	1,150	b - 301
	Richmond IAP (Byrd Field)		
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,100	b - 306
	Add to and Alter Fuel Systems Maintenance Dock	<u>1,300</u>	b - 308
	Sub-total Virginia	3,550	

<u>STATE/ COUNTRY</u>	<u>INSTALLATION AND PROJECT</u>	<u>AUTH/APPROP AMOUNT</u>	<u>DD FORM 1391 PAGE NO.</u>
Washington	Bellingham Municipal Airport ANG Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	420	b - 313
	Camp Murray ANG Station Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	380	b - 350
	Four Lakes Communications Station Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	360	b - 351
	Paine Field ANG Station Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	320	b - 351
	Seattle Air National Guard Base Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	<u>320</u>	b - 351
	Sub-total Washington	1,800	
West Virginia	EWVRA Shepherd Field ANG Add to Aerial Port Training Facility	390	b - 351
	Yeager Airport ANG Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	<u>370</u>	b - 351
	Sub-total West Virginia	760	
Wisconsin	General Mitchell Int'l Airport Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	600	b - 329
	Truax Field Fire Station	1,400	b - 333
	Volk Field Air National Guard Base Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	<u>510</u>	b - 337
	Sub-total Wisconsin	2,510	
	SUB-TOTAL INSIDE THE UNITED STATES	124,983	

<u>STATE/ COUNTRY</u>	<u>INSTALLATION AND PROJECT</u>	<u>AUTH/APPROP AMOUNT</u>	<u>DD FORM 1391 PAGE NO.</u>
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES			
Guam	Andersen Air Force Base		
	Base Supplies and Equipment		
	Warehouse	<u>400</u>	b - 352
	Sub-total Guam	400	
Puerto Rico	Puerto Rico IAP		
	Alter Fuel Systems Maintenance		
	Facility	750	b - 343
	Add to and Alter F-16		
	Avionics Shop	320	b - 352
	Upgrade F-16 Aircraft Parking		
	Ramp Security System	<u>2,000</u>	b - 346
	Sub-total Puerto Rico	3,070	
	SUB-TOTAL OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES	3,470	
	SUB-TOTAL - ALL BASES	128,453	
	PLANNING AND DESIGN	9,900	b - 353
	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	4,000	b - 355
	SUB-TOTAL - SUPPORT COSTS	13,900	
	GRAND TOTAL	142,353	

SUMMARY PROJECT LIST
AIR NATIONAL GUARD
NEW MISSION VERSUS CURRENT MISSION - FY 1994

<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>	<u>NEW/ CURRENT</u>
Abston ANG AL	Communications and Electronics Training Facility	700	C
Birmingham MAP AL	Fuel Cell Dock	4,400	N
	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	5,500	N
Dannelly Field ANG AL	Vehicle Maintenance Complex	1,750	C
Kulis ANGB AK	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,100	C
Tucson IAP AZ	Add to and Alter Communications Facility	700	C
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	440	C
Ft Smith MAP AR	Aircraft Corrosion Control Facility	1,100	C
Little Rock AFB AR	Operations Facility	3,750	C
Fresno ANGB CA	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	490	C
Ontario IAP CA	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	310	C
Buckley ANGB CO	F-16 Weapons Release Shop	1,300	N
Bradley IAP CT	Add to and Alter Base Civil Engineer Facility	510	C
New Castle County Apt DE	Communications Facility	900	C
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	890	C
Jacksonville IAP FL	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,150	C
Dobbins AFB GA	Petroleum Operations Complex	600	C
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,150	C
Lewis B Wilson Apt GA	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	340	C

<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>	<u>CURRENT</u>
McCullum ANG S GA	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	315	C
Savannah ANG Comm Station GA	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	330	C
Savannah IAP GA	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	315	C
	Fire Detection and Suppression Systems	1,650	C
	Refueling Vehicle Parking and Operations Complex	990	C
Hickam AFB HI	Fuel System Maintenance and Corrosion Control Facility	5,300	N
Boise Air Terminal (Gowen Field) ID	Fire Station and AGE Facility	1,750	C
Capital MAP IL	Alter Storm Drainage Disposal	500	C
Greater Peoria Apt IL	Add to and Alter F-16 Aircraft Avionics Shop	840	N
Fort Wayne IAP IN	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,350	C
Hulman Regional Apt IN	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	950	C
Des Moines IAP IA	Add to and Alter Dining and Medical Training Facility	1,800	C
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	880	C
Forbes Field KS	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,400	C
McConnell AFB KS	Alter Medical Training and Telecom	890	C
Hammond Communications Station LA	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	350	C
New Orleans LA	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	350	C

<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>	<u>NEW/ CURRENT</u>
Andrews AFB MD	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	890	C
	Add to and Alter Avionics and ECM Pod Facility	1,100	N
Martin State Apt MD	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,000	C
Alpena County Regional Apt MI	Upgrade Water Distribution System	1,400	C
Selfridge ANGB MI	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	710	C
W K Kellogg Reg Apt MI	Add to and Alter Fuel Cell and Corrosion Control Facility	1,100	N
Duluth IAP MN	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,000	C
Gulfport-Biloxi MS	Upgrade Electrical Distribution System	850	C
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	335	C
Allen C Thompson Fld MS	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	730	C
Jefferson Barracks ANGS MO	Alter Communications Electronic Training Facilities	2,800	N
	Upgrade Dining Hall	720	C
Rosecrans Memorial Apt MO	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,250	C
Great Falls IAP MT	Medical Training and Dining Hall	2,900	C
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	400	C
Lincoln MAP NE	Fire Station	1,850	N
Reno Cannon IAP NV	Aircraft Arresting Systems	1,750	C
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	460	C
Pease ANGB NH	Upgrade KC-135 Hydrant Refueling System	5,100	C

<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>	<u>CURRENT</u>
Atlantic City Apt NJ	Fire Station	1,350	C
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,900	C
Kirtland AFB NM	Alter Operational Training Facility	390	C
	Power Check Pad with Sound Suppressor	800	N
	Alter Maintenance Shops	345	N
Hancock Field NY	Fire Station	1,350	C
Niagara Falls IAP NY	Alter KC-135 Operations Facilities	1,650	N
Schenectady Apt NY	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,050	C
Stewart IAP NY	Industrial Waste Holding Pond	320	C
Hector IAP ND	Upgrade Storm Drainage	400	C
Tulsa IAP OK	Add to and Alter Fire Station	460	C
Will Rogers World Apt OK	Mobility Equipment Storage Warehouse	950	C
	Composite Support Facility	3,900	C
Portland IAP OR	Add to and Alter Fire Station Drainage Improvements	500	C
		600	C
Ft Indiantown Gap ANGS PA	Civil Engineering Maintenance Shops	850	C
Coventry ANGS RI	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	840	C
North Smithfield ANGS RI	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	550	C
Quonset State Apt RI	Base Engineer Maintenance Facility	2,750	C
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	890	C
McEntire ANGB SC	Upgrade Airfield Lighting and Pavement	4,200	C
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,750	C

<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>	<u>CURRENT</u>
Joe Foes Field SD	Alter Composite Operations and Training Facility	350	C
	Add to and Alter Fuel Systems Maintenance/Corrosion Dock	1,700	N
Alcoa ANGTS TN	Add to and Alter Communication Electronics Training Facility	1,300	N
McGhee Tyson Apt TN	PMEC Administrative Support Facility	2,200	C
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,100	C
Nashville Metro Apt TN	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,000	C
Ellington Field TX	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,600	C
Kelly AFB TX	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	560	C
Salt Lake City IAP UT	Alter Composite Support Facility	950	C
	Add to and Alter Communication and Electronics Training	850	N
	Site Restoration	2,000	C
Burlington IAP VT	Fire Station	1,500	C
Camp Pendleton Military Reser VA	Base Engineer Maintenance and Storage Facility	1,150	C
Richmond IAP (Byrd Field) VA	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	1,100	C
	Add to and Alter Fuel Systems Maintenance Dock	1,300	N
Bellingham MAP WA	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	420	C
Camp Murray ANGTS WA	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	380	C
Four Lakes Comm Station WA	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	360	C
Paine Field ANGTS WA	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	320	C

<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>	<u>NEW/ CURRENT</u>
Seattle ANGB WA	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	320	C
EWVRA Shepherd Field WV	Add to Aerial Port Training Facility	390	C
Yeager Airport WV	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	370	C
General Mitchell IAP WI	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	600	C
Truax Field WI	Fire Station	1,400	C
Volk Field ANGB WI	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	510	C
Andersen AFB GU	Base Supplies and Equipment Warehouse	400	C
Puerto Rico IAP PR	Alter Fuel Systems Maintenance Facility	750	N
	Add to and Alter F-16 Avionics Shop	320	N
	Upgrade F-16 Aircraft Parking Ramp Security System	2,000	N
	PLANNING AND DESIGN	9,900	
	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	4,000	
	TOTAL NEW MISSION	35,205	
	TOTAL CURRENT MISSION	93,248	
	GRAND TOTAL - FY 1994 REQUEST	142,353	

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
JUSTIFICATION OF ESTIMATES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1994

APPROPRIATION

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

SECTION 1

For construction, acquisition, expansion, rehabilitation, and conversion of facilities for the training and administration of the Air National Guard, and contribution therefore, as authorized by Chapter 133 of Title 10, United States Code, and Military Construction Authorization Acts, \$142,353 (\$287,559) to remain available until September 30, 1998. (September 30, 1997)

() Individual FY 92 Appropriation Language

SPECIAL PROGRAM CONSIDERATIONSPollution Abatement

The military construction projects proposed in this program will be designed to meet environmental standards. Military construction projects proposed primarily for abatement of existing pollution problems at installations have been reviewed to ensure that corrective design is accomplished in accordance with specific standards and criteria.

Energy Conservation

Military construction projects specifically for energy conservation at installations have been developed, reviewed, and selected with prioritization by energy savings versus investment cost. Projects include improvements to existing facilities and utility systems to upgrade design, eliminate waste, and install energy saving devices. Projects are designed for minimum energy consumption.

Flood Plain Management and Wet Land Protection

Proposed land acquisitions, disposals, and installation construction projects have been planned to allow the proposed management of flood plains and the protection of wet lands by avoiding long and short-term adverse impacts, reducing the risk of flood losses, and minimizing the loss of degradation of wet lands. Project planning is in accordance with the requirements of Executive Order Nos. 11988 and 11900.

Design for Accessibility of Physically Handicapped Personnel

In accordance with Public Law 90-400, provisions for physically handicapped personnel will be provided for, where appropriate, in the design of facilities included in this program.

Preservation of Historical Sites and Structures

Facilities included in this program do not directly or indirectly affect a district, site, building, structure, object or setting listed in the National Register of Historic Places, except as noted on DD Form 1391.

Environmental Protection

In accordance with Section 102(2) (c) of the Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (PL 91-190), the environmental impact analysis process has been completed or is actively underway for all projects in the Military Construction Program.

Economic Analysis

Economics are an inherent aspect of project development and design of military construction projects. Therefore, all projects included in this program represent the most economical use of resources. Actual economic analysis have been or will be prepared for all projects over \$2,000,000.

SPECIAL PROGRAM CONSIDERATIONS

(continued)

Reserve Manpower Potential

The reserve manpower potential to meet and maintain authorized strengths of all reserve flying/non-flying units in those areas in which these facilities are to be located has been reviewed. It has been determined, in coordination with all other Services having reserve flying/non-flying units in these areas, that the number of units of the reserve components of the Armed Forces presently located in those areas, and those which have been allocated to the areas for future activation, is not and will not be larger than the number that reasonably can be expected to be maintained at authorized strength considering the number of persons living in the areas who are qualified for membership in those reserve units.

Potential Use of Vacant Schools and Other State and Local Facilities

The potential use of vacant schools and other state and local owned facilities has been reviewed and analyzed for each facility to be constructed under this program.

Construction Criteria Manual

Unless otherwise noted, the projects comply with the scope and design criteria prescribed in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

Mil. Con., Air National Guard
Program and Financing (in thousands of dollars)

		Obligations		
Identification code 57-2830-0-1-051		1992 actual	1993 est.	1994 est.
Program by activities:				
Direct program:				
00 0101 Major construction		263,137	304,256	149,401
00 0201 Minor construction		5,000	6,252	2,346
00 0301 Planning		19,930	23,748	10,683
10 0001 Total		288,067	334,256	163,030
Financing:				
17 0001 Recovery of prior year obligations		-4,630		
21 4001 Unobligated balance available, start of year:				
21 4002 For completion of prior year budget plans		-241,457	-174,953	-146,446
21 4003 Reprogramming from/to prior year budget plans				
24 4002 Unobligated balance available, end of year:				
24 4002 For completion of prior year budget plans		174,953	146,446	125,789
25 0001 Unobligated balance expiring		327		
40 0001 Budget authority (Appropriation)		217,260	305,759	142,353
Relation of obligations to outlays:				
71 0001 Obligations incurred				
72 4001 Obligated balance, start of year		288,067	334,266	163,030
74 4001 Obligated balance, end of year		175,375	233,276	302,907
77 0001 Adjustments in expired accounts (net)		-233,276	-302,907	-184,926
78 0001 Adjustments in unexpired accounts		-366		
		-4,630		
90 0001 Outlays (net)		225,171	264,635	281,011

Mil. Con., Air National Guard
Program and Financing (In Thousands of dollars)

Identification code	57-3830-0-1-051	Budget plan (amounts for MILITARY CONSTRUCTION actions programmed)		
		1992 actual	1993 est.	1994 est.
Program by activities:				
Direct program:				
00.0101	Major construction	192,060	283,059	128,453
00.0201	Minor construction	5,000	5,000	4,000
00.0301	Planning	20,200	17,700	9,900
10.0001	Total	217,260	305,759	142,353
Financing:				
17.0001	Recovery of prior year obligations			
	Unobligated balance available, start of year:			
21.4002	For completion of prior year budget plans			
21.4009	Reprogramming from/to prior year budget plans	-327		
	Unobligated balance available, end of year:			
24.4002	For completion of prior year budget plans	327		
25.0001	Unobligated balance expiring			
40.0001	Budget authority (Appropriation)	217,260	305,759	142,353
Relation of obligations to outlays:				
71.0001	Obligations incurred			
72.4001	Obligated balance, start of year			
74.4001	Obligated balance, end of year			
77.0001	Adjustments in expired accounts (net)			
78.0001	Adjustments in unexpired accounts			
90.0001	Outlays (net)			

Mil. Con., Air National Guard
Object Classification (in Thousands of dollars)

Identification code	57-3830-0-1-051	1992 actual	1993 est.	1994 est.
Direct obligations:				
Other services:				
125.101 Consulting Services	503		16,335	18,651
125.203 Contracts	17,165		309,631	135,541
132.001 Land and Structures	263,226			
199.001 Total Direct obligations	280,894		325,966	154,192
Allocation Accounts				
Other services:				
325.101 Consulting Services	8		300	400
325.203 Contracts	282		8,000	8,438
332.001 Land and Structures	6,883			
399.001 Total Allocation Accounts	7,173		8,300	8,838
999.901 Total obligations	288,067		334,266	163,030
Obligations are distributed as follows:				
Defense-Military:Army	605		659	326
Defense-Military:Navy	15,637		18,050	8,797
Defense-Military:Air Force	264,744		307,190	149,834
Department of Transportation	7,081		8,357	4,073
United States Information Agency				
Total Obligations	288,067		334,266	163,030

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ABSTON ANG STATION, ALABAMA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.77	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician force.				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS Active Duty - Maxwell AFB (2 Miles); Marine Reserve (14 Miles) Gunter Annex Maxwell AFB (13 Miles); Naval Reserve (14 Miles) Air Force Reserve - Maxwell AFB (2 Miles), Army Reserve (14 Miles) Army National Guard (7-14 Miles) 5 Units; Air National Guard (1-7 Miles) 2 Units				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94				
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START Cmpl
171-447	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS TRAINING FACILITY	7,000 SF	693	JAN 90 SEP 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				
				7 OCT 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	
171-447	COMBAT COMM FACILITIES	27,500 SF	3,900	
171-447	RELOCATE 232 COMBAT COMMUNICATION SQUADRON	59,800 SF	7,100	
214-425	VEHICLES AND AGE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	17,000 SF	2,600	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93																													
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ABSTON ANG STATION, ALABAMA																																		
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 25 AUG 92																																		
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4">PERMANENT</th> <th colspan="3">GUARD/RESERVE</th> </tr> <tr> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> <th>CIVILIAN</th> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>AUTHORIZED</td> <td>13</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>10</td> <td>112</td> <td>6</td> <td>106</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ACTUAL</td> <td>11</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>118</td> <td>6</td> <td>112</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE			TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	AUTHORIZED	13	1	2	10	112	6	106	ACTUAL	11	1	2	3	118	6	112
PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE																														
TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED																												
AUTHORIZED	13	1	2	10	112	6	106																											
ACTUAL	11	1	2	3	118	6	112																											
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA																																		
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">UNIT DESIGNATION</th> <th colspan="2">STRENGTH</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="2"></th> <th>AUTHORIZED</th> <th>ACTUAL</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>280</td> <td>CCS SQ</td> <td>112</td> <td>115</td> </tr> <tr> <td>280</td> <td>STU FT</td> <td>0</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">TOTALS</td> <td>112</td> <td>118</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				UNIT DESIGNATION		STRENGTH				AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL	280	CCS SQ	112	115	280	STU FT	0	3	TOTALS		112	118											
UNIT DESIGNATION		STRENGTH																																
		AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL																															
280	CCS SQ	112	115																															
280	STU FT	0	3																															
TOTALS		112	118																															
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT																																		
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>TYPE</th> <th>AUTHORIZED</th> <th>ASSIGNED</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Support Equipment</td> <td>75</td> <td>60</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Vehicle Equivalents</td> <td>58</td> <td>40</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		TYPE	AUTHORIZED	ASSIGNED	Support Equipment	75	60	Vehicle Equivalents	58	40																								
TYPE	AUTHORIZED	ASSIGNED																																
Support Equipment	75	60																																
Vehicle Equivalents	58	40																																

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1995	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ABSTON ANG STATION MONTGOMERY ALABAMA			4. PROJECT TITLE COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS TRAINING FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
55226F	171-447	HBAA000320	5693		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS FACILITY		SF	7,000		555
MOBILITY STORAGE		SF	2,000	55	(110)
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE		SF	2,000	80	(160)
COMM TRAINING		SF	3,000	95	(285)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					74
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(9)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(20)
UTILITIES		LS			(35)
PRE WIRED WORK STATIONS		LS			(20)
SUBTOTAL					629
CONTINGENCY (5%)					31
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					660
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					33
TOTAL REQUEST					693
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, steel frame and built-up roof. Interior and exterior utilities and mechanical systems. Fire protection, pavements and support. Dispose of temporary portable building. Air Conditioning: 20 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 17,474 SF ADEQUATE: 10,474 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Communications and Electronics Training Facility (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized communications and electronics facility is required to train the troops and to provide space for communications equipment. Functional areas include communications shops, administration space, maintenance, and training space to support the contingency communication function. CURRENT SITUATION: The unit was located on Maxwell AFB. As a result of new construction on Maxwell AFB and the disposal of numerous WWII facilities, the squadron has been forced to relocate to Abston which is only two miles from Maxwell AFB. At Abston the unit is collocated with another communications unit but shares temporary facilities. The equipment is stored in trucks since there is no room to maintain it. Following completion of the permanent facility, the shared temporary facilities will be returned to the other communications unit. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will not be able to perform adequate maintenance of equipment, nor provide quality training on communications systems in the current facilities which are not designed to support this type of operation.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ABSTON ANG STATION MONTGOMERY ALABAMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS TRAINING FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER ABAA000820
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		90 JAN 12
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		65%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 FEB 26
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 23
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		36
(b) All Other Design Costs		13
(c) Total		49
(d) Contract		49
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 APR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BIRMINGHAM MUNICIPAL AIRPORT (ANG), ALABAMA				4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.90	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force for training.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 9 Army National Guard Armories, 3 Army Reserve, 1 Marine and Naval Reserve Center					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94					
CATEGORY				COST	DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)	START	CMPL
211-111	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	28,000 SF	5,500	DEC 91	SEP 93
211-179	FUEL CELL DOCK	21,100 SF	4,400	SEP 91	JUL 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved					
				7 OCT 92 (Date)	
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None			
				(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY				COST	
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)		
113-321	AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON AND HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM	LS	15,500		
124-135	ADD TO JET FUEL STORAGE	LS	5,000		
125-135	REPLACE DERA USTS	LS	500		
131-111	COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	8,000 SF	1,800		
141-753	ADD TO AND ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	24,000 SF	850		
171-450	JOINT MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY (ANG/ARNG)	21,300 SF	2,100		
217-712	ALTER AIRCRAFT SHOPS	58,600 SF	4,400		
219-944	BASE ENGINEER AND DISASTER PREPAREDNESS FACILITY	21,700 SF	3,100		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93																										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BIRMINGHAM MUNICIPAL AIRPORT (ANG), ALABAMA																															
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 13 JUL 92																															
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4">PERMANENT</th> </tr> <tr> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> <th>CIVILIAN</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>AUTHORIZED</td> <td>359</td> <td>6</td> <td>45</td> <td>308</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ACTUAL</td> <td>356</td> <td>7</td> <td>45</td> <td>304</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				PERMANENT				TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	AUTHORIZED	359	6	45	308	ACTUAL	356	7	45	304	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">GUARD/RESERVE</th> </tr> <tr> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>OFFICER</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1,222</td> <td>143</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1,228</td> <td>145</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	GUARD/RESERVE		TOTAL	OFFICER	1,222	143	1,228	145
PERMANENT																															
TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN																												
AUTHORIZED	359	6	45	308																											
ACTUAL	356	7	45	304																											
GUARD/RESERVE																															
TOTAL	OFFICER																														
1,222	143																														
1,228	145																														
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA																															
UNIT DESIGNATION		STRENGTH																													
		AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL																												
106	TRN SQ	156	152																												
117	TRN WG	68	69																												
117	CEG SQ	124	116																												
117	CLM SQ	423	400																												
117	CMN FT	21	21																												
117	MSQ FT	38	40																												
117	MSQ SQ	45	44																												
117	RMS SQ	120	117																												
117	SVS FT	34	29																												
117	RTC SQ	80	72																												
117	THP HP	56	54																												
117	SEP FT	57	53																												
117	STU FT	0	61																												
TOTALS		1,222	1,228																												
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT																															
TYPE		AUTHORIZED	ASSIGNED																												
RF 4C Aircraft		18	22																												
Support Equipment		173	170																												
Vehicle Equivalents		235	230																												

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BIRMINGHAM MUNICIPAL AIRPORT (ANG) ALABAMA			4. PROJECT TITLE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-111	7. PROJECT NUMBER BRKR909592	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$5,500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	SF	28,000	135	3,780	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,210	
UTILITIES	LS			(250)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(250)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(200)	
FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM	LS			(350)	
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS			(160)	
SUBTOTAL				4,990	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				250	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,240	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				262	
TOTAL REQUEST				5,502	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,500	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Foundations, floor slabs, steel frame, siding, roof, paved access, fire protection and all necessary utilities and support. Floor drain for aircraft washing. Dispose of Hangar 140 and equipment shed 144 for a total of 37,100 SF. Air Conditioning: 50 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 28,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 37,100 SF PROJECT: Aircraft Maintenance Hangar (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project supports the conversion from RF-4C to a new larger body aircraft. The base requires a properly sized and configured hangar to perform maintenance. The hangar must be large enough to totally enclose the aircraft. CURRENT SITUATION: The new aircraft will not fit into the existing hangar, nor can the hangar be modified to fit new aircraft size. There are no other facilities on base that can be used for this purpose. The site constraints require the demolition of the hangar and storage shed due to limited flightline space, frontage, and clearance to be in compliance with Air Force and FAA airfield clearance criteria. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Unable to complete the phased maintenance on schedule or safely. Adverse impact on the unit's training and its capability to maintain mission readiness and operational capability. Unable to maintain the aircraft. Unable to reach full operational capability. The aircraft will have to be flown and maintained at another guard location. ADDITIONAL: A life cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project. The analysis indicates the new construction is the most economical alternative.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		DEC 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BIRMINGHAM MUNICIPAL AIRPORT (ANG) ALABAMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR		BRKR909592
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 DEC 17
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 JAN 01
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		270
(b) All Other Design Costs		150
(c) Total		420
(d) Contract		420
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		
		94 JUN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BIRMINGHAM AIRPORT (ANG) ALABAMA		4. PROJECT TITLE FUEL CELL DOCK		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-179	7. PROJECT NUMBER BRKR919593	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$4,400	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
FUEL CELL DOCK	SF	21,100		2,793
FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK	SF	19,500	135	(2,633)
PLASTIC MEDIA STRIPPING SHOP	SF	1,600	100	(160)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,180
UTILITIES	LS			(230)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(200)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(50)
FIRE PROTECTION	LS			(300)
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS			(200)
STORM DRAINAGE	LS			(200)
SUBTOTAL				3,973
CONTINGENCY (5%)				199
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,172
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				209
TOTAL REQUEST				4,381
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,400
<p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete floor slab, foundations, footings, structural steel framing, masonry walls and built-up roof. Mechanical ventilation system, drainage with oil/water separator, fire suppression, personnel breathing apparatus and all utilities and support. Demolish Buildings 120, 130, 132 (12,132 SF). Fighter type fuel cell to be converted to shops by a separate project. Air Conditioning: 10 Tons.</p> <p>11. REQUIREMENT: 21,100 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 12,132 SF PROJECT: Fuel Cell Dock (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project supports the conversion from RF-4C fighter aircraft to new large body aircraft and is a level II environmental compliance project. A facility for repair of aircraft fuel cells and bladders is required. Functional areas include fuel cell hangar bay, bladder repair and support shops and approach aprons to the hangar. Work must be performed indoors to keep dust and debris from entering the fuel cell bladders and to meet environmental requirements. CURRENT SITUATION: The unit does not have an adequately sized facility to perform fuel cell maintenance on the new aircraft. Weather conditions and environmental regulations require fuel cell maintenance and corrosion control be performed indoors since the aircraft have fuel bladders and cells must remain open for a considerable time. A follow on project will convert the existing fighter fuel cell to shop space in support of this conversion. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Fuel cell maintenance cannot be performed on the new aircraft impacting the unit's operational readiness. Compliance with environmental regulations cannot be met without this facility. Unable to convert to new aircraft.</p>				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BIRMINGHAM AIRPORT (ANG) ALABAMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
FUEL CELL DOCK	BRKR919593	
<p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> A life cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project. The analysis indicates the new construction is the most economical alternative.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA ANG (computer generated)	2. DATE	MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BIRMINGHAM AIRPORT (ANG) ALABAMA			
4. PROJECT TITLE FUEL CELL DOCK		5. PROJECT NUMBER BRKR019593	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a)	Date Design Started	91 SEP 23	
(b)	Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c)	Date 35% Designed	93 JAN 01	
(d)	Date Design Complete	93 JUL 15	
(2) Basis:			
(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)	
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	232	
(b)	All Other Design Costs	146	
(c)	Total	378	
(d)	Contract	378	
(e)	In-house		
(4)	Construction Start	94 JUL	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DANNELLY FIELD AIR NATIONAL GUARD, ALABAMA		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.79
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Active AFB, 1 Marine Reserve, 1 Naval Reserve, 3 Army Reserves, 5 Army National Guard Units and 2 Air National Guard Units		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
214-425	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	10,200 SF 1,750 APR 86 MAR 88
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved		
		7 OCT 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None
		(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000)
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 870
124-135	REPAIR JET FUEL STORAGE	6 EA 300
171-445	UPGRADE COMPOSITE OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY	33,200 SF 1,500
216-642	MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE COMPLEX	17,900 SF 4,200
442-758	UPGRADE BASE SUPPLY AND CIVIL ENGINEER FACILITY	63,800 SF 1,900
730-142	FIRE STATION	9,100 SF 1,450

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DANNELLY FIELD AIR NATIONAL GUARD, ALABAMA							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 15 JUN 92							
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	282	8	43	231	1,042	98	944
ACTUAL	272	7	42	223	1,022	107	915
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
	160 FS SQ	50	53				
	187 MSS SQ	80	74				
	187 TCI CL	31	32				
	187 GP HQ	57	58				
	187 CLM SQ	462	405				
	187 CEG SQ	127	114				
	187 SEP FT	57	58				
	187 RMS SQ	121	115				
	187 COM FT	20	20				
	187 MSS FT	37	36				
	0187 STU FT	0	57				
	TOTALS	1,042	1,022				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	F-16 Aircraft	18	20				
	C-130 Aircraft	1	0				
	Support Equipment	194	225				
	Vehicle Equivalents	120	120				

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DANNELLY FIELD AIR NATIONAL GUARD ALABAMA			4. PROJECT TITLE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 214-425	7. PROJECT NUMBER FAKZ001271	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,750		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX		SF	10,200		1,160
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP		SF	8,700	110	(957)
REFUELER VEHICLE SHOP		SF	1,500	135	(203)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					435
PAVEMENTS		LS			(120)
UTILITIES		LS			(100)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(50)
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS REMOVAL		LS			(165)
SUBTOTAL					1,595
CONTINGENCY (5%)					80
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,675
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					84
TOTAL REQUEST					1,759
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,750
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab with masonry and prefabricated walls and roof structure. Pavements, utilities, fire protection and site work. Disposal of 8,648 SF in Buildings 1207, 1208, 1209, 1211, and 1212. <u>Air Conditioning: 10 Tons.</u>					
11. REQUIREMENT: 10,200 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 8,648 SF <u>PROJECT:</u> Vehicle Maintenance Complex (Current Mission). <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> A facility for training, repair and maintenance of organizational vehicles and related equipment is required. Functional areas include maintenance bays, paint bay, lube bay, office areas, and vehicle parts storage. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Vehicle maintenance and storage are performed in 5 substandard facilities constructed in 1953 which cannot be economically upgraded. The buildings are old and antiquated and have numerous health and safety violations. There is no oil/water separator or exhaust fume removal system. The interior layout precludes effective and orderly maintenance of the equipment. The buildings are poorly insulated and improperly located for the future development of the base in accordance with the approved master plan. The facilities will be demolished. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Unsafe and inefficient operations. Lost training opportunities. Inadequate maintenance on the vehicles leads to vehicular damage and premature replacement. Continue to work and train with the risk of the health and safety deficiencies.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		12 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
DANNELLY FIELD AIR NATIONAL GUARD ALABAMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	FAKZ001271	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		86 APR 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		100%
(c) Date 35% Designed		87 MAY 06
(d) Date Design Complete		88 MAR 24
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		55
(b) All Other Design Costs		20
(c) Total		75
(d) Contract		75
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		
94 APR		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KULIS ANG BASE, ALASKA		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.79
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 3 Army National Guard, 1 Army Post, 1 Air Force Base		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 1,100 NOV 91 SEP 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 19 MAY 92 (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED	None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000)
124-135	UPGRADE JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	LS 3,000
124-135	REPLACE DERA USTS	3 EA 525
131-111	COMMUNICATION AND SECURITY POLICE FACILITY	17,500 SF 3,900
211-111	ADD TO AND ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINT HANGAR	8,500 SF 2,000
214-425	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	LS 5,600
219-944	COMPOSITE BASE ENGINEER MAINTENANCE FACILITY	24,150 SF 5,300
722-351	ADD TO AND ALTER MEDICAL TRAINING AND DINING HALL	21,350 SF 3,250

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KULIS ANG BASE, ALASKA							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 25 JUN 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED
AUTHORIZED	405	57	316	32	1,096	150	946
ACTUAL	405	56	317	32	1,101	157	944
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
				STRENGTH			
UNIT DESIGNATION				AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL		
144	AS	SQ		96	102		
176	CEG	SQ		74	80		
176	CLM	SQ		174	161		
176	CMN	FT		21	24		
176	CMP	GP		71	74		
176	MAP	FT		69	71		
176	MSQ	FT		40	40		
176	MSQ	SQ		45	48		
176	RMS	SQ		122	121		
176	TCI	CI		50	57		
176	SEP	FT		58	62		
176	SVS	FT		25	18		
210	RQ	SQ		94	100		
210	CLM	SQ		157	143		
TOTALS				1,096	1,101		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
TYPE				AUTHORIZED	ASSIGNED		
C-130H Aircraft				8	10		
HC-130H Aircraft				4	2		
MH-60G				6	4		
Support Equipment				93	87		
Vehicle Equivalents				324	383		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KULIS ANGB ALASKA			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER MLRV909537	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,100		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			860
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					96
UTILITIES		LS			(12)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(12)
SITE RESTORATION		LS			(72)
SUBTOTAL					956
CONTINGENCY (10%)					96
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,052
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					53
TOTAL REQUEST					1,105
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,100
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 14 tanks. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks, tank residue and the contaminated soil and restore sites.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention systems by December 1998. If UST are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
KULIS ANGB ALASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	MLRV909537	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	91 NOV 08	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	93 JAN 01	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 SEP 15	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	55	
(b) All Other Design Costs	27	
(c) Total	82	
(d) Contract	82	
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start	94 JUN	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TUCSON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, ARIZONA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.00	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for pilot training.				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Air Force Base, 1 Naval Reserve Unit, 1 Army Reserve Unit, 1 Army National Guard Unit, 1 Air Force Reserve Unit				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94				
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)	START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	440	NOV 91 JUL 93
131-111	ADD TO AND ALTER COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	9,000 SF	700	APR 89 MAR 92
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				
				30 APR 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None		
		(Number of Acres)		
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY			COST	
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)	
124-135	REPLACE DERA USTS	4 EA	1,000	
171-445	OPS AND TRAINING	20,000 SF	3,300	
218-712	ADD TO AND ALTER AGE SHOP	10,000 SF	600	
442-758	UPGRADE SUPPLY AND VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	88,300 SF	3,200	
722-351	UPGRADE DINING HALL	22,100 SF	1,800	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TUCSON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, ARIZONA							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 31 JUL 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED
AUTHORIZED	894	86	795	13	1,586	169	1,417
ACTUAL	891	85	793	13	1,589	157	1,432
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
UNIT DESIGNATION		STRENGTH					
		AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL				
146 FT SQ		42	37				
152 FG SQ		24	26				
162 CES SQ		149	144				
162 CAM SQ		791	799				
162 CMN FT		21	22				
162 MSQ FT		55	52				
162 MSQ SQ		97	106				
162 RMS SQ		159	160				
162 TCI CI		73	67				
162 FG GP		99	97				
162 SVS FT		43	45				
195 FT SQ		33	34				
TOTALS		1,586	1,589				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
TYPE	AUTHORIZED		ASSIGNED				
A-16 A/B Aircraft	52		52				
C-26 Aircraft	1		1				
Support Equipment	328		275				
Vehicle Equivalents	480		480				

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TUCSON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ARIZONA			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER XHEA909529	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$440		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			320
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					64
UTILITIES		LS			(8)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(8)
SITE RESTORATION		LS			(48)
SUBTOTAL					384
CONTINGENCY (10%)					38
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					422
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					21
TOTAL REQUEST					443
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					440
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 8 tanks. Excavate and remove the tanks; dispose of the tanks and tank residue and any contaminated soil and restore the site.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If UST is to be replaced, Air Force policy is to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. The tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TUCSON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ARIZONA		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		5. PROJECT NUMBER XHEA909529
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 NOV 08
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 20
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		12
(b) All Other Design Costs		7
(c) Total		19
(d) Contract		19
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		
94 APR		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
ANG				MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
TUCSON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ARIZONA			ADD TO AND ALTER COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
55296F	131-111	XHEA000885	\$700		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ADD TO AND ALTER COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	SF	9,000		570	
ADD TO COMM	SF	4,000	90	(360)	
ALTER COMM	SF	5,000	42	(210)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				60	
UTILITIES	LS			(20)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(15)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(5)	
PRE WIRED WORK STATIONS	LS			(20)	
SUBTOTAL				630	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				32	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				662	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				33	
TOTAL REQUEST				695	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				700	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Addition: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, block walls and roof structure. Exterior to match existing. Alteration: rearrange interior walls and utilities systems. Exterior utilities, pavements, fire protection, and support. <u>Air Conditioning: 6 Tons.</u>					
11. REQUIREMENT: 9,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 5,000 SF <u>PROJECT:</u> Add to and Alter Communications Facility (Current Mission). <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Reorganization of the base support function has resulted in an expanded mission for the communications flight, to include mission support, mobility training, and automated data processing. This requires a larger and reconfigured building interior. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The communications center is operating in 42 percent of its authorized space. This shortage has resulted in extreme degradation of the quality of the working environment and support function. Shortages exist for tape storage, administrative work area, training areas, electronic repair and storage and automated data processing. Some of the rooms are too large while others are too small. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The consolidated communications center and data automation function will continue to operate in an overcrowded substandard space, decreasing the effectiveness of the unit. Training opportunities will be lost. Possible compromise in security due to the overcrowded working conditions.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA ANG (computer generated)	2. DATE	MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TUCSON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ARIZONA			
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER XHEA000885	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a) Date Design Started		89 APR 05	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		100%	
(c) Date 35% Designed		91 JUN 12	
(d) Date Design Complete		92 MAR 06	
(2) Basis:			
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -			
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -			
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):			(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications			33
(b) All Other Design Costs			15
(c) Total			48
(d) Contract			48
(e) In-house			
(4) Construction Start		94 MAR	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FT SMITH MUNICIPAL AIRPORT ANG, ARKANSAS		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.96
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 2 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Army Reserve and 1 Naval Reserve Center		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE
		COST (\$000)
		DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
211-159	AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	6,000 SF 1,100 JUL 92 AUG 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 23 OCT 92 (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED	None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE
		COST (\$000)
171-450	MEDICAL/TELECOM/FITNESS TRAINING FACILITY	3,800 SF 390
214-428	ADD TO AND ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	7,800 SF 920
216-642	MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE COMPLEX	18,050 SF 2,200
842-245	INSTALL UTILITIES N. BASE	1,000 LF 280

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FT SMITH MUNICIPAL AIRPORT ANG, ARKANSAS						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 26 JUN 92						
	<u>PERMANENT</u>			<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	268	6	40	222	1,065	110 955
ACTUAL	263	6	40	217	1,044	109 935
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	184 TFG HQ	50	54			
	188 CEG SQ	136	132			
	188 CLM SQ	460	442			
	188 MSQ FT	38	42			
	188 MSS SQ	45	43			
	188 RMS SQ	119	121			
	188 TCI CI	69	65			
	188 TFG GP	59	59			
	188 SEP FT	57	55			
	188 SVS FT	25	25			
	188 DET 1	7	6			
	TOTALS	1,065	1,044			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	F-16 A/B Aircraft	18	21			
	C-12 Aircraft	1	1			
	Support Equipment	121	110			
	Vehicle Equivalents	244	264			

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE 1 'R 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FT SMITH MUNICIPAL AIRPORT ANG ARKANSAS			4. PROJECT TITLE AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-159	7. PROJECT NUMBER HKRZ929804	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,100		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY		SF	6,000	115	690
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					320
UTILITIES		LS			(50)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(50)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(20)
FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM		LS			(200)
SUBTOTAL					1,010
CONTINGENCY (5%)					51
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,061
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					53
TOTAL REQUEST					1,114
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,100
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Provide reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry/metal walls, structural steel framing, open web joists, metal pan roof, and a built up roofing system. Provide all utilities, pavements, site improvements, and an oil/water separator. <u>Air Conditioning:</u> 15 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 17,000 SF ADEQUATE: 11,000 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 <u>PROJECT:</u> Aircraft Corrosion Control Facility (Current Mission). <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> This is a category II environmental compliance project. A facility is required to perform environmentally safe corrosion control work on the F-16 aircraft which consists of washing and solvent cleaning the aircraft and the painting of aircraft parts on and off the aircraft. Functional areas include administration, training, corrosion control hangar bay and paint spray areas/equipment for both large and small parts that might be on or off the aircraft. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Corrosion control is being performed on the existing aircraft in two widely separated areas. Normally, the fuel system/corrosion control facility has supported both procedures but not simultaneously due to the strict requirements of preventing fuel cell contamination. When fuel system work is in progress, no corrosion control processes can use the single hangar bay. Washing of aircraft is done outside on a washrack and is limited to nine months of the year due to the cold weather and dust storms. Washing during the other three months is in the existing fuel cell/corrosion control facility. The oil/water separator for the existing facility does not meet current state and federal regulations and is inadequate in size to handle the fuel spills. In addition, the painting of large and small aircraft parts on and off the aircraft is in this facility. With the F-16 aircraft, the fuel					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FT SMITH MUNICIPAL AIRPORT ANG ARKANSAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER HKRZ929804	
<p>cell/corrosion control facility is required to perform fuel cell maintenance work most of the time due to the nature of the F-16. The painting is done outside or in temporary paint spray booths. These interim solutions are not acceptable and violate air pollution, OSHA, Federal and State EPA regulations.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Inefficient training of the weekend forces. Poor working conditions for the full time and weekend forces. Mission capability of the corrosion control/fuel cell shop and the health and welfare of its personnel are adversely affected. The unit is not able to support the corrosion control functions. Environmental statutes are violated through air pollution, water pollution and soil contamination. Possible fines or shut down of the facility.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FT SMITH MUNICIPAL AIRPORT ANG ARKANSAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER HKRZ929804
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 20
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		55
(b) All Other Design Costs		27
(c) Total		82
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		82
(4) Construction Start		
		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE, ARKANSAS		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.87
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force, instructors and students, and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Air Force Reserve Facility, 3 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Army National Guard Complex, 5 Army Reserve Facilities, 1 Naval/Marine Complex and Training Force Base.		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE
		COST (\$000)
		DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
171-445	OPERATIONS FACILITY	47,400 SF 3,750 JUL 91 DEC 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved		
		23 OCT 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None
		(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE
		COST (\$000)
121-111	PETROLEUM OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,350 SF 325
211-179	FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL DOCK	15,000 SF 3,300
214-425	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	14,300 SF 1,950

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE, ARKANSAS						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 31 AUG 92						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	339	64	260	15	1,107	150 957
ACTUAL	331	56	260	15	1,045	140 905
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
000	AXD HQ	29	28			
123	RTC SQ	83	74			
154	TTR SQ	109	103			
189	CEG SQ	150	143			
189	SG	55	50			
189	CLM SQ	181	179			
189	CMN FT	21	20			
189	MAP FT	69	68			
189	MSQ FT	37	32			
189	MSQ SQ	45	44			
189	RMS SQ	120	119			
189	SVF SQ	25	22			
189	SEP FT	57	54			
189	TAG HQ	74	70			
8189	STU FT	52	39			
TOTALS		1,107	1,045			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
C-130E Aircraft	10	10				
AGE Equipment	47	47				
Support Equipment	124	122				
Vehicle Equivalents	209	209				

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1994	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE			
LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE ARKANSAS		OPERATIONS FACILITY			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
55296F	171-445	NKAK919659	\$3,750		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
OPERATIONS FACILITY	SF	47,400		2,939	
OPERATIONS SHOP	SF	28,600	90	(2,574)	
SPECIFIED HEADQUARTERS	SF	3,000	90	(270)	
ALTER BUILDING 207	SF	15,800	6	(95)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				480	
UTILITIES	LS			(150)	
PRE WIRED WORK STATIONS	LS			(200)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(50)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(80)	
SUBTOTAL				3,419	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				171	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,590	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				180	
TOTAL REQUEST				3,770	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,750	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Masonry and steel construction with paved access and vehicle parking. All utilities, pavement, fire protection and support. Demolition of building 101 at 2,669 SF. <u>Air Conditioning: 50 Tons.</u>					
11. REQUIREMENT: 31,600 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 18,496 SF <u>PROJECT: Operations Facility (Current Mission).</u> <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> The base requires properly sized and configured space for the operations and training of aircrews, base operations, life support, administration, state headquarters staff, and aircraft maintenance. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Aircrew operations are conducted in a small and poorly configured three floor addition to a hangar. No space exists for the pilots work stations or mission debriefing and study areas. The areas are too small to properly support the mission. Equipment is stored in hallways. There are numerous health, safety and fire hazards. Classrooms are small and there is no room for all the different crew members. The classrooms partitions are not insulated. Excessive noise filters through the walls and interrupts the operations and training in adjacent rooms. Classified information cannot be properly discussed. The rooms walls do not meet the standards for classified briefings. Guards must be constantly posted outside the rooms when classified information is being discussed. The rooms do not have the proper visual aids. The classrooms and operations rooms are small and do not lend themselves to the minimum acceptable standards for pilot operations. The heating and air conditioning systems are not balanced. This type of operation does not belong in an annex to the hangar. In accordance with the approved master development plan, the base is also critically deficient in aircraft support space. After minor renovation, the vacated space in building 207					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE ARKANSAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE OPERATIONS FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER NKAK919659	
<p>will be reused primarily by Aircraft Maintenance since the area is next to the hangar floor. Also included in this space realignment are the disaster preparedness and the base contracting functions.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Inadequate space continues to degrade the C 130 operations. Lost training opportunities. Continue to live with the health, safety and fire hazards. Poor training may result in an aircraft mishap. Possible compromise in security. Inability to reach full operational capability.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA ANG (computer generated)	2. DATE	MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE ARKANSAS			
4. PROJECT TITLE OPERATIONS FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER NKAK919659	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a) Date Design Started		91 JUL 24	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		65%	
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 01	
(d) Date Design Complete		93 DEC 20	
(2) Basis:			
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -			
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -			
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)	
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		162	
(b) All Other Design Costs		57	
(c) Total		219	
(d) Contract		219	
(e) In-house			
(4) Construction Start		94 MAY	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FRESNO AIR TERMINAL (ANG), CALIFORNIA		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.21
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily and night use by technician/AGR force for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 3 Army National Guard, 1 Army Reserve, 1 Naval Reserve Center, 1 Marine Corp Reserve and 1 Coast Guard Reserve.		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 490 NOV 91 JUL 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved <u>9 APR 92</u> (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None (Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000)
124-135	JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	LS 4,000
124-135	REPLACE DERA USTS	4 EA 1,000
141-459	CREW READINESS	LS 4,200
171-445	COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITY	31,200 SF 4,900
214-425	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	14,300 SF 2,350
442-758	BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	58,500 SF 5,600
851-147	SITE RESTORATION PHASE I	LS 4,100

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FRESNO AIR TERMINAL (ANG), CALIFORNIA							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 1 JUL 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	378	5	68	305	1,045	110	935
ACTUAL	371	5	68	298	1,020	102	918
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
	144 CEG SQ	136	130				
	144 CLI CI	55	54				
	144 CLM SQ	411	398				
	144 FIN WG	66	66				
	144 MSQ FT	41	41				
	144 MSQ SQ	45	45				
	144 RMS SQ	120	120				
	144 SEP FT	85	84				
	194 FIN SQ	43	42				
	144 DET 01	18	16				
	144 SVS FT	25	24				
	TOTALS	1,045	1,020				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	F-16 Aircraft	18	20				
	C-131 Aircraft	1	1				
	Support Equipment	128	121				
	Vehicle Equivalents	237	237				

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FRESNO AIR TERMINAL (ANG) CALIFORNIA			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER HAYW909639	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$490		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			280
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					143
UTILITIES		LS			(4)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(4)
SITE RESTORATION		LS			(60)
FUEL DISPENSING RELOCATION		LS			(75)
SUBTOTAL					423
CONTINGENCY (10%)					42
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					465
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					23
TOTAL REQUEST					488
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					490
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 4 tanks and remove only 6 others. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks, tank residue and the contaminated soil and restore sites.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission).					
REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all USTs regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated USTs have leak detection, corrosion protection and spill/overflow prevention systems by December 1998. If USTs are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The USTs at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated USTs require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		MAR 19 91
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
FRESNO AIR TERMINAL (ANG) CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		HAYW909639
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 NOV 08
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 05
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		25
(b) All Other Design Costs		10
(c) Total		35
(d) Contract		35
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ONTARIO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ANG, CALIFORNIA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.20
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Navy Station, 1 Navy Center, 1 Naval Reserve Center, 3 Army Reserve Units and 7 Army Guard Units.			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94			
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS
CODE			START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	310 MAR 90 JUN 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved			
			9 APR 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)
CODE			
124-134	REPLACE DERA USTS	LS	330
214-425	COMPOSITE VEHICLE AND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	16,800 SF	2,000
442-758	COMPOSITE BASE SUPPLY AND BASE CIVIL ENGINEER FACILITY	10,500 SF	2,000

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ONTARIO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ANG, CALIFORNIA							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 10 SEP 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	27	1	24	2	141	8	133
ACTUAL	25	1	22	2	144	5	139
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
	149 CC SQ						
	TOTALS	141	144				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	Support Equipment	58	58				
	Vehicle Equivalents	160	160				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BUCKLEY AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE, COLORADO		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.97
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Normal tenant organization admin 5 days/week; Weekend unit tng assemblies 2/3 day weekends one weekend/month tenant organization; 1 evening/week "Open House", physical fitness and administration for each tenant organ; Band practice 1 day/month; Schedules ensembles practice one day/week.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 400 Person Armory, Aurora, 3 Miles; Fitzsimmons, Denver, 6 Miles; Navy (Navy, Marines, Coast Guard) Reserve Center, Aurora, 1/2 Mile; 4 ARNG Armories, Army Aviation Support Facility, Organization Maintenance Facility, USAR Armories, Denver, 4 and 6 Miles.		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
215-552	F-16 WEAPONS RELEASE SHOP	11,200 SF 1,300 APR 88 APR 91
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved		
9 JAN 92 (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None
(Number of Acres)		
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000)
116-672	AIRCRAFT WASHRACK AND DEICING PAD	1,200 SY 390
124-135	UPGRADE JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	LS 1,650
131-111	ADD TO AND ALTER COMMUNICATION FACILITY	11,200 SF 800
211-179	ADD TO AND ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK	17,000 SF 1,300
219-943	BASE ENGINEER PAVEMENTS AND GROUNDS FACILITY	3,400 SF 450
851-147	UPGRADE BASE INFRASTRUCTURE	LS 12,000
851-147	ROAD	LS
999-999	USPFO APPROVED CLASS (MC)	LS

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BUCKLEY AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE, COLORADO							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 22 AUG 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	703	58	386	259	1,661	239	1,422
ACTUAL	695	58	385	252	1,607	235	1,372
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
240	CEF FT	38	39				
140	RMS SQ	120	118				
140	FW DET	13	14				
140	MSS FT	35	38				
120	FTS SQ	58	63				
140	SVS FT	34	36				
140	TAC HP	73	67				
140	MSS SQ	46	46				
140	CAM MT	547	480				
140	FTW WG	56	59				
140	COM FT	21	24				
120	WEA FT	17	22				
140	CES SQ	124	119				
154	ACG GP	131	120				
227	ATC FT	66	59				
138	ACS SQ	92	108				
140	SP FT	57	62				
HQ	OL-BB	102	100				
HQ	CO ANG	31	33				
TOTALS		1,661	1,607				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
<u>TYPE</u>		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
F-16 Aircraft		24	25				
T-43A Aircraft		4	4				
Support Equipment		292	290				
Vehicle Equivalents		727	769				

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
ANG				MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
BUCKLEY AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE COLORADO			F-16 WEAPONS RELEASE SHOP		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
55296F	215-552	CRWU001329	\$1,300		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
F-16 WEAPONS RELEASE SHOP		SF	11,200	95	1,064
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					130
UTILITIES		LS			(40)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(30)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(10)
ASBESTOS REMOVAL/DEMOLITION		LS			(50)
SUBTOTAL					1,194
CONTINGENCY (5%)					60
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,254
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					63
TOTAL REQUEST					1,317
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,300
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete slab and foundation, masonry walls, built-up roof and fire protection. Provide all necessary electrical, mechanical and structural work. All utilities, pavements and site improvements. Remove asbestos and demolish Building 950 (20,250 SF).					
Air Conditioning: 20 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 11,200 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 20,250 SF					
PROJECT: F-16 Weapons Release Shop (New Mission).					
REQUIREMENT: This project supports the conversion from A-7 to F-16 in October 1992. A properly sized and configured facility to support weapons release, gun maintenance, equipment storage, loading standardization crew and training, branch and support offices is required.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The present facility was jointly used by weapons release system and avionics shops. It is grossly inadequate and is located in the runway clear zone and must be demolished. The avionics shop has been relocated to the hangar by a FY 92 MILCON project. The weapons release systems functions need to be expanded and relocated into a new building in a new site in accordance with the approved master development plan.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Overcrowded conditions will continue to severely reduce maintenance efficiency and training. Shop space will not be available to support aircraft conversion equipment/operations that require increased test and maintenance capabilities. The operational readiness of the unit is being adversely impacted. Unable to achieve full operational capability. Personnel continue to be exposed to the hazards associated with being located in the airfield clear zone.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA ANG (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BUCKLEY AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE COLORADO		
4. PROJECT TITLE F-16 WEAPONS RELEASE SHOP		5. PROJECT NUMBER CRWU001329
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		88 APR 14
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		100%
(c) Date 35% Designed		90 SEP 30
(d) Date Design Complete		91 APR 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		57
(b) All Other Design Costs		30
(c) Total		87
(d) Contract		87
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		
		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BRADLEY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT CONNECTICUT			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.13
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS Four Army National Guard Installations			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94			
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
219-944	ADD TO AND ALTER BASE CIVIL ENGINEER FACILITY	18,400 SF	510 JUN 92 AUG 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved			
			9 NOV 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)
171-445	ADD TO AND ALTER OPS AND TRAINING	37,500 SF	1,400
171-447	AIR COMBAT CONTROL FACILITY COMPLEX	LS	12,400

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BRADLEY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT CONNECTICUT							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 11 SEP 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	280	22	246	12	1,055	122	933
ACTUAL	272	22	240	10	1,019	111	908
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>				<u>STRENGTH</u>			
				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
HQ CT ANG				23	24		
103 TFW GP				58	59		
103 TCI CI				69	51		
103 CLM SQ				405	401		
103 SEP FT				57	62		
103 CEG SQ				124	119		
103 SVS FT				25	22		
103 RMS SQ				122	117		
103 MSS SQ				45	52		
103 MSS FT				41	34		
118 TFS SQ				51	50		
0103 STU FT				35	28		
TOTALS				1,055	1,019		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
<u>TYPE</u>				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
A-10 Aircraft				18	18		
F-16 Aircraft				18	0		
C-12 Aircraft				1	1		
Support Equipment				69	69		
Vehicle Equivalents				197	209		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BRADLEY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT CONNECTICUT			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER BASE CIVIL ENGINEER FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 219-944	7. PROJECT NUMBER CEKT919640	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$510		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ADD/ALTER BASE CIVIL ENGINEER FACILITY	SF	18,400		388	
ADD TO FACILITY	SF	4,200	44	(185)	
ALTER FACILITY	SF	10,200	7	(71)	
CONSTRUCT COVERED STORAGE	SF	4,000	33	(132)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				75	
PREWIRED WORK STATIONS	LS			(65)	
UTILITIES	LS			(10)	
SUBTOTAL				463	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				23	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				486	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				24	
TOTAL REQUEST				510	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				510	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Addition: concrete foundation and pre-engineered structure to match existing. Alterations consist of removal of gypsum walls and use of pre-wired work stations. Upgrade mechanical and electrical systems. Construct a covered storage facility: concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls, built-up or pitched roof and minimal utilities. Demolish building 5 at 1462 SF. <u>Air Conditioning: 10 Tons.</u>					
11. REQUIREMENT: 18,400 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 11,662 SF <u>PROJECT:</u> Add to and Alter Base Civil Engineer Facility (Current Mission). <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> The base requires a properly configured and an adequately sized base civil engineering space to administer and house personnel to maintain real property on a daily basis and to prepare/train for contingency operations on training weekends. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Additional space is required for the mechanical shop, located in an old pumphouse Building 5, and portions of the pavement and equipment shop, now located in Buildings 5 and 758 (a temporary State owned facility). Storage space for mobility equipment is inadequate. Control and accountability of this equipment is inadequate. Construction materials are stored improperly. There is also inadequate security. Administrative functions are fragmented resulting in inefficient operations and decreased morale. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Real property management, record keeping and other administrative operations continue to be hampered by insufficient and poorly configured space. Contingency training opportunities are lost. Productivity is decreased. Pilferable building materials continue to be stored with less than adequate security.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		MAR 1994
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BRADLEY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT CONNECTICUT		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER BASE CIVIL ENGINEER FACILITY	CEKT919640	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 JUN 01	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 20	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 AUG 15	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	24	
(b) All Other Design Costs	10	
(c) Total	34	
(d) Contract	34	
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start	94 AUG	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NEW CASTLE COUNTY AIRPORT, DELAWARE			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.97
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Navy Reserve Building, Wilmington Area. 1 Army Reserve Building, Wilmington Area. 6 Army National Guard.			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94			
CATEGORY			COST
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>
			<u>DESIGN STATUS</u>
			<u>START</u> <u>CMPL</u>
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	890 SEP 91 JUL 93
131-111	COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	8,000 SF	900 DEC 87 OCT 92
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved			
			29 JAN 93 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY			COST
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>
124-135	JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	LS	4,000
124-135	REPLACE DERA USTS	LS	710
730-142	FIRE STATION AND AGE FACILITY	13,700 SF	1,450

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NEW CASTLE COUNTY AIRPORT, DELAWARE						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 10 JUL 92						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	322	28	286	8	1,037	170 867
ACTUAL	284	25	251	8	962	156 806
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
				<u>STRENGTH</u>		
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>	
XH	HQ			27	25	
142	AME SQ			97	84	
142	AS			96	89	
166	CEG SQ			136	126	
166	CLM SQ			172	156	
166	CMN FT			21	17	
166	MSQ FT			40	36	
166	MSQ SQ			45	41	
166	MAP FT			69	60	
166	RMS SQ			120	103	
166	AG			57	50	
166	TCI CI			73	60	
166	SPF FT			57	53	
166	SV FT			27	21	
166	STU FT			0	41	
TOTALS				1,037	962	
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
<u>TYPE</u>		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
C-130		8	8			
Support Equipment		84	77			
Vehicle Equivalents		226	237			

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NEW CASTLE COUNTY AIRPORT DELAWARE			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER JLWS909538	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$890		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			640
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					134
UTILITIES		LS			(16)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(16)
SITE RESTORATION		LS			(102)
SUBTOTAL					774
CONTINGENCY (10%)					77
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					851
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					43
TOTAL REQUEST					894
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					890
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 16 tanks and remove only one other tank. Exavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks and tank residue and the contaminated soil and restore sites.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST's regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require each regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If UST's are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST's at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated UST's require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993																		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NEW CASTLE COUNTY AIRPORT DELAWARE																				
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		5. PROJECT NUMBER JLWS909538																		
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>91 SEP 16</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93</td> <td>35%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>92 DEC 30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>93 JUL 30</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <p>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</p> <p>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</p> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>44</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>69</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>69</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 94 MAR</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	91 SEP 16	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	(c) Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 30	(d) Date Design Complete	93 JUL 30	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	44	(b) All Other Design Costs	25	(c) Total	69	(d) Contract	69	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	91 SEP 16																			
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%																			
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 30																			
(d) Date Design Complete	93 JUL 30																			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	44																			
(b) All Other Design Costs	25																			
(c) Total	69																			
(d) Contract	69																			
(e) In-house																				

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1 3	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANG			4. PROJECT TITLE NEW CASTLE COUNTY AIRPORT DELAWARE		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F		6. CATEGORY CODE 131-111		7. PROJECT NUMBER JLWS001368	
				8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$900	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY		SF	8,000	90	720
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					95
UTILITIES		LS			(30)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(10)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(5)
PREWIRED WORK STATIONS		LS			(30)
ASBESTOS REMOVAL		LS			(20)
SUBTOTAL					815
CONTINGENCY (5%)					41
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					856
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					43
TOTAL REQUEST					899
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					900
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls, built-up roof, raised computer floor, access pavement, utilities, and support. Remove and dispose of asbestos and dispose of WWII building number 2811 and a trailer for a total of 4,762 SF.					
Air Conditioning: 25 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 8,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 4,762 SF PROJECT: Communications Facility (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: To provide a centrally located communications system, both for intra-base and off-base communications. It includes a switchboard room, frame room, administration, radio maintenance and communications, classified storage and disposition, and automated data processing. CURRENT SITUATION: The communication function is housed in a 1941 vintage facility built for temporary housing of troops. The automated data processing activity is housed in a trailer sited in the parking lot next to the building. The facilities are not secure, there is insufficient space, rooms are poorly configured and lack proper environmental controls and utilities. There are numerous health and safety hazards; maintenance is no longer effective. The utility systems are old and undersized. The building is energy inefficient. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Inefficient training; poor working conditions; health and safety violations; possible security compromise, and increased utilities and maintenance costs. All these factors affect the training, mission, morale and retention.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1 1994
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NEW CASTLE COUNTY AIRPORT DELAWARE		
4. PROJECT TITLE COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER JLWS001368	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		87 DEC 18
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		100%
(c) Date 35% Designed		89 AUG 16
(d) Date Design Complete		92 OCT 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		17
(b) All Other Design Costs		11
(c) Total		28
(d) Contract		28
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		
		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION JACKSONVILLE IAP (ANG), FLORIDA		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.87
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 annual field training days per year. Daily use of a technician force.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army National Guard Armory		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY		COST
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)
		DESIGN STATUS
		START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 1,150 NOV 91 JUN 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved		
		23 JAN 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED	None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY		COST
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)
124-135	JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	LS 4,200
171-450	ADD TO AND ALTER MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	9,800 SF 590
179-475	SMALL ARMS SYSTEMS RANGE	21 FP 750
730-142	ADD TO AND ALTER FIRE STATION	11,800 SF 580

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION JACKSONVILLE IAP (ANG), FLORIDA							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 1 JUL 92							
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	365	27	337	1	1,057	111	946
ACTUAL	351	25	325	1	1,065	110	955
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>				
			<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	125	FG	77	70			
	125	FG/DET	18	18			
	159	FIS	43	41			
	125	CAMS	412	411			
	125	MSS	45	45			
	125	USAF C	55	57			
	125	CES	136	127			
	125	SPF	85	93			
	125	RMS	120	108			
	125	MSF	41	41			
	125	SVF	25	24			
	8125	ST FLT	0	30			
	TOTALS		1,057	1,065			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	F-16 A/B Aircraft	18	23				
	C-130 Aircraft	1	1				
	Support Equipment	93	93				
	Vehicle Equivalents	197	201				

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION JACKSONVILLE IAP ANG FLORIDA			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER LSGA909642	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,150		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			850
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					144
UTILITIES		LS			(22)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(22)
SITE RESTORATION		LS			(100)
SUBTOTAL					994
CONTINGENCY (10%)					99
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,093
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					55
TOTAL REQUEST					1,148
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,150
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 22 tanks. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks and tank residue and the contaminated soil and restore sites.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If UST are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. In addition the State of Florida regulates heating oil tanks also. All the regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION JACKSONVILLE IAP ANG FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		5. PROJECT NUMBER LSGA909642
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 NOV 08
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 14
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		60
(b) All Other Design Costs		35
(c) Total		95
(d) Contract		95
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DOBBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.86		
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and training.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Air Force Reserve Facility, 2 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Army Reserve Facility, 1 Navy/Marine Reserve Facility					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94					
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS	
CODE				START	CMPL
121-111	PETROLEUM OPERATIONS COMPLEX	LS	600	JUL 87	OCT 89
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	1,150	NOV 91	JUN 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved					2 DEC 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)		
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)		
CODE					
171-443	ADD TO SECURITY POLICE FACILITY (ANG/AFRES)	10,350 SF	450		
179-475	SMALL ARMS RANGE (ANG/AFRES)	LS	475		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DOBBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 15 JUN 92							
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	345	24	319	2	1,211	114	1,097
ACTUAL	332	23	307	2	1,184	111	1,073
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
	116 CEG SQ	100	102				
	116 CLM SQ	577	554				
	116 CMN FT	42	41				
	116 MSS FT	30	30				
	116 MSS SQ	45	48				
	116 RMS SQ	121	124				
	116 TFW HQ	60	58				
	116 TCI CI	51	47				
	116 SEP FT	57	59				
	116 SVS FT	34	32				
	128 TFS SQ	58	55				
	530 BAND	36	34				
	8116 STU FT	0	0				
	TOTALS	1,211	1,184				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	F-15 A/B Aircraft	24	28				
	Support Equipment	289	255				
	Vehicle Equivalents	234	110				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DOBBINS AIR FORCE BASE GEORGIA		4. PROJECT TITLE PETROLEUM OPERATIONS COMPLEX		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 121-111	7. PROJECT NUMBER FGWB001278	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$600	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PETROLEUM OPERATIONS COMPLEX	LS			373
PETROLEUM OPERATIONS FACILITY	SF	1,150	150	(173)
REFUELING VEHICLE PARKING	LS			(200)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				170
UTILITIES	LS			(45)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(100)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(25)
SUBTOTAL				543
CONTINGENCY (5%)				27
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				570
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				29
TOTAL REQUEST				599
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				600
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete refueler truck parking area with all environmental protection. A facility consisting of masonry walls, concrete foundation, concrete floor slab and built-up roof, access road and supporting utilities. Disposal of trailer at 725 SF. <u>Air Conditioning: 2 Tons.</u>				
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,150 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 725 SF <u>PROJECT:</u> Petroleum Operations Complex (Current Mission). <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> This is a level II environmental compliance project. Provide an environmentally safe refueler truck parking area with a facility to support training for the truck and POL operations. In addition to the area for parking six refueler vehicles, the functional areas of the building include offices, dispatch, ready room, classroom, restrooms, and storage. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The refueler vehicles are operating from an environmentally unsafe area. Personnel are operating out of a leased temporary trailer. The 6 trucks that refuel the F-15 aircraft are parked on an apron which is grossly inadequate; it has no security fence or lights and no environmental protection in the event of a fuel spill; vehicles are parked under high voltage power lines and, since the apron is too small, the vehicles must be backed into parking spaces. This is an unsafe operation. The existing facility is also remote from the jet fuel storage and the test lab. This project will relocate the operations in accordance with the approved master plan. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Continued violations of the environmental protection regulation. Anticipated Notice of Violations by state EPA. Unsafe operation of the refueling vehicles. Unprotected assets. <u>Potential contamination of the soil and groundwater due to a fuel leak.</u>				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA ANG (computer generated)	2. DATE	MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
DOBBINS AIR FORCE BASE GEORGIA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
PETROLEUM OPERATIONS COMPLEX		FGWB001278	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a)	Date Design Started	87 JUL 15	
(b)	Percent Complete as of Jan 93	100%	
(c)	Date 35% Designed	88 JUL 20	
(d)	Date Design Complete	89 OCT 01	
(2) Basis:			
(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):			(\$000)
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	34	
(b)	All Other Design Costs	11	
(c)	Total	45	
(d)	Contract	45	
(e)	In-house		
(4)	Construction Start	94 JUL	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A			

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DOBBINS AIR FORCE BASE GEORGIA			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER FGWB909577	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,150		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS			820	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				186	
UTILITIES	LS			(15)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(15)	
SITE RESTORATION	LS			(156)	
SUBTOTAL				1,006	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				101	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,107	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				55	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,162	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,150	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 15 tanks and remove only 11 others. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks and tank residue and the contaminated soil and restore sites.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Misaion). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If UST are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DOBBINS AIR FORCE BASE GEORGIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		5. PROJECT NUMBER FGWB909577
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 NOV 08
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		58
(b) All Other Design Costs		30
(c) Total		88
(d) Contract		88
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LEWIS B WILSON AIRPORT (ANG), GEORGIA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.84
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94			
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	340 MAR 92 JUN 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved			
			2 DEC 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)
CODE			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LEWIS B WILSON AIRPORT (ANG), GEORGIA					
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 11 SEP 92					
	<u>PERMANENT</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u> <u>CIVILIAN</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	39	4	35	0	241 27 214
ACTUAL	39	4	35	0	198 23 175
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA					
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u> <u>AUTHORIZED</u> <u>ACTUAL</u>			
202 EIS		<u>241</u> <u>198</u>			
TOTALS		241 198			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT					
<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>		<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
Support Equipment	55		54		
Vehicle Equivalents	127		57		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCOLLUM ANG STATION, GEORGIA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.00
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94			
CATEGORY			COST DESIGN STATUS
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u> <u>START</u> <u>CMPL</u>
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	315 NOV 91 JUN 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved <u>2 DEC 92</u> (Date)			
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY			COST
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCOLLUM ANG STATION, GEORGIA							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 11 SEP 92							
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	39	4	35	0	316	33	283
ACTUAL	39	4	35	0	287	27	260
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>				
			<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	129	ACS	237	211			
	118	ACS	79	76			
	TOTALS		316	287			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	Support Equipment		8	8			
	Vehicle Equivalents		479	212			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SAVANNAH ANG COMMUNICATIONS STATION, GEORGIA		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.77
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician force and traditional guardsmen for 365 days per year.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Active Duty Army Base, 2 Air National Guard, 2 Army National Guard, 1 Army Reserve, 1 Naval Reserve, 1 Coast Guard		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST DESIGN STATUS (\$000) START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 330 NOV 91 JUN 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved <u>2 DEC 92</u> (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None (Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000)

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SAVANNAH ANG COMMUNICATIONS STATION, GEORGIA						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 10 JUL 92						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	29	2	27	0	236	8 228
ACTUAL	33	1	32	0	188	7 181
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>			<u>STRENGTH</u>		
				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>	
	283 CMBT			236	188	
		TOTALS		236	188	
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>		<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
	Comm-Elec Equipment	22		20		
	Support Equipment	45		26		
	Vehicle Equivalents	303		387		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SAVANNAH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, GEORGIA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.77		
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training. Used by visiting units when deployed to the Combat Readiness Training Center.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army Base, 2 Air National Guard, 2 Army National Guard, 1 Army Reserve, 1 Naval Reserve and 1 Coast Guard					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94					
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS	
CODE				START	CPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	315	NOV 91	JUN 93
214-467	REFUELING VEHICLE PARKING AND OPERATIONS COMPLEX	LS	990	NOV 91	JUL 93
880-232	FIRE DETECTION AND SUPPRESSION SYSTEMS	LS	1,650	FEB 83	DEC 86
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved					2 DEC 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)		
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)		
CODE					
179-511	FIREMEN TRAINING FACILITY	LS	680		
211-152	ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS	40,700 SF	1,150		
442-758	BASE SUPPLY AND CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX	86,600 SF	6,000		
730-142	FIRE STATION	11,000 SF	1,350		
730-835	SECURITY POLICE OPS	5,600 SF	680		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93																													
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SAVANNAH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, GEORGIA																																		
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 10 JUL 92																																		
	<table border="1"> <tr> <th colspan="4">PERMANENT</th> <th colspan="3">GUARD/RESERVE</th> </tr> <tr> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> <th>CIVILIAN</th> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> </tr> <tr> <td>AUTHORIZED</td> <td>329</td> <td>31</td> <td>272</td> <td>26</td> <td>1,010</td> <td>130</td> <td>880</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ACTUAL</td> <td>339</td> <td>31</td> <td>282</td> <td>26</td> <td>1,033</td> <td>136</td> <td>897</td> </tr> </table>				PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE			TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	AUTHORIZED	329	31	272	26	1,010	130	880	ACTUAL	339	31	282	26	1,033	136	897
PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE																														
TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED																												
AUTHORIZED	329	31	272	26	1,010	130	880																											
ACTUAL	339	31	282	26	1,033	136	897																											
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA																																		
UNIT DESIGNATION		STRENGTH																																
		AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL																															
165	TAL GP	57	57																															
165	MSS SQ	45	46																															
165	SVS FT	25	24																															
165	TCI CI	64	63																															
165	TAL SQ	96	112																															
165	CLM SQ	172	170																															
165	RMS SQ	120	130																															
165	SEP FT	57	62																															
165	CEG SQ	148	151																															
165	CMN FT	21	20																															
165	MSS FT	41	39																															
165	MAP SQ	106	101																															
	CRTC GA	58	58																															
TOTALS		1,010	1,033																															
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT																																		
TYPE		AUTHORIZED	ASSIGNED																															
C-130H Aircraft		8	9																															
Support Equipment		3,415	3,128																															
Vehicle Equivalents		604	604																															

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SAVANNAH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT GEORGIA		4. PROJECT TITLE FIRE DETECTION AND SUPPRESSION SYSTEMS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 880-232	7. PROJECT NUMBER XDQU000038	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,650	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
FIRE DETECTION AND SUPPRESSION SYSTEMS	LS			1,150
SUPPRESSION SYSTEM	LS			(900)
ALARM SYSTEM	LS			(250)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				260
UTILITIES	LS			(220)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(40)
SUBTOTAL				1,410
CONTINGENCY (10%)				141
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,551
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				78
TOTAL REQUEST				1,629
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,650
10. Description of Proposed Construction: A base-wide automatic fire alarm and suppression system. Includes automatic fire detection in each facility. The fire suppression system includes an under-the-wing system, wet pipe sprinkler systems, dry pipe sprinkler system, and hose reel stations. All utilities and support.				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Fire Detection and Suppression Systems (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: The ANG Combat Readiness Training Site has numerous troop quarter facilities, combat support facilities and aircraft maintenance facilities. The 165 AG has support facilities and operational facilities. These facilities must be protected to prevent injury or loss of lives and equipment. CURRENT SITUATION: The base does not have an automatic fire reporting and suppression system required by Federal and State Fire Codes. The only fire alarm systems installed that are centrally controlled are in a few of the troop quarters and the recently constructed engine shop. This project will protect assets in excess of \$90 million as well as local personnel that are stationed here or personnel that deploy to this base for training. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Violation of codes. Accept the risk. Equipment remains unprotected. Personnel sleeping in quarters are at risk. OSHA regulations will be violated.				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE	MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
SAVANNAH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT GEORGIA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
FIRE DETECTION AND SUPPRESSION SYSTEMS		XDQU000038	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a)	Date Design Started	83	FEB 24
(b)	Percent Complete as of Jan 93		100%
(c)	Date 35% Designed	84	OCT 15
(d)	Date Design Complete	86	DEC 11
(2) Basis:			
(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):			(\$000)
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications		35
(b)	All Other Design Costs		22
(c)	Total		57
(d)	Contract		57
(e)	In-house		
(4) Construction Start			94 APR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A			

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SAVANNAH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT GEORGIA			4. PROJECT TITLE REFUELING VEHICLE PARKING AND OPERATIONS COMPLEX		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 214-467	7. PROJECT NUMBER XD0U919575	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$990		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
REFUELING VEHICLE PARKING AND OPERATIONS	LS			480	
REFUELING VEHICLE PARKING	LS			(340)	
POL OPERATIONS READY ROOM (800 SF)	LS			(140)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				380	
UTILITIES AND ACCESS PAVEMENTS	LS			(280)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS/DRAINAGE	LS			(100)	
SUBTOTAL				860	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				86	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				946	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				47	
TOTAL REQUEST				993	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				990	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete, brick and steel operations facility, refueling vehicle concrete paving and all utilities and support. Environmental controls for fuel spills. Air Conditioning: 3 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. <u>PROJECT</u> : Refueling Vehicle Parking and Operations Complex (Current Mission). <u>REQUIREMENT</u> : This is a level II environmental compliance project. A facility for the parking of jet fuel refueler vehicles, a dispatch room and a ready room for vehicle drivers is required. The area must have environmental controls and be secure from outside threats. This required facility will be for joint use between the Training Center and the flying unit colocated at this location. <u>CURRENT SITUATION</u> : The existing refueler parking area does not comply with environmental standards. Located in a remote area, the existing system is an environmental hazard. Some refuelers have to park in areas not designed to accept accidental fuel spills. Existing parking areas are not sloped for proper drainage and collection of fuel spills. No oil/water separators exist. The existing fuel lab has insufficient space for the lab equipment and proper tests cannot be performed. Drivers do not have an adequate area for dispatch. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED</u> : Possible shut down of the fuel complex. There exists a high potential for environmental contamination from leaking refueler trucks. Live with the risk for safety and environmental hazards and additional costs. Parking of refuelers continues to be separated and remote areas without the required environmental protection.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1994
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SAVANNAH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT GEORGIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
REFUELING VEHICLE PARKING AND OPERATIONS COMPLEX	XDQU919575	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	91 NOV 04	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 15	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 JUL 15	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	33	
(b) All Other Design Costs	20	
(c) Total	53	
(d) Contract	53	
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start	94 MAY	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII				4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.39		
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.						
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 2 Army Installations, 1 Army Reserve Facility, 1 Air Force Base, 1 Air National Guard Unit, 2 Naval Installations, 1 Marine Corps Reserve Center, 4 Army National Guard Installations						
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94						
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START CMPL		
211-179	FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	17,000 SF	5,300	SEP 89	JUN 93	
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved						
				28 OCT 92 (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)			
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS						
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)			
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	670			
219-944	BASE ENGINEER MAINTENANCE FACILITY	18,700 SF	3,500			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 28 AUG 92							
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	409	5	52	352	1,307	271	1,036
ACTUAL	370	5	62	303	1,208	111	1,097
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
HQ	HI ANG	30	31				
154	SVS	34	30				
154	AC SQ	91	70				
154	ACW	66	66				
154	MSS	45	43				
154	COMPG	64	57				
154	CAM	587	554				
154	TAC HP	83	71				
154	CE SQ	100	87				
154	SPF	41	43				
154	RMS	133	126				
154	MSF	33	30				
TOTALS		1,307	1,208				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>					
F-15C Aircraft	24	26					
C-130H Aircraft	1	1					
KC-135R Aircraft	4	0					
Support Equipment	280	260					
Vehicle Equivalents	138	138					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE HAWAII		4. PROJECT TITLE FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-179	7. PROJECT NUMBER KNMD889757	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$5,300

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
FUEL SYSTEM/CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	SF	17,000	160	2,720
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,050
UTILITIES	LS			(200)
AIRCRAFT PARKING PAVEMENTS	LS			(1,100)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS AND DEMOLITION	LS			(200)
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	LS			(550)
SUBTOTAL				4,770
CONTINGENCY (5%)				239
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,009
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				250
TOTAL REQUEST				5,259
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,300

10. Description of Proposed Construction: A dock with foundation, concrete floor slabs, built-up roof, mechanical, electrical and structural system with concrete access to apron. Mechanical ventilation systems, drainage with oil-water separator, fire suppression, personnel breathing apparatus. Additional pavements required to meet clearance requirements between parked aircraft and buildings. Demolish building 3411 (5,466 SF).
Air Conditioning: 20 Tons.

11. REQUIREMENT: 17,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 5,466 SF
PROJECT: Fuel System Maintenance and Corrosion Control Facility (New Mission).

REQUIREMENT: This project supports the conversion from F-4 to F-15 aircraft and is also a level II environmental compliance project. An adequately sized and properly configured facility with the proper environmental controls is required for the repair of aircraft fuel cells and bladders and the performance of corrosion control. Functional areas include fuel cell hangar bay, corrosion control hangar bay, bladder repair shop, support shop space and access apron. A fire suppression system, including storage of water and fire fighting agents is necessary to protect multi-million dollar resources that are to be maintained and repaired in this facility. Additional apron for clearance between aircraft and fuel systems maintenance hangar is required.

CURRENT SITUATION: Fuel cell and corrosion control work is currently being done in an open ended aircraft shelter, Building 3411. The facility lacks environmental controls in the fact that there is no ventilation system for safety and the prevention of air pollution and there is no air/water separator to prevent soil and water pollution from fuels spills or corrosion control work involving solvent cleaning or aircraft parts

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE HAWAII		
4. PROJECT TITLE FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER KNMD889757
<p>painting. Corrosion control work cannot be performed during fuel cell repair because of potential contamination of the fuel bladders. The shelter provides only a covered area. Fuel cell work cannot be accomplished when the wind is blowing. The wind will blow particles into the open fuel cell contaminating the fuel. The shelter cannot be economically upgraded for either fuel cell work or corrosion control. It is not correctly sited, there is no room for expansion and it lacks utility support. It is 5,466 SF and will be demolished. Currently there is a constant backlog of aircraft that require either fuel cell or corrosion control work. The need for corrosion control is extremely severe due to over water flying. Additional pavement is necessary because currently there is not enough parking spaces that are outside the required clearance radius of the new facility.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Continued fuel cell repair in an inadequate and unsafe facility. Inability to perform corrosion control when fuel cell work is in progress contributes to the degradation of high cost aircraft and flight safety. Lack of environmental controls for prevention of air, soil and water pollution create a potential for violation of statutes.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An exception to the economic analysis has been prepared. The project directly supports a mission or activity for which there is no available alternative.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE HAWAII		
4. PROJECT TITLE FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER KNMD889757
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		89 SEP 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		65%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 AUG 25
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		164
(b) All Other Design Costs		29
(c) Total		193
(d) Contract		193
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		
94 MAY		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BOISE AIR TERMINAL (GOWEN FIELD), IDAHO		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.03
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army National Guard Facility, 1 Army Reserve Facility, 1 Naval Reserve Facility and 1 Marine Corp Reserve Facility		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY		COST DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000) START CMPL
730-142	FIRE STATION AND AGE FACILITY	17,000 SF 1,750 JUL 91 MAY 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved <u>6 OCT 92</u> (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED	None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY		COST
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)
124-135	REPLACE DERA USTS	LS 500
171-450	MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY (ANG/ARNG)	13,000 SF 1,170
211-111	UPGRADE MAINTENANCE HANGAR	61,000 SF 2,600

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BOISE AIR TERMINAL (GOWEN FIELD), IDAHO						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 25 AUG 92						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	672	71	510	91	1,476	184 1,292
ACTUAL	620	63	458	99	1,373	176 1,197
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
				<u>STRENGTH</u>		
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>	
	HQ	ID	ANG	28	28	
	124	MSS	SQ	45	47	
	124	MSS	FT	45	44	
	124	CAM	SQ	560	460	
	124	TAC	CL	51	44	
	124	SVS	FT	34	31	
	124	RMS	SQ	120	124	
	124	SPF	SQ	57	60	
	124	CF	FT	21	19	
	124	CES	SQ	136	143	
	124	HQ	SQ	65	52	
	189	TRT	FT	196	171	
	190	TRS	SQ	78	90	
	124	STU	FT	40	60	
	TOTALS			1,476	1,373	
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
<u>TYPE</u>				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>	
RF-4G Aircraft				36	31	
RF-4C Aircraft				12	12	
C-26 Aircraft				1	1	
Support Equipment				323	318	
Vehicle Equivalents				308	318	

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BOISE AIR TERMINAL (GOWEN FIELD) IDAHO			4. PROJECT TITLE FIRE STATION AND AGE FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F		6. CATEGORY CODE 730-142		7. PROJECT NUMBER BXRH919655	
				8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1.750	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
FIRE STATION AND AGE FACILITY		SF	17,000		1,360
FIRE STATION		SF	11,000	110	(1,210)
ALTER AIRCRAFT GROUND EQUIPMENT SHOP		SF	6,000	25	(150)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					240
UTILITIES		LS			(80)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(90)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(40)
DEMOLITION		LS			(30)
SUBTOTAL					1,600
CONTINGENCY (5%)					80
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,680
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					84
TOTAL REQUEST					1,764
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,750
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete floors and walls including necessary structural, mechanical and electrical systems. Concrete pavements and driveways. All exterior utilities and support. Alter existing fire station by reworking interior for different function. Demolish Building 154 at 5,300 SF. Air Conditioning: 8 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 17,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 11,300 SF PROJECT: Fire Station and AGE Facility (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized and properly configured facility is required to support Crash/Fire/Rescue operations and Aircraft Ground Support Equipment (AGE) equipment. Facility will include apparatus bays, storage space, extinguisher maintenance shop, kitchen and dining area, control room, classroom, administrative area and bunk rooms for 24 hour operation by full time fire fighters. An adequately sized and properly configured shop is required to support the aircraft ground equipment maintenance and repair shop that provides for day to day operations and the training of personnel assigned to that functional area. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing fire station is too small to adequately house the fire protection and crash/rescue operations. Only six of the nine fire fighting vehicles can fit into the undersized apparatus bays. The severe winters require indoor housing of fire fighting vehicles in order to respond to emergencies; this is impossible with the current fire station. In addition, the classroom doubles as an undersized bunk room, which cramps operations and contributes to health problems. Kitchen and bath accommodations are inadequate. The existing aircraft ground equipment support shop is in an undersized and poorly configured facility that must be demolished to provide room for future flightline facilities					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BOISE AIR TERMINAL (GOWEN FIELD) IDAHO		
4. PROJECT TITLE FIRE STATION AND AGE FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER BXRH919655
<p>invisioned by the Master Plan.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Fire fighting apparatus remains exposed to the weather, which accelerates deterioration and renders some vehicles useless due to freezing conditions in the winter. Impacts on the overall fire protection operation would ultimately jeopardize aircraft safety. Inadequate facilities for the day to day operations and the weekend training of aircraft support shops affect the training mission. Lost training opportunities. Higher operating costs.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BOISE AIR TERMINAL (GOWEN FIELD) IDAHO		
4. PROJECT TITLE FIRE STATION AND AGE FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER BXRH919655
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 JUL 02
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		65%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 JUL 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 MAY 02
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		86
(b) All Other Design Costs		28
(c) Total		114
(d) Contract		114
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		
94 JUN		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAPITAL MUNICIPAL AIRPORT ANG, ILLINOIS		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.01
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army Installation and 1 Army Reserve Training Center		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE
		COST (\$000)
		DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
871-183	ALTER STORM DRAINAGE DISPOSAL	LS 500 AUG 92 SEP 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved		
		16 SEP 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None
		(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE
		COST (\$000)
216-642	MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE COMPLEX	15,300 SF 2,450

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAPITAL MUNICIPAL AIRPORT ANG, ILLINOIS							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 11 SEP 92							
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	355	27	275	53	1,172	117	1,055
ACTUAL	341	25	265	51	1,175	120	1,055
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
	170 TFS SQ	58	55				
	183 TFG HQ	56	58				
	183 MSS SQ	46	49				
	183 SEP FT	57	58				
	183 CLM SQ	547	490				
	183 TCI CI	69	69				
	183 RMS SQ	120	124				
	183 CMN FT	21	19				
	183 CEG SQ	124	115				
	183 SVS FT	34	31				
	183 MSS FT	40	41				
	8183 STU FT	0	66				
	TOTALS	1,172	1,175				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	F-16 Aircraft	24	27				
	Support Equipment	0	0				
	Vehicle Equivalents	313	310				

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAPITAL MUNICIPAL AIRPORT ANG ILLINOIS			4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER STORM DRAINAGE DISPOSAL		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 871-183	7. PROJECT NUMBER DCFT929896	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ALTER STORM DRAINAGE DISPOSAL		LS			430
SUBTOTAL					430
CONTINGENCY (10%)					43
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					473
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					24
TOTAL REQUEST					497
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					500
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a series of valves to shut off the base storm sewer.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. <u>PROJECT:</u> Alter Storm Drainage Disposal (Current Mission). <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> This a level II environmental compliance project. A means and method to contain major spills on base to prevent contamination of the natural stream immediately off base is required. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The base storm sewer system discharges off-base into a stream. The inlets to the storm system are dispersed throughout the base along base roads and the aircraft parking apron. Along these roads and aircraft parking apron travel 10,000 gallon commercial JP-4 jet fuel tanker trucks and 6,000 gallon ANG refuelers. Refueling activities take place on the aircraft parking ramp. In the event of a major spill the fuel will flow to the nearest storm drain and off base to the downstream waterway with no means to adequately contain the flow. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Risk of a major fuel spill with no means to contain the product on ANG property. Spill material could be discharged into the environment. Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations. ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAPITAL MUNICIPAL AIRPORT ANG ILLINOIS		
4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER STORM DRAINAGE DISPOSAL		5. PROJECT NUMBER DCFT929896
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		25
(b) All Other Design Costs		8
(c) Total		33
(d) Contract		33
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		
		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION			2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT ANG, ILLINOIS				4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.97
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, four rescheduled unit training assemblies, 15 days annual training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force.				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army National Guard Armory, 1 Naval Reserve, 1 Marine Corps Reserve, 1 Army Reserve Center and 1 Coast Guard Reserve.				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94				
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START Cmpl
217-712	ADD TO AND ALTER F-16 AIRCRAFT AVIONICS SHOP	12,700 SF	840	FEB 91 FEB 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				
				16 SEP 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None		
		(Number of Acres)		
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	
216-642	ADD TO AND ALTER MUNITIONS STORAGE COMPLEX	17,900 SF	1,700	

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT ANG, ILLINOIS							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 25 JUN 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	296	7	49	240	1,230	139	1,091
ACTUAL	289	7	49	233	1,208	140	1,068
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>				
			<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	169	FS	49	56			
	182	CES	124	128			
	182	ASOC	116	111			
	182	CAM	460	368			
	182	MSF	40	39			
	182	MSS	46	46			
	182	RMS	120	115			
	182	HQ FG	59	54			
	182	CLN	69	59			
	182	SPF	57	63			
	182	SVS FT	25	21			
	182	ACP	65	60			
	8182	STU FT	0	88			
	TOTALS		1,230	1,208			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	F-16 Aircraft	18	11				
	C-26A Aircraft	1	1				
	Support Equipment	16	15				
	Vehicle Equivalents	305	256				

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT ANG ILLINOIS			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER F-16 AIRCRAFT AVIONICS SHOP		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 217-712	7. PROJECT NUMBER JLON919574	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$840		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AND ALTER AIRCRAFT AVIONICS SHOP		SF	12,700		689
ADD TO AVIONICS		SF	5,400	87	(470)
ALTER AVIONICS		SF	7,300	30	(219)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					70
UTILITIES		LS			(50)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(10)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(10)
SUBTOTAL					759
CONTINGENCY (5%)					38
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					797
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					40
TOTAL REQUEST					837
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					840
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Steel frame with concrete block insulated walls, built up roof on steel bar joists, upgrade mechanical system, dry wall ceilings. Exterior to match existing. All utilities and support. <u>Air Conditioning: 10 Tons.</u>					
11. REQUIREMENT: 12,700 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 7,300 SF <u>PROJECT:</u> Add to and Alter F-16 Aircraft Avionics Shop (New Mission). <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> The base requires a properly sized and adequately configured facility in which to accomplish the maintenance, inspection and repair of the avionics components. This project supports the conversion from OA-37 to F-16 aircraft in July 1992. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The existing facility does not meet the requirements for the F-16 aircraft. The facility is undersized and not correctly configured. Space is particularly short in the areas of Precision Measurement Equipment Lab (PMEL) and Electronic Counter Measures (ECM) pod maintenance and storage. Temporary work arounds are being used such as shipping components for repair to other locations or using avionics parts from the War Readiness Supply Kits. The ECM equipment is stored in an area that is not secure. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Unable to reach full operational capability. Training is curtailed and not efficiently done. The number of aircraft ready for training is less than satisfactory. Some will be grounded for lack of avionics parts. Flying safety is adversely impacted.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993																		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT ANG ILLINOIS																				
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER F-16 AIRCRAFT AVIONICS SHOP	5. PROJECT NUMBER JLON919574																			
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>91 FEB 04</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93</td> <td>95%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>92 JUN 05</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>93 FEB 15</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <p>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</p> <p>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</p> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>52</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>28</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>80</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>80</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 94 MAR</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	91 FEB 04	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	95%	(c) Date 35% Designed	92 JUN 05	(d) Date Design Complete	93 FEB 15	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	52	(b) All Other Design Costs	28	(c) Total	80	(d) Contract	80	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	91 FEB 04																			
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	95%																			
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 JUN 05																			
(d) Date Design Complete	93 FEB 15																			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	52																			
(b) All Other Design Costs	28																			
(c) Total	80																			
(d) Contract	80																			
(e) In-house																				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FORT WAYNE MUNICIPAL AIRPORT, INDIANA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.01
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and training.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army National Guard Armory, 1 Army Reserve Center, 1 Naval Reserve Center			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94			
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	1,350 SEP 91 JUL 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 18 FEB 93 (Date)			
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)
124-135	UPGRADE JET FUEL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	LS	1,200
211-179	FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	28,000 SF	3,200
442-758	BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	35,000 SF	4,000
722-351	DINING AND MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	36,500 SF	3,950
730-142	FIRE STATION AND AGE SHOP	13,500 SF	1,750
851-147	BASE ENTRY COMPLEX	LS	390

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FORT WAYNE MUNICIPAL AIRPORT, INDIANA						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 10 JUL 92						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	393	26	303	64	1,234	124 1,110
ACTUAL	385	26	297	62	1,278	131 1,147
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	122 TFG HQ	59	64			
	122 MSS SQ	46	48			
	122 SPF	57	65			
	122 MSS FT	41	36			
	163 TFT SQ	63	67			
	122 CAMS	559	534			
	122 CEG SQ	124	135			
	122 SVS FT	27	31			
	122 RMS SQ	121	135			
	122 CMN FT	21	25			
	122 TAC HP	50	58			
	235 ATCF	<u>66</u>	<u>80</u>			
	TOTALS	1,234	1,278			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	F-16 C/D Aircraft	24	24			
	C-26 Aircraft	1	1			
	Support Equipment	180	160			
	Vehicle Equivalents	126	126			

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FORT WAYNE INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT INDIANA			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER ATOZ909629	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1.350		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			980
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					202
UTILITIES		LS			(23)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(23)
SITE RESTORATION		LS			(156)
SUBTOTAL					1,182
CONTINGENCY (10%)					118
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,300
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					65
TOTAL REQUEST					1,365
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,350
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 23 tanks and remove only 3 others. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks, tank residue and the contaminated soil and restore sites.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all USTs regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated USTs have leak detection, corrosion protection and spill/overflow prevention systems by December 1998. If USTs are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The USTs at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated USTs require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA ANG (computer generated)	2. DATE	'AR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FORT WAYNE INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT INDIANA			
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		5. PROJECT NUMBER ATOZ909629	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
a. Estimated Design Data:			
(1) Status:			
(a)	Date Design Started	91 SEP 16	
(b)	Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c)	Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 15	
(d)	Date Design Complete	93 JUL 15	
(2) Basis:			
(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):			(\$000)
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications		68
(b)	All Other Design Costs		30
(c)	Total		98
(d)	Contract		98
(e)	In-house		
(4) Construction Start			94 JUN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HULMAN REGIONAL AIRPORT, INDIANA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.02
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army National Guard Unit and 1 Naval Facility			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94			
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	950 SEP 91 JUL 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 18 FEB 93 (Date)			
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)
131-111	COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITY	20,300 SF	3,150
211-179	FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	28,000 SF	3,600
219-944	BASE CIVIL ENGINEER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	20,700 SF	2,800
722-351	DINING HALL AND MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	31,500 SF	3,750

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HULMAN REGIONAL AIRPORT, INDIANA						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 15 JUL 92						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	355	23	269	63	1,167	139 1,028
ACTUAL	352	23	269	60	1,327	144 1,183
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	181 FG GP	56	56			
	113 GF GP	51	61			
	181 MSS SQ	45	44			
	181 CAM	460	488			
	181 RMS SQ	119	126			
	181 CES SQ	124	129			
	181 WS SF	57	64			
	181 TCI CI	73	66			
	181 MSF	41	38			
	181 COM FT	21	24			
	113 WEA FT	13	18			
	207 WEA FT	21	20			
	181 STD FT	0	105			
	HQ IN ANG	31	29			
	ATTE RANGE	17	16			
	JPG RANGE	11	11			
	181 SVS FT	27	32			
	TOTALS	1,167	1,327			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	F-16C Aircraft	18	20			
	Support Equipment	110	100			
	Vehicle Equivalents	139	139			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HULMAN REGIONAL AIRPORT INDIANA		4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER L DXF909627	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$950	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS			680
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				145
UTILITIES	LS			(15)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(15)
SITE RESTORATION	LS			(115)
SUBTOTAL				825
CONTINGENCY (10%)				83
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				908
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				45
TOTAL REQUEST				953
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				950
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 15 tanks and remove only 4 others. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks, tank residue and the contaminated soil and restore sites.				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all USTs regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated USTs have leak detection, corrosion protection and spill/overflow prevention systems by December 1998. If USTs are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The USTs at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated USTs require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HULMAN REGIONAL AIRPORT INDIANA		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		5. PROJECT NUMBER LDXF909627
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 SEP 16
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		36
(b) All Other Design Costs		16
(c) Total		52
(d) Contract		52
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		
		94 APR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DES MOINES INTERNAT' AIRPORT ANG, IOWA		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.99	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army Reserve Armory			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94			
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	880 NOV 91 JUN 93
722-351	ADD TO AND ALTER DINING AND MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	22,700 SF	1,800 MAR 90 DEC 92
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved			3 DEC 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)
124-135	JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	LS	4,000
124-135	REPLACE DERA USTS	LS	1,260
214-425	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	14,300 SF	2,150
216-642	MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE COMPLEX	17,900 SF	3,250
218-712	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP	6,200 SF	1,150
730-835	SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS AND BASE ENTRY COMPLEX	6,700 SF	2,150
880-232	FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM	LS	1,950

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DES MOINES INTERNAT' AIRPORT ANG, IOWA							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 31 AUG 92							
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	358	29	265	64	1,088	120	968
ACTUAL	376	28	286	62	1,053	120	933
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
	124 FT SQ	56	67				
	132 FW HQ	62	58				
	132 CES SQ	124	122				
	132 MNT SQ	476	441				
	132 RMS SQ	120	124				
	132 MSS SQ	45	44				
	132 MSS FT	41	40				
	132 TAC HP	73	64				
	132 SEP FT	57	56				
	132 SVS FT	34	37				
	TOTALS	1,088	1,053				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	F-16 Aircraft	18	5				
	A-7 Aircraft	0	18				
	C-12J Aircraft	1	1				
	Support Equipment	121	121				
	Vehicle Equivalents	260	260				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DES MOINES INTERNAT'L AIRPORT ANG IOWA		4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 56256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER FFAN909607	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$880	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS		620
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				138
PAVEMENTS		LS		(12)
UTILITIES		LS		(12)
SITE RESTORATION		LS		(114)
SUBTOTAL				758
CONTINGENCY (10%)				76
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				834
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				42
TOTAL REQUEST				876
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				880
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 12 tanks and remove only 6 others. Excavate and remove tanks. Dispose of tanks, tank residue and the contaminated soil and restore sites.				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all USTs regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated USTs have leak detection, corrosion protection and spill/overflow prevention systems by December 1998. If USTs are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The USTs at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated USTs require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DES MOINES INTERNAT'L AIRPORT ANG IOWA		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		5. PROJECT NUMBER FFAN909607
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 NOV 08
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		43
(b) All Other Design Costs		16
(c) Total		59
(d) Contract		59
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
ANG					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
DES MOINES INTERNAT'L AIRPORT ANG IOWA			ADD TO AND ALTER DINING AND MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
55296F	722-351	FFAN889690	\$1,800		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ADD/ALTER DINING AND MEDICAL TRAINING	SF	22,700		1,334	
ALTER DINING HALL	SF	6,400	40	(256)	
ADD TO DINING HALL	SF	4,600	100	(460)	
ALTER MEDICAL TRAINING	SF	6,500	35	(228)	
ADD TO MEDICAL TRAINING	SF	3,200	100	(320)	
ALTER OPERATIONS AND TRAINING	SF	2,000	35	(70)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				295	
UTILITIES	LS			(55)	
PAVEMENTS/SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(110)	
PREWIRED WORK STATIONS	LS			(130)	
SUBTOTAL				1,629	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				81	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,710	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				86	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,796	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,800	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Addition: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls and roof structure. Exterior addition to match existing facility. Alteration: Relocate interior walls and extend utilities. Provide prewired work stations, all utilities, pavements, and site improvements.					
Air Conditioning: 10 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 22,700 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 14,900 SF PROJECT: Add to and Alter Dining and Medical Training Facility (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized and properly configured facility is required to provide medical care and services training that includes food preparation and messing and to provide administrative and training spaces for the operations and training function. A composite facility is necessary for the day to day operations and for the weekend forces to be trained. CURRENT SITUATION: The increase in personnel to over 1,000 people together with new requirements for medical testing has caused overloading of the facility. The USAF Health Services Maintenance Inspections have identified the lack of space for medical training and support for the troops as critical deficiencies. The undersized dining facility causes crowding in the food preparation area and very long waiting lines at mealtimes. This results in lost training hours. The operations and training spaces will have to be renovated as the additions and alterations to the other spaces take place. This will allow for the reorganization of this function within their authorized square footage. This project is in accordance with the approved comprehensive master plan. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Insufficient and inadequate medical training for					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DES MOINES INTERNAT'L AIRPORT ANG IOWA		
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER DINING AND MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER FFAN889690
<p>the weekend and full time forces. Loss of training opportunities as the personnel spend considerable time in waiting lines at the dining hall and the medical facility. Continued inefficient operations and inefficient use of the space. Decline in troop morale, retention and mission accomplishment.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993																		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DES MOINES INTERNAT'L AIRPORT ANG IOWA																				
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER DINING AND MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER FFAN889690																		
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>90 MAR 16</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>91 AUG 06</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>92 DEC 01</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <p>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</p> <p>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</p> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>54</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>22</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>76</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>76</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 94 JUL</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	90 MAR 16	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	100%	(c) Date 35% Designed	91 AUG 06	(d) Date Design Complete	92 DEC 01	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	54	(b) All Other Design Costs	22	(c) Total	76	(d) Contract	76	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	90 MAR 16																			
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	100%																			
(c) Date 35% Designed	91 AUG 06																			
(d) Date Design Complete	92 DEC 01																			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	54																			
(b) All Other Design Costs	22																			
(c) Total	76																			
(d) Contract	76																			
(e) In-house																				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FORBES FIELD ANG, KANSAS		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.90
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Coast Guard Facility, 1 State Headquarters Facility, 1 Marine Reserve Facility, 1 Army Aviation Facility, 1 Naval Reserve Facility, 1 Army Reserve Center, 1 USPFO Facility and 1 Armory		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS SCOPE START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 1,400 JUN 91 APR 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved <u>9 APR 92</u> (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED	None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	COST (\$000) SCOPE
116-665	POWER CHECK PAD WITH SOUND SUPPRESSOR	LS 600
124-135	SITE RESTORATION AND FUEL STORAGE TANK REMOVAL	LS 2,000
171-450	MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	22,300 SF 1,900
219-944	ADD TO AND ALTER BASE CIVIL ENGINEER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	14,700 SF 900
880-232	FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM	LS 2,900

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FORBES FIELD ANG, KANSAS						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 30 JUN 92						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	437	41	295	101	959	122 837
ACTUAL	393	39	257	97	958	116 842
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	117 AREFS	74	69			
	190 AREFG	69	65			
	190 CLM SQ	359	326			
	190 MSS SQ	46	42			
	190 RMS SQ	120	109			
	190 CEG SQ	94	98			
	109 SEP FT	75	72			
	190 MSS FT	40	35			
	190 SVS FT	27	18			
	109 TCI CI	55	48			
	8109 STU FT	0	76			
	TOTALS	959	958			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	KC-135E Aircraft	10	10			
	Support Equipment	95	95			
	Vehicle Equivalents	292	348			

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FORBES FIELD ANG KANSAS			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER GUOE909597	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS			1,050	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				153	
UTILITIES	LS			(13)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(50)	
SITE RESTORATION	LS			(90)	
SUBTOTAL				1,203	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				120	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,323	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				66	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,389	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,400	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 13 tanks and remove only 4 others. Install 1 other oil/water separators including modifications to drain systems. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks and tank residue and contaminated soil and restore sites.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission).					
REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If UST are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. In addition, the State of Kansas regulates heating oil tanks. All the regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
ANG		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FORBES FIELD ANG KANSAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	GUOE909597	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	91 JUN 19	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	65%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 SEP 21	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 APR 15	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	70	
(b) All Other Design Costs	33	
(c) Total	103	
(d) Contract	103	
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start	94 JUL	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE, KANSAS				4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.91	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/ACR force and for training.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Active Air Force Installation, 3 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Army Reserve Center, 1 Navy Reserve and 1 Marine Corps Reserve					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START CMPL	
171-450	ALTER MEDICAL TRAINING AND TELECOM	12,300 SF	890	MAR 89	MAY 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved					
				9 APR 92 (Date)	
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None		(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)		
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	800		
141-753	ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	40,000 SF	600		
211-111	ADD TO AND ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGARS	94,000 SF	5,000		
211-111	MAINTENANCE HANGAR	21,000 SF	12,400		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MC CONNELL AIR FORCE BASE, KANSAS						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 30 JUN 92						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	860	94	743	23	1,611	160 1,451
ACTUAL	845	88	735	22	1,527	141 1,386
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>			
			<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
	127	TFS SQ	20	20		
	134	TCS SQ	89	82		
	161	TFT SQ	20	17		
	177	TFT SQ	20	21		
	184	TFG HQ	95	88		
	184	MSS SQ	78	70		
	184	CEG SQ	102	89		
	184	TCI CI	73	61		
	184	CLM SQ	833	821		
	184	RMS SQ	146	137		
	184	SVS FT	43	34		
	184	MSS FT	44	41		
	184	FG DET	48	46		
	TOTALS		1,611	1,527		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	F-16 C/D	54	64			
	Support Equipment	631	478			
	Vehicle Equivalents	400	434			

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE KANSAS			4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER MEDICAL TRAINING AND TELECOM		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 171-450	7. PROJECT NUMBER PROE000047	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$890		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ALTER MEDICAL TRAINING AND TELECOM	SF	12,300		491	
ALTER MEDICAL TRAINING	SF	10,300	38	(391)	
ALTER TELECOMM	SF	2,000	50	(100)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				315	
UTILITIES	LS			(200)	
ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS			(60)	
PREWIRED WORK STATIONS	LS			(50)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(5)	
SUBTOTAL				806	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				40	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				846	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				42	
TOTAL REQUEST				888	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				890	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reconfigure floor space by removing and adding walls, adding plumbing where necessary, completely replace HVAC and electrical systems. Remove asbestos. Provide systems furniture.					
Air Conditioning: 45 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 12,300 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 12,300 SF PROJECT: Alter Medical Training and Telecom (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: Adequate space for physical examinations, immunizations, environmental health, pharmacy, medical training, medical records, and administrative and training support areas for medical personnel is required. Adequate space is required for the central telecommunication switch room. CURRENT SITUATION: This project will upgrade and renovate the space vacated by the operations and training functions that have moved into the new building constructed with FY90 MILCON. The medical training unit currently occupies only 5000 SF. The severe shortage of space makes training of medical personnel and processing of group personnel for shots and physicals nearly impossible. The utility systems require extensive upgrade. The building electrical system is undersized, unsafe and needs replacement. The telecom space is improperly sized and the equipment is crowded in a small area and cannot be maintained. Personnel cannot train. The air conditioning system needs total replacement. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Inefficient training. Unsafe and unsanitary working conditions. Vacated space remains unuseable.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE KANSAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER MEDICAL TRAINING AND TELECOM		5. PROJECT NUMBER PROE000047
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		89 MAR 10
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		65%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 12
(d) Date Design Complete		93 MAY 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		40
(b) All Other Design Costs		28
(c) Total		68
(d) Contract		68
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JUL
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HAMMOND ANG COMMUNICATION STATION LOUISIANA		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.89
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per month, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army National Guard Armory, 1 Army Reserve MP Company and 1 ROTC Detachment		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS SCOPE START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 350 NOV 91 AUG 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved <u>1 DEC 92</u> (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED	None	<u> </u> (Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	COST (\$000)

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HAMMOND ANG COMMUNICATION STATION LOUISIANA					
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 25 JUN 92					
	<u>PERMANENT</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u> <u>CIVILIAN</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	29	1	28	0	189 9 180
ACTUAL	29	1	28	0	196 9 187
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA					
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u> <u>AUTHORIZED</u> <u>ACTUAL</u>			
236 CCS SQ		<u>189</u> <u>196</u> <u>189</u> <u>196</u>			
TOTALS					
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT					
<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
Tactical Switchboard	2	2			
Pacer Bounce Equipment	2	6			
Communications Equipment/Centers	6	9			
TACAN/GCA	2	2			
System Control	2	2			
Mobile Control Tower	1	1			
Support Equipment	44	44			
Vehicle Equivalents	221	221			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NEW ORLEANS NAS ANG, LOUISIANA	4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.94	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 5 Army National Guard Armories, 2 Army Reserve Facilities, 1 Naval Reserve Base, 1 Naval Reserve Headquarters, 1 Naval/Marine Reserve Facility and 1 Army/Air National Guard State Headquarters		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 350 NOV 91 AUG 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 1 DEC 92 (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED	None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000)
211-179	FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR	17,000 SF 3,200
442-758	ADD TO AND ALTER BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	32,300 SF 790
880-232	FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM	LS 1,000

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93																											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NEW ORLEANS NAS ANG, LOUISIANA																																
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 25 JUN 92																																
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4">PERMANENT</th> <th colspan="3">GUARD/RESERVE</th> </tr> <tr> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> <th>CIVILIAN</th> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>AUTHORIZED</td> <td>387</td> <td>28</td> <td>359</td> <td>0</td> <td>1,229</td> <td>117</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ACTUAL</td> <td>376</td> <td>24</td> <td>352</td> <td>0</td> <td>1,211</td> <td>114</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE			TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	AUTHORIZED	387	28	359	0	1,229	117	ACTUAL	376	24	352	0	1,211	114
PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE																												
TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED																										
AUTHORIZED	387	28	359	0	1,229	117																										
ACTUAL	376	24	352	0	1,211	114																										
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA																																
UNIT DESIGNATION		STRENGTH																														
		AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL																													
122	WEA FT	16	15																													
122	TFS SQ	58	62																													
159	MSS SQ	45	46																													
159	CLM SQ	577	589																													
159	TFG GP	73	76																													
159	TCI CI	50	48																													
159	CEG SQ	100	93																													
159	SVS FT	27	28																													
159	WSS FT	81	86																													
159	RMS SQ	120	119																													
159	MSS FT	40	42																													
8815	STU FT	42	7																													
TOTALS		1,229	1,211																													
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT																																
TYPE	AUTHORIZED	ASSIGNED																														
F-15 A/B Aircraft	24	28																														
C-130H Aircraft	1	1																														
Aerospace Ground Equipment (AGE)	154	142																														
Support Equipment	0	0																														
Vehicle Equivalents	186	205																														

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.03
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Air Force Reserve, 1 Army Reserve, 1 Active Air Force Base, 1 Navy Reserve and 1 army National Guard		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 890 NOV 91 JUL 93
217-713	ADD TO AND ALTER AVIONICS AND ECM POD FACILITY	10,400 SF 1,100 FEB 91 JUN 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved <u>8 APR 92</u> (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED	None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000)
214-425	COMPOSITE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE AND AGE FACILITY	11,700 SF 1,650
422-256	MUNITIONS TRAILER MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,500 SF 500
610-281	ANGRC COMPOSITE SUPPORT CENTER	196,400 SF 20,000

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 31 MAY 92						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	584	37	150	397	1,602	186 1,416
ACTUAL	563	37	145	381	1,494	183 1,311
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	113 TFW WG	56	57			
	113 RMS SQ	120	123			
	113 TCI CI	52	55			
	113 MSS SQ	47	45			
	113 CEG SQ	100	94			
	113 SEP FT	57	58			
	113 CLM SQ	547	426			
	113 MSS FT	30	31			
	121 TFW SQ	57	52			
	121 W FT	21	23			
	201 ALS	214	209			
	HQ DC ANG	44	42			
	231 CC SQ	223	213			
	113 SVS FT	34	35			
	8113 STU FT	0	31			
	TOTALS	1,602	1,494			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	F-16 Aircraft	24	26			
	C-21A Aircraft	4	4			
	C-22B Aircraft	5	5			
	Support Equipment	283	252			
	Vehicle Equivalents	419	418			

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE MARYLAND			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER AJXF909633	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$890		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			640
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					128
UTILITIES		LS			(16)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(16)
SITE RESTORATION		LS			(96)
SUBTOTAL					768
CONTINGENCY (10%)					77
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					845
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					42
TOTAL REQUEST					887
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					890
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 16 tanks. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks, tank residue and the contaminated soil and restore sites.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all USTs regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated USTs have leak detection, corrosion protection and spill/overflow prevention systems by December 1998. If USTs are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. In addition, the state of Maryland regulates heating oil tanks. All the regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE MARYLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		5. PROJECT NUMBER AJXF909633
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 NOV 08
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		45
(b) All Other Design Costs		22
(c) Total		67
(d) Contract		67
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JUL
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE MARYLAND			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER AVIONICS AND ECM POD FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 217-713	7. PROJECT NUMBER AJXF889671	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,100		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ADD/ALTER AVIONICS AND ECM POD FACILITY	SF	10,400		861	
ADD TO ECM POD MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE	SF	4,000	105	(420)	
ADD TO AVIONICS	SF	2,200	105	(231)	
ALTER AVIONICS	SF	4,200	50	(210)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				140	
UTILITIES	LS			(50)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(50)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(40)	
SUBTOTAL				1,001	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				50	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,051	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				53	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,104	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,100	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Addition: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry/metal walls and roof system. Addition shall match existing building. Alteration: Move partitions and extend utilities. Provide all utilities, pavements and site improvements. Air Conditioning: 20 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 16,700 SF ADEQUATE: 6,300 SF SUBSTANDARD: 4,200 SF PROJECT: Add to and Alter Avionics and ECM Pod Facility (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project supports the conversion to the F-16 aircraft and the new Electronic Counter Measure (ECM) mission. Adequately sized and properly configured space is required for the avionics and the ECM pod functional areas in order to provide proper maintenance. Space for the newest maintenance and test equipment for the avionics maintenance and ECM pods is necessary. Storage for the new pods and space to maneuver the pod cranes is required. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing avionics and ECM facility is undersized. The present space is inadequate to install the new avionics and ECM pod test equipment. The new pods that have been received require more stringent environmental controls than currently exist. Storage space for new pods is insufficient to properly store and maneuver the pods from the storage racks to the test stands or to the exterior for installation on the aircraft. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Overcrowded conditions continue to severely reduce maintenance efficiency and training for the avionics and ECM pod functional areas. Operational readiness and unit training capability are adversely affected. The unit cannot reach full operational capability.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE MARYLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER AVIONICS AND ECM POD FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER AJXF889671
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 FEB 04
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		46
(b) All Other Design Costs		36
(c) Total		82
(d) Contract		82
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JUL
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MARTIN STATE AIRPORT ANG, MARYLAND		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.77
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per month, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 12 Army National Guard Armories, 5 Army Reserve Facilities, 1 US Naval Reserve Center and 1 USMC Reserve Center.		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 1,000 NOV 91 AUG 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 8 APR 92 (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED	None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000)
124-135	REPLACE DERA USTS	LS 1,100
141-753	ADD TO AND ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	11,400 SF 1,000
171-450	MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	12,800 SF 2,000
217-712	ADD TO AND ALTER A-10 AVIONICS SHOP	4,000 SF 450
722-351	DINING HALL	12,200 SF 1,850

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MARTIN STATE AIRPORT ANG, MARYLAND							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 30 APR 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	425	3	104	318	1,842	236	1,606
ACTUAL	418	3	101	314	1,770	236	1,534
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
	104 TFG SQ	51	45				
	135 CEG SQ	145	118				
	135 CLM SQ	180	176				
	135 MAP FT	69	67				
	135 MSQ SQ	74	67				
	135 MSQ FT	26	25				
	135 RMS SQ	121	114				
	135 TAL GP	60	52				
	135 TAL SQ	81	86				
	135 TCI CI	30	30				
	135 SEP FT	58	59				
	175 CEG SQ	100	93				
	175 CLM SQ	403	366				
	175 CMN FT	21	28				
	175 MSQ FT	37	36				
	175 MSQ SQ	74	68				
	175 RMS SQ	121	112				
	175 TCI CI	37	34				
	175 TFG GP	58	58				
	175 SEP FT	57	56				
	235 CEG FT	39	34				
	8135 STU FT	0	22				
	8175 STU FT	0	24				
	TOTALS	1,842	1,770				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	G-130 Aircraft	8	8				
	A-10 Aircraft	24	20				
	Support Equipment	104	97				
	Vehicle Equivalents	305	261				

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MARTIN STATE AIRPORT ANG MARYLAND			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER PJMS909549	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1.000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS			720	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				144	
UTILITIES	LS			(18)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(18)	
SITE RESTORATION	LS			(108)	
SUBTOTAL				864	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				86	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				950	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				48	
TOTAL REQUEST				998	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,000	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 18 tanks. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks and tank residue and the contaminated soil and restore sites.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If UST are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. In addition, the state of Maryland regulates heating oil tanks. All regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MARTIN STATE AIRPORT ANG MARYLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		5. PROJECT NUMBER PJMS909549
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 NOV 08
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		53
(b) All Other Design Costs		27
(c) Total		80
(d) Contract		80
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JUN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ALPENA COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT, MICHIGAN		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.05
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Daily use by full time personnel, used by up to 40 visiting military units per year for periods ranging from 2 days to 4 weeks.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army National Guard Armory		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY		COST DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000) START Cmpl
842-245	UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	LS 1,400 SEP 90 JUL 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 19 DEC 92 (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED	None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY		COST
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)
116-922	AIRCRAFT ARRESTING SYSTEM	LS 2,000
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 375
179-511	FIREMEN TRAINING FACILITY	LS 680
219-944	BASE CIVIL ENGINEERING MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	14,400 SF 1,500
442-758	BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	25,200 SF 3,150
832-266	SANITARY SEWER LINE	40,000 LF 2,300

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93																												
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ALPENA COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT, MICHIGAN																																	
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 30 JUN 92																																	
	<table border="1"> <tr> <th colspan="4">PERMANENT</th> <th colspan="3">GUARD/RESERVE</th> </tr> <tr> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> <th>CIVILIAN</th> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> </tr> <tr> <td>AUTHORIZED</td> <td>110</td> <td>9</td> <td>69</td> <td>32</td> <td>78</td> <td>9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ACTUAL</td> <td>100</td> <td>8</td> <td>62</td> <td>30</td> <td>70</td> <td>8</td> </tr> </table>				PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE			TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	AUTHORIZED	110	9	69	32	78	9	ACTUAL	100	8	62	30	70	8	
PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE																													
TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED																											
AUTHORIZED	110	9	69	32	78	9																											
ACTUAL	100	8	62	30	70	8																											
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA																																	
<table border="1"> <tr> <th rowspan="2">UNIT DESIGNATION</th> <th colspan="2">STRENGTH</th> </tr> <tr> <th>AUTHORIZED</th> <th>ACTUAL</th> </tr> <tr> <td>CRTC CRTC</td> <td>78</td> <td>70</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTALS</td> <td>78</td> <td>70</td> </tr> </table>				UNIT DESIGNATION	STRENGTH		AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL	CRTC CRTC	78	70	TOTALS	78	70																			
UNIT DESIGNATION	STRENGTH																																
	AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL																															
CRTC CRTC	78	70																															
TOTALS	78	70																															
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT																																	
<table border="1"> <tr> <th>TYPE</th> <th>AUTHORIZED</th> <th>ASSIGNED</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Support Equipment</td> <td>119</td> <td>119</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Vehicle Equivalents</td> <td>464</td> <td>464</td> </tr> </table>		TYPE	AUTHORIZED	ASSIGNED	Support Equipment	119	119	Vehicle Equivalents	464	464																							
TYPE	AUTHORIZED	ASSIGNED																															
Support Equipment	119	119																															
Vehicle Equivalents	464	464																															

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANG			4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 842-245	7. PROJECT NUMBER TDVG001308	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		LS			1,212
SUBTOTAL					1,212
CONTINGENCY (10%)					121
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,333
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					67
TOTAL REQUEST					1,400
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,400
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Cast iron water lines and appurtenances compatible with the existing system. All excavation and restoration associated with the construction of water lines.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Upgrade Water Distribution System (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a category I environmental compliance project. Base requires an adequate water supply and distribution system to supply safe, potable water for consumption by base personnel, industrial facilities and fire protection. The ANG water supply connection to the Alpena Township water system will provide an adequate safe and potable water. CURRENT SITUATION: This project will connect the base water system to the off base municipal water supply. The base potable water is provided by three wells. One well has a very low yield; a second well provided water at a reasonable yield, but in the early 1980's it was found to be contaminated with Trichloroethane (TCE) and was condemned for use by the State Health Department. The third well has adequate yield capacity, however it also has very high concentrations of Sodium and Calcium Chlorides, which are borderline health hazards and are tremendously corrosive to metals. Other sources on the base have been sought but the wells and aquifer have shown contamination by organic chemicals. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Premature deterioration of the water lines. Unsafe drinking water.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ALPENA COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT MICHIGAN		
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		5. PROJECT NUMBER TDVG001308
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		90 SEP 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		63
(b) All Other Design Costs		24
(c) Total		87
(d) Contract		87
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JUL
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SELFRIDGE ANG BASE, MICHIGAN				4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.07	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 5 Army Reserve Centers, 2 Army National Guard Armories and 1 Naval Armory					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94					
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS	
CODE				START	CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	710	NOV 91	JUL 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved					
				19 DEC 92 (Date)	
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None			
				(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)		
CODE					
124-135	REPLACE DERA USTS	LS	1,690		
171-450	MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY (ANG/AFRES)	18,300 SF	1,350		
211-111	ADD TO AND ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR/SHOPS	65,900 SF	2,800		
211-179	FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK FOR AFRES	25,100 SF	6,100		
218-712	AGE EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE	4,800 SF	500		
219-943	BASE PAVEMENTS & GROUND FCLTY	3,400 SF	640		
219-944	BASE CIVIL ENGINEERING MAINTENANCE SHOP	15,800 SF	2,350		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SELFRIDGE ANG BASE, MICHIGAN					
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 25 JUN 92					
	<u>PERMANENT</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u> <u>CIVILIAN</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	1,076	46	523	507	2,086 225 1,861
ACTUAL	1,042	43	505	494	1,917 206 1,711
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA					
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>			
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
	127 SVCS	27	25		
	107 TFS	60	52		
	127 CAMS	547	455		
	127 MSS	46	47		
	127 TAC CI	73	65		
	127 FW	71	71		
	127 COM FT	21	22		
	127 SPF	57	53		
	127 MSS FT	33	41		
	107 WX FLT	19	16		
	191 SVCS	34	30		
	171 FIS	40	47		
	191 MSS	45	44		
	191 CAMS	396	359		
	191 FIG	64	58		
	191 CL	55	53		
	191 GES	136	132		
	191 SPF	85	80		
	191 RMS	120	116		
	191 MSF	37	37		
	127 RMS	120	114		
	TOTALS	2,086	1,917		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT					
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
	F-16A/B Aircraft	42	54		
	C-26B Aircraft	1	1		
	Support Equipment	298	272		
	Vehicle Equivalents	764	880		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SELFRIDGE ANG BASE MICHIGAN			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER VGLZ909539	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$710		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			500
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					118
UTILITIES		LS			(8)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(8)
SITE RESTORATION		LS			(102)
SUBTOTAL					618
CONTINGENCY (10%)					62
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					680
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					34
TOTAL REQUEST					714
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					710
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 8 fuel storage tanks and remove only 9 others. Excavate and remove the tanks; dispose of the tanks and tank residue and any contaminated soil and restore site.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If UST are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. The tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage could have the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
ANG		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
SELEFRIDGE ANG BASE MICHIGAN		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	VGLZ909539	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	91 NOV 08	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 30	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 JUL 15	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	36	
(b) All Other Design Costs	9	
(c) Total	45	
(d) Contract	45	
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start	94 MAY	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION W K KELLOGG REGIONAL AIRPORT, MICHIGAN			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.05	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army National Guard Armory, 1 Army Reserve Center, 1 Army Training Center, 1 Naval/Marine Training Center				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94				
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
211-159	ADD TO AND ALTER FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	17,000 SF	1,100	JAN 91 APR 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				
			19 DEC 92 (Date)	
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	
124-135	REPLACE DERA USTS	LS	300	
171-450	COMPOSITE MEDICAL TRAINING AND DINING HALL	20,100 SF	3,200	
730-142	FIRE STATION AND AIRCRAFT GROUND EQUIPMENT SHOP	14,700 SF	1,600	
730-835	SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS	4,600 SF	740	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION W K KELLOGG REGIONAL AIRPORT, MICHIGAN							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 14 JUL 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	272	25	237	10	1,003	122	881
ACTUAL	265	24	231	10	996	135	861
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
	110 CEG SQ	124	129				
	110 SVS FT	25	25				
	110 MSS SQ	46	47				
	110 MSS FT	41	43				
	110 SEP FT	57	58				
	110 CLM SQ	421	382				
	110 TCI CI	33	38				
	110 RMS SQ	120	122				
	110 TAS GP	55	57				
	HQ AGO	31	32				
	172 TAS SQ	50	63				
	TOTALS	1,003	996				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	A-10 Aircraft	18	22				
	Support Equipment	90	79				
	Vehicle Equivalents	253	253				

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION W K KELLOGG AIRPORT MICHIGAN			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-159	7. PROJECT NUMBER MEMV899609	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,100		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD/ALTER FUEL CELL/ CORROSION CONTROL		SF	17,000		880
ADD TO FACILITY		SF	6,000	110	(660)
ALTER FACILITY		SF	11,000	20	(220)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					130
UTILITIES		LS			(60)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(50)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(20)
SUBTOTAL					1,010
CONTINGENCY (5%)					51
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,061
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					53
TOTAL REQUEST					1,114
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,100
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete floor slabs and foundation, insulated wall and roof systems. Extend fire suppression and detection systems. Upgrade electrical and ventilation systems. Upgrade shops and offices. All utilities and support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 17,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 11,000 SF PROJECT: Add to and Alter Fuel Cell and Corrosion Control Facility (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project supports the conversion from OA-37 to OA-10 aircraft in October 1991 and is also a level II environmental compliance project. A facility with proper environmental controls for both fuel cell maintenance and corrosion control which must be used for the washing and solvent cleaning as well as painting of aircraft parts both on and off the aircraft is required. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing facility could support the OA-37 aircraft but is undersized for the much larger OA-10 aircraft. It has only one bay for both fuel cell and corrosion control. Two bays are required. In addition, the ventilation and environmental control systems are undersized and improperly positioned for the larger aircraft. The facility has numerous environmental deficiencies. This project will expand the building and upgrade the environmental controls. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Unable to perform fuel cell maintenance on new aircraft. Unable to perform corrosion control during winter months. Unable to reach full operational capability. Loss of training opportunities.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION W K KELLOGG AIRPORT MICHIGAN		
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER MBMV899609
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started 91 JAN 14 (b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93 40% (c) Date 35% Designed 92 OCT 15 (d) Date Design Complete 93 APR 15 (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000) (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 49 (b) All Other Design Costs 28 (c) Total 77 (d) Contract 77 (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 94 MAY b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DULUTH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ANG, MINNESOTA		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.12
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 3 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Naval Reserve Facility and 1 Army Reserve Facility		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS SCOPE START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 1,000 NOV 91 SEP 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved <u>3 FEB 93</u> (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED	None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	COST (\$000) SCOPE
124-135	JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	LS 4,350
880-232	FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM(S)	LS 1,850

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DULUTH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ANG, MINNESOTA					
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 28 AUG 92					
	<u>PERMANENT</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u> <u>CIVILIAN</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	381	4	87	290	1,039 105 934
ACTUAL	381	4	87	290	1,037 115 922
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA					
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>			
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
148	FIN GP	65	60		
148	MSS SQ	45	46		
148	CLM SQ	408	374		
148	TCI CI	33	40		
148	CEG SQ	136	129		
148	SEP FT	85	78		
148	CMN FT	21	17		
148	RMS SQ	121	119		
148	MSS FT	41	41		
148	SVS FT	25	20		
179	FIN SQ	41	46		
8148	STU FT	0	50		
DET	1	18	17		
TOTALS		1,039	1,037		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT					
<u>TYPE</u>		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
F-16 Aircraft		18	22		
Support Equipment		134	122		
Vehicle Equivalents		366	455		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DULUTH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ANG MINNESOTA			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER FMKM909604	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			720
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					156
UTILITIES		LS			(15)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(15)
SITE RESTORATION		LS			(126)
SUBTOTAL					876
CONTINGENCY (10%)					88
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					964
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					48
TOTAL REQUEST					1,012
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,000
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 15 tanks and remove only 6 others. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks and tank residue and the contaminated soil and restore sites.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If UST are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. In addition, the State of Minnesota also regulates heating oil tanks. All the regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
DULUTH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ANG MINNESOTA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	FMKM909604	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 NOV 08
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		50
(b) All Other Design Costs		20
(c) Total		70
(d) Contract		70
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JUN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GULFPORT-BILOXI REGIONAL AIRPORT, MISSISSIPPI		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.85
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION One week per month for three tenant units, annual training for numerous visiting units averaging over 300 days per year.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 5 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Air Force Base and 1 Naval Construction Battalion Center.		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 335 NOV 91 SEP 93
812-223	UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	LS 850 NOV 91 SEP 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 30 OCT 92 (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None (Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000)
179-511	FIREMEN TRAINING FACILITY	LS 680
725-517	ADD TO AND ALTER TROOP TRAINING QUARTERS	76,400 SF 2,100

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GULFPORT-BILOXI REGIONAL AIRPORT, MISSISSIPPI						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 31 MAY 92						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	177	12	100	65	515	48 467
ACTUAL	170	12	98	60	475	40 435
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
172	CLI CI	8	9			
172	MSQ SQ	65	63			
173	CEG SQ	151	152			
255	TCT SQ	<u>291</u>	<u>251</u>			
TOTALS		515	475			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
Vehicle Equivalents: Trng Site	358	384				
Vehicle Equivalents: 255th TCS	366	369				
Support Equipment	0	0				
Vehicle Equivalents	0	0				

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GULFPORT-BILOXI REGIONAL AIRPORT MISSISSIPPI			4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 812-223	7. PROJECT NUMBER JTVE929520	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$850		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		LS			660
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					75
RESTORE CUT PAVEMENTS		LS			(50)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(25)
SUBTOTAL					735
CONTINGENCY (10%)					74
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					809
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					40
TOTAL REQUEST					849
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					850
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade electrical substation, switchgear, and underground distribution system from 12470/7200 volt to 23,000 volt.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Upgrade Electrical Distribution System (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: The base requires an adequate and reliable source of electrical primary power from the local utility and distribution of the power basewide in accordance with current utility standards. The utility periodically improves service as load and demand increase. The base system, overcurrent protection, and basewide distribution system must be upgraded accordingly to maintain compatibility with the level of service and related equipment for this improvement and growth. CURRENT SITUATION: The base is served by a Mississippi Power Company substation at 12470/7200 volts, three phase. The substation for this ANG location is the only substation in their system that still operates at this voltage. All other power company substations are sized at 23,000 volts, three phase. This existing electrical substation and transmission system are at maximum capacity. It is forecasted to peak beyond its actual operating capacity by FY 96. New facilities are planned to support the Combat Readiness Training Center mission. These new facilities cannot be supported by the current electrical distribution system. The existing antiquated system does not have selective tripping. A fault on the system shuts off power basewide by opening the main service overcurrent protection rather than opening a feeder breaker to shut off power to a limited area. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Any power outage could result in the complete shutdown of the base for an extended period of time, due to the					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
ANG	(computer generated)	MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
GULFPORT-BILOXI REGIONAL AIRPORT MISSISSIPPI		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		JTVE929520
<p>non-standard electric feeder system on the base. Future planned facilities will have to be delayed.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GULFPORT-BILOXI REGIONAL AIRPORT MISSISSIPPI		
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		5. PROJECT NUMBER JTVE929520
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 NOV 12
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		40
(b) All Other Design Costs		10
(c) Total		50
(d) Contract		50
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		
94 MAY		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ALLEN C THOMPSON FIELD, MISSISSIPPI		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.82	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 2 Army National Guard Units, 1 Army Reserve Center			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94			
CATEGORY		COST	DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000) START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	730 NOV 91 JUL 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved			
			30 OCT 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY		COST	
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)
113-321	ADD TO AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	44,000 SY	6,500
730-142	ADD TO AND ALTER FIRE STATION	12,700 SF	840

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93																																																										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ALLEN C THOMPSON FIELD, MISSISSIPPI																																																															
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 18 SEP 92																																																															
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4">PERMANENT</th> <th colspan="3">GUARD/RESERVE</th> </tr> <tr> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> <th>CIVILIAN</th> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>AUTHORIZED</td> <td>345</td> <td>23</td> <td>253</td> <td>69</td> <td>1,180</td> <td>156</td> <td>1,024</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ACTUAL</td> <td>341</td> <td>22</td> <td>252</td> <td>67</td> <td>1,181</td> <td>154</td> <td>1,027</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE			TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	AUTHORIZED	345	23	253	69	1,180	156	1,024	ACTUAL	341	22	252	67	1,181	154	1,027																													
PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE																																																											
TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED																																																									
AUTHORIZED	345	23	253	69	1,180	156	1,024																																																								
ACTUAL	341	22	252	67	1,181	154	1,027																																																								
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA																																																															
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" rowspan="2">UNIT DESIGNATION</th> <th colspan="2">STRENGTH</th> </tr> <tr> <th>AUTHORIZED</th> <th>ACTUAL</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>183</td> <td>ALT SQ</td> <td>153</td> <td>160</td> </tr> <tr> <td>183</td> <td>AME SQ</td> <td>88</td> <td>90</td> </tr> <tr> <td>172</td> <td>ALT GP</td> <td>57</td> <td>53</td> </tr> <tr> <td>172</td> <td>AP FT</td> <td>65</td> <td>66</td> </tr> <tr> <td>172</td> <td>CAM SQ</td> <td>350</td> <td>347</td> </tr> <tr> <td>172</td> <td>TAC CL</td> <td>34</td> <td>39</td> </tr> <tr> <td>172</td> <td>CIV SQ</td> <td>136</td> <td>125</td> </tr> <tr> <td>172</td> <td>MSS SQ</td> <td>46</td> <td>45</td> </tr> <tr> <td>172</td> <td>MSS FT</td> <td>40</td> <td>38</td> </tr> <tr> <td>172</td> <td>SEC FT</td> <td>57</td> <td>57</td> </tr> <tr> <td>172</td> <td>RMS SQ</td> <td>120</td> <td>126</td> </tr> <tr> <td>172</td> <td>SER FT</td> <td>34</td> <td>35</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">TOTALS</td> <td>1,180</td> <td>1,181</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				UNIT DESIGNATION		STRENGTH		AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL	183	ALT SQ	153	160	183	AME SQ	88	90	172	ALT GP	57	53	172	AP FT	65	66	172	CAM SQ	350	347	172	TAC CL	34	39	172	CIV SQ	136	125	172	MSS SQ	46	45	172	MSS FT	40	38	172	SEC FT	57	57	172	RMS SQ	120	126	172	SER FT	34	35	TOTALS		1,180	1,181
UNIT DESIGNATION		STRENGTH																																																													
		AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL																																																												
183	ALT SQ	153	160																																																												
183	AME SQ	88	90																																																												
172	ALT GP	57	53																																																												
172	AP FT	65	66																																																												
172	CAM SQ	350	347																																																												
172	TAC CL	34	39																																																												
172	CIV SQ	136	125																																																												
172	MSS SQ	46	45																																																												
172	MSS FT	40	38																																																												
172	SEC FT	57	57																																																												
172	RMS SQ	120	126																																																												
172	SER FT	34	35																																																												
TOTALS		1,180	1,181																																																												
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT																																																															
TYPE		AUTHORIZED	ASSIGNED																																																												
C-141B Aircraft		8	8																																																												
Support Equipment		0	0																																																												
Vehicle Equivalents		256	285																																																												

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ALLEN C THOMPSON FIELD MISSISSIPPI			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER LRXQ909581	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$730		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			520
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					112
UTILITIES		LS			(11)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(11)
SITE RESTORATION		LS			(90)
SUBTOTAL					632
CONTINGENCY (10%)					63
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					695
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					35
TOTAL REQUEST					730
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					730
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 11 tanks and remove only 4 others. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks, tank residue and contaminated soil and restore sites.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If UST are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA ANG (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ALLEN C THOMPSON FIELD MISSISSIPPI		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	5. PROJECT NUMBER LRX0909581	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 NOV 08
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 01
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		37
(b) All Other Design Costs		19
(c) Total		56
(d) Contract		56
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION JEFFERSON BARRACKS ANG STATION, MISSOURI		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.94
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 4 Air National Guard, 7 Army National Guard, 1 Navy Reserve, 1 Coast Guard Reserve, 1 NGB Central Personnel Center		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
171-447	ALTER COMMUNICATIONS ELECTRONIC TRAINING FACILITIES	50,800 SF 2,800 JUN 88 FEB 93
722-351	UPGRADE DINING HALL	8,600 SF 720 DEC 90 DEC 92
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 14 OCT 92 (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None (Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000)

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION JEFFERSON BARRACKS ANG STATION, MISSOURI						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 7 JUL 92						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	74	11	49	14	530	70 460
ACTUAL	72	11	49	12	525	65 460
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
				<u>STRENGTH</u>		
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>	
157	ACG	GP		145	149	
121	ACG	GP		90	85	
218	EIS			195	195	
131	CES			<u>100</u>	<u>96</u>	
TOTALS				530	525	
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
<u>TYPE</u>				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>	
Misc Equip				49	49	
Support Equipment				517	517	
Vehicle Equivalents				342	342	

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION JEFFERSON BARRACKS ANG STATION MISSOURI			4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER COMMUNICATIONS ELECTRONIC TRAINING FACILITIES		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 171-447	7. PROJECT NUMBER LTUY000498	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$2,800		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ALTER COMM AND ELEC TRAINING FACILITIES	SF	50,800		1,829	
BUILDING 28	SF	24,200	36	(871)	
BUILDING 1	SF	18,100	36	(652)	
BUILDING 280	SF	8,500	36	(306)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				690	
FIRE DETECTION/ALARM	LS			(220)	
PRE WIRED WORK STATIONS	LS			(280)	
ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS			(190)	
SUBTOTAL				2,519	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				126	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,645	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				132	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,777	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,800	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Renovation of 3 Registered National Historic Facilities in compliance with Department of Interior Standards for the renovation of historic buildings. Includes upgrade of electrical systems, replacement of original 1880's plumbing, installation of modern HVACs, and installation/modification of fire detection/alarm systems. Relocate walls and utilities. Air Conditioning: 175 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 50,800 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 50,800 SF PROJECT: Alter Communications Electronic Training Facilities (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project supports in part the arrival of the modular comm equipment. Adequate facilities for training and maintenance of electronic equipment are required. Facilities must be brought into compliance with local and national building and safety codes. Electrical and plumbing systems must be repaired/upgraded to meet present needs. Fire alarm and reporting systems must be installed/altered. CURRENT SITUATION: Facilities were built in 1880's. They have received no substantial upgrade since the US ARMY deactivated Jefferson Barracks in 1946. These buildings are not currently suited to satisfy the requirements of a modern communications electronics unit and fail to meet many fire, safety and construction codes. These facilities were built for post stockade and troop billeting. No significant renovation has ever been accomplished to enable the facilities to meet the requirements of the unit's mission. Utilities are antiquated. Systems are energy inefficient. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Inefficient training. Hazardous working and training conditions. Danger to personnel and equipment. Loss of energy.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION JEFFERSON BARRACKS ANG STATION MISSOURI		
4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER COMMUNICATIONS ELECTRONIC TRAINING FACILITIES	5. PROJECT NUMBER LTUX000498	
<p>Possible damage to National Historic Facilities. ADDITIONAL: An exception to the economic analysis requirement has been prepared. The paper presents the rational for only one alternative which is to alter the existing facilities.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION JEFFERSON BARRACKS ANG STATION MISSOURI		
4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER COMMUNICATIONS ELECTRONIC TRAINING FACILITIES		5. PROJECT NUMBER LTUY000498
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		88 JUN 23
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		95%
(c) Date 35% Designed		89 AUG 29
(d) Date Design Complete		93 FEB 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		126
(b) All Other Design Costs		42
(c) Total		168
(d) Contract		168
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		
94 JUN		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)				2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
JEFFERSON BARRACKS ANG STATION MISSOURI			UPGRADE DINING HALL		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
55296F	722-351	LTUY899662	\$720		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UPGRADE DINING HALL	SF	8,600	60	516	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				135	
ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS			(90)	
FIRE DETECTION/SUPPRESSION	LS			(45)	
SUBTOTAL				651	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				33	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				684	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				34	
TOTAL REQUEST				718	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				720	
<p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Renovation of a Registered National Historic Facility in compliance with Department of Interior Standards for the renovation of historic buildings. Repair masonry walls, interior electrical and mechanical systems and remove asbestos materials. Upgrade basement for storage. Install fire protection <u>Air Conditioning: 30 Tons.</u></p> <p>11. REQUIREMENT: 8,600 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 8,600 SF <u>PROJECT:</u> Upgrade Dining Hall (Current Mission). <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> The base requires an adequate sized and properly configured dining hall. Administrative area, food receiving area, storage areas, food preparation, serving areas, and a dining area are required to support the unit during the unit training assemblies and extended summer training periods. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The dining hall facility was built in the 1880's and has not been renovated since 1946. The existing space is poorly configured and is not suited for a dining hall. The facility fails to meet current fire, safety and construction codes. The utilities are inadequate and asbestos is present in both the heating system and floor tile. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Further facility deterioration and code violations will curtail food service training and operations. Unit training and readiness will be adversely impacted. Alternative feeding methods will be costly and time consuming.</p>					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION JEFFERSON BARRACKS ANG STATION MISSOURI		
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE DINING HALL		5. PROJECT NUMBER LTUY899662
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		90 DEC 06
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		100%
(c) Date 35% Designed		91 AUG 29
(d) Date Design Complete		92 DEC 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		25
(b) All Other Design Costs		10
(c) Total		35
(d) Contract		35
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		
94 MAY		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ROSECRANS MEMORIAL AIRPORT, MISSOURI			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.93	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Naval Reserve, 2 Army Reserve and 1 Army National Guard				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94				
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	1,250	NOV 91 SEP 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED			None	14 OCT 92 (Date)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	(Number of Acres)
124-135	JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	LS	4,000	
124-135	REPLACE DERA USTS	LS	350	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ROSECRANS MEMORIAL AIRPORT, MISSOURI					
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 30 JUN 92					
	<u>PERMANENT</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u> <u>CIVILIAN</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	312	18	53	241	913 140 773
ACTUAL	309	18	52	239	891 133 758
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA					
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>			
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
139	CEG SQ	136	127		
139	CLM SQ	176	175		
139	MAP FT	69	61		
139	MSS FT	38	37		
139	MSS SQ	45	43		
139	RMS SQ	121	108		
139	TAG GP	57	54		
139	TCI CI	71	61		
139	SEP FT	57	53		
139	SVS FT	25	22		
139	TAG OL	22	20		
180	TAS SQ	96	95		
8139	STU FT	0	35		
TOTALS		913	891		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT					
<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
C-130H Aircraft	8	8			
AATTC C-130 Aircraft	4	4			
Support Equipment	128	128			
Vehicle Equivalents	325	325			

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ROSECRANS MEMORIAL AIRPORT MISSOURI			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER ULYB909560	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,250		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			880
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					196
UTILITIES		LS			(17)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(17)
SITE RESTORATION		LS			(162)
SUBTOTAL					1,076
CONTINGENCY (10%)					108
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,184
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					59
TOTAL REQUEST					1,243
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,250
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 17 tanks and remove only 10 others. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks, tank residue and contaminated soil and restore site.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST's regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require each regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If UST's are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST's at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated UST's require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage could have the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ROSECRANS MEMORIAL AIRPORT MISSOURI		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		5. PROJECT NUMBER ULYB909560
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started 91 NOV 08 (b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93 35% (c) Date 35% Designed 92 DEC 30 (d) Date Design Complete 93 SEP 15 (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000) (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 61 (b) All Other Design Costs 20 (c) Total 81 (d) Contract 81 (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 94 MAY b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREAT FALLS IAP ANG, MONTANA		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.19
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Air Force Base, 1 Army Reserve Installation, 1 Naval Reserve Facility, 2 Army National Guard Facilities		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE
		COST (\$000)
		DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 400
722-351	MEDICAL TRAINING AND DINING HALL	20,100 SF 2,900
		NOV 91 AUG 93 AUG 91 JUL 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved		
10 MAR 92 (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None
		(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE
		COST (\$000)
124-135	JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	LS 4,150
211-179	ADD TO FUEL CELL MAINTENANCE DOCK	6,000 SF 1,100
216-642	MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE COMPLEX	17,900 SF 2,500
219-944	ADD TO BASE ENGINEER FACILITY	6,800 SF 650

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREAT FALLS IAP ANG, MONTANA							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 1 JUN 92							
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	356	24	330	2	1,080	124	956
ACTUAL	340	21	317	2	1,042	104	938
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>				
			<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	120	FIG HQ	66	63			
	120	CLM SQ	409	403			
	120	MSS SQ	45	42			
	120	MSS FT	41	46			
	120	TCI CI	55	53			
	120	CEG SQ	148	132			
	120	SVS FT	25	20			
	120	SEP FT	85	85			
	120	RMS SQ	119	123			
	120	DET 1	18	17			
	186	FIS SQ	43	33			
	HQ	MT ANG	26	25			
	TOTALS		1,080	1,042			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	F-16 Aircraft	20	20				
	C-26 Aircraft	1	1				
	Support Equipment	114	112				
	Vehicle Equivalents	309	357				

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREAT FALLS IAP ANG MONTANA			4. PROJECT TITLE MEDICAL TRAINING AND DINING HALL		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 722-351	7. PROJECT NUMBER JKSE000258	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$2,900		

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
MEDICAL TRAINING AND DINING HALL	SF	20,100		2,366
MEDICAL TRAINING	SF	9,800	110	(1,078)
DINING HALL	SF	10,300	125	(1,288)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				260
UTILITIES	LS			(75)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(20)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(40)
DEMOLITION	LS			(50)
PREWIRED WORK STATIONS	LS			(75)
SUBTOTAL				2,626
CONTINGENCY (5%)				131
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,757
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				138
TOTAL REQUEST				2,895
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,900

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab, with exterior masonry walls and metal stud interior partitions and roof system; including all site work and utilities. Demolish buildings 31, 51 and 52 (7,745 SF) which are in the way of the construction.

Air Conditioning: 50 Tons.

11. REQUIREMENT: 20,100 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 11,320 SF

PROJECT: Medical Training and Dining Hall (Current Mission).

REQUIREMENT: The base requires a properly sized and configured facility to train and feed troops and to train for medical contingencies.

Increased manning for medical and services training and administrative functions have resulted in increased space requirements.

CURRENT SITUATION: The medical and dining hall are located in the operations and training facility, Building 64, which is grossly inefficient, undersized and creates conflicts in work space during training periods. The space is poorly configured. There are long waiting lines waiting for the meals. There are long lines at medical training. The lines back up in the operations and training space, disrupting the training of other troops. As part of the master plan, the vacated space will be reused by other functions which are also very short in space.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Continued use of existing facility for medical and dining hall functions strains the ability of unit to medically process and feed unit personnel as well as hampering the efficiency of the Operations and Training function. Unit morale and readiness is adversely affected. Training opportunities are lost.

ADDITIONAL: A life cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project. The results show new construction is the most economically feasible alternative.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
GREAT FALLS IAP ANG MONTANA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
MEDICAL TRAINING AND DINING HALL	JKSE000258	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	91 AUG 15	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	65%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 APR 30	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 JUL 30	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	83	
(b) All Other Design Costs	30	
(c) Total	113	
(d) Contract	113	
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start	94 AUG	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LINCOLN MUNICIPAL AIRPORT (ANG), NEBRASKA		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.03
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Naval Reserve Center, 1 Army Reserve Training Center, 2 Army National Guard Facilities		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY		COST DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000) START CMPL
730-142	FIRE STATION	12,500 SF 1,850 AUG 91 JUN 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved		
		8 MAY 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED	None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY		COST
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)
113-321	PARKING APRON, JET FUEL STORAGE AND OPERATING COMPLEX	LS 14,800
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 500
171-450	MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY (ANG/ARNG)	12,000 SF 900
211-111	ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGAR	LS 6,400
821-115	REPLACE HEATING PLANTS	LS 1,500

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LINCOLN MUNICIPAL AIRPORT (ANG), NEBRASKA					
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 31 MAY 92					
	<u>PERMANENT</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u> <u>CIVILIAN</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	348	28	280	40	1,136 143 993
ACTUAL	330	31	296	3	1,123 139 984
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA					
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>			
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
HQ NE ANG		25	21		
155 SVS FT		34	27		
155 RG HQ		62	55		
155 MSS SQ		45	46		
155 CAM SQ		418	385		
155 TC SQ		34	38		
155 CES SQ		124	114		
155 SPS FT		57	59		
155 MS FT		40	37		
155 RMS SQ		120	118		
173 RS SQ		156	145		
155 COMMFT		21	22		
8155 STU FT		0	56		
TOTALS		1,136	1,123		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT					
<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
RF-4C Aircraft	18	18			
C-12 Aircraft	1	1			
KC-135 Aircraft	10	0			
Support Equipment	213	199			
Vehicle Equivalents	353	353			

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANG			4. PROJECT TITLE FIRE STATION		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F		6. CATEGORY CODE 730-142		7. PROJECT NUMBER NGCB919686	
				8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,850	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
FIRE STATION		SF	12,500	110	1,375
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					315
UTILITIES		LS			(100)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(75)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(40)
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS REMOVAL		LS			(100)
SUBTOTAL					1,690
CONTINGENCY (5%)					85
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,775
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					89
TOTAL REQUEST					1,864
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,850
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab, steel frame, and built-up roof. All necessary utilities, access pavements and support. Demolition of building 606 at 8,100 SF. <u>Air Conditioning: 15 Tons.</u>					
11. REQUIREMENT: 12,500 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 8,100 SF <u>PROJECT:</u> Fire Station (New Mission). <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> This project supports the conversion from RF-4C to KC 135 aircraft in January 1994. An adequately sized and properly configured fire station to accommodate additional personnel and additional and larger fire vehicles. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The base is scheduled to receive additional and much larger vehicles to support the KC 135 aircraft. The fire station is grossly undersized to support the new mission. The building has insufficient vehicle storage, maintenance bays and training space. There are insufficient sleeping accommodations for the fire crews. An addition or upgrade to the existing station is not possible since it would block the entrance to the maintenance hangar. The building is extremely energy inefficient and will be demolished. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Inadequate crash and rescue service. Inadequate training. Vehicles will have to be stored in the open with resultant excessive maintenance. Unable to properly respond to a crash or fire incident. Poor morale and lower efficiency. Higher operating costs.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LINCOLN MUNICIPAL AIRPORT (ANG) NEBRASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE FIRE STATION		5. PROJECT NUMBER NGCB919686
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 AUG 13
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		70%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 JUL 23
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		90
(b) All Other Design Costs		33
(c) Total		123
(d) Contract		123
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start 94 AUG		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RENO CANNON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, NEVADA		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.15
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 2 Army National Guard Units, 1 Army Reserve Unit, 1 Naval Reserve Unit and 1 Marine Corps Reserve Unit		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS SCOPE START CMPL
116-922	AIRCRAFT ARRESTING SYSTEMS	LS 1,830 AUG 92 SEP 93
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 460 NOV 91 SEP 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 11 MAR 92 (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None (Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	COST (\$000) SCOPE
124-135	JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	LS 4,000
124-135	REPLACE DERA USTS	LS 1,550

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RENO CANNON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, NEVADA							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 30 MAY 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	297	55	232	10	1,121	145	976
ACTUAL	312	35	267	10	1,122	139	983
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>				<u>STRENGTH</u>			
				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
HQ NV ANG				29	25		
152 CEG SQ				124	123		
152 SVS FT				27	21		
152 CLM SQ				405	363		
152 CMN FT				21	18		
152 MSS FT				37	36		
152 MSS SQ				50	50		
152 RMS SQ				121	127		
152 TCI CI				28	35		
152 TRN GP				62	66		
152 SEP FT				57	57		
192 TRN SQ				160	147		
8152 STU FT				0	54		
TOTALS				1,121	1,122		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
<u>TYPE</u>				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
RF-4C Aircraft				18	18		
C-12J Aircraft				1	1		
Support Equipment				78	70		
Vehicle Equivalents				189	189		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. DATE	
ANG		(computer generated)		MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
RENO CANNON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT NEVADA			AIRCRAFT ARRESTING SYSTEMS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
55296F	116-922	UCTL929831	\$1,830		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
AIRCRAFT ARRESTING SYSTEMS	LS			1,300	
BARRIERS ON NEW RUNWAY	LS			(800)	
BARRIER ON EXISTING RUNWAY	LS			(500)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				360	
UTILITIES	LS			(150)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(100)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(10)	
COMMUNICATIONS	LS			(100)	
SUBTOTAL				1,660	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				83	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,743	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				87	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,830	
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(1,300)	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct two each BAK 12/14 arresting systems on runway 16L/34R to include, electrical service, paving and communications to the control tower. Modify existing runway 34L to install a barrier until the new runway is completed. Cut runway, install barrier foundations and electrical systems. All utilities and support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 2 EA ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 2 EA PROJECT: Aircraft Arresting Systems (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: The base requires a safe system to stop jet fighter aircraft on the runway in case of emergency during a take-off abort or an inflight emergency. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing BAK 12 on runway 16R is not configured to accommodate approach end arresting. The assigned RF-4C aircraft must now use an existing 1000 foot asphalt runway overrun to execute an emergency approach barrier engagement. In 1988, an aircraft mishap was partly attributed to inadequate barrier and validated the need for a BAK 12/14. The BAK 12 on runway 34L was in similar non-conforming configuration until May 1992 when another RF-4C aircraft engagement of the barrier resulted in more damage. An expeditionary barrier has been installed as a temporary measure and is unacceptable for long term. The Reno airport authority will be constructing a new runway during 1993-1994 and are willing to incorporate a BAK 12/14 in their design and construction program. This project will also install a BAK 14 on the existing runway and allow the removal of the temporary expeditionary barrier which is interfering with the commercial traffic for small commuter aircraft. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Safety is compromised. Potential of a major aircraft accident exists. Probability for aircraft and pilot loss will increase with the passage of time, number of flight operations and					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RENO CANNON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT NEVADA		
4. PROJECT TITLE AIRCRAFT ARRESTING SYSTEMS		5. PROJECT NUMBER UCTL929831
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 31
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		75
(b) All Other Design Costs		20
(c) Total		95
(d) Contract		95
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:		
EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED
		COST (\$000)

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RENO CANNON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT NEVADA			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER UCTL909601	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$460		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			320
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					76
UTILITIES		LS			(5)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(5)
SITE RESTORATION		LS			(66)
SUBTOTAL					396
CONTINGENCY (10%)					40
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					436
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					22
TOTAL REQUEST					458
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					460
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 5 tanks and remove only 6 others. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks and tank residue and the contaminated soil and restore sites.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require all regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention systems by December 1998. If UST are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. The 6 tanks being removed are used for heating oil which is being replaced with natural gas. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage could have the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RENO CANNON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT NEVADA		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		5. PROJECT NUMBER UCT1909601
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 NOV 08
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		25
(b) All Other Design Costs		10
(c) Total		35
(d) Contract		35
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PEASE AIR NATIONAL GUARD, NEW HAMPSHIRE		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.10	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 4 Army Reserve Facilities, 3 Coast Guard Facilities			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94			
CATEGORY		COST	DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	START CMPL
121-122	UPGRADE KC-135 HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM	LS	5,100 DEC 91 SEP 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved			
			9 JUN 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY		COST	
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)
171-450	ALTER MEDICAL TRAINING	9,700 SF	490

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PEASE AIR NATIONAL GUARD, NEW HAMPSHIRE					
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 13 MAY 92					
	<u>PERMANENT</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u> <u>CIVILIAN</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	354	44	309	1	1,124 145 979
ACTUAL	315	40	272	3	1,049 133 916
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA					
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u> <u>AUTHORIZED</u> <u>ACTUAL</u>			
HQ	NH ANG			25	23
133	ARH SQ			74	72
157	SVS FT			30	25
157	ARH GP			69	68
157	CEG SQ			171	158
157	CLI CI			55	48
157	CLM SQ			359	328
157	CMN FT			21	19
157	MSS FT			41	34
157	MSS SQ			46	45
157	RMS SQ			120	124
157	SEP FT			75	73
8157	STU FT			38	32
TOTALS				1,124	1,049
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT					
<u>TYPE</u>		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>		<u>ASSIGNED</u>	
KC-135 Aircraft		10		10	
Support Equipment		294		250	
Vehicle Equivalents		350		453	

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PEASE AIR NATIONAL GUARD NEW HAMPSHIRE			4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE KC-135 HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 121-122	7. PROJECT NUMBER SZDT919509	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$5,100		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UPGRADE KC-135 HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM	LS			2,972	
REPLACE 4 HYDRANT FUELING PITS	LS			(1,200)	
OPERATING STORAGE, PUMPS AND CONTROLS	LS			(650)	
PUMP HOUSE AND HYDRANT OPERATIONS	SF	1,200	185	(222)	
JET FUEL STORAGE	BL	5,000	180	(900)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,450	
UTILITIES, SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(900)	
CUT AND REPLACE PARKING APRON	LS			(550)	
SUBTOTAL				4,422	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				442	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,864	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				243	
TOTAL REQUEST				5,107	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,100	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Phillips Type III Hydrant Fueling System with operating tanks, pumps, valves, controls and fuel lines. Hydrant pits installed under existing aircraft parking apron. Above ground fuel storage tank, masonry pump house, fiberglass or steel piping, concrete pavement for fuel truck stand and asphalt for parking. Includes fire protection, utilities and site work. Air Conditioning: 1 Ton.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Upgrade Hydrant Refueling System (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: The base requires an environmentally safe and efficient system to refuel KC-135's. This project replaces the existing Prichard Type II hydrant system and provides an additional 5,000 BL of operating jet fuel storage. This replacement hydrant fuel system and additional operating fuel storage is required for the units KC-135 air refueling mission. CURRENT SITUATION: This is a level II environmental compliance project. The existing hydrant fueling system is over 30 years old and requires excessive maintenance and does not meet standards. Replacement parts are not available and the system does not meet current environmental requirements. The system, along with one 10,000 BL bulk fuel storage tank, was transferred to the ANG after the closure of Pease AFB. The repair and upgrade of this system by the Air Force was not accomplished prior to closure. Leak potential is high and the systems capability does not meet mission requirements. The fuel storage is inadequate for the mission. Two tanks are needed and only one exists. If the fuel in that one tank, becomes contaminated the entire system will be shut down. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The unit is unable to fully support the Air Force					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
ANG		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
PEASE AIR NATIONAL GUARD NEW HAMPSHIRE		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE KC-135 HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM	SZDT919509	
<p>flying mission. Environmental damage is highly possible and limited bulk fuel storage will stop all flying activities if quality problems develop. Violation of state and federal EPA requirement and possible fines.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An exception to the economic analysis has been prepared. The project directly supports a mission or activity for which there is no available alternative.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
PEASE AIR NATIONAL GUARD NEW HAMPSHIRE		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE KC-135 HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM	SZDT919509	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	91 DEC 04	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 01	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 SEP 15	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)	
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	240	
(b) All Other Design Costs	90	
(c) Total	330	
(d) Contract	330	
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start	94 JUN	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ATLANTIC CITY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, NEW JERSEY			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.10	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army Facility, 4 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Coast Guard Training Center				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94				
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	1,900	NOV 91 JUN 93
730-142	FIRE STATION	9,800 SF	1,350	MAR 89 JUN 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				
			21 OCT 92 (Date)	
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None		
		(Number of Acres)		
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	
171-447	COMM AND SECURITY POLICE	13,000 SF	2,000	
171-450	ADD TO MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	2,700 SF	375	
211-157	ADD TO ACFT ENGINE INSP & REPAIR SHOP	4,960 SF	490	
422-264	STORAGE IGLOOS	6,400 SF	1,100	
880-232	FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM	LS	1,450	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ATLANTIC CITY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, NEW JERSEY					
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 31 AUG 92					
	<u>PERMANENT</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u> <u>CIVILIAN</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	337	4	50	283	989 105 884
ACTUAL	337	4	50	283	907 91 816
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA					
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>			
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
119	FIN SQ	40	40		
177	CEG SQ	173	137		
177	TCI CI	52	49		
177	CLM SQ	370	338		
177	FIN GP	61	48		
177	MSS FT	37	32		
177	MSS SQ	50	44		
177	RMS SQ	121	107		
177	SEP FT	85	83		
8177	STU FT	<u>0</u>	<u>29</u>		
TOTALS		989	907		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT					
<u>TYPE</u>		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
F-16 Aircraft		20	20		
Support Equipment		115	103		
Vehicle Equivalents		267	276		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ATLANTIC CITY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT NEW JERSEY			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER AORC909637	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,900		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			1,400
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					256
UTILITIES		LS			(14)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(14)
SITE RESTORATION		LS			(228)
SUBTOTAL					1,656
CONTINGENCY (10%)					166
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,822
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					91
TOTAL REQUEST					1,913
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,900
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 14 tanks and remove only 24 others. Excavate and remove the tanks, dispose of the tanks and tank residue and contaminated soil and restore sites.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission).					
REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project.					
Upgrade of all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards is required. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If UST are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ATLANTIC CITY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT NEW JERSEY		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		AORC909637
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 NOV 08
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		95
(b) All Other Design Costs		40
(c) Total		135
(d) Contract		135
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ATLANTIC CITY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT NEW JERSEY			4. PROJECT TITLE FIRE STATION		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 730-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER AORC000758	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,350		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
FIRE STATION		SF	9,800	105	1,029
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					190
UTILITIES		LS			(60)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(90)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(10)
COMMUNICATIONS		LS			(30)
SUBTOTAL					1,219
CONTINGENCY (5%)					61
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,280
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					64
TOTAL REQUEST					1,344
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,350
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Steel and masonry building with concrete drive to aircraft apron and paved access road, walks, and parking. Communication support and associated utilities. Building 00225 at 5,099 SF will be returned to the airport authority. <u>Air Conditioning: 5 Tons.</u>					
11. REQUIREMENT: 9,800 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 5,099 SF <u>PROJECT:</u> Fire Station (Current Mission). <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> To provide crash fire rescue and fire protection services. Eight vehicles are required to be housed in the station. The base is required to provide 24-hour fire protection due to the F-16 aircraft on Continental Air Defense alert and drug interdiction mission. The fire station must have sleeping quarters and kitchenette areas. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The fire station is currently housed in the old airport terminal building constructed in 1943. It is grossly undersized and poorly configured and cannot be upgraded. Space is not available for the equipment, vehicles and training. The building is also located across the runway from the ANG aircraft parking area. Vehicles must be parked outside and training must be conducted across the field in the Operations and Training building on a space available basis. From the remote location, the unit cannot meet their response time. The existing facility will be returned to the airport authority per agreement. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Degraded training and higher operating costs. Slow response time for a structural fire increases the potential loss or damage to facilities.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ATLANTIC CITY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT NEW JERSEY		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
FIRE STATION	AORC000758	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	89 MAR 13	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 15	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 JUN 15	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	72	
(b) All Other Design Costs	44	
(c) Total	116	
(d) Contract	116	
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start	94 MAY	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.00
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 3 Army National Guard Armories, 2 Army Reserve Facilities, 1 Naval/Marine Reserve Facility			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94			
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
116-665	POWER CHECK PAD WITH SOUND SUPPRESSOR	LS	800 MAR 90 MAR 93
171-445	ALTER OPERATIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	19,400 SF	390 SEP 92 SEP 93
218-712	ALTER MAINTENANCE SHOPS	LS	345 MAY 90 SEP 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 28 JAN 93 (Date)			
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	1,000
141-753	ADD TO AND ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	22,300 SF	1,450
211-111	ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR AND SHOPS	32,200 SF	1,000
211-157	F-16 AIRCRAFT ENGINE AND NON DESTRUCTIVE INSPECTION SHOP	16,500 SF	1,950
211-159	F-16 AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	11,300 SF	1,600
216-642	MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE	17,900 SF	2,500

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 1 JUL 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED
AUTHORIZED	365	37	304	24	1,186	127	1,059
ACTUAL	360	37	299	24	1,049	118	931
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
UNIT DESIGNATION				STRENGTH			
				AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL		
HQ	NM ANG			30	28		
150	TFG HQ			59	59		
150	TCI CI			33	40		
150	MSS SQ			45	43		
150	CLM SQ			563	451		
150	CEG SQ			100	92		
150	SVS FT			34	32		
150	SEP FT			57	61		
150	RMS SQ			120	108		
150	CMN FT			21	24		
150	MSS FT			33	29		
188	TFS SQ			56	55		
8150	STU FT			35	27		
TOTALS				1,186	1,049		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
TYPE				AUTHORIZED	ASSIGNED		
F-16 Aircraft				24	0		
C-26 Aircraft				1	1		
A-7 Aircraft				24	32		
Support Equipment				85	80		
Vehicle Equivalents				171	82		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE NEW MEXICO			4. PROJECT TITLE POWER CHECK PAD WITH SOUND SUPPRESSOR		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 116-665	7. PROJECT NUMBER MHMV000972	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$800		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
POWER CHECK PAD WITH SOUND SUPPRESSOR		LS			580
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					145
UTILITIES		LS			(50)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(30)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(15)
DEMOLITION		LS			(10)
RELOCATE LOX/LIN		LS			(40)
SUBTOTAL					725
CONTINGENCY (5%)					36
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					761
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					38
TOTAL REQUEST					799
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					800
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)					(1,300)
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Provide reinforced concrete foundation and reinforced concrete pad for the mounting of separately funded sound suppressor system equipment items. Provide service road, waste water collection system, oil/water separator, concrete access apron, utilities, and site improvements. Demolish Building 1031 (1443 SF). Relocate LOX/LIN.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1 LS ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Power Check Pad with Sound Suppressor (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project supports the conversion from A-7 to F-16 aircraft in October 1992. Adequate facilities are necessary to support the aircraft engine maintenance functions associated with the F-16 aircraft. Testing, calibration and maintenance of aircraft engines on the ground requires long periods of normal engine and afterburner thrust that creates a environmental noise hazard for nearby on and off base facilities and personnel that includes several thousand adjacent Air Force base housing units, an Air Force Hospital, a private hospital complex, and a Veterans' Administration hospital. A facility that provides an enclosed controlled test environment and lowers the noise to EPA acceptable levels while providing for a clean environment is required. CURRENT SITUATION: The base does not have a suppressed power check pad. Engine testing is being done in the open by anchoring the aircraft to a concrete slab and utilizing a blast deflector to direct the blast exhaust upwards. The engines are run without suppression and in most cases not using 100 percent power there by negating the full and complete value of the testing. This creates considerable noise which disturbs and affects the on and off base communities. With the arrival of the F-16 aircraft, which produces more noise than the current aircraft, it will be mandatory					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE POWER CHECK PAD WITH SOUND SUPPRESSOR	5. PROJECT NUMBER MHMV000972	
<p>that a suppressed engine testing facility be constructed at this base. Engine testing cannot be accomplished outside during inclement weather. In order to reduce noise levels to acceptable EPA environmental standards all testing must be done in a suppressed mode.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Proper unsuppressed engine testing will not be allowed by the active duty because of the proximity to the hospital complex and the family housing units. With limits imposed on the testing, engines might not receive the proper maintenance and repairs required. EPA could impose fines. Engines might have to be transported off base for testing if proper facilities are not provided.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE POWER CHECK PAD WITH SOUND SUPPRESSOR		5. PROJECT NUMBER MHMV000972
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		90 MAR 02
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		95%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 JUN 04
(d) Date Design Complete		93 MAR 14
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		32
(b) All Other Design Costs		22
(c) Total		54
(d) Contract		54
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JUN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:		
EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED COST (\$000)

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HANCOCK FIELD ANG, NEW YORK			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.14	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army Telecommunications Center, 4 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Naval Reserve Center, 1 Marine Reserve Center and 2 Army Reserve Units				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94				
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)	START CMPL
730-142	FIRE STATION	9,100 SF	1,350	FEB 89 NOV 92
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				
				18 NOV 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY			COST	
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)	
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	920	
171-450	MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	10,500 SF	1,700	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HANCOCK FIELD ANG, NEW YORK					
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 1 JUL 92					
	<u>PERMANENT</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u> <u>CIVILIAN</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	390	12	79	299	1,435 176 1,259
ACTUAL	263	12	79	172	1,346 152 1,194
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA					
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>			
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
108	TCS SQ	90	83		
113	TCC SQ	91	89		
138	TFS SQ	51	45		
152	TCC GP	145	112		
174	TFW HQ	55	48		
174	AG RNG	11	9		
174	CLM SQ	491	427		
174	MSS SQ	45	48		
174	MSS FT	34	37		
174	CMN FT	21	17		
174	SEP FT	57	65		
174	TCI CI	70	56		
174	CEG SQ	124	126		
174	SVS FT	27	26		
174	RMS SQ	120	131		
174	ALO	3	3		
8174	STU FT	0	24		
TOTALS		1,435	1,346		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT					
<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
F-16 A/B Aircraft	18	18			
TPS 43E Radar	2	2			
Support Equipment	200	198			
Vehicle Equivalents	660	846			

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HANCOCK FIELD ANG NEW YORK			4. PROJECT TITLE FIRE STATION		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 730-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER HAAW889773	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,350		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
FIRE STATION		SF	9,100	100	910
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					325
UTILITIES		LS			(90)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(100)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(75)
COMMUNICATION		LS			(10)
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS REMOVAL		LS			(50)
SUBTOTAL					1,235
CONTINGENCY (5%)					62
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,297
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					65
TOTAL REQUEST					1,362
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,350
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete floors and walls include necessary structural, mechanical and electrical systems. Concrete pavements and driveways. All utilities and support. Demolish Building 605 (1,297 SF) and Building 609 (1,017 SF). Air Conditioning: 8 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 9,100 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 2,314 SF PROJECT: Fire Station (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized and properly configured facility to support crash and fire rescue operations. This includes apparatus bays, storage space, extinguisher maintenance shop, kitchen and dining area, control room, classroom, administrative areas and bunkrooms for 13 full time fire fighters. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing fire station is too small to properly support the fire protection and crash and rescue operations. Only four of the seven fire vehicles fit into the undersized fire station bays. The vehicles are stored and maintained outside and subject to extensive corrosion and freezing weather. Fire vehicles that contain water cannot be stored outside during freezing weather causing a regular rotation of vehicles based on weather and mission requirements. The bunk rooms, locker rooms and kitchen are currently located next to the fire station on the 2nd floor of the maintenance hangar. This space needs to be returned to aircraft maintenance. The building can be easily converted at minimal cost for flightline maintenance and non-powered AGE. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Improper training. Equipment exposed to the elements which accelerates deterioration. Hardships on the overall fire protection operation which ultimately jeopardizes crash rescue and fire fighting capabilities.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993																		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HANCOCK FIELD ANG NEW YORK																				
4. PROJECT TITLE FIRE STATION		5. PROJECT NUMBER HAAW889773																		
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>89 FEB 01</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>90 NOV 23</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>92 NOV 01</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <p>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</p> <p>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</p> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>64</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>27</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>91</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>91</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 94 MAY</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	89 FEB 01	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	100%	(c) Date 35% Designed	90 NOV 23	(d) Date Design Complete	92 NOV 01	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	64	(b) All Other Design Costs	27	(c) Total	91	(d) Contract	91	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	89 FEB 01																			
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	100%																			
(c) Date 35% Designed	90 NOV 23																			
(d) Date Design Complete	92 NOV 01																			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	64																			
(b) All Other Design Costs	27																			
(c) Total	91																			
(d) Contract	91																			
(e) In-house																				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NIAGARA FALLS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, NEW YORK			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.14	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, and daily technician and AGR.				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Air Force Reserve - On Base 1 Army National Guard - Niagara Falls, 4 Miles				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94				
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
141-753	ALTER KC-135 OPERATIONS FACILITIES	36,300 SF	1,650	FEB 91 MAR 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				
				18 NOV 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	
111-115	UPGRADE RUNWAY OVERRUN	LS	6,000	
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	640	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NIAGARA FALLS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, NEW YORK						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 25 JUN 92						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	395	25	365	5	1,043	107 936
ACTUAL	353	25	323	5	993	107 886
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
107	GROUP	63	58			
136	FT SQ	44	36			
107	CAM	408	382			
107	CES	136	116			
107	MIS SP	45	42			
107	MIS FT	41	35			
107	CLINIC	55	49			
107	RMS	119	109			
107	SEC FT	86	83			
107	SEV FT	25	22			
107	FG/DET	21	18			
8107	ST FL	0	43			
TOTALS		1,043	993			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
F-16 Aircraft	18	20				
KC-135 Aircraft	10	0				
Support Equipment	130	103				
Vehicle Equivalents	212	212				

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NIAGARA FALLS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT NEW YORK			4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER KC-135 OPERATIONS FACILITIES		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 141-753	7. PROJECT NUMBER RVK0919604	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1.650		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ALTER KC-135 OPERATIONS FACILITIES		SF	36,300		1,125
ALTER BUILDING 912		SF	21,500	42	(903)
ALTER BUILDING 936		SF	14,800	15	(222)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					300
PRE WIRED WORK STATIONS		LS			(300)
SUBTOTAL					1,425
CONTINGENCY (10%)					143
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,568
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					78
TOTAL REQUEST					1,646
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,650
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alterations of Buildings 912 and 936 to accommodate all functions of squadron operations, medical training facilities, and air base operability. Relocate walls; relocate and extend utilities. Provide fire protection, all utilities and support. Air Conditioning: 20 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 36,300 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 36,300 SF PROJECT: Alter KC-135 Operations Facilities (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: Adequately sized and properly configured spaces for war planning and readiness functions of squadron operations, medical training, air base operability, life support, briefing rooms, associated administrative offices, security vault, and storage are required. CURRENT SITUATION: Building 936 cannot be expanded to accommodate the squadron operations functions and does not have a properly sized vault for new aircraft operations. This building will be altered to accommodate medical training, disaster preparedness and financial management offices. Disaster preparedness is located temporarily in Building 326 which needs to be returned to AFRES. Building 912 provides space for munitions, avionics, and associated administrative offices for the F-16 aircraft. These functions are no longer needed for the KC-135 aircraft. Building 912 will be altered to provide squadron operations, including life support, briefing rooms, and associated administrative offices for planning and readiness functions. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Unable to store and work on classified documents. Unable to reach full operational capability. Inefficient training.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NIAGARA FALLS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT NEW YORK		
4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER KC-135 OPERATIONS FACILITIES		5. PROJECT NUMBER RVKQ919604
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 FEB 28
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		65%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 JUN 18
(d) Date Design Complete		93 MAR 02
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		74
(b) All Other Design Costs		40
(c) Total		114
(d) Contract		114
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 AUG
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SCHENECTADY AIRPORT ANG, NEW YORK			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.14
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 8 National Guard Armories, Naval Reserve and Army Reserve Centers			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94			
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS
CODE			START Cmpl
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	1,050 NOV 91 SEP 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 18 NOV 92 (Date)			
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)
CODE			
171-450	MEDICAL TRAINING AND SECURITY	16,300 SF	1,450
	POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITIES		
730-142	FIRE STATION AND AGE FACILITY	16,000 SF	1,800

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93																																																																
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SCHENECTADY AIRPORT ANG, NEW YORK																																																																					
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 30 JUL 92																																																																					
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4">PERMANENT</th> <th colspan="3">GUARD/RESERVE</th> </tr> <tr> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> <th>CIVILIAN</th> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>AUTHORIZED</td> <td>229</td> <td>2</td> <td>39</td> <td>188</td> <td>1,107</td> <td>187</td> <td>920</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ACTUAL</td> <td>225</td> <td>2</td> <td>38</td> <td>185</td> <td>958</td> <td>184</td> <td>774</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE			TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	AUTHORIZED	229	2	39	188	1,107	187	920	ACTUAL	225	2	38	185	958	184	774																																			
PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE																																																																	
TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED																																																															
AUTHORIZED	229	2	39	188	1,107	187	920																																																														
ACTUAL	225	2	38	185	958	184	774																																																														
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA																																																																					
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">UNIT DESIGNATION</th> <th colspan="2">STRENGTH</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="2"></th> <th>AUTHORIZED</th> <th>ACTUAL</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>HQ</td><td>TAC GP</td><td>60</td><td>54</td></tr> <tr><td>109</td><td>RMS SQ</td><td>122</td><td>101</td></tr> <tr><td>109</td><td>MSS SQ</td><td>46</td><td>40</td></tr> <tr><td>109</td><td>TAC CL</td><td>68</td><td>58</td></tr> <tr><td>109</td><td>CAM SQ</td><td>173</td><td>164</td></tr> <tr><td>109</td><td>MSS FT</td><td>41</td><td>39</td></tr> <tr><td>109</td><td>CIV SQ</td><td>148</td><td>141</td></tr> <tr><td>109</td><td>MAP FT</td><td>69</td><td>60</td></tr> <tr><td>109</td><td>SEC FT</td><td>57</td><td>46</td></tr> <tr><td>139</td><td>TAC SQ</td><td>96</td><td>91</td></tr> <tr><td>139</td><td>AEV FT</td><td>160</td><td>121</td></tr> <tr><td>109</td><td>SER FT</td><td>25</td><td>19</td></tr> <tr><td>8109</td><td>STU FT</td><td>42</td><td>24</td></tr> <tr><td colspan="2">TOTALS</td><td>1,107</td><td>958</td></tr> </tbody> </table>				UNIT DESIGNATION		STRENGTH				AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL	HQ	TAC GP	60	54	109	RMS SQ	122	101	109	MSS SQ	46	40	109	TAC CL	68	58	109	CAM SQ	173	164	109	MSS FT	41	39	109	CIV SQ	148	141	109	MAP FT	69	60	109	SEC FT	57	46	139	TAC SQ	96	91	139	AEV FT	160	121	109	SER FT	25	19	8109	STU FT	42	24	TOTALS		1,107	958		
UNIT DESIGNATION		STRENGTH																																																																			
		AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL																																																																		
HQ	TAC GP	60	54																																																																		
109	RMS SQ	122	101																																																																		
109	MSS SQ	46	40																																																																		
109	TAC CL	68	58																																																																		
109	CAM SQ	173	164																																																																		
109	MSS FT	41	39																																																																		
109	CIV SQ	148	141																																																																		
109	MAP FT	69	60																																																																		
109	SEC FT	57	46																																																																		
139	TAC SQ	96	91																																																																		
139	AEV FT	160	121																																																																		
109	SER FT	25	19																																																																		
8109	STU FT	42	24																																																																		
TOTALS		1,107	958																																																																		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT																																																																					
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>TYPE</th> <th>AUTHORIZED</th> <th>ASSIGNED</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>C-130H</td><td>8</td><td>8</td></tr> <tr><td>C12J</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>Support Equipment</td><td>61</td><td>53</td></tr> <tr><td>Vehicle Equivalents</td><td>230</td><td>230</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		TYPE	AUTHORIZED	ASSIGNED	C-130H	8	8	C12J	1	1	Support Equipment	61	53	Vehicle Equivalents	230	230																																																					
TYPE	AUTHORIZED	ASSIGNED																																																																			
C-130H	8	8																																																																			
C12J	1	1																																																																			
Support Equipment	61	53																																																																			
Vehicle Equivalents	230	230																																																																			

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SCHENECTADY AIRPORT ANG NEW YORK			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER VBDZ909626	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,050		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			740
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					154
UTILITIES		LS			(17)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(17)
SITE RESTORATION		LS			(120)
SUBTOTAL					894
CONTINGENCY (10%)					89
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					983
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					49
TOTAL REQUEST					1,032
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,050
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 17 underground storage tanks and remove only 3 others. Excavate and remove the tanks and dispose of the tanks, tank residue and contaminated soil and restore site.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission).					
REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project.					
Upgrade all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards.					
The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If UST are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage could have the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993																		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SCHENECTADY AIRPORT ANG NEW YORK																				
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		5. PROJECT NUMBER VBDZ909626																		
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>91 NOV 08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93</td> <td>35%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>92 DEC 15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>93 SEP 15</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <p>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</p> <p>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</p> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>55</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>75</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>75</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 94 JUN</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	91 NOV 08	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	(c) Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 15	(d) Date Design Complete	93 SEP 15	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	55	(b) All Other Design Costs	20	(c) Total	75	(d) Contract	75	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	91 NOV 08																			
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%																			
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 15																			
(d) Date Design Complete	93 SEP 15																			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	55																			
(b) All Other Design Costs	20																			
(c) Total	75																			
(d) Contract	75																			
(e) In-house																				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION STEWART INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, NEW YORK		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.14
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per month, 15 days annual training per year, daily use for technician force, and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS Army National Guard Units, two Army Reserve units, one Naval Reserve unit, one Marine Corps Reserve Unit (colocated) and the U. S. Military Academy.		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY		COST DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000) START Cmpl
831-145	INDUSTRIAL WASTE HOLDING POND	LS 320 APR 92 SEP 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 18 NOV 92 (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED	None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY		COST
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION STEWART INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, NEW YORK							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 1 JUL 92							
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	682	32	196	454	1,795	158	1,637
ACTUAL	660	32	196	432	1,697	149	1,548
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>				
			<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	HQ	NYANG	45	40			
	105	AG	169	154			
	105	AMS	118	95			
	105	FMS	358	302			
	105	OMS	229	197			
	105	APS	124	107			
	105	CES	148	144			
	105	SVF	43	35			
	105	MSS	48	46			
	105	MSF	41	44			
	105	RMS	122	129			
	105	SPF	81	85			
	137	ALS	166	149			
	105	USAF	67	67			
	552	AF BD	36	16			
	8105	STU FT	0	87			
	TOTALS		1,795	1,697			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	C-5A Aircraft	12	12				
	Support Equipment	172	149				
	Vehicle Equivalents	554	727				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HECTOR INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, NORTH DAKOTA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.04
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 2 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Army Reserve Facility, 1 Army National Guard Org Maint Shop and 1 Naval Reserve Facility			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94			
CATEGORY		COST	DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)	START Cmpl
871-183	UPGRADE STORM DRAINAGE	LS 400	APR 92 AUG 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved <u>8 SEP 92</u> (Date)			
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY		COST	
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)	
442-758	ADD TO AND ALTER BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	35,000 SF	1,800

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HECTOR INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, NORTH DAKOTA						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 9 JUL 92						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER ENLISTED
AUTHORIZED	386	33	348	5	1,256	137 1,119
ACTUAL	376	33	338	5	1,216	130 1,086
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	UNIT DESIGNATION		STRENGTH			
			AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL		
	119	CEG SQ	248	232		
	119	TCI CI	55	48		
	119	CLM SQ	408	402		
	119	FIN GP	78	68		
	119	MSS FT	41	40		
	119	MSS SQ	44	40		
	119	RMS SQ	119	116		
	119	SEP FT	85	84		
	119	SVS FT	60	55		
	178	FIN SQ	44	39		
	8119	STU FT	32	50		
	DET	1	18	18		
	119	HQ	24	24		
	TOTALS		1,256	1,216		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	TYPE	AUTHORIZED	ASSIGNED			
	F-16 Aircraft	18	21			
	C-26B Aircraft	1	1			
	Support Equipment	384	371			
	Vehicle Equivalents	331	403			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TULSA INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, OKLAHOMA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.88	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 2 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Army National Guard Medical Company, 1 Army Reserve Armory				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94				
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START Cmpl
730-142	ADD TO AND ALTER FIRE STATION	7,700 SF	460	MAR 92 SEP 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				
			28 OCT 92 (Date)	
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None		
		(Number of Acres)		
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	850	
131-111	COMPOSITE COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	18,600 SF	1,900	
171-445	OPS AND TRAINING FACILITY	14,000 SF	2,250	
722-351	DINING HALL AND MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	32,400 SF	3,300	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TULSA INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, OKLAHOMA					
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 25 SEP 92					
	<u>PERMANENT</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u> <u>CIVILIAN</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	346	24	319	3	1,264 114 1,150
ACTUAL	304	21	280	3	1,212 109 1,103
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA					
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>		
			<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>	
	125	TFS SQ	172	145	
	125	WEA FT	13	14	
	138	TFG HQ	56	53	
	138	MSS SQ	45	45	
	138	TCI CI	35	32	
	138	CEG SQ	124	115	
	138	SEP FT	57	54	
	138	RMS SQ	101	95	
	138	CLM SQ	394	359	
	138	CMN FT	21	17	
	138	MSS FT	40	38	
	138	SVS FT	34	30	
	219	EIS SQ	172	145	
	8183	STD FT	0	70	
	TOTALS		1,264	1,212	
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT					
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
	F-16 Aircraft	18	0		
	A-7 D/K Aircraft	18	22		
	Support Equipment	127	111		
	Vehicle Equivalents	254	256		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TULSA INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT OKLAHOMA			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER FIRE STATION		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 730-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER XHZG919638	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$460		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AND ALTER FIRE STATION		SF	7,700		330
ADD TO FIRE STATION		SF	2,700	85	(230)
ALTER FIRE STATION		SF	5,000	20	(100)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					65
UTILITIES		LS			(30)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(10)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(5)
ASBESTOS REMOVAL		LS			(20)
SUBTOTAL					395
CONTINGENCY (10%)					40
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					435
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					22
TOTAL REQUEST					457
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					460
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Addition: with masonry walls, concrete slab, steel frame and built-up roof. Alter existing area for office, classroom and bunkrooms. Modify existing utilities and services as necessary.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 7,700 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 5,000 SF PROJECT: Add to and Alter Fire Station (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized and properly configured facility for an increase of fire fighting apparatus to a total of six, and fire fighting personnel to support a 24 hour per day operation. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing Fire Station is too small and has an inadequate number of apparatus stalls, one small latrine, no bunkroom, and no kitchen. The unit converts to F-16 fighter aircraft in FY93 and the crash/fire rescue services of the Fire Department has been enhanced to provide proper protection of the new aircraft. A temporary facility is leased for a maximum of three years to cover the shortfall until this project is completed. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Two of the fire fighting vehicles are removed from service during winter as they will be exposed to freezing weather. The living quarters and training facilities for the fire fighters remain in leased modulars. Essential services are diminished during the winter. ADDITIONAL: Due to arrival of new aircraft in 1993, facilities will be or are currently being leased for fire fighter bunk rooms, until this military construction project corrects the deficiency.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TULSA INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER FIRE STATION		5. PROJECT NUMBER XHZG919638
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started 92 MAR 17 (b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93 35% (c) Date 35% Designed 92 DEC 30 (d) Date Design Complete 93 SEP 01 (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000) (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 23 (b) All Other Design Costs 10 (c) Total 33 (d) Contract 33 (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 94 MAY b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT, OKLAHOMA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.88	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 4 Army National Guard Facilities, 4 Army Reserve Facilities, 1 Air Force Reserve Facility, 1 Naval Reserve Facility and 1 Marine Reserve Facility				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94				
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)	START CMPL
442-758	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT	12,000 SF	950	JAN 92 FEB 93
	STORAGE WAREHOUSE			
722-351	COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITY	39,600 SF	3,900	APR 88 DEC 92
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 28 OCT 92 (Date)				
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY			COST	
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)	
171-445	ADD TO OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY	3,500 SF	480	
171-449	AEROMEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	14,000 SF	1,950	
171-873	AERIAL PORT/ALCE TRAINING FACILITY	14,400 SF	2,100	
730-142	FIRE STATION	9,300 SF	1,250	
730-835	ADD TO AND ALTER SECURITY POLICE FACILITY	6,700 SF	450	
843-000	BASE FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS	LS	3,400	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION					2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT, OKLAHOMA							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 17 SEP 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	294	26	228	40	1,313	194	1,119
ACTUAL	293	26	228	39	1,303	188	1,115
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
				<u>STRENGTH</u>			
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
HQ OK ANG				27	27		
137 TAW HQ				68	68		
137 CLM SQ				172	171		
137 MSS SQ				45	44		
137 TCI CI				52	50		
137 MAP SQ				69	66		
137 CEG SQ				124	124		
137 SVS FT				34	27		
137 SEP FT				57	60		
137 RMS SQ				120	117		
137 AER FT				146	144		
137 MSS FT				38	40		
185 TAL SQ				96	101		
205 EIS SQ				220	202		
8137 STU FT				45	62		
TOTALS				1,313	1,303		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
<u>TYPE</u>				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
C-130H Aircraft				8	8		
Support Equipment				223	261		
Vehicle Equivalents				174	160		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
ANG					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT OKLAHOMA			MOBILITY EQUIPMENT STORAGE WAREHOUSE		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
55296F	442-758	YZEU899623	\$950		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
MOBILITY EQUIPMENT STORAGE WAREHOUSE		SF	12,000	65	780
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					80
UTILITIES		LS			(15)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(45)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(20)
SUBTOTAL					860
CONTINGENCY (5%)					43
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					903
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					45
TOTAL REQUEST					948
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					950
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation, concrete slab floor, structural steel frame, roofing system. Masonry finish to match architectural theme. Provide wire mesh cages to segregate storage for each bay. All utilities, fire protection and support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 30,500 SF ADEQUATE: 18,500 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Mobility Equipment Storage Warehouse. (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: The base requires an adequately sized and properly configured storage building to house supplies and mobility equipment in support of the C-130 airlift training mission. CURRENT SITUATION: The base supply items are stored in an overcrowded 14,563 SF supply warehouse and a 4,000 SF mobility storage warehouse. These buildings have 12 foot clear heights versus the standard 20 foot clear height. Raising the roofs is not structurally possible. Since the base does not have the volumetric storage available, supply storage has spilled over into administrative areas throughout the base. In addition, the recent authorization for additional equipment for the aeromedical evacuation squadron has made the space problem even more critical. Additional 7,400 SF of space is being leased at the commercial airport until this project is completed. This lease cost is \$22,000 annually. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Scattered, unacceptable storage methods, with a decrease in storage of materials in support of other base activities. Unmanageable, or at best, inefficient control system for vital readiness assets. Due to the lack of sufficient and secure storage, the mission of the base is adversely affected, and valuable government property is subject to compromise.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
MOBILITY EQUIPMENT STORAGE WAREHOUSE	YZEU899623	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	92 JAN 23	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	100%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 APR 23	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 FEB 15	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)	
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	41	
(b) All Other Design Costs	21	
(c) Total	62	
(d) Contract	62	
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start	94 APR	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT OKLAHOMA			4. PROJECT TITLE COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 722-351	7. PROJECT NUMBER YZEU001366	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$3,900		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITY	SF	39,600		3,186	
DINING HALL	SF	13,000	115	(1,495)	
MEDICAL TRAINING	SF	9,800	105	(1,029)	
DISASTER PREPAREDNESS	SF	3,000	100	(300)	
AUDIO-VISUAL	SF	2,100	100	(210)	
ALTER BUILDINGS 1007 & 1011	SF	11,700	13	(152)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				370	
PAVEMENTS & SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(100)	
UTILITIES	LS			(120)	
PREWIRED WORK STATIONS	LS			(150)	
SUBTOTAL				3,556	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				178	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,734	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				187	
TOTAL REQUEST				3,921	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,900	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Masonry and steel framed building on a concrete slab foundation with all associated support, site work, pavements and utility systems. Air Conditioning: 60 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 44,500 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 11,700 SF PROJECT: Composite Support Facility (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: The base requires an adequately sized and properly configured facility to support the training and accomplish the following tasks: feeding the troops during training periods; training for medical readiness and performing physicals and other tests for the world-wide mobility of the troops; training in disaster preparedness and audio visual. CURRENT SITUATION: An inadequately sized dining hall occupies two separate floors of an aircraft hangar annex. Dining is overcrowded, and consumes considerable training time as the serving lines are too long. The space has numerous fire, safety and health hazards The medical training space is approximately 50 percent of the authorized space. Expansion of the existing space is not structurally possible. The disaster preparedness function cannot properly train without additional space. The audio visual services has functions on two floors. The space made available by this project, (11,700 SF), will be utilized by other functions after minor alteration. These functions include: aeromedical evacuation and the airlift control center. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Degraded and lost training opportunities. Long waiting lines at the dining and medical training waste training manhours. Continued violations of health, safety and fire codes. Non compliance with the approved master plan.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER YZEU001366	
<p>ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITY	YZEU001366	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		88 APR 13
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		100%
(c) Date 35% Designed		89 DEC 18
(d) Date Design Complete		92 DEC 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		210
(b) All Other Design Costs		111
(c) Total		321
(d) Contract		321
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PORTLAND INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, OREGON				4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.99		
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.						
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 2 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Army National Guard Facility						
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94						
CATEGORY				COST	DESIGN STATUS	
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)	START	CMPL	
730-142	ADD TO AND ALTER FIRE STATION	3,800 SF	500	AUG 89	MAR 92	
851-143	DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	600	FEB 88	DEC 89	
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved						6 MAY 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None		(Number of Acres)		
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS						
CATEGORY				COST		
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)			
851-147	SITE RESTORATION PHASE II	LS	1,600			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PORTLAND INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, OREGON							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 13 JUL 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	493	11	87	395	1,444	149	1,295
ACTUAL	472	10	87	375	1,410	160	1,250
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>				<u>STRENGTH</u>			
				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
HQ	OR	ANG		31	32		
123	WEA	FT		14	15		
123	TFS	SQ		39	35		
142	TFG	HQ		66	68		
142	MSS	SQ		45	51		
142	MSS	FT		41	35		
142	CLM	SQ		445	429		
142	SEP	FT		86	88		
142	RMS	SQ		122	116		
142	TCI	CI		60	50		
142	CEG	SQ		148	134		
244	CCS	SQ		148	148		
272	CCS	SQ		130	119		
8142	STU	FT		0	34		
DET1	142	FG		26	25		
142	SEV	FT		43	31		
TOTALS				1,444	1,410		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
<u>TYPE</u>				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
C-26 Aircraft				1	1		
F-15 Aircraft				18	25		
Support Equipment				460	433		
Vehicle Equivalents				143	143		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PORTLAND ANG BASE OREGON			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER FIRE STATION		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 730-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER TOKD899696	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ADD TO AND ALTER FIRE STATION	SF	3,800		364	
ADD TO FIRE STATION	SF	2,800	105	(294)	
ALTER FIRE STATION	SF	1,000	70	(70)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				90	
UTILITIES	LS			(50)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(30)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(10)	
SUBTOTAL				454	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				23	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				477	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				24	
TOTAL REQUEST				501	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				500	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab; concrete tilt-up/insulated metal wall panels; sloped and insulated roofing system. Exterior to match existing. Extend utility systems, increase paved access road, provide necessary paving and site improvements. Cancel lease for the temporary facilities. <u>Air Conditioning: 5 Tons.</u>					
11. REQUIREMENT: 8,300 SF ADEQUATE: 4,500 SF SUBSTANDARD: 1,000 SF <u>PROJECT:</u> Add to and Alter Fire Station (Current Mission). <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> The base requires an adequately sized and properly configured fire station in which to store and maintain vehicles, train the fire crews and provide proper crew rest accommodations. This project will provide for the requirement for two additional vehicle bays and a bunk room. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The facility was constructed in 1985. With the changing of the alert aircraft from F-4 to F-15, three additional vehicles, for a total of 7, were assigned in FY 91. In addition, the negotiated airport use agreement requires the fire station personnel to be available 24-hours per day. Eight people are working 24-hour on/48-hour off shifts. The existing facility cannot accommodate the additional vehicles and the sleeping quarters for the crews. A training area is not available in the existing facility. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The unit is unable to store and maintain the vehicles under cover. They are parked outside and subject to accelerated corrosion. Temporary leased facilities are needed for the sleeping accommodations and the training area.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PORTLAND ANG BASE OREGON		
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER FIRE STATION		5. PROJECT NUMBER TQKD899696
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		89 AUG 23
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		100%
(c) Date 35% Designed		90 DEC 10
(d) Date Design Complete		92 MAR 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		25
(b) All Other Design Costs		15
(c) Total		40
(d) Contract		40
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PORTLAND INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT OREGON			4. PROJECT TITLE DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 851-143	7. PROJECT NUMBER TOKD899720	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$600		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			530	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				15	
UTILITIES	LS			(5)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(5)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(5)	
SUBTOTAL				545	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				27	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				572	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				29	
TOTAL REQUEST				601	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				600	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Storm water detention basin, oil separation pond with floating oil collection boom, waste oil collection tank, storm drain piping, and miscellaneous mechanical equipment and flow control structures. Provide all utilities, pavements and site improvements.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Drainage Improvements (Current Mission).					
REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. A storm water system that can be integrated into the Port of Portland's storm water system and does not pollute the soil and water of the base, airport, port, and river is required.					
CURRENT SITUATION: Storm water flows off base and across Port of Portland property through open ditches. Water eventually reaches the Columbia River. The existing storm water system on base has no oil/water separation capability except for several isolated point discharges. One existing open ditch has been identified as a potential hazardous waste site with a high contamination migration potential. The Port of Portland is developing land adjacent to the base and altering existing surface drainage patterns. The City of Portland is concerned about potential contamination of ground water which they use to augment their potable water supply.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Continued non-treatment of storm water that will aggravate existing pollution problems that currently exist in the drainage system. Port of Portland development plans may result in storm water backup within the base drainage system with the result being potential flooding and contamination of Air National Guard property.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PORTLAND INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT OREGON		
4. PROJECT TITLE DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS		5. PROJECT NUMBER TOKD899720
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started 88 FEB 20 (b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93 100% (c) Date 35% Designed 89 APR 10 (d) Date Design Complete 89 DEC 24 (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000) (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 33 (b) All Other Design Costs 25 (c) Total 58 (d) Contract 58 (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 94 JUN b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FT INDIANTOWN GAP ANG STATION, PENNSYLVANIA		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.01
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army Reserve Center and 1 Air National Guard Unit		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
219-944	CIVIL ENGINEERING MAINTENANCE SHOPS	9,200 SF 850 FEB 91 FEB 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 14 OCT 92 (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED	None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000)
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 2,000

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FT INDIANTOWN GAP ANG STATION, PENNSYLVANIA							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 15 JUL 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED
AUTHORIZED	93	6	86	1	600	32	568
ACTUAL	92	5	86	1	561	32	529
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
				STRENGTH			
UNIT DESIGNATION				AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL		
112	TFGDMR			8	8		
201	RHCEF			221	219		
203	WEA FT			21	18		
211	EIS SQ			185	173		
271	CCS SQ			165	143		
TOTALS				600	561		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
TYPE				AUTHORIZED	ASSIGNED		
Support Equipment				0	0		
Vehicle Equivalents				616	715		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FT INDIANTOWN GAP ANG STATION PENNSYLVANIA			4. PROJECT TITLE CIVIL ENGINEERING MAINTENANCE SHOPS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 219-944	7. PROJECT NUMBER LKLW899631	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$850		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
CIVIL ENGINEERING MAINTENANCE SHOPS		SF	9,200	75	690
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					80
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(5)
UTILITIES		LS			(15)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(20)
DEMOLITION		LS			(10)
ASBESTOS REMOVAL		LS			(30)
SUBTOTAL					770
CONTINGENCY (5%)					39
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					809
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					40
TOTAL REQUEST					849
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					850
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Insulated metal building to include concrete floor, heat, electrical requirements, offices, storage areas, and paved exterior work area. Demolish WWII buildings 1038 & 1039 (3,598 SF), 1043 (3,215 SF), and 1034 (2,360 SF) for a total of 9,173 SF					
11. REQUIREMENT: 9,200 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 9,173 SF PROJECT: Civil Engineering Maintenance Shops (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: Adequate space for training and maintenance shops is required. Functional areas shall include structural and sheet metal shops, administration, storage, electrical, and plumbing shops. CURRENT SITUATION: Shops are located in WWII converted barracks that were modified on a temporary basis but have been retained past their economic life. Spaces are insufficient and inadequate for the purpose of conducting training and maintenance. They lack adequate heating and cooling systems. The buildings are poorly insulated and have numerous health and safety code violations. Some of the rooms are small and cannot accommodate the shop equipment. The buildings will be demolished. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Inefficient training and poor working conditions continue to degrade the worldwide deployment capability of the civil engineering units. Accept the risk for the health and safety code violations. Higher operating costs.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA ANG (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FT INDIANTOWN GAP ANG STATION PENNSYLVANIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE CIVIL ENGINEERING MAINTENANCE SHOPS	5. PROJECT NUMBER LKLW899631	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 FEB 22
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		95%
(c) Date 35% Designed		91 OCT 11
(d) Date Design Complete		93 FEB 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		34
(b) All Other Design Costs		21
(c) Total		55
(d) Contract		55
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JUN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION COVENTRY ANG STATION, RHODE ISLAND			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.11
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 14 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Air National Guard Facility, 5 Army Reserve Facilities, 2 Naval Reserve Facilities and 2 Marine Reserve Facilities.			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94			
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	840 NOV 91 AUG 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 16 JUL 92 (Date)			
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)
171-447	COMMUNICATIONS ELECTRONICS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	12,900 SF	2,200

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION COVENTRY ANG STATION, RHODE ISLAND							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 28 SEP 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	40	6	34	0	290	25	265
ACTUAL	40	6	34	0	262	24	238
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>			<u>STRENGTH</u>			
				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
	281 CMM GP			55	51		
	282 CMM SQ			<u>235</u>	<u>211</u>		
	TOTALS			290	262		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>			<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
	Support Equipment			595	576		
	Vehicle Equivalents			271	371		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION COVENTRY ANG STATION RHODE ISLAND			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER EODF909656	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$840		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			600
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					124
UTILITIES		LS			(14)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(14)
SITE RESTORATION		LS			(96)
SUBTOTAL					724
CONTINGENCY (10%)					72
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					796
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					40
TOTAL REQUEST					836
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					840
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 14 tanks and remove only two others. Excavate and remove tanks. Dispose of tanks, tank residue and contaminated soil and restore sites.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. . The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If UST are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
GOVENTRY ANG STATION RHODE ISLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	EODF909656	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 NOV 08
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		42
(b) All Other Design Costs		21
(c) Total		63
(d) Contract		63
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		
		94 AUG
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NORTH SMITHFIELD ANG STATION, RHODE ISLAND			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.11	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 10 Army National Guard Armories, 6 Army Reserve Facilities, 1 Marine Reserve Facility, and 1 Naval Reserve Facility.				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94				
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	550	NOV 91 JUL 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				
				16 JUL 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None		
		(Number of Acres)		
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NORTH SMITHFIELD ANG STATION, RHODE ISLAND							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 28 SEP 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	47	3	44	0	300	30	270
ACTUAL	47	3	44	0	265	28	237
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
				<u>STRENGTH</u>			
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
102 ACT SQ				300	265		
TOTALS				300	265		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
<u>TYPE</u>		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>		<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
C-130E Aircraft		1		1			
Support Equipment		0		0			
Vehicle Equivalents		391		418			

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NORTH SMITHFIELD ANG STATION RHODE ISLAND			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER SAEJ909641	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$550		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			400
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					80
UTILITIES		LS			(10)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(10)
SITE RESTORATION		LS			(60)
SUBTOTAL					480
CONTINGENCY (10%)					48
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					528
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					26
TOTAL REQUEST					554
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					550
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 10 tanks. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks and tank residue and the contaminated soil and restore sites.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If UST are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NORTH SMITHFIELD ANG STATION RHODE ISLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	5. PROJECT NUMBER SAEJ909641	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	91 NOV 08	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 NOV 15	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 JUL 15	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	28	
(b) All Other Design Costs	10	
(c) Total	38	
(d) Contract	38	
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start	94 AUG	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION QUONSET STATE AIRPORT ANG, RHODE ISLAND			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.09	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 8 Army National Guard Units, 2 Marine Corps Reserve, 2 Naval Stations, and 3 Air National Guard Units				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94				
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	890	NOV 91 JUL 93
219-944	BASE ENGINEER MAINTENANCE FACILITY	19,800 SF	2,750	MAR 89 JAN 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				
				16 JUL 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None		
		(Number of Acres)		
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	
217-712	ADD TO AND ALTER AVIONICS SHOP	6,400 SF	440	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION QUONSET STATE AIRPORT ANG, RHODE ISLAND						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 1 SEP 92						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	282	23	206	53	969	123 846
ACTUAL	263	23	192	48	927	119 808
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
143	SVS FT	34	33			
143	TAL GP	57	52			
143	TAL SQ	96	96			
143	CLM SQ	178	170			
143	MSQ SQ	45	43			
143	TCI CI	50	44			
143	CEG SQ	186	175			
143	SEP FT	57	62			
143	MAP SQ	106	99			
143	RMS SQ	120	114			
143	MSQ FT	40	39			
TOTALS		969	927			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
<u>TYPE</u>		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
C-130E Aircraft		8	8			
Support Equipment		0	0			
Vehicle Equivalents		307	109			

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION OUONSET STATE AIRPORT ANG RHODE ISLAND			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER TWLR909653	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$890		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			620
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					146
UTILITIES		LS			(10)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(10)
SITE RESTORATION		LS			(126)
SUBTOTAL					766
CONTINGENCY (10%)					77
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					843
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					42
TOTAL REQUEST					885
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					890
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 10 tanks and remove only 11 others. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks, tank residue and the contaminated soil.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If UST are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION QUONSET STATE AIRPORT ANG RHODE ISLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		5. PROJECT NUMBER TWLR909653
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started 91 NOV 08 (b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93 35% (c) Date 35% Designed 92 NOV 01 (d) Date Design Complete 93 JUL 15 (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000) (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 22 (b) All Other Design Costs 10 (c) Total 32 (d) Contract 32 (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 94 AUG b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION QUONSET STATE RHODE ISLAND			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE ENGINEER MAINTENANCE FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 219-944	7. PROJECT NUMBER TWLR001362	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$2.750		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE ENGINEER MAINTENANCE FACILITY		SF	19,800		2,017
MAINTENANCE SHOPS		SF	15,800	115	(1,817)
COVERED STORAGE		SF	4,000	50	(200)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					460
UTILITIES		LS			(80)
PAVEMENTS & SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(150)
ALTERATION		LS			(100)
DISPOSAL		LS			(50)
ASBESTOS REMOVAL		LS			(50)
PREWIRED WORK STATIONS		LS			(30)
SUBTOTAL					2,477
CONTINGENCY (5%)					124
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,601
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					130
TOTAL REQUEST					2,731
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,750
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls, structural steel frame, metal roof, and all necessary supporting facilities. Demolish hut (5,627 SF) and storage shed (2,501 SF) attached to building P-1 and four trailers, buildings 50-53 (2,616 SF). Alter 3,456 SF space in building P-1. Air Conditioning: 25 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 19,800 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 14,200 SF PROJECT: Base Civil Engineer Maintenance Facility (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: The base civil engineer requires administrative offices, shops, and storage areas that are functional, well arranged work centers with adequate space and proper lighting. Also required is heating, ventilating and air conditioning, and special ventilation for operational and training purposes. The functional shops and storage areas of this complex are traditional shops, such as masonry, carpentry, plumbing, sheet metal, welding, mechanical, electrical, environmental, roads and grounds, tool cribs and bench stock, training rooms, and an adjacent covered storage building for equipment. CURRENT SITUATION: The civil engineering squadron occupies space scattered in seven separate areas in five buildings. Four of the buildings are temporary trailers that have deteriorated and must be demolished. These poorly insulated buildings have become safety hazards. Attached to building P-1 are a WWII quonset hut and storage shed that are also deteriorated and shall be demolished. The base engineer offices are located in another part of building P-1 and are separated from the shop areas. This scattered arrangement causes significant loss of command and control and training opportunities. The facilities are severely deficient. There are numerous health and safety violations. OSHA codes					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION QUONSET STATE RHODE ISLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE BASE ENGINEER MAINTENANCE FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER TWLR001362	
<p>are not met. The base engineer administration offices, located in building P-1, is 3,456 SF. This space will be vacated upon completion of this project and the area altered to accommodate the medical training and administrative function, which is severely undersized.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Unable to properly train the civil engineering personnel. Loss of training opportunities. Higher operating costs for keeping antiquated buildings in the inventory. Continue with the risk for the health and safety hazards.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An exception to the economic analysis has been prepared because the project directly supports a mission or activity for which there is no available alternative.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION OUONSET STATE RHODE ISLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE BASE ENGINEER MAINTENANCE FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER TWLR001362
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		89 MAR 27
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		95%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 MAY 07
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JAN 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		87
(b) All Other Design Costs		58
(c) Total		145
(d) Contract		145
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		
94 AUG		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCENTIRE AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.85
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 3 Army National Guard Armories, 3 Army Reserve, 1 Naval Reserve, 1 Air Force Base, 1 Army Base and 1 Marine Corps Reserve.		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY		COST DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000) START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 1,750 NOV 91 JUN 93
136-667	UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING AND PAVEMENT	LS 4,200 JAN 90 DEC 92
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 15 OCT 92 (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED	None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY		COST
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)
124-135	REPLACE DERA USTS	LS 400
131-111	ADD TO AND ALTER COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	7,800 SF 550
722-351	DINING HALL AND MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	21,800 SF 3,250
851-147	CONSTR ROADS B, C, AND NO CAR AND PARKING	LS 1,100

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCENTIRE AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 30 JUN 92						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	431	31	337	63	1,446	139 1,307
ACTUAL	413	31	325	57	1,348	132 1,216
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>			
			<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
	157	FS SQ	58	55		
	169	CES SQ	136	138		
	169	CAM SQ	551	510		
	169	CMN FT	21	22		
	169	OLAA	8	7		
	169	MSQ SQ	44	42		
	169	RMS SQ	120	117		
	169	CLN	35	35		
	169	FG GP	68	63		
	169	SEP FT	57	55		
	240	CCS SQ	211	196		
	8169	STU FT	36	19		
	HQ	SCANG	25	24		
	SC	ANGOLA	1	1		
	169	MSQ FT	41	35		
	169	SVS FT	34	29		
	TOTALS		1,446	1,348		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	F-16 Aircraft	24	26			
	C-130 Aircraft	1	1			
	Support Equipment	150	151			
	Vehicle Equivalents	554	554			

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCENTIRE AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE SOUTH CAROLINA			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER PSTE909540	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1.750		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS			1,300	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				197	
UTILITIES	LS			(36)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(36)	
SITE RESTORATION	LS			(125)	
SUBTOTAL				1,497	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				150	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,647	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				82	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,729	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,750	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 36 tanks and remove only 5 others. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks, tank residue and contaminated soil and restore sites.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require each regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If UST's are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST's at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated UST's require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCENTIRE AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE SOUTH CAROLINA		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	5. PROJECT NUMBER PSTE909540	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	91 NOV 13	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 30	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 JUN 30	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	100	
(b) All Other Design Costs	52	
(c) Total	152	
(d) Contract	152	
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start	94 APR	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCENTIRE AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE SOUTH CAROLINA			4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING AND PAVEMENT		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 136-667	7. PROJECT NUMBER PSTE899603	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$4,200		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING AND PAVEMENT	LS			3,310	
TAXIWAY LIGHT	LS			(670)	
RUNWAY LIGHTING	LS			(450)	
APPROACH LIGHTING	LS			(490)	
NAVAIDS	LS			(200)	
UPGRADE PAVEMENTS	LS			(1,500)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				335	
UTILITIES	LS			(250)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(85)	
SUBTOTAL				3,645	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				365	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,010	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				201	
TOTAL REQUEST				4,211	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,200	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace deteriorated runway lighting system, approach lighting systems and navigational aids with new systems to comply with current standards. Replace existing non-lighted taxiway reflectors with new lighting system. Replace undersized electrical power system. Upgrade airfield pavement.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Upgrade Airfield Lighting and Pavement (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: Adequate airfield lighting system for night time training. Upgrade and repair the existing airfield lighting system to comply with current safety requirements and standards. Maintenance/repair to airfield pavement is required to increase the useful life and to continue operations. CURRENT SITUATION: The airfield lighting system, constructed over 25 years ago, is antiquated and significantly below current safety standards. Non-lighted reflectors are currently used on the taxiways and as distance markers on the runway. Reflectors are not a permanent substitute for taxiway lights and runway distance markers. The existing approach lighting system and runway lighting system require continuous repairs by replacement of the deteriorated cables and light units. Parts to repair portions and components in the system are generally no longer available. The electrical power system and the airfield lighting control system which support the entire system are undersized. A pavement condition survey conducted in 1990 identified needed repairs including joint and crack sealing, overrun refurbishment, pavement replacement, rubber removal and asphalt overlay. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The possible shut down of the airfield lighting system. Curtailed training and nighttime activities. Continued					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MCENTIRE AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE SOUTH CAROLINA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING AND PAVEMENT	PSTE899603	
<p>deterioration of the pavement with the possibility of flying operations being jeopardized. Excess expenditures using operations and maintenance funds to maintain these assets.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An exception to the economic analysis has been prepared. It presents the rationale that only one alternative exists. A full economic analysis has not been prepared.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCENTIRE AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE SOUTH CAROLINA		
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING AND PAVEMENT		5. PROJECT NUMBER PSTE899603
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		90 JAN 10
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		100%
(c) Date 35% Designed		91 MAR 15
(d) Date Design Complete		92 DEC 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		141
(b) All Other Design Costs		90
(c) Total		231
(d) Contract		231
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 APR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION JOE FOSS FIELD ANG, SOUTH DAKOTA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.98	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year along with necessary local annual field training days are utilized for required readiness training. Daily use is made of all facilities by technician/AGR force.				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 2 Army National Guard Armory and 1 Army/Navy Reserve Facility				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94				
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
171-445	ALTER COMPOSITE OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY	7,700 SF	350	APR 88 MAR 92
211-179	ADD TO AND ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE/CORROSION DOCK	25,600 SF	1,700	JUN 91 FEB 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				
				14 OCT 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None		
		(Number of Acres)		
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	
124-135	REPLACE DERA USTS	LS	1,350	
214-425	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	17,200 SF	2,600	
219-944	BASE CIVIL ENGINEERING MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	18,700 SF	2,800	
442-758	BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	35,900 SF	4,200	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION JOE FOSS FIELD ANG, SOUTH DAKOTA						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 1 AUG 92						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER ENLISTED
AUTHORIZED	281	27	252	2	1,062	115 947
ACTUAL	280	26	252	2	1,006	115 891
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
		STRENGTH				
UNIT DESIGNATION		AUTHORIZED		ACTUAL		
HQ	SD ANG	22		19		
114	SVS FT	27		26		
114	CEG SQ	124		120		
114	CLM SQ	460		396		
114	CMN FT	21		20		
114	MSS FT	41		32		
114	MSS SQ	45		43		
114	RMS SQ	120		112		
114	TCI CI	35		33		
114	TFG SQ	59		57		
114	SEP FT	57		53		
175	TFS SQ	51		46		
8114	STU FT	0		49		
TOTALS		1,062		1,006		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
TYPE		AUTHORIZED		ASSIGNED		
F-16 Aircraft		18		22		
C-12 Aircraft		1		1		
Support Equipment		309		285		
Vehicle Equivalents		242		344		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION JOE FOSS FIELD ANG SOUTH DAKOTA		4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE/CORROSION DOCK		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-179	7. PROJECT NUMBER LUXC909883	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1.700	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AND ALTER FUEL/CORROSION DOCK	SF	25,600		1,093
ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK	SF	12,300	35	(431)
ADD CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	SF	4,700	95	(447)
ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	SF	8,600	25	(215)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				445
UTILITIES	LS			(100)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(25)
SKIMMER/TREATMENT TANK	LS			(50)
FIRE DETECTION/SUPPRESSION	LS			(250)
AIRCRAFT FUEL TANK STORAGE PAD	LS			(20)
SUBTOTAL				1,538
CONTINGENCY (5%)				77
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,615
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				81
TOTAL REQUEST				1,696
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,700
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter fuel cell to provide: mechanical ventilation, personnel breathing apparatus, fire detection and suppression, and upgraded utilities. Add a pre-engineered metal building with a partial brick veneer, concrete floor slab, wash rack, mechanical ventilation, drainage, water treatment system, and supporting utilities for corrosion control. Provide concrete pad for fuel tank storage. Air Conditioning: 10 Tons.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 25,600 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 20,900 SF PROJECT: Add to and Alter Fuel Systems Maintenance/Corrosion Dock (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project supports the conversion from A-7 to F-16 aircraft in January 1992 and is also a level II environmental compliance project. An adequately sized and properly configured facility is required to perform environmentally safe corrosion control work on the F-16 aircraft which consists of washing and solvent cleaning the aircraft/aircraft parts and the painting of aircraft parts on and off the aircraft. Functional areas include corrosion control hangar bay, shop space and paint spray areas for both large and small parts. The existing fuel cell/corrosion control facility needs to be altered to accommodate the administrative function and some shop functions related to corrosion control and to conform to the most recent air pollution and fuel disposal/containment statutes. There is insufficient and poorly configured space for several aircraft related maintenance functions that require rearrangement/relocation to provide a better functional environment. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing facility is too small to accommodate a separate bay for corrosion control and fuel cell. The facility is 72				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION JOE FOSS FIELD ANG SOUTH DAKOTA		
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE/CORROSION DOCK	5. PROJECT NUMBER LUXC909883	
<p>percent of what is authorized/required. It has only one bay for corrosion control and fuel cell work. When the facility is required for fuel cell work, the corrosion control must be accomplished outdoors. This is impossible during inclement weather and for the majority of the five month harsh South Dakota winter. The F-16 aircraft is more fuel cell intensive and requires a dedicated dock for each function since corrosion control can not be performed simultaneously with fuel cell repair. During intensive weekend training it is necessary to schedule fuel cell and corrosion control training at the same time. This can not be accomplished with the existing facilities. The potential for soil, water and air pollution is great with the existing facilities. Alterations are required for the oil/water separator and fuel containment facilities. The mechanical systems will need to be modified as painting operations are to be moved to the addition. Several aircraft maintenance functions can be rearranged and relocated for better functional relationships in spaces recently freed up by moves to new construction.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Inefficient and ineffective training of the weekend forces. Poor working conditions for the full time forces and poor training conditions for the weekend forces. The mission capability of the corrosion control shop, fuel cell shop and the health and welfare of personnel is adversely affected. The unit is not able to support the corrosion control and fuel cell functions. Environmental statutes are violated through air pollution, water pollution and soil contamination.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION JOE FOSS FIELD ANG SOUTH DAKOTA		
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE/CORROSION DOCK		5. PROJECT NUMBER LUXC909883
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 JUN 19
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		70%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 JUN 24
(d) Date Design Complete		93 FEB 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		62
(b) All Other Design Costs		29
(c) Total		91
(d) Contract		91
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JUN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ALCOA AIR NATIONAL GUARD STATION, TENNESSEE		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.91
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Air National Guard Base, 1 Army Aviation Support Facility, 3 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Marine Corps Reserve, 1 Naval Reserve and 1 Coast Guard Reserve		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY		COST
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)
		DESIGN STATUS
		START CMPL
171-447	ADD TO AND ALTER COMMUNICATION ELECTRONICS TRAINING FACILITY	31,600 SF 1,300 SEP 87 JUN 91
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved		
		21 JAN 93 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None
		(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY		COST
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ALCOA AIR NATIONAL GUARD STATION, TENNESSEE					
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 30 SEP 92					
	<u>PERMANENT</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u> <u>CIVILIAN</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	39	4	35	0	212 21 191
ACTUAL	39	4	35	0	196 19 177
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA					
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>			
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
110	TCS SQ	90	88		
119	TCS SQ	122	108		
TOTALS		212	196		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT					
<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
TSC Equipment	5	5			
MEP Equipment	6	6			
AE24U-8	8	8			
S-530	6	6			
TRC-97	4	4			
Misc Equipment	8	8			
Support Equipment	34	34			
Vehicle Equivalents	352	300			

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ALCOA AIR NATIONAL GUARD STATION TENNESSEE			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER COMMUNICATION ELECTRONICS TRAINING FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 171-447	7. PROJECT NUMBER AECW000512	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,300		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AND ALTER COMMUNICATION ELECTRONICS TRAINING FACILITY		SF	31,600		1,015
ADD TO COMM FAC		SF	1,200	86	(103)
ALTER COMM FAC		SF	30,400	30	(912)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					170
ASBESTOS REMOVAL		LS			(25)
UTILITIES		LS			(65)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(40)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(40)
SUBTOTAL					1,185
CONTINGENCY (5%)					59
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,244
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					62
TOTAL REQUEST					1,306
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,300
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Addition to match all existing masonry and brick construction; rearrangement and upgrades of interior utility and structural systems. Fire protection and support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 31,600 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 30,400 SF PROJECT: Add to and Alter Communication Electronics Training Facility (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: The 110 and the 119 Tactical Control Squadrons provide full time air traffic control to 14 military units. Adequate facilities are required to operate, maintain, store, and train on the new Modular Control Equipment and accommodate the associated increase in personnel. CURRENT SITUATION: Alcoa ANG station is located off base adjacent to the ANG installation of McGhee Tyson, TN. An FY 90 MILCON project has relocated and consolidated the dining hall with the main base. This project will upgrade the vacated space. The existing facility is inadequate for proper training and maintenance of equipment. Painting of the 50 authorized vehicles and maintaining personnel safety and conforming to environmental statutes is virtually impossible in the present facilities at the station. With the arrival of new equipment and increased personnel it is necessary to reconfigure the interior of the facility and provide maintenance, storage and classroom space. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Unable to receive and train on the new MCE equipment. Mission degradation. Loss of training opportunities.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ALCOA AIR NATIONAL GUARD STATION TENNESSEE		
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER COMMUNICATION ELECTRONICS TRAINING FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER AECW000512
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		87 SEP 18
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		100%
(c) Date 35% Designed		89 FEB 23
(d) Date Design Complete		91 JUN 07
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		70
(b) All Other Design Costs		35
(c) Total		105
(d) Contract		105
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		
94 APR		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCGHEE TYSON AIRPORT, TENNESSEE				4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.91	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 3 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Army Aviation Support Facility, 1 Marine Corps Reserve Unit and 1 Coast Guard Reserve Unit					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START CMPL	
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	1,100	NOV 91	SEP 93
171-445	PMEC ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT FACILITY	25,000 SF	2,200	MAR 89	APR 91
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved					
				21 JAN 93 (Date)	
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None		(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)		
113-321	AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	60,000 SY	6,900		
217-712	AVIONICS SHOP	5,400 SF	750		
721-000	SCHOOL TRAINING QUARTERS	40,000 SF	3,900		
750-581	PMEC TRAINING FACILITIES	LS	1,100		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCGHEE TYSON AIRPORT, TENNESSEE					
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 30 SEP 92					
	<u>PERMANENT</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u> <u>CIVILIAN</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	359	41	314	4	1,312 154 1,158
ACTUAL	359	41	314	4	1,302 163 1,139
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA					
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>			
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
134	ARH GP	69	75		
134	CEG SQ	171	170		
134	TCI CI	59	65		
134	CLM SQ	359	348		
134	MSS FT	41	44		
134	MSS SQ	45	44		
134	RMS SQ	120	118		
134	SEP FT	75	76		
134	SVS FT	27	27		
151	ARH SQ	75	82		
228	CCS SQ	167	161		
572	AF BND	36	32		
	PMEC SCHOOL	68	60		
TOTALS		1,312	1,302		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT					
<u>TYPE</u>		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
KC-135 Aircraft		10	10		
Support Equipment		92	92		
Vehicle Equivalents		342	342		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCGHEE TYSON AIRPORT TENNESSEE			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER PSXE909585	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,100		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS			800	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				160	
UTILITIES	LS			(20)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(20)	
SITE RESTORATION	LS			(120)	
SUBTOTAL				960	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				96	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,056	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				53	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,109	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,100	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 20 and remove only 3 other tanks. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks and the tank residue and the contaminated soil and restore sites.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If UST are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MCGHEE TYSON AIRPORT TENNESSEE		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	PSXE909585	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 NOV 08
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		80
(b) All Other Design Costs		40
(c) Total		120
(d) Contract		120
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCGHEE TYSON AIRPORT TENNESSEE			4. PROJECT TITLE PMEC ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 171-445	7. PROJECT NUMBER PSXE001344	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$2,200		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PMEC SUPPORT FACILITY		SF	25,000	73	1,825
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					170
UTILITIES		LS			(20)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(20)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(10)
DEMOLITION		LS			(20)
PREWIRED WORK STATIONS		LS			(100)
SUBTOTAL					1,995
CONTINGENCY (5%)					100
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,095
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					105
TOTAL REQUEST					2,200
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,200
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Facility with concrete foundations and floor slab, masonry walls, steel frame, built-up roof, including all utilities and necessary support. Demolish Building 200 (11,594SF).					
Air Conditioning: 60 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 25,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 11,594 SF PROJECT: PMEC Administrative Support Facility (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: Expanded ANG missions have generated a 40% increase in students and doubled the required courses. Expanded modern educational facilities are needed. The Professional Military Education Center(PMEC) conducts military education and management training programs for enlisted members, officer commissioning program, and specialized courses unique to the ANG. This training is essential to manage the weapons systems assigned to the ANG. This is part of a phased program to expand the PMEC. CURRENT SITUATION: The PMEC administrative function is in a wood frame temporary facility. The facility is inadequate in both space and function for current and programmed increases in ANG training requirements. The room configuration and utility systems are undersized. They lack storage space. The interior and exterior utility systems are grossly antiquated. The building has numerous safety violations. The building is energy inefficient. This is a congressional directed project and the Air Force has agreed to include it in the FY94 budget request. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Support for the curricula is degraded. The Air National Guard is not able to meet programmed increases in ANG management and leadership training which adversely affect the ANG readiness, morale and retention. Higher operating costs in maintaining an old facility. Unable to comply with congressional direction.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCGHEE TYSON AIRPORT TENNESSEE		
4. PROJECT TITLE PMEC ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER PSXE001344
<p>ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCGHEE TYSON AIRPORT TENNESSEE		
4. PROJECT TITLE PMEC ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER PSXE001344
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started 89 MAR 14 (b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93 100% (c) Date 35% Designed 89 DEC 27 (d) Date Design Complete 91 APR 15 (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000) (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 92 (b) All Other Design Costs 44 (c) Total 136 (d) Contract 136 (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 94 APR b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NASHVILLE METRO AIRPORT, TENNESSEE			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.87	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 2 Army Reserve, 1 Navy Reserve, 1 Active Air Force Squadron, 1 Marine Corp Reserve, 1 Active Coast Guard, 1 Reserve Coast Guard, 1 Corp of Engineers, 3 Army National Guard.				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94				
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	1,000	NOV 91 SEP 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				
			21 JAN 93 (Date)	
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None		
		(Number of Acres)		
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	
124-135	JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	LS	5,000	
141-753	SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	26,000 SF	3,850	
214-425	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	14,300 SF	1,600	
219-944	BASE CIVIL ENGINEER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	18,700 SF	2,450	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93																																																																										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NASHVILLE METRO AIRPORT, TENNESSEE																																																																															
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 15 SEP 92																																																																															
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4">PERMANENT</th> <th colspan="3">GUARD/RESERVE</th> </tr> <tr> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> <th>CIVILIAN</th> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>AUTHORIZED</td> <td>373</td> <td>6</td> <td>42</td> <td>325</td> <td>1,430</td> <td>256</td> <td>1,174</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ACTUAL</td> <td>335</td> <td>5</td> <td>42</td> <td>288</td> <td>1,382</td> <td>252</td> <td>1,130</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE			TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	AUTHORIZED	373	6	42	325	1,430	256	1,174	ACTUAL	335	5	42	288	1,382	252	1,130																																													
PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE																																																																											
TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED																																																																									
AUTHORIZED	373	6	42	325	1,430	256	1,174																																																																								
ACTUAL	335	5	42	288	1,382	252	1,130																																																																								
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA																																																																															
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" rowspan="2">UNIT DESIGNATION</th> <th colspan="2">STRENGTH</th> </tr> <tr> <th>AUTHORIZED</th> <th>ACTUAL</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>118</td><td>AW WG</td><td>68</td><td>70</td></tr> <tr><td>118</td><td>RMS SQ</td><td>120</td><td>114</td></tr> <tr><td>118</td><td>MSS SQ</td><td>46</td><td>45</td></tr> <tr><td>118</td><td>CES SQ</td><td>124</td><td>109</td></tr> <tr><td>118</td><td>SPF FT</td><td>57</td><td>54</td></tr> <tr><td>118</td><td>CAM SQ</td><td>353</td><td>330</td></tr> <tr><td>118</td><td>MSF FT</td><td>41</td><td>39</td></tr> <tr><td>118</td><td>SVF FT</td><td>34</td><td>29</td></tr> <tr><td>118</td><td>MAP SQ</td><td>110</td><td>105</td></tr> <tr><td>118</td><td>AIR SQ</td><td>173</td><td>147</td></tr> <tr><td>118</td><td>TAC HP</td><td>54</td><td>57</td></tr> <tr><td>118</td><td>COMM F</td><td>21</td><td>22</td></tr> <tr><td>105</td><td>AS SQ</td><td>174</td><td>165</td></tr> <tr><td>105</td><td>WX FT</td><td>19</td><td>17</td></tr> <tr><td>HQ</td><td>TN ANG</td><td>36</td><td>39</td></tr> <tr><td>8118</td><td>STU FT</td><td>0</td><td>40</td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">TOTALS</td> <td>1,430</td> <td>1,382</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				UNIT DESIGNATION		STRENGTH		AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL	118	AW WG	68	70	118	RMS SQ	120	114	118	MSS SQ	46	45	118	CES SQ	124	109	118	SPF FT	57	54	118	CAM SQ	353	330	118	MSF FT	41	39	118	SVF FT	34	29	118	MAP SQ	110	105	118	AIR SQ	173	147	118	TAC HP	54	57	118	COMM F	21	22	105	AS SQ	174	165	105	WX FT	19	17	HQ	TN ANG	36	39	8118	STU FT	0	40	TOTALS		1,430	1,382
UNIT DESIGNATION		STRENGTH																																																																													
		AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL																																																																												
118	AW WG	68	70																																																																												
118	RMS SQ	120	114																																																																												
118	MSS SQ	46	45																																																																												
118	CES SQ	124	109																																																																												
118	SPF FT	57	54																																																																												
118	CAM SQ	353	330																																																																												
118	MSF FT	41	39																																																																												
118	SVF FT	34	29																																																																												
118	MAP SQ	110	105																																																																												
118	AIR SQ	173	147																																																																												
118	TAC HP	54	57																																																																												
118	COMM F	21	22																																																																												
105	AS SQ	174	165																																																																												
105	WX FT	19	17																																																																												
HQ	TN ANG	36	39																																																																												
8118	STU FT	0	40																																																																												
TOTALS		1,430	1,382																																																																												
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT																																																																															
TYPE		AUTHORIZED	ASSIGNED																																																																												
C-130H Aircraft		16	16																																																																												
Support Equipment		88	88																																																																												
Vehicle Equivalents		273	298																																																																												

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NASHVILLE METRO AIRPORT TENNESSEE			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER BKTZ909588	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS			750	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				118	
UTILITIES	LS			(19)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(19)	
SITE RESTORATION	LS			(80)	
SUBTOTAL				868	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				87	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				955	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				48	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,003	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,000	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 19 and remove only 3 other tanks. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of tanks and tank residue and the contaminated soil and restore sites.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If UST are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NASHVILLE METRO AIRPORT TENNESSEE		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		5. PROJECT NUMBER BKTZ909588
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 NOV 08
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 SEP 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		26
(b) All Other Design Costs		10
(c) Total		36
(d) Contract		36
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		
		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELLINGTON FIELD, TEXAS			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.89
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 3 Army Reserve Facilities, 4 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Naval Reserve Facility, 1 Marine Corps Reserve Facility and 1 Coast Guard Facility			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94			
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	1,600 NOV 91 AUG 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved <u>4 DEC 92</u> (Date)			
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)
442-758	BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	35,000 SF	4,300
842-245	WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	LS	750

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELLINGTON FIELD, TEXAS						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 14 JUL 92						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER ENLISTED
AUTHORIZED	523	30	406	87	1,067	121 946
ACTUAL	480	28	365	87	1,081	118 963
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
UNIT DESIGNATION		STRENGTH				
		AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL			
111 FIN SQ		43	42			
111 WEA FT		13	16			
147 CEG SQ		136	131			
147 TCI CI		55	54			
147 CLM SQ		413	420			
147 FIN GP		72	76			
147 MSS FT		41	44			
147 MSS SQ		47	45			
147 RMS SQ		119	125			
147 SEP FT		85	88			
147 SVS FT		25	23			
DET 1		18	17			
TOTALS		1,067	1,081			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
TYPE		AUTHORIZED	ASSIGNED			
F-16 Aircraft		18	22			
C-26 Aircraft		2	2			
Support Equipment		110	110			
Vehicle Equivalents		352	352			

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELLINGTON FIELD TEXAS			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER FWJH909658	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,600		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			1,160
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					232
UTILITIES		LS			(29)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(29)
SITE RESTORATION		LS			(174)
SUBTOTAL					1,392
CONTINGENCY (10%)					139
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,531
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					77
TOTAL REQUEST					1,608
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,600
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 29 tanks. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks, tank residue and the contaminated soil and restore sites.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If UST are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
ANG		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELLINGTON FIELD TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		5. PROJECT NUMBER FWJH909658
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 NOV 08
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		80
(b) All Other Design Costs		40
(c) Total		120
(d) Contract		120
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 APR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.88
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 4 Air Force Bases and 1 Army Installation		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY		COST DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000) START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 560 NOV 91 AUG 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 4 DEC 92 (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED	None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY		COST
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)
141-753	ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS AND SECURITY POLICE	26,100 SF 1,100
171-445	ALTER INTERIOR BLDG 962	18,300 SF 400
171-450	MEDICAL TRAINING AND ACCOUNTING	14,800 SF 930
214-425	VEHICLE AND AGE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	19,600 SF 2,450
442-758	BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	30,000 SF 4,300

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 11 JUL 92						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	318	24	266	28	1,113	115 998
ACTUAL	293	23	249	21	1,078	108 970
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
149	FG	63	65			
182	FS	50	49			
149	CAMS	470	446			
149	RMS	120	116			
149	MSS	45	47			
149	MSF	30	28			
149	CF	21	24			
149	SPF	57	61			
149	CES	150	145			
149	SVF	34	32			
149	TC	73	65			
	TOTALS	1,113	1,078			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
F-16 Aircraft	18	20				
Support Equipment	138	138				
Vehicle Equivalents	301	301				

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE TEXAS			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER MBPB909647	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$560		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			400
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					84
UTILITIES		LS			(9)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(9)
SITE RESTORATION		LS			(66)
SUBTOTAL					484
CONTINGENCY (10%)					48
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					532
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					27
TOTAL REQUEST					559
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					560
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 9 tanks and remove only 2 others. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks, tank residue and contaminated soil and restore sites.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If UST are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		5. PROJECT NUMBER MBPB909647
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 NOV 08
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 AUG 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		28
(b) All Other Design Costs		10
(c) Total		38
(d) Contract		38
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 APR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SALT LAKE CITY INTERNAT'L APT ANG UTAH			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.00		
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Naval/Marine Corps Reserve, 1 Army Reserve and 2 Army National Guard Units					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94					
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS	
CODE				START	CMPL
171-447	ADD TO AND ALTER COMMUNICATION AND ELECTRONICS TRAINING	11,500 SF	850	APR 90	JUN 93
171-447	ALTER COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITY	18,700 SF	950	FEB 89	JUL 91
851-147	SITE RESTORATION	LS	2,000	JUN 88	DEC 91
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved					9 DEC 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED			None	(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)		
CODE					
121-122	UPGRADE HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	LS	850		
141-753	ADD TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	9,000 SF	1,150		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SALT LAKE CITY INTERNAT'L APT ANG UTAH							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 30 JUN 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	425	26	369	30	1,702	190	1,512
ACTUAL	425	26	369	30	1,656	183	1,473
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
HQ	UT ANG	30	29				
106	TCS SQ	89	84				
109	TCS SQ	91	92				
130	EIS SQ	228	224				
HQ	AREFG	69	71				
151	MSS SQ	46	48				
151	CLM SQ	359	339				
151	TCI CI	55	54				
151	CEG SQ	171	170				
151	SEP FT	75	79				
151	RMS SQ	120	119				
151	MSS FT	41	40				
151	CFT FT	21	19				
151	SVS FT	27	26				
169	ESS SQ	98	83				
191	AREFS	74	74				
299	RES SQ	108	105				
TOTALS		1,702	1,656				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>					
KC-135 Aircraft	10	10					
Support Equipment	175	151					
Vehicle Equivalents	802	777					

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SALT LAKE CITY INTERNAT'L APT ANG UTAH			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER COMMUNICATION AND ELECTRONICS TRAINING		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 171-447	7. PROJECT NUMBER USEB889584	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$850		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD/ALTER COMMUNICATION AND ELECTRONICS		SF	11,500		735
ADD TO COMM FAC		SF	5,500	90	(495)
ALTER COMM FAC		SF	6,000	40	(240)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					35
UTILITIES		LS			(5)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(20)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(10)
SUBTOTAL					770
CONTINGENCY (5%)					39
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					809
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					40
TOTAL REQUEST					849
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					850
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter shop and office areas in control building for conference room, vault, and quality assurance. New mechanical, electrical systems and wall modifications. Addition to match existing building. All site work, utilities and support. <u>Air Conditioning: 10 Tons.</u>					
11. REQUIREMENT: 19,200 SF ADEQUATE: 7,700 SF SUBSTANDARD: 6,000 SF <u>PROJECT:</u> Add to and Alter Communication and Electronics Training (New Mission). <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Provide adequately sized and properly configured facility for the new Modular Control Equipment (MCE) and personnel for the two tactical control squadrons. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The two Tactical Control Squadrons are scheduled to receive new Modular Control Equipment and additional personnel. The existing building cannot accommodate these increases. The maintenance bays are too small. The complex does not have a vault. There are insufficient classrooms for the personnel. Some of the rooms need to be reconfigured with new utility support. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Inability to properly maintain the new equipment. Degraded training and inefficient operation. Degraded mission capability.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
ANG		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SALT LAKE CITY INTERNAT'L APT ANG UTAH		
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER COMMUNICATION AND ELECTRONICS TRAINING		5. PROJECT NUMBER USEB889584
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		90 APR 18
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		70%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 JUL 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 05
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		43
(b) All Other Design Costs		23
(c) Total		66
(d) Contract		66
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JUN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SALT LAKE CITY INTERNAT'L APT ANG UTAH			4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 171-447	7. PROJECT NUMBER USEB889721	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$950		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ALTER COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITY		SF	18,700	38	711
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					150
UTILITIES		LS			(15)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(5)
DEMOLITION		LS			(40)
PRE-WIRED WORKSTATIONS		LS			(50)
ASBESTOS REMOVAL		LS			(40)
SUBTOTAL					861
CONTINGENCY (5%)					43
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					904
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					45
TOTAL REQUEST					949
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					950
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter building for offices, restrooms, communications requirements such as raised floor and class "A" vault, and upgrading of electrical, mechanical and communication systems. Buildings 1524 at 4,736 SF and 1525 at 1,938 SF will be demolished. <u>Air Conditioning: 40 Tons.</u>					
11. REQUIREMENT: 18,700 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 25,374 SF <u>PROJECT:</u> Alter Composite Support Facility (Current Mission). <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> The base requires adequate space to support Automated Data Processing, Consolidated Base Personnel Office (CBPO) and other headquarters area functions. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The construction of a composite dining hall and medical training in FY 91 vacated space in the composite support facility. This project will upgrade the vacated space. The Data Processing function is housed in Building 18. The area is crowded and arranged inefficiently. CBPO is located in Building 1525, a World War II wood building. Mission Support Command and administration are housed in Building 1524, also a wood structure. These two facilities are old and energy inefficient are full of asbestos and will be demolished. This is in accordance with the approved comprehensive master development plan. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Dilapidated, inadequate and scattered facilities remain in use, adversely impacting mission, morale, training and retention. In addition, operations and maintenance funds are used for the upkeep of the old facilities. Vacated space to remain unuseable and unoccupied. Higher operating costs.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA ANG (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SALT LAKE CITY INTERNAT'L APT ANG UTAH		
4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER USEB889721	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	89 FEB 16	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	100%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	90 SEP 17	
(d) Date Design Complete	91 JUL 30	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	32	
(b) All Other Design Costs	21	
(c) Total	53	
(d) Contract	53	
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start	94 JUN	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SALT LAKE CITY INTERNAT'L APT ANG UTAH			4. PROJECT TITLE SITE RESTORATION		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 851-147	7. PROJECT NUMBER USER889583	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$2,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
SITE RESTORATION		LS			1,730
SUBTOTAL					1,730
CONTINGENCY (10%)					173
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,903
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					95
TOTAL REQUEST					1,998
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,000
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Asphalt paved roads and parking, concrete curb and gutters, sidewalks, waterlines, sanitary and storm sewer lines, underground electrical with pad mounted transformers, gas lines, a box culvert for drainage, excavation and construction of a retention pond, construction of new gate house.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Site Restoration (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. The base requires utilities and related infrastructure which are properly sized and environmentally safe such that they do not pollute the ground and water. The utility systems support the approved base master development plan. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing road and utility systems are over 40 years old. They are undersized and deteriorated. The sanitary sewer system does not meet federal and state EPA requirements. There have been numerous broken lines and leaking joints that have contaminated the soil resulting in health hazards. The surface runoff from the ANG ramp areas where the aircraft are maintained, refueled and de-iced, runs untreated into a creek that is located off the installation. Oil-water separators are in need of replacement and are ineffective. The airport requires that the runoff be pollutant free. The water lines have jute and lead packed joints which presents a health danger. In addition, the base water distribution system is undersized and over capacity. Roads are less than the required width for fire protection and do not provide proper traffic flow. The roads do not have the proper crowns and slopes for correct drainage. The storm sewer system is not adequately sized to support the existing facilities. Construction of new facilities will put excessive					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SALT LAKE CITY INTERNAT'L APT ANG UTAH		
4. PROJECT TITLE SITE RESTORATION		5. PROJECT NUMBER USEB889583
<p>demands on the system. The primary and secondary electrical distribution systems are grossly antiquated and cannot satisfy the existing facilities demand.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The sewer and water systems will have increase interruptions for emergency repairs. Related health problems may develop. Power outages will be common as maintenance and repairs to the overhead electrical system continue to be made. Vehicular traffic will continue to be hampered by the narrow roads and flooding due to the lack of street drainage. Notices of Violation will be issued as storm drainage continues to pollute the creeks off the installation.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
SALT LAKE CITY INTERNAT'L APT ANG UTAH		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
SITE RESTORATION	USEB889583	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		88 JUN 13
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		100%
(c) Date 35% Designed		90 FEB 22
(d) Date Design Complete		91 DEC 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		88
(b) All Other Design Costs		44
(c) Total		132
(d) Contract		132
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BURLINGTON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, VERMONT		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.99
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Naval Reserve, 3 Army Reserve/Guard and 1 ANG facility.		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY		COST
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)
		DESIGN STATUS
		START Cmpl
730-142	FIRE STATION	11,500 SF 1,500 FEB 89 JUL 92
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 19 FEB 92 (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED	None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY		COST
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)
124-135	JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	LS 5,000
211-111	UPGRADE MAINTENANCE HANGARS	69,100 SF 2,000
722-351	DINING HALL AND MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	21,000 SF 3,150

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BURLINGTON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, VERMONT					
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 25 SEP 92					
	<u>PERMANENT</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u> <u>CIVILIAN</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	416	26	334	56	1,054 120 934
ACTUAL	412	26	330	56	1,034 112 922
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA					
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>			
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
HQ	VT ANG	30	30		
134	FIN SQ	43	47		
158	CEG SQ	136	130		
158	CAM SQ	408	405		
158	FIG GP	66	60		
158	MSF FT	41	42		
158	RMS SQ	119	120		
158	SPF FT	85	87		
158	TAC CL	55	47		
158	SVF FT	25	24		
158	MSS SQ	46	42		
TOTALS		1,054	1,034		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT					
<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
F-16 Aircraft	18	24			
C-12 Aircraft	1	1			
Support Equipment	120	115			
Vehicle Equivalents	269	269			

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BURLINGTON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT VERMONT			4. PROJECT TITLE FIRE STATION		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 730-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER CUR2000002	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
FIRE STATION	SF	11,500		1,102	
FIRE STATION	SF	11,300	95	(1,074)	
TRAFFIC GATE HOUSE	SF	200	140	(28)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				280	
UTILITIES	LS			(100)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(80)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(30)	
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS			(40)	
COMMUNICATIONS	LS			(30)	
SUBTOTAL				1,382	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				69	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,451	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				73	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,524	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,500	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls, and roof. Electrical and mechanical systems. Functional areas include vehicle bays, control room, extinguisher maintenance rooms, administration and storage space, classroom, bunk room and kitchen. Demolish Building 50 at 9,500 SF. Air Conditioning: 10 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 11,500 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 9,500 SF PROJECT: Fire Station (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: A facility to house fire crash vehicles for 24 hour fire operations. A gate house to allow for the control of traffic in and out of the base. CURRENT SITUATION: The base provides 24 hour crash and rescue coverage. The fire department operates from a 1953 wood framed building. Space for vehicles is inadequate. Two vehicles must be left outside. Crew quarters are small and offer no privacy or accommodations for women firefighters and contains asbestos. The main gate house is inappropriately sited and obtains its utilities from the fire station. When the fire station is constructed at its new location, in accordance with the master plan, the old fire station will be demolished. The new gate house must be reconstructed at a safer location in accordance with the approved master development plan. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Fire and crash vehicles remain outside. Inadequate sleeping accommodations. Inefficient training hinders the response time. Safety hazards for the gate house remain.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BURLINGTON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT VERMONT		
4. PROJECT TITLE FIRE STATION		5. PROJECT NUMBER CURZ000002
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		89 FEB 08
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		100%
(c) Date 35% Designed		91 JUN 21
(d) Date Design Complete		92 JUL 21
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		66
(b) All Other Design Costs		24
(c) Total		90
(d) Contract		90
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JUN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP PENDLETON MILITARY RESERVATION, VIRGINIA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.93	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 4 Naval Installations, 1 Army Installation, 5 Army National Guard Facilities and 2 Army Reserve Facilities				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94				
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)	START CMPL
219-944	BASE ENGINEER MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE FACILITY	12,700 SF	1,150	AUG 88 SEP 91
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				
				16 APR 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY			COST	
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)	
214-425	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	15,500 SF	1,750	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP PENDLETON MILITARY RESERVATION, VIRGINIA							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 17 JUL 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	29	3	26	0	220	11	209
ACTUAL	29	3	26	0	199	11	188
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>				
			<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	203	RHCEF	<u>220</u>	<u>199</u>			
		TOTALS	220	199			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	Training Equipment	58	58				
	Support Equipment	0	0				
	Vehicle Equivalents	149	151				

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
ANG				MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP PENDLETON MILITARY RESERVATION VIRGINIA			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE ENGINEER MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
55296F	219-944	ERVD8892505	\$1.150		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE ENGINEER FACILITY	SF	12,700		953	
BASE ENGINEER MAINTENANCE SHOPS	SF	8,900	90	(801)	
BASE ENGINEER STORAGE	SF	3,800	40	(152)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				105	
UTILITIES	LS			(30)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(40)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(5)	
PRE WIRED WORK STATIONS	LS			(20)	
DEMOLITION	LS			(10)	
SUBTOTAL				1,058	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				53	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,111	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				56	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,167	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,150	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, steel structure, masonry walls, and asphalt built up roofing. Provide all utilities, pavements, site improvements, and systems furniture. Demolish Buildings 74, 75, 76, and 77 at 2,346 SF each and Building 79 at 925 SF for a total of 10,309 SF. Air Conditioning: 20 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 12,700 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 10,309 SF PROJECT: Base Engineer Maintenance and Storage Facility (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized and properly configured facility to house full time civil engineering personnel that maintain the ANG facilities at Camp Pendleton and to train reserve civil engineering troops assigned to this RED HORSE squadron for their wartime mission. The RED HORSE Civil Engineering squadron has world wide mobility to beddown missions, to do heavy construction and airfield repair. The squadron is used extensively in peacetime for humanitarian missions in third world countries and as a disaster response force for domestic emergencies. CURRENT SITUATION: Civil Engineering has been temporarily housed in a grossly undersized WWII temporary facility that is not within the limits of the ANG licenced property. The building is inadequate and poorly configured for proper utilization of the unit and is totally detrimental to the mission. There is insufficient shop space, classrooms and work areas. The building contains numerous health and safety code violations. This project is part of a phased program to construct new facilities for this RED HORSE squadron which was bedded down at this location in temporary facilities in 1984. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Inadequate and inefficient training facilities					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
CAMP PENDLETON MILITARY RESERVATION VIRGINIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE ENGINEER MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE FACILITY	ERVD889505	
<p>for the unit. The continued use of an energy inefficient WWII building. Loss of productivity. Health and safety hazards caused by occupying a substandard building. Low unit morale.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> The WW II facility being utilized for the Civil Engineering maintenance facility will be returned to the ARNG. Five WW II buildings with a combined square footage of 10,309 SF will be demolished by ANG and ARNG. These buildings are in the way of construction.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
CAMP PENDLETON MILITARY RESERVATION VIRGINIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE ENGINEER MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE FACILITY		ERVD889505
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		88 AUG 05
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		100%
(c) Date 35% Designed		90 MAY 11
(d) Date Design Complete		91 SEP 10
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		49
(b) All Other Design Costs		18
(c) Total		67
(d) Contract		67
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		
94 MAY		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RICHMOND IAP (BYRD FIELD), VIRGINIA		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.94	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 7 Army National Guard, 2 Army Reserve, 1 Marine Corps Reserve, 1 Naval Reserve and 1 Military Entrance Processing Station.			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94			
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	1,100 NOV 91 JUL 93
211-179	ADD TO AND ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK	17,000 SF	1,300 OCT 89 JUN 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 16 APR 92 (Date)			
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)
124-135	JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	LS	4,000
124-135	REPLACE DERA USTS	LS	3,900
131-111	COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITY	32,800 SF	4,250
211-152	ADD TO AND ALTER F-16 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	23,100 SF	2,700
214-425	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	LS	1,900
216-642	MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE COMPLEX	18,300 SF	3,400
442-758	BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	32,400 SF	3,950
851-147	ROAD	LS	110
880-232	FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM	LS	2,450

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RICHMOND IAP (BYRD FIELD), VIRGINIA							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 20 JUL 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	363	11	56	296	1,194	138	1,056
ACTUAL	360	12	57	291	1,150	134	1,016
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
	192 TFG SQ	59	58				
	192 SEP FT	57	60				
	149 TFG SQ	58	55				
	192 CLM SQ	547	472				
	192 MSQ FT	41	38				
	192 MSQ SQ	45	42				
	192 TCI CI	73	56				
	192 CEG SQ	124	116				
	192 SVS FT	27	28				
	192 RMS SQ	118	125				
	200 WEA FT	21	20				
	8192 STU FT	0	57				
	HQ VA ANG	24	23				
	TOTALS	1,194	1,150				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	F-16 Aircraft	24	26				
	Support Equipment	326	297				
	Vehicle Equivalents	234	278				

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
ANG				MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
RICHMOND IAP (BYRD FIELD) VIRGINIA			REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
55256F	124-135	CVVM909532	\$1.100		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS			800	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				160	
UTILITIES	LS			(20)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(20)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(120)	
SUBTOTAL				960	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				96	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,056	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				53	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,109	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,100	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 20 tanks. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks, tank residue and the contaminated soil and restore sites.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission).					
REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project.					
Upgrade all USTs regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated USTs have leak detection, corrosion protection and spill/overflow prevention systems by December 1998. If USTs are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The USTs at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated USTs require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
RICHMOND IAP (BYRD FIELD) VIRGINIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	CVVM909532	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	91 NOV 08	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 DEC 15	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 JUL 15	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	55	
(b) All Other Design Costs	27	
(c) Total	82	
(d) Contract	82	
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start	94 APR	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. DATE	
ANG		(computer generated)		MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
RICHMOND IAP (BYRD FIELD) VIRGINIA			ADD TO AND ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
55296F	211-179	CVVM899693	\$1,300		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ADD TO AND ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS DOCK	SF	17,000		738	
ADD TO FUEL SYSTEMS DOCK	SF	6,000	90	(540)	
ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS DOCK	SF	11,000	18	(198)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				450	
UTILITIES	LS			(40)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(35)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(5)	
ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS			(50)	
FIRE SUPPRESSION	LS			(170)	
REPLACE HANGAR DOORS	LS			(150)	
SUBTOTAL				1,188	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				59	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,247	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				62	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,309	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,300	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Addition: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry and metal panel walls to match existing, structural steel frame, steel joists, metal pan roof and built up roofing. Alteration: Alter interior floor plan and upgrade utility systems. Extend fire suppression system. Provide environmental controls. Provide for asbestos removal, site improvements, utilities and pavements. Air Conditioning: 10 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 17,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 11,000 SF PROJECT: Add to and Alter Fuel Systems Maintenance Dock (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project supports the conversion from A-7 to F-16 aircraft in October 1991 and is a level II environmental compliance project. Perform environmentally safe corrosion control work on the F-16 aircraft which consists of washing and solvent cleaning the aircraft and the painting of aircraft parts on and off the aircraft. Functional areas include administration, corrosion control hangar bay and paint spray areas and associated equipment for both large and small parts that might be on or off the aircraft. CURRENT SITUATION: Both fuel systems maintenance and corrosion control requirements for the A-7 aircraft are being accomplished in the single open bay. The facility is 65 percent of what is authorized/required. Only one of these functions can take place at a time, which leads to delays in required maintenance. The F-16 aircraft is more fuel cell work intensive and requires a dedicated dock for each function. When the facility is required for fuel cell work the corrosion control work must be accomplished outdoors; this is impossible during inclement weather and for the majority of the wet cold Virginia winter. During intensive weekend training it is necessary to schedule fuel cell work and corrosion control					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RICHMOND IAP (BYRD FIELD) VIRGINIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ADD TO AND ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK	CVVM899693	
<p>work at the same time. This cannot be accomplished with the existing facilities. The alteration of the existing facility will have to address modifications to the oil/water separator and fuel containment facilities. The mechanical systems will need to be modified as painting operations are to be moved to the addition. The hangar doors are a constant maintenance/repair problem and are dangerous to personnel and high value aircraft.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Inefficient and ineffective training of the weekend forces. Poor working conditions for the full time forces and poor training conditions for the weekend forces. The mission capability of the corrosion control shop and the fuel cell shop and the health and welfare of their personnel are adversely affected. The unit is not able to support the corrosion control functions. Environmental statutes could be violated through air pollution, water pollution and soil contamination. Hangar doors continue to be a safety hazard and a drain on maintenance resources.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RICHMOND IAP (BYRD FIELD) VIRGINIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK		5. PROJECT NUMBER CVVM899693
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		89 OCT 12
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		65%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 JUL 09
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		65
(b) All Other Design Costs		30
(c) Total		95
(d) Contract		95
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		
		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BELLINGHAM MUNICIPAL AIRPORT ANG, WASHINGTON			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.15
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, weekends by full-time technician/AGR force.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 286 Engr Co (Army National Guard)			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94			
CATEGORY		COST	DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)	START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 420	FEB 92 JUL 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 16 APR 92 (Date)			
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY		COST	
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93																															
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BELLINGHAM MUNICIPAL AIRPORT ANG, WASHINGTON																																				
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 28 AUG 92																																				
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4">PERMANENT</th> </tr> <tr> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> <th>CIVILIAN</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>AUTHORIZED</td> <td>24</td> <td>2</td> <td>22</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ACTUAL</td> <td>23</td> <td>2</td> <td>21</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				PERMANENT				TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	AUTHORIZED	24	2	22	0	ACTUAL	23	2	21	0	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">GUARD/RESERVE</th> </tr> <tr> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>144</td> <td>10</td> <td>134</td> </tr> <tr> <td>131</td> <td>10</td> <td>121</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		GUARD/RESERVE			TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	144	10	134	131	10	121
PERMANENT																																				
TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN																																	
AUTHORIZED	24	2	22	0																																
ACTUAL	23	2	21	0																																
GUARD/RESERVE																																				
TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED																																		
144	10	134																																		
131	10	121																																		
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA																																				
UNIT DESIGNATION		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">STRENGTH</th> </tr> <tr> <th>AUTHORIZED</th> <th>ACTUAL</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>262 CC SQ</td> <td>144</td> <td>131</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTALS</td> <td>144</td> <td>131</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				STRENGTH		AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL	262 CC SQ	144	131	TOTALS	144	131																					
STRENGTH																																				
AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL																																			
262 CC SQ	144	131																																		
TOTALS	144	131																																		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT																																				
TYPE	AUTHORIZED		ASSIGNED																																	
M-Series Vehicles	51		53																																	
Generators	18		16																																	
Misc Equipment	10		6																																	
Support Equipment	0		0																																	
Vehicle Equivalents	0		0																																	

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BELLINGHAM MUNICIPAL AIRPORT ANG WASHINGTON			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER BFHV909574	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$420		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			300
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					66
UTILITIES		LS			(6)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(6)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(54)
SUBTOTAL					366
CONTINGENCY (10%)					37
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					403
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					20
TOTAL REQUEST					423
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					420
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 6 tanks and remove only 2 others. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks and tank residue and contaminated soil and restore sites.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If UST are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BELLINGHAM MUNICIPAL AIRPORT ANG WASHINGTON		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		5. PROJECT NUMBER BFHV909574
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 FEB 03
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		21
(b) All Other Design Costs		12
(c) Total		33
(d) Contract		33
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP MURRAY ANG STATION, WASHINGTON			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.11
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, weekends by full-time technician/AGR force.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS McChord AFB (Active Air Force), Ft. Lewis (Active Army), Camp Murray (Army)			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94			
CATEGORY		COST	DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000) START Cmpl
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	380 NOV 91 JUL 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved			
			16 APR 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY		COST	
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP MURRAY ANG STATION, WASHINGTON							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 28 AUG 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED
AUTHORIZED	131	23	107	1	635	96	539
ACTUAL	127	15	111	1	618	90	528
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
UNIT DESIGNATION				STRENGTH			
				AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL		
HQ	WA	ANG		33	34		
252	CC	GP		73	68		
DET1	HQ			79	73		
256	CC	SQ		157	163		
241	CES			100	106		
111	ASOC			119	117		
116	TACPF			61	42		
116	WF			13	15		
TOTALS				635	618		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
TYPE				AUTHORIZED	ASSIGNED		
MRC-108				47	33		
M Series Vehicle				276	261		
Generators				101	60		
GRC-206				53	1		
Misc Equipment				42	39		
Support Equipment				0	0		
Vehicle Equivalents				0	0		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FOUR LAKES COMMUNICATIONS STATION, WASHINGTON		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.15
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year; 15 days Annual Field Training per year; daily use by Technician and AGR personnel and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 2 Army Nat'l Guard units, 2 Air Nat'l Guard units, 1 Army Reserve unit, 1 Naval Reserve unit, 1 Coast Guard Reserve unit, 1 Marine Reserve unit, 1 Air Force Base		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 360 NOV 91 JUL 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 16 APR 92 (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None (Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE COST (\$000)
124-135	REPLACE DERA USTS	LS 700
171-447	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS TRAINING FACILITY	12,400 SF 1,850

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FOUR LAKES COMMUNICATIONS STATION, WASHINGTON							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 30 MAY 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	40	0	5	35	266	26	240
ACTUAL	39	0	5	34	245	22	223
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>				<u>STRENGTH</u>			
				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
105 TAC SQ				266	245		
TOTALS				266	245		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>		<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
Vans/Electronic	38		31				
Support Equipment	86		38				
Vehicle Equivalents	338		338				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PAINE FIELD ANG STATION, WASHINGTON		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.15
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, weekends by full-time technician/AGR force.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS Sand Point Naval Housing Area (Active Navy), Everett Armory (Army National Guard, 124 ARCOM (Army Reserve)		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY		COST DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000) START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 320 FEB 92 JUL 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved		
		16 APR 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None
		(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY		COST
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PAINE FIELD ANG STATION, WASHINGTON							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 28 AUG 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED
AUTHORIZED	18	1	17	0	208	11	197
ACTUAL	18	1	17	0	213	11	202
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
UNIT DESIGNATION				STRENGTH			
				AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL		
215 EIS				208	213		
TOTALS				208	213		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
TYPE				AUTHORIZED	ASSIGNED		
Support Equipment				24	16		
Vehicle Equivalents				51	47		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SEATTLE AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE, WASHINGTON			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.14
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, weekends by full-time technician/AGR force.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS AFLC Warehouse (Active Air Force), Seattle Armory (Active National Guard)			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94			
CATEGORY		COST	DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)	START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 320	NOV 91 JUL 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 16 APR 92 (Date)			
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY		COST	
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SEATTLE AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE, WASHINGTON					
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 28 AUG 92					
	<u>PERMANENT</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u> <u>CIVILIAN</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	25	2	23	0	161 9 152
ACTUAL	25	2	23	0	154 9 145
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA					
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u> <u>AUTHORIZED</u> <u>ACTUAL</u>			
143 CC SQ		<u>161</u> <u>154</u>			
TOTALS		161 154			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT					
<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>		<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
M-Series Vehicles	87		87		
Misc Equipment	16		12		
Support Equipment	0		0		
Vehicle Equivalents	0		0		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EWWRA SHEPHERD FIELD ANG, WEST VIRGINIA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.83	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 3 Army National Guard Armories, 3 Army Reserve Training Centers				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94				
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)	START CMPL
171-873	ADD TO AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY	2,000 SF	390	NOV 90 JUL 92
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 18 DEC 92 (Date)				
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY			COST	
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)	
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	510	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EWWRA SHEPHERD FIELD ANG, WEST VIRGINIA						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 18 SEP 92						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	315	28	271	16	1,232	205 1,027
ACTUAL	306	27	263	16	1,164	198 966
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
167 TAG HQ		57	52			
167 TAL SQ		137	129			
167 MSS SQ		45	37			
167 CLM SQ		264	243			
167 TCI CI		73	57			
167 CEG SQ		148	136			
167 SEP FT		57	50			
167 MAP HQ		106	99			
167 AE FT		157	128			
167 RMS SQ		120	114			
167 MSS FT		41	39			
167 SVS FT		27	20			
8167 STU FT		0	60			
TOTALS		1,232	1,164			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
C-130E Aircraft	12	12				
Support Equipment	151	130				
Vehicle Equivalents	303	293				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION YEAGER AIRPORT ANG, WEST VIRGINIA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.94
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 3 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Army Reserve Training Center			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94			
CATEGORY			COST DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	((\$000) START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	370 NOV 91 AUG 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved			
			18 DEC 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY			COST
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	((\$000)
124-135	UPGRADE JET FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	860
219-944	BASE CIVIL ENGINEERING COMPLEX	21,700 SF	2,250

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION YEAGER AIRPORT ANG, WEST VIRGINIA					
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 30 JUN 92					
	<u>PERMANENT</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u> <u>CIVILIAN</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	310	27	244	39	973 143 830
ACTUAL	268	30	199	39	941 129 812
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA					
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>			
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
130	TAG WV	28	29		
130	AG	57	54		
130	AS	96	82		
130	MSS	45	45		
130	CAMS	172	152		
130	TAC CL	71	66		
130	CES	136	127		
130	SPF	57	56		
130	MAPS	106	97		
130	WEF	13	12		
130	RMS	120	109		
130	MSF	41	37		
130	SVF	25	25		
130	STUF	6	50		
TOTALS		973	941		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT					
<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
C-130H Aircraft	8	8			
Support Equipment	217	181			
Vehicle Equivalents	286	294			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GENERAL MITCHELL INT'L AIRPORT, WISCONSIN			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.08	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training and alert SAC alert missions.				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 11 Army Reserve Armories, 5 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Naval/Marine Facility and 1 Air Force Reserve Facility				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94				
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	600	SEP 91 AUG 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				
				22 OCT 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	
121-122	UPGRADE HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	LS	500	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93																														
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GENERAL MITCHELL INT'L AIRPORT, WISCONSIN																																			
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 8 JUN 92																																			
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4">PERMANENT</th> <th colspan="3">GUARD/RESERVE</th> </tr> <tr> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> <th>CIVILIAN</th> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>AUTHORIZED</td> <td>338</td> <td>15</td> <td>69</td> <td>254</td> <td>1,074</td> <td>134</td> <td>940</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ACTUAL</td> <td>330</td> <td>14</td> <td>63</td> <td>253</td> <td>994</td> <td>135</td> <td>859</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE			TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	AUTHORIZED	338	15	69	254	1,074	134	940	ACTUAL	330	14	63	253	994	135	859	
PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE																															
TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED																													
AUTHORIZED	338	15	69	254	1,074	134	940																												
ACTUAL	330	14	63	253	994	135	859																												
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA																																			
UNIT DESIGNATION		STRENGTH																																	
		AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL																																
126	AREFS	74	72																																
126	WEA FT	13	12																																
128	AREFG	69	70																																
128	CLM SQ	354	309																																
128	MSS SQ	46	43																																
128	TCI CI	55	54																																
128	CEG SQ	159	132																																
128	SVS FT	27	25																																
128	SEP FT	75	79																																
128	MSS FT	41	42																																
128	RMS SQ	120	114																																
8128	STU FT	41	42																																
TOTALS		1,074	994																																
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT																																			
TYPE	AUTHORIZED	ASSIGNED																																	
KC-135R Aircraft	10	10																																	
Support Equipment	179	136																																	
Vehicle Equivalents	245	245																																	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GENERAL MITCHELL INT'L AIRPORT WISCONSIN		4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER HTUV909541	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$600	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS			420
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				98
UTILITIES	LS			(7)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(7)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(84)
SUBTOTAL				518
CONTINGENCY (10%)				52
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				570
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				29
TOTAL REQUEST				599
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				600
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 7 tanks and remove only 7 others. Excavate and remove the tanks. Dispose of the tanks, tank residue and the contaminated soil and restore sites.				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If UST are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. The ANG training could be curtailed and the ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
ANG		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GENERAL MITCHELL INT'L AIRPORT WISCONSIN		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	HTUV909541	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	91 SEP 19	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	35%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 NOV 15	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 AUG 15	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	33	
(b) All Other Design Costs	20	
(c) Total	53	
(d) Contract	53	
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start	94 AUG	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TRUAX FIELD, WISCONSIN			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.02	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army National Guard Center, 2 Army Reserve Centers and 1 Naval Reserve Center				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94				
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)	START CMPL
730-142	FIRE STATION	9,300 SF	1,400	NOV 91 JUN 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				
				22 OCT 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY			COST	
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)	
124-135	JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	LS	4,000	
124-135	REPLACE DERA USTS	LS	3,150	
216-642	ALTER MUNITIONS SHOP	14,000 SF	610	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TRUAX FIELD, WISCONSIN							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 7 JUL 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	337	25	249	63	1,025	122	903
ACTUAL	327	24	240	63	1,003	126	877
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
HQ	WI ANG	34	32				
115	SEP FT	57	51				
115	RMS SQ	120	116				
115	CEG SQ	124	114				
115	TCI CI	67	57				
115	CLM SQ	405	382				
115	SVS FT	25	22				
115	MSS FT	40	36				
115	MSS SQ	45	41				
128	TFW HQ	58	61				
176	TFS SQ	50	41				
8115	STU FT	0	50				
TOTALS		1,025	1,003				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>					
F-16 Aircraft	18	0					
A-10 Aircraft	18	22					
C-130 Aircraft	1	1					
Support Equipment	88	82					
Vehicle Equivalents	238	291					

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TRUAX FIELD WISCONSIN			4. PROJECT TITLE FIRE STATION		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F		6. CATEGORY CODE 730-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER XGFG919507	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,400	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
FIRE STATION		SF	9,300	100	930
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					325
UTILITIES		LS			(90)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(100)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(75)
COMMUNICATION		LS			(10)
DISPOSAL/ASBESTOS REMOVAL		LS			(50)
SUBTOTAL					1,255
CONTINGENCY (5%)					63
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,318
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					66
TOTAL REQUEST					1,384
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,400
<p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete floors and walls include necessary structural, mechanical and electrical systems. Concrete pavements and driveways. Provide all utilities and support. Demolish Building 403 (10,071 SF). <u>Air Conditioning: 8 Tons.</u></p> <p>11. REQUIREMENT: 9,300 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 10,071 SF <u>PROJECT:</u> Fire Station (Current Mission). <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> An adequately sized and properly configured facility to support crash and fire rescue operations. This includes apparatus bays, storage space, extinguisher maintenance shop, kitchen and dining area, control room, classroom, administrative areas and bunkrooms for 24 full time firefighters. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The existing fire station is an old wood frame structure that was constructed in 1953. The bunkrooms, locker rooms and kitchen are currently located in inadequate space which has antiquated electrical and mechanical systems that do not meet current building code requirements. Some foundation failure has resulted in floor and wall damage. The existing location of the station makes it difficult to access the airfield. The Master Plan shows a hangar extension into the existing fire station area. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Improper training. Equipment exposed to the elements are deteriorating at a faster rate. Hardships on the overall fire protection operation which ultimately jeopardizes crash rescue and fire fighting capabilities.</p>					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
TRUAX FIELD WISCONSIN		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
FIRE STATION	XGFG919507	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		91 NOV 26
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		35%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 DEC 01
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 20
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		67
(b) All Other Design Costs		44
(c) Total		111
(d) Contract		111
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 AUG
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VOLK FIELD AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE, WISCONSIN		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.06
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Year round operational training of Air National Guard Units and other Reserve and Guard components and Active Military Units.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army National Guard Unit		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94		
CATEGORY		COST DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000) START CMPL
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS 510 JUL 91 FEB 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved		
		22 OCT 92 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED	None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY		COST
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)
124-135	UPGRADE JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	LS 2,800
179-511	FIREMEN TRAINING FACILITY	LS 680
422-264	MUNITIONS STORAGE IGLOOS	3,600 SF 700
442-758	BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	36,400 SF 3,900

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VOLK FIELD AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE, WISCONSIN							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 31 JUN 92							
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	155	12	73	70	176	21	155
ACTUAL	155	12	73	70	176	21	155
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
	VOLK CRIC	85	85				
	128 AC SQ	<u>91</u>	<u>91</u>				
	TOTALS	176	176				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	Support Equipment	263	243				
	Vehicle Equivalents	728	638				

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VOLK FIELD AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE WISCONSIN			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER YAOF919746	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$510		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			350
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					88
UTILITIES		LS			(11)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(11)
SITE RESTORATION		LS			(66)
SUBTOTAL					438
CONTINGENCY (10%)					44
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					482
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					24
TOTAL REQUEST					506
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					510
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 11 tanks. Excavate and remove the tanks, dispose of the tanks and tank residue and the contaminated soil.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks (UST) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project. Upgrade all UST regulated by 40 CFR 280 to new construction standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set standards that require that all regulated UST to have leak detection, corrosion protection, and spill/overflow prevention system by December 1998. If USTs are to be replaced, it is Air Force policy to replace them with above ground tanks or to relocate them into underground vaults if possible. CURRENT SITUATION: The UST at this base have exceeded their design lives and are in need of replacement. All tanks are out of compliance with the 1998 EPA standards. All the regulated UST require annual tightness testing, daily fluid level monitoring and monthly inventory reconciliation and control. If these tasks are not performed, the base is subject to Notice of Violations by the Federal and/or State EPA. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-compliance with Statutes. The State and County may issue restraints and/or Notices of Violations and fines. Any leakage has the potential to contaminate the soil and aquifer. Training of base and deployed units would be severely impacted. ANG could receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VOLK FIELD AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE WISCONSIN		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	YAOF919746	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	91 JUL 12	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	95%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 JUL 31	
(d) Date Design Complete	93 FEB 15	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	26	
(b) All Other Design Costs	11	
(c) Total	37	
(d) Contract	37	
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start	94 AUG	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE, GUAM		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 2.03	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual training per year and daily use by AGR force for storage of supplies and equipment.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 13 AF Headquarters, 633 ABW, 44 Aero Port Squadron, Guam Army National Guard, U. S. Naval Air Station, U. S. NAVCAMS			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94			
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000) DESIGN STATUS START CMPL
442-758	BASE SUPPLIES AND EQUIPMENT WAREHOUSE	4,000 SF	400 JUL 92 SEP 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved 9 JUL 92 (Date)			
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE, GUAM					
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 30 AUG 92					
	<u>PERMANENT</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u> <u>CIVILIAN</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	21	4	14	3	171 20 151
ACTUAL	20	4	14	2	171 20 151
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA					
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>			
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
HQ	GU ANG	4	3		
254	ABG	42	36		
254	CES	100	103		
254	SFV	<u>25</u>	<u>29</u>		
TOTALS		171	171		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT					
<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
Support Equipment	5	5			
Vehicle Equivalents	0	0			

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE MAR 93	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PUERTO RICO IAP, PUERTO RICO				4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.12	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Air National Guard Unit, 1 Active Army Unit, 8 Army National Guard Units, 3 Army Reserve Units and 2 Naval Units.					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 94					
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START CMPL	
211-179	ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	10,500 SF	750	AUG 90	JAN 92
217-712	ADD TO AND ALTER F-16 AVIONICS SHOP	3,000 SF	320	FEB 92	AUG 93
872-841	UPGRADE F-16 AIRCRAFT PARKING RAMP SECURITY SYSTEM	LS	2,000	SEP 89	MAY 93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved					
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED				None	
				17 SEP 92 (Date)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)		
124-135	UPGRADE JET FUEL STORAGE	LS	1,750		
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	400		
124-135	REPLACE DERA USTS	LS	1,360		
171-450	COMPOSITE MEDICAL TRAINING AND DINING HALL	19,200 SF	3,400		
211-159	ADD TO AND ALTER AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	7,000 SF	760		
211-159	AIRCRAFT WASH RACK	LS	500		
216-642	F-16 MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND	17,900 SF	3,250		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE MAR 93		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PUERTO RICO IAP, PUERTO RICO							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 14 JUL 92							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	341	24	244	73	982	113	869
ACTUAL	301	22	208	71	934	105	829
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
	156 TFG SQ	56	53				
	156 CEG SQ	124	116				
	156 CLM SQ	368	343				
	156 TFG OL	7	7				
	156 MSQ FT	44	42				
	156 MSQ SQ	45	43				
	156 RMS SQ	122	118				
	156 SVS FT	34	33				
	156 TCI OL	3	2				
	156 TCI CI	73	68				
	156 SEP FT	57	57				
	198 TFG SQ	<u>49</u>	<u>52</u>				
	TOTALS	982	934				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	F-16 A/B Aircraft	18	20				
	C-26 Aircraft	1	1				
	Support Equipment	110	92				
	Vehicle Equivalents	104	93				

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PUERTO RICO IAP PUERTO RICO			4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-179	7. PROJECT NUMBER TUMR899534	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$750		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK		SF	10,500	57	599
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					45
UTILITIES		LS			(15)
FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM		LS			(30)
SUBTOTAL					644
CONTINGENCY (10%)					64
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					708
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)					46
TOTAL REQUEST					754
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					750
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter hangar by construction of a drainage system and oil/water separator. Upgrade ventilation system. Enclose hangar by adding a back wall and hangar doors on the front. Install a fire detection and fire suppression system(s). Rearrange interior partitions. Provide alterations and additions to utilities. Air Conditioning: 5 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 11,000 SF ADEQUATE: 500 SF SUBSTANDARD: 10,500 SF PROJECT: Alter Fuel Systems Maintenance Facility (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a level II environmental compliance project and also supports the conversion from A-7 to F-16 aircraft in October 1992. A properly configured fuel systems maintenance facility with environmental controls to protect against soil, water and air pollution is required to perform maintenance and repair on fuel bladders. Functional areas include fuel cell maintenance bay, bladder repair shop and miscellaneous support shop space. CURRENT SITUATION: Currently both corrosion control work and fuel cell maintenance work are being accomplished in the same facility. The current open hangar must be converted to an environmentally safe fuel cell facility. The existing facility does not have the proper ventilation system and without significant modification will be a safety and environmental problem. The current hangar does not have a drainage system with oil/water separator and without this system could be an environmental danger to the soil and water in the case of a fuel spill. The hangar is without proper fire detection and fire suppression system(s). Internal shop space must be altered for efficient operation as a fuel cell maintenance facility. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Unable to perform the increased fuel cell					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PUERTO RICO IAP PUERTO RICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER TUMR899534
<p>maintenance associated with the F-16 aircraft. Inadequate maintenance and maintenance backups will occur. Improper mechanical systems and environmental controls would adversely affect quality of maintenance, and could lead to air, water or soil pollution.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		MAR 1995
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
PUERTO RICO IAP PUERTO RICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	TUMR899534	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	90 AUG 13	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93	100%	
(c) Date 35% Designed	91 APR 09	
(d) Date Design Complete	92 JAN 03	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)	
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	30	
(b) All Other Design Costs	20	
(c) Total	50	
(d) Contract	50	
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start	94 JUN	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
ANG				MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
PUERTO RICO IAP PUERTO RICO			UPGRADE F-16 AIRCRAFT PARKING RAMP SECURITY SYSTEM		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
55296F	872-841	TUMR899771	\$2,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UPGRADE RAMP SECURITY	LS			1,500	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				210	
UTILITIES	LS			(155)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(30)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(25)	
SUBTOTAL				1,710	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				171	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,881	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				122	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,003	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,000	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Double security fence, gates, entry control point, lighting system, sensors, CCTV and communications. Conduits and restoration of cut pavements.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Upgrade F-16 Aircraft Parking Ramp Security System (New Mission).					
REQUIREMENT: This project supports the conversion from A-7 aircraft to F-16 aircraft in October 1992. The base requires a proper security system to protect the 18 F-16 parked on the ANG ramp area.					
CURRENT SITUATION: In 1981 the base was attacked by terrorists and nine A-7 aircraft were destroyed on the ramp. Subsequently, security measures were taken to protect the aircraft ramp area. These included a double security fence with controlled entry gates, interior and exterior perimeter lights, visual control tower, sensors, Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) and response teams. The existing aircraft ramp area and immediate operational areas are totally enclosed behind by a double fence and sensor system. With the expansion of the ramp and operational areas by separate and related FY 93 MILCON projects to support the new F-16 aircraft, it is necessary to expand the security system to enclose the new facilities and operational areas. It is also necessary to upgrade the security system utilizing current technology. Another incident, in the spring of 1991, damaged two more A-7 aircraft. Security officials have verified that the threat still exists.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Unable to secure the F-16 aircraft and the expanded operational area. Possible compromise, damage or loss of aircraft.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
ANG		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PUERTO RICO IAP PUERTO RICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE F-16 AIRCRAFT PARKING RAMP SECURITY SYSTEM		5. PROJECT NUMBER TUMR899771
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		89 SEP 25
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		40%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 01
(d) Date Design Complete		93 MAY 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		50
(b) All Other Design Costs		25
(c) Total		75
(d) Contract		75
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JUN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES		
4. PROJECT TITLE PROJECTS \$400,000 AND UNDER - FY 94		5. PROJECT NUMBER VARIOUS

<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>		<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST</u>
<u>PROJECT NUMBER</u>			
CALIFORNIA			
Ontario International Airport ANG			
PN SKKA909552	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks		310
Replace one underground fuel storage tank to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)			
GEORGIA			
Lewis B Wilson Airport (ANG)			
PN EAZP929786	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks		340
Replace three underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)			
GEORGIA			
McCollum ANG Station			
PN PRNG929785	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks		315
Replace four underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)			
GEORGIA			
Savannah ANG Communications Station			
PN UZXM909586	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks		330
Replace five underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)			
GEORGIA			
Savannah International Airport			
PN XDQU909666	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks		315
Replace four underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993																																																
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES																																																		
4. PROJECT TITLE PROJECTS \$400,000 AND UNDER - FY 94		5. PROJECT NUMBER VARIOUS																																																
<p><u>STATE AND LOCATION</u></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><u>PROJECT NUMBER</u></th> <th><u>PROJECT TITLE</u></th> <th><u>COST</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3">LOUISIANA</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hammond ANG Communication Station PN KAFF909558</td> <td>Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks</td> <td>350</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Replace six underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">LOUISIANA</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New Orleans NAS ANG PN KAFF909558</td> <td>Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks</td> <td>350</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Replace six underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">MISSISSIPPI</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Gulfport-Biloxi Regional Airport PN JTVE909660</td> <td>Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks</td> <td>335</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Replace six underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">MONTANA</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Great Falls IAP ANG PN JKSE909622</td> <td>Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks</td> <td>400</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Replace six underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">NEW MEXICO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Kirtland Air Force Base PN MDMV929934</td> <td>Alter Operational Training Facility</td> <td>390</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Alteration of areas vacated by medical training function that is moving to FY 90 MCP facility. Replacement of heating/ventilation/air conditioning systems. Replacement and upgrade of fire detection and suppression systems. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			<u>PROJECT NUMBER</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST</u>	LOUISIANA			Hammond ANG Communication Station PN KAFF909558	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	350	Replace six underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)			LOUISIANA			New Orleans NAS ANG PN KAFF909558	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	350	Replace six underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)			MISSISSIPPI			Gulfport-Biloxi Regional Airport PN JTVE909660	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	335	Replace six underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)			MONTANA			Great Falls IAP ANG PN JKSE909622	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	400	Replace six underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)			NEW MEXICO			Kirtland Air Force Base PN MDMV929934	Alter Operational Training Facility	390	Alteration of areas vacated by medical training function that is moving to FY 90 MCP facility. Replacement of heating/ventilation/air conditioning systems. Replacement and upgrade of fire detection and suppression systems. (Current Mission)		
<u>PROJECT NUMBER</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST</u>																																																
LOUISIANA																																																		
Hammond ANG Communication Station PN KAFF909558	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	350																																																
Replace six underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)																																																		
LOUISIANA																																																		
New Orleans NAS ANG PN KAFF909558	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	350																																																
Replace six underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)																																																		
MISSISSIPPI																																																		
Gulfport-Biloxi Regional Airport PN JTVE909660	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	335																																																
Replace six underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)																																																		
MONTANA																																																		
Great Falls IAP ANG PN JKSE909622	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	400																																																
Replace six underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)																																																		
NEW MEXICO																																																		
Kirtland Air Force Base PN MDMV929934	Alter Operational Training Facility	390																																																
Alteration of areas vacated by medical training function that is moving to FY 90 MCP facility. Replacement of heating/ventilation/air conditioning systems. Replacement and upgrade of fire detection and suppression systems. (Current Mission)																																																		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993																																																
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES																																																		
4. PROJECT TITLE PROJECTS \$400,000 AND UNDER - FY 94		5. PROJECT NUMBER VARIOUS																																																
<p><u>STATE AND LOCATION</u></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><u>PROJECT NUMBER</u></th> <th><u>PROJECT TITLE</u></th> <th><u>COST</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3">NEW MEXICO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Kirtland Air Force Base PN MHMV899523</td> <td>Alter Maintenance Shops</td> <td>345</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Convert the existing aircraft engine inspection, maintenance and repair shop into aircraft ground equipment and general purpose shop(s). The new engine shop project demolishes a building containing the existing shop spaces that are provided new in this project. (New Mission)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">NEW YORK</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Stewart International Airport PN WHAY929777</td> <td>Industrial Waste Holding Pond</td> <td>320</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Holding pond consisting of earth berms, reinforced liner, diversion structure, piping, electric power and associated access. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">NORTH DAKOTA</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hector International Airport PN KKA929813</td> <td>Upgrade Storm Drainage</td> <td>400</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Construct reinforced concrete pipe culvert in an open drainage ditch. Upgrade utilities and roads. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">SOUTH DAKOTA</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Joe Foss Field ANG PN LUXC899718</td> <td>Alter Composite Operations and Training Facility</td> <td>350</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Alteration of areas vacated by the functions to be relocated in the Composite Support Facility. The alteration will match existing Squadron Operations Facility and O&T facilities. Asbestos removal and roof repairs in Buildings 30 and 60. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">WASHINGTON</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Camp Murray ANG Station PN ETRD909584</td> <td>Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks</td> <td>380</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Replace five underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			<u>PROJECT NUMBER</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST</u>	NEW MEXICO			Kirtland Air Force Base PN MHMV899523	Alter Maintenance Shops	345	Convert the existing aircraft engine inspection, maintenance and repair shop into aircraft ground equipment and general purpose shop(s). The new engine shop project demolishes a building containing the existing shop spaces that are provided new in this project. (New Mission)			NEW YORK			Stewart International Airport PN WHAY929777	Industrial Waste Holding Pond	320	Holding pond consisting of earth berms, reinforced liner, diversion structure, piping, electric power and associated access. (Current Mission)			NORTH DAKOTA			Hector International Airport PN KKA929813	Upgrade Storm Drainage	400	Construct reinforced concrete pipe culvert in an open drainage ditch. Upgrade utilities and roads. (Current Mission)			SOUTH DAKOTA			Joe Foss Field ANG PN LUXC899718	Alter Composite Operations and Training Facility	350	Alteration of areas vacated by the functions to be relocated in the Composite Support Facility. The alteration will match existing Squadron Operations Facility and O&T facilities. Asbestos removal and roof repairs in Buildings 30 and 60. (Current Mission)			WASHINGTON			Camp Murray ANG Station PN ETRD909584	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	380	Replace five underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)		
<u>PROJECT NUMBER</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST</u>																																																
NEW MEXICO																																																		
Kirtland Air Force Base PN MHMV899523	Alter Maintenance Shops	345																																																
Convert the existing aircraft engine inspection, maintenance and repair shop into aircraft ground equipment and general purpose shop(s). The new engine shop project demolishes a building containing the existing shop spaces that are provided new in this project. (New Mission)																																																		
NEW YORK																																																		
Stewart International Airport PN WHAY929777	Industrial Waste Holding Pond	320																																																
Holding pond consisting of earth berms, reinforced liner, diversion structure, piping, electric power and associated access. (Current Mission)																																																		
NORTH DAKOTA																																																		
Hector International Airport PN KKA929813	Upgrade Storm Drainage	400																																																
Construct reinforced concrete pipe culvert in an open drainage ditch. Upgrade utilities and roads. (Current Mission)																																																		
SOUTH DAKOTA																																																		
Joe Foss Field ANG PN LUXC899718	Alter Composite Operations and Training Facility	350																																																
Alteration of areas vacated by the functions to be relocated in the Composite Support Facility. The alteration will match existing Squadron Operations Facility and O&T facilities. Asbestos removal and roof repairs in Buildings 30 and 60. (Current Mission)																																																		
WASHINGTON																																																		
Camp Murray ANG Station PN ETRD909584	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	380																																																
Replace five underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)																																																		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993																																																
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES																																																		
4. PROJECT TITLE PROJECTS \$400,000 AND UNDER - FY 94		5. PROJECT NUMBER VARIOUS																																																
<p><u>STATE AND LOCATION</u></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><u>PROJECT NUMBER</u></th> <th><u>PROJECT TITLE</u></th> <th><u>COST</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3">WASHINGTON</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Four Lakes Communications Station PN GXTN909575</td> <td>Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks</td> <td>360</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Replace six underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">WASHINGTON</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Paine Field ANG Station PN STDF909570</td> <td>Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks</td> <td>320</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Replace four underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">WASHINGTON</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Seattle Air National Guard Base PN VFUL909594</td> <td>Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks</td> <td>320</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Replace three underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">WEST VIRGINIA</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EWVRA Shepherd Field ANG PN PJVY909875</td> <td>Add to Aerial Port Training Facility</td> <td>390</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Includes a parachute drying tower and an addition to the Aerial Delivery Section. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">WEST VIRGINIA</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Yeager Airport ANG PN LYBH909659</td> <td>Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks</td> <td>370</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Replace five underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			<u>PROJECT NUMBER</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST</u>	WASHINGTON			Four Lakes Communications Station PN GXTN909575	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	360	Replace six underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)			WASHINGTON			Paine Field ANG Station PN STDF909570	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	320	Replace four underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)			WASHINGTON			Seattle Air National Guard Base PN VFUL909594	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	320	Replace three underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)			WEST VIRGINIA			EWVRA Shepherd Field ANG PN PJVY909875	Add to Aerial Port Training Facility	390	Includes a parachute drying tower and an addition to the Aerial Delivery Section. (Current Mission)			WEST VIRGINIA			Yeager Airport ANG PN LYBH909659	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	370	Replace five underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)		
<u>PROJECT NUMBER</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST</u>																																																
WASHINGTON																																																		
Four Lakes Communications Station PN GXTN909575	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	360																																																
Replace six underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)																																																		
WASHINGTON																																																		
Paine Field ANG Station PN STDF909570	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	320																																																
Replace four underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)																																																		
WASHINGTON																																																		
Seattle Air National Guard Base PN VFUL909594	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	320																																																
Replace three underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)																																																		
WEST VIRGINIA																																																		
EWVRA Shepherd Field ANG PN PJVY909875	Add to Aerial Port Training Facility	390																																																
Includes a parachute drying tower and an addition to the Aerial Delivery Section. (Current Mission)																																																		
WEST VIRGINIA																																																		
Yeager Airport ANG PN LYBH909659	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	370																																																
Replace five underground fuel storage tanks to conform to EPA regulations, to preclude contamination of soil and aquifer, including all site work and restoration. (Current Mission)																																																		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE MAR 1993																					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS - OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES																							
4. PROJECT TITLE PROJECTS \$400,000 AND UNDER - FY 94		5. PROJECT NUMBER VARIOUS																					
<p><u>STATE AND LOCATION</u></p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th><u>PROJECT NUMBER</u></th> <th><u>PROJECT TITLE</u></th> <th><u>COST</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3">GUAM</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Andersen Air Force Base PN AJJY929856</td> <td>Base Supplies and Equipment Warehouse</td> <td>400</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Slab on grade construction, foundation, masonry walls, concrete columns, and roof. Includes site preparation, utilities, and pavements. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">PUERTO RICO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Puerto Rico IAP PN TUMR929745</td> <td>Add to and Alter Avionics Shop</td> <td>320</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Addition to Avionics Shop to support Avionics/ECM for conversion to F-16 aircraft. New construction to match existing. Minor alterations to shops to accommodate new equipment. (New Mission)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			<u>PROJECT NUMBER</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST</u>	GUAM			Andersen Air Force Base PN AJJY929856	Base Supplies and Equipment Warehouse	400	Slab on grade construction, foundation, masonry walls, concrete columns, and roof. Includes site preparation, utilities, and pavements. (Current Mission)			PUERTO RICO			Puerto Rico IAP PN TUMR929745	Add to and Alter Avionics Shop	320	Addition to Avionics Shop to support Avionics/ECM for conversion to F-16 aircraft. New construction to match existing. Minor alterations to shops to accommodate new equipment. (New Mission)		
<u>PROJECT NUMBER</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST</u>																					
GUAM																							
Andersen Air Force Base PN AJJY929856	Base Supplies and Equipment Warehouse	400																					
Slab on grade construction, foundation, masonry walls, concrete columns, and roof. Includes site preparation, utilities, and pavements. (Current Mission)																							
PUERTO RICO																							
Puerto Rico IAP PN TUMR929745	Add to and Alter Avionics Shop	320																					
Addition to Avionics Shop to support Avionics/ECM for conversion to F-16 aircraft. New construction to match existing. Minor alterations to shops to accommodate new equipment. (New Mission)																							

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	MAR 1993
ANG					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS (UNSPECIFIED)			PLANNING AND DESIGN		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
55296F	010-000	AAAA919560	\$9,900		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PLANNING AND DESIGN		LS			9,900
SUBTOTAL					9,900
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					9,900
TOTAL REQUEST					9,900
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					9,900
10. Description of Proposed Construction: The funds requested will provide for final design of facilities and to achieve full evaluation for each project in terms of technical adequacy and estimated cost. In addition, the funds are required to prepare working drawings, specifications, and project reports for the design of construction projects to be included in future Military Construction Programs.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. REQUIREMENT: The FY 94 design funds are needed to design projects for the FY 95 and 96 MILCON program.					

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
JUSTIFICATION OF ESTIMATES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1994

APPROPRIATION: MILITARY CONSTRUCTION -- AIR NATIONAL GUARD

PROGRAM 313: PLANNING AND DESIGN \$9,900,000

PART I -- PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The funds estimated for this program are to provide financing for project planning and design of the construction requirements for the Air National Guard.

PART II -- JUSTIFICATION OF FUNDS REQUESTED

The funds required for Planning and Design will provide for establishing project construction design of facilities and for achieving a full evaluation of each designed project in terms of technical adequacy and estimated costs.

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE MAR 1993	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS (UNSPECIFIED)			4. PROJECT TITLE UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 020-000	7. PROJECT NUMBER AAAA919561	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$4,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION		LS			4,000
MINOR CONSTRUCTION		LS			(4,000)
SUBTOTAL					4,000
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					4,000
TOTAL REQUEST					4,000
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					4,000
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Provide a lump sum for construction projects not otherwise authorized by law, having a funding of \$400,000 or less, including construction, alteration or conversion of permanent or temporary facilities. The Secretary of the Air Force has the authority to approve projects of this nature under the provisions of 10 U. S. C. 2233a.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. REQUIREMENT: This program provides the means of accomplishing projects not exceeding \$400,000 that are not now identified, but which are anticipated to arise during FY 93 and early FY 94 to satisfy critical, unforeseen mission requirements. CURRENT SITUATION: During FY 93 ANG will undergo numerous aircraft conversions and beddowns. Many urgent facilities requirements not now identified may need to be done on an urgent basis to support the arrival of new aircraft and equipment. Past records indicate that additional conversion projects are identified by the Site Activation Task Force. This is a management team that arrives on base and conducts a program review to insure a successful and on time aircraft conversion. Unforeseen and urgent environmental requirements to meet the state and federal laws are also typical projects that must be accomplished.					

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
JUSTIFICATION OF ESTIMATES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1994

APPROPRIATION: MILITARY CONSTRUCTION -- AIR NATIONAL GUARD

PROGRAM 341: UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION \$4,000,000

PART I -- PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The funds estimated for this program are to provide financing for new construction and alteration projects having cost estimates not exceeding \$400,000 which are not otherwise authorized by law.

PART II -- JUSTIFICATION OF FUNDS REQUESTED

The funds required for Minor Construction will finance projects for which the justification is such that they should not be included in the regular Military Construction Program for the Air National Guard and such that they exceed the minor construction work authorization in the Operations and Maintenance Appropriation.

FY 1994 Budget Estimates

AIR FORCE RESERVE



FY 94 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

April 1993

Justification Data Submitted to Congress

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
AIR FORCE RESERVE
JUSTIFICATION OF ESTIMATES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1994
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	i
FY 1994 Project Listing By State	ii
FY 1994 New/Current Mission Listing	iv
FY 1994 Real Property Maintenance Projects Over \$500,000	v

SECTION 1 - BUDGET APPENDIX EXTRACT

Language	b-i
Program and Financing Schedule	b-ii
Object Classification Schedule	b-ii
Special Program Considerations	b-iii

SECTION 2 - INSTALLATION AND PROJECT JUSTIFICATION DATA

Major Construction, Air Force Reserve	1
Architectural/Engineering Services and Construction Design	62
Unspecified Minor Construction	64

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
AIR FORCE RESERVE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

MAJOR CONSTRUCTION

FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION STATE LIST

<u>STATE/ COUNTRY</u>	<u>INSTALLATION AND PROJECT</u>	<u>AUTH AMOUNT</u>	<u>APPROP AMOUNT</u>	DD FORM 1391 <u>PAGE #</u>
California	Travis AFB			
	Alter Reserve Operations and Training Facility	4,000	4,000	3
	Aerial Port Training Facility	<u>3,050</u>	<u>3,050</u>	5
	SUBTOTAL	7,050	7,050	
Colorado	Peterson AFB			
	Organizational Maintenance Support Facility	<u>1,200</u>	<u>1,200</u>	9
	SUBTOTAL	1,200	1,200	
Florida	MacDill AFB			
	Aeromedical Evacuation Facility	<u>750</u>	<u>750</u>	13
	SUBTOTAL	750	750	
Louisiana	Barksdale AFB			
	Welding and Machine Shop	<u>600</u>	<u>600</u>	17
	SUBTOTAL	600	600	
Maryland	Andrews AFB			
	Replace Aircraft Parking Apron	<u>13,373</u>	<u>13,373</u>	21
	SUBTOTAL	13,373	13,373	
Massachusetts	Westover ARB			
	Medical Training Facility	<u>2,600</u>	<u>2,600</u>	25
	SUBTOTAL	2,600	2,600	
New Mexico	Kirtland AFB			
	Civil Engineering Training Facility	<u>900</u>	<u>900</u>	29
	SUBTOTAL	900	900	
New York	Niagara Falls ARS			
	Base Communications Center	<u>1,300</u>	<u>1,300</u>	33
	SUBTOTAL	1,300	1,300	

**AIR FORCE RESERVE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

MAJOR CONSTRUCTION

FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION STATE LIST

<u>STATE/ COUNTRY</u>	<u>INSTALLATION AND PROJECT</u>	<u>AUTH AMOUNT</u>	<u>APPROP AMOUNT</u>	<u>DD FORM 1391 PAGE #</u>
Ohio	Youngstown ARS			
	Widen Aircraft Parking Apron	<u>1,450</u>	<u>1,450</u>	37
	SUBTOTAL	1,450	1,450	
Pennsylvania	Greater Pittsburgh ARS			
	Off Base Firing Range	1,300	1,300	41
	Jet Fuel Storage Complex	<u>4,300</u>	<u>4,300</u>	44
	SUBTOTAL	5,600	5,600	
Texas	Kelly AFB			
	RED HORSE Structural/Utility Facility	<u>2,300</u>	<u>2,300</u>	48
	SUBTOTAL	2,300	2,300	
Wisconsin	General Mitchell ARS			
	Add Fire Protection to Aircraft Hangars	1,500	1,500	53
	Upgrade Base Fuels Complex	<u>1,800</u>	<u>1,800</u>	55
	SUBTOTAL	3,300	3,300	
XX	CONUS Classified			
	Construct Aircraft Parking Apron	<u>8,000</u>	<u>8,000</u>	60
	SUBTOTAL	8,000	8,000	
	TOTAL IN THE UNITED STATES	48,423	48,423	
Worldwide	Arch & Eng Svcs and Const Design	3,400	3,400	62
	Unspecified Minor Construction	<u>3,904</u>	<u>3,904</u>	64
	GRAND TOTAL	55,727	55,727	

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
AIR FORCE RESERVE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

MAJOR CONSTRUCTION

FY 1994 NEW MISSION/CURRENT MISSION LISTING

<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>COST</u>	<u>NEW/ CURRENT</u>
Travis AFB, CA	Alter Reserve Operations and Training Facility	4,000	Current
Travis AFB, CA	Aerial Port Training Facility	3,050	Current
Peterson AFB, CO	Organizational Maintenance Support Facility	1,200	Current
MacDill AFB, FL	Aeromedical Evacuation Facility	750	Current
Barksdale AFB, LA	Welding and Machine Shop	600	Current
Andrews AFB, MD	Replace Aircraft Parking Apron	13,373	Current
Westover ARB, MA	Medical Training Facility	2,600	Current
Kirtland AFB, NM	Civil Engineering Training Facility	900	Current
Niagara Falls ARS, NY	Base Communications Center	1,300	Current
Youngstown ARS, OH	Widen Aircraft Parking Apron	1,450	Current
Greater Pittsburgh ARS, PA	Off Base Firing Range	1,300	Current
Greater Pittsburgh ARS, PA	Jet Fuel Storage Complex	4,300	Current
Kelly AFB, TX	RED HORSE Structural/Utility Facility	2,300	Current
Gen Mitchell ARS, WI	Add Fire Protection to Aircraft Hangars	1,500	Current
Gen Mitchell ARS, WI	Upgrade Base Fuels Complex	1,800	Current
AFR 51 - CONUS Classified	Construct Aircraft Parking Apron	8,000	New
	TOTAL	48,423	
	Subtotals		
	New Mission	8,000	
	Current Mission	40,423	
	Arch & Eng Svcs and Const Design	3,400	
	Unspecified Minor Construction	3,904	
	GRAND TOTAL	55,727	

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
AIR FORCE RESERVE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM - FY 1994
REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES**

Real Property Maintenance and Repair Projects
Estimated to Cost More Than \$500,000

<u>STATE</u>	<u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>EST COST (\$000)</u>
Illinois	O'Hare ARS	Basewide Asbestos Containing Material Repair	1,836

Project conducts a basewide asbestos abatement program. The basewide asbestos survey identified 14 buildings containing friable and damaged asbestos. This project provides for the removal of the asbestos containing material and replacement with material that will not pose a health hazard. This project complies with AFR 19-42.

Massachusetts	Westover ARB	Repair Roof, Hangar 1	634
---------------	--------------	-----------------------	-----

Project repairs by replacement the Hangar 1 roof to correct leaking caused by serious deterioration of the existing roof. The project will provide for new decking, underlayment, flashing and 63,000 square feet of EPDM.

SECTION 1
BUDGET APPENDIX EXTRACT

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
AIR FORCE RESERVE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM**

FY 1994 APPROPRIATION LANGUAGE

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE RESERVE

For acquisition, construction, expansion, rehabilitation and conversion of facilities for the training, administration and operation of the Air Force Reserve, and contribution therefore, as authorized by Chapter 133 of Title 10, United States Code, and military construction acts, \$55,727,000 (\$29,900,000) to remain available until 30 September 1998 (30 September 1997).

() indicates Fiscal Year 1993 appropriation

ML Con., Air Force Reserve
Program and Financing (in Thousands of dollars) Summary

12 MAR 83

Budget Plan (Amounts for MILITARY
CONSTRUCTION actions programmed)

Obligations

Identification code 57-3730-0-1-051

	1982 Actual	1983 Est	1984 Est	1985 Est	1982 Actual	1983 Est	1984 Est	1985 Est
Program by activities:								
Direct program:								
00.0101 Major Construction	2,700	22,700	48,423	30,580	20,289	28,850	40,078	32,967
00.0201 Minor Construction	2,200	4,400	3,804	4,018	3,324	5,392	3,983	3,339
00.0301 Planning	4,800	2,800	3,400	2,800	2,979	3,281	4,504	4,859
10.0001 Total	9,700	29,900	55,727	37,498	26,602	37,523	48,565	41,165
Financing:								
17.020 RECOV PY BAL OP					-20			
Unobligated balances available, start of year:								
21.4002 For completion of prior year budget plans					-83,086	-45,647	-38,024	-45,186
21.020 UNOBS ST, NEWPLAN								
21.4007 Reprogramming from/to prior year budget plans								
23.4002 Reduction pursuant to P.L. 98-177 in unoblig bal: Apr								
Unobligated balances available, end of year:								
24.4002 For completion of prior year budget plans					45,647	38,024	45,186	41,519
25.010 Lapse, U/BAL					-537			
25.0001 Unobligated balance lapsing								
39.020 P&FC ROUNDS, OP								
40.0001 Budget authority (Appropriation)					9,700	29,900	55,727	37,498
Relation of obligations to outlays:								
72.110 UNPAID OB, SOY					35,978	22,850	31,284	32,614
71.0001 Obligations incurred, net					28,802	37,523	48,565	41,165
77.110 OBLIG ADJUSTMENT					420			
78.110 OBLIG ADJUSTMENT					-20			
90.110 PAYMENT CY PROG						2,088	3,901	2,825
90.111 PAYMENT PY PROG					40,130	27,018	43,314	45,782
OUTLAYS								
					40,130	29,106	47,215	48,407
74.110 UNPAID OBL, EOY					22,850	31,284	32,614	25,372

ML Con., Air Force Reserve
Object Classification (in Thousands of dollars) Summary

12 MAR 83

Identification code 57-3730-0-1-051

	1982 Actual	1983 Est	1984 Est	1985 Est
Direct obligations:				
Other services:				
125.003 Contracts	119	297	483	368
132.001 Land and structures	3,462	2,518	2,831	2,461
	3,581	2,816	3,314	2,857
198.001 Total Direct Obligations				
Allocation Accounts				
Other services:				
325.003 Contracts	2,880	5,630	8,258	8,778
322.001 Land Structures	20,161	29,078	38,969	31,530
398.001 Total Allocation Accounts	23,021	34,708	45,251	38,308
998.901 Total obligations				
Obligations are distributed as follows:				
Defense - Military: Army	18,824	27,955	36,191	30,677
Defense - Military: Navy	3,197	4,509	5,837	4,948
Defense - Military: Air Force	3,581	5,085	6,537	5,540
	26,602	37,523	48,565	41,165

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
AIR FORCE RESERVE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM - FISCAL YEAR 1994**

SPECIAL PROGRAM CONSIDERATIONS

Pollution Abatement

The military construction projects proposed in this program will be designed to meet environmental standards. Military construction projects proposed primarily for abatement of existing pollution problems at installations have been reviewed to ensure that corrective action is accomplished in accordance with applicable standards and criteria.

Energy Conservation

Military Construction projects specifically designed for energy conservation at installations have been developed, reviewed and selected with prioritization by energy savings per investment costs. Projects include improvements to existing facilities and utility systems to upgrade design, eliminate waste, and install energy saving devices. Projects are designed for minimum energy consumption.

Floodplain Management and Wetlands Protection

Proposed land acquisitions, disposals and installation construction projects have been planned to allow for the proper management of flood plains and protection of wetlands by avoiding long term impacts, reducing the risk of flood losses, and minimizing the loss or degradation of wetlands. Project planning is in accordance with the requirements of Executive Order Nos. 11988 and 11990.

Design for Accessibility of Physically Handicapped Personnel

In accordance with Public Law 900-400, provisions for physically handicapped personnel will be provided for, where appropriate, in the design of facilities included in this program.

Preservation of Historical Sites and Structures

Facilities in this program do not directly or indirectly affect any district, site, building, structure, object or setting listed in the National of Historic Places, except as noted on DD Form 1391.

Environmental Protection

In accordance with Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Protection Act of 1969 (PL 91-190), the environmental impact analysis process has been completed or is actively underway for all projects in this Military Construction Program.

Economic Analysis

Economics are an inherent aspect of project development and design of military construction projects included in this program represent the most economical use of resources.

Reserve Manpower Potential

The Reserve manpower potential to meet and maintain authorized strengths of all Reserve flying/non-flying units in those areas in which these facilities are to be located has been reviewed. It has been determined, in coordination with all other services having Reserve flying/non-flying units in these areas, that the number of units of the Reserve components of the Armed Forces presently located in these areas, and those which have been allocated to the areas for future activation, is not and will not be larger than the number that can reasonably be expected to be maintained at authorized strength levels considering the number of persons living in these areas who are qualified for membership in those Reserve units.

Potential Use of Vacant Schools & Other State & Local Facilities

The potential use of vacant schools and other state and local owned facilities has been reviewed and analyzed for each facility to be constructed under this program.

Congressional Reporting Requirements

Page vi, titled "New Mission/Current Mission Listing", is in response to a Senate Appropriations Committee requirement contained on page 10 (New and Current Mission Activities) of Report #100-380.

Page b-v, titled "Maintenance and Repair Budget Request", is in response to a Senate Appropriations Committee requirement contained on page 24 (Non-MILCON Construction Activities), Senate and House Conference Report #100-498. In response to another item of this reporting requirement, the Air Force Reserve has no "separate construction project to be accomplished with funds other than family housing and military construction appropriations."

Unless other noted, the projects comply with the scope and design criteria prescribed in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

Resolution Trust Corporation Real Estate Assets

In accordance with guidance contained in Senate Report 101-384, page 282, the Air Force Reserve is in the process of screening Fiscal Year 1993 construction requirements against the Resolution Trust Corporation (RTC) real estate asset inventory.

SECTION 2
PROJECT JUSTIFICATION DATA

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE 1 SEP 92	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA				4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.37	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE UTILIZATION Facility is used daily. Unit training assemblies are two days per month and field training is conducted fifteen days per year.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Air National Guard Unit					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN START	DESIGN COMPLETE
171-445	Alter Reserve Operations and Training Facility	63,000 SF	4,000	4/92	12/92
171-873	Aerial Port Training Facility	18,000 SF	3,050	7/92	6/93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Approved for unilateral construction.				9 Apr 92/17 Mar 93 (Date)	
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED NONE				NONE (Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	YEAR	
NONE					

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE 1 SEP 92	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF							
	TOTAL	PERMANENT			GUARD/RESERVE		
		OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED
AUTHORIZED	29	0	0	29	451	30	421
ACTUAL	29	0	0	29	451	30	421
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
				STRENGTH			
UNIT DESIGNATION				AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL		
349th Airlift Wing (Asso.)				40	40		
45th Aerial Port Squadron (Asso.)				198	198		
82nd Aerial Port Squadron (Asso.)				213	213		
TOTAL				451	451		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
TYPE				NONE	AUTHORIZED		ASSIGNED

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. DATE	
USAFR		(computer generated)			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			ALTER RESERVE OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
55396F	171-445	XDAT943017	4,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ALTER RESERVE OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY		SF	63,000	54	3,402
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					45
UTILITIES		LS			(25)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(10)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(10)
SUBTOTAL					3,447
CONTINGENCY (10%)					345
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					3,792
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					228
TOTAL REQUEST					4,020
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					4,000
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace roof, exterior closures and siding. Remove asbestos. Repair plumbing, re-wire, and change interior room arrangement. Replace heating system and repair air conditioning system. Replace ceiling, floor tile and carpeting. Provide handicap access. Landscape.					
Air Conditioning: 94 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 63,340 SF ADEQUATE: 21,908 SF SUBSTANDARD: 52,267 SF					
PROJECT: General repair of exterior/interior finishes of walls and doors. Landscape. Alter/modify interior to accommodate the operations functions. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: Provide a more functional, safe and adequate facility in which the reserve training mission can be performed.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The facility was constructed in 1946 as an enlisted barracks. Heavy usage and age have degraded structural, mechanical, and electrical components. Furnaces are old, inefficient, and difficult to repair due to non-availability of parts. Major portions of the facility have been air conditioned using a combination of central and window units. The uncomfortable surroundings create an unsafe, inefficient, and ineffective training environment.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Training will remain degraded, resulting in reduced proficiency, morale, and retention of aircrews and support personnel. The unit's ability to fully augment to active force will be decreased.					
ADDITIONAL: Replacement cost information - Repair costs are approximately 44% of the cost to construct a new, replacement facility.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
USAFR		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
ALTER RESERVE OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY	XDAT943017	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 APR 23
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		100%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 MAY 23
(d) Date Design Complete		92 DEC 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		75
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		75
(d) Contract		65
(e) In-house		10
(4) Construction Start		94 MAY
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			4. PROJECT TITLE AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55396F	6. CATEGORY CODE 171-873	7. PROJECT NUMBER XDAT949001	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 3,050		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY	SF	18,000	150	2,700	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				55	
UTILITIES	LS			(40)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(10)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(5)	
SUBTOTAL				2,755	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				138	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,893	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				174	
TOTAL REQUEST				3,067	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,050	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Masonry walls, concrete foundation and floor slab, steel frame and built-up roof. Air Conditioning: 10 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 18,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 8,512 SF PROJECT: Construct a new aerial port training facility to provide training, operational and administrative space for six (6) aerial port squadrons. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: An adequate facility is required for training reserve mobile aerial port squadron personnel in the processing and build-up of aircraft cargo loads for mobility, transport and airdrop missions; thus providing additional airlift resources to the active force under activation conditions. CURRENT SITUATION: Since initially occupying the current facility the organization has grown increasing the number of personnel. The existing building provides an inefficient and ineffective training environment. The existing occupied space is unsuitable and substandard. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Continued operation in this existing substandard facility will adversely impact training and morale.					

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER XDAT949001
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		65%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUN 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		240
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		240
(d) Contract		240
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE 1 SEP 92	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO				4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.96	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE UTILIZATION Facility is used daily. Unit training assemblies are four days per month and field training is conducted fifteen days per year.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS Fort Carson Falcon Air Force Base					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN START	DESIGN COMPLETE
211-154	Organizational Maintenance Support Facility	8,400 SF	1,200	8/92	4/93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Approved for unilateral construction.					
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED NONE				16 Mar 93 (Date)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	YEAR	
NONE					

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE 1 SEP 92		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION							
PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF							
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>PERMANENT OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>GUARD/RESERVE TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	5	0	0	5	13	0	13
ACTUAL	5	0	0	5	13	0	13
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>				<u>STRENGTH</u>			
302 Consolidated Aircraft Maintenance Squadron (Survival Equipment Only)				<u>AUTHORIZED</u> 8 <u>ACTUAL</u> 8			
731 Tactical Airlift Squadron				5 5			
TOTAL				13 13			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
<u>TYPE</u> C-130B				<u>AUTHORIZED</u> 16		<u>ASSIGNED</u> 16	

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO			4. PROJECT TITLE ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SUPPORT FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55396F	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-154	7. PROJECT NUMBER TDKA929202	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 1,200		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SUPPORT FACILITY	SF	8,400	110	924	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				170	
UTILITIES	LS			(25)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(120)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(25)	
SUBTOTAL				1,094	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				55	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,149	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				69	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,218	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,200	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Site preparation, utilities, and paving; reinforced concrete stem system wall and slab foundation; structural steel frame and metal roof; brick and metal siding exterior walls; concrete block and drywall interior walls; metal and wood doors and frames; aluminum windows; miscellaneous finishes; toilets, locker rooms and plumbing, mechanical, and electrical. Air Conditioning: 5 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 8,400 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 6,227 SF PROJECT: Construct addition to existing Maintenance Inspection Hangar to serve as an Aircraft Organizational Maintenance facility. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: The 302 CAMS needs adequate space for its Organizational Maintenance function to be used by 116 personnel. The new facility will include space for Management Support, Computer Room, Flight line, Inspection Support functions and Support Equipment Shop storage area. Other Support Equipment space will be used to store fire suppression spray equipment. Class rooms will be required as well as a paved parking lot for 100 cars. CURRENT SITUATION: At present, personnel are required to operate in a modular building that is designated for demolition. Because of inadequate space the unit is forced to split their functions and work out of two locations. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this building addition is not constructed, the 302nd CAMS Organizational Maintenance function will continue to operate in a facility that has less than adequate space for its personnel, which has a negative impact on training Reservists and cannot efficiently support the maintenance required by the flying mission.					

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO		
4. PROJECT TITLE ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SUPPORT FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER TDKA929202
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 09
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		65%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 NOV 17
(d) Date Design Complete		93 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		139
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		139
(d) Contract		108
(e) In-house		31
(4) Construction Start 93 DEC		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE 1 SEP 92	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA				4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.94	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE UTILIZATION Facility is used daily. Unit training assemblies area two days per month and field training is conducted fifteen days per year.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 2 Army Installations 1 Coast Guard Air Station 1 Air National Guard Unit					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN START	DESIGN COMPLETE
171-449	Reserve Forces Aeromedical Evacuation	5,700 SF	750	4/92	1/93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION				23 JAN 92 (Date)	
Approved for unilateral construction.					
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED NONE				NONE (Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	YEAR	
NONE					

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE 1 SEP 92		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION							
MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 31 MAR 92							
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>PERMANENT OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>GUARD/RESERVE TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	16	0	0	16	402	79	323
ACTUAL	11	0	0	11	384	77	307
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>				<u>STRENGTH</u>			
				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
37th Aeromedical Evacuation Group				402	384		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
<u>TYPE</u>			NONE	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
NONE							

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA			AEROMEDICAL EVACUATION FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
55396F	171-449	NVZR870503	750		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
AEROMEDICAL EVACUATION FACILITY		SF	5,400	100	540
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					135
ELECTRICAL		LS			(15)
PLUMBING		LS			(15)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(20)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(10)
DEMOLITION		LS			(10)
PARKING		SP	50	1,000	(50)
FLAMMABLE STORAGE FACILITY		SF	300	50	(15)
SUBTOTAL					675
CONTINGENCY (5%)					34
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					709
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					43
TOTAL REQUEST					752
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					750
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a facility with concrete foundation and floor slab, extruded CMU block and metal standing seam roof to match existing base construction. Project includes demolition of two small storage buildings, and construction of a new flammable storage building. Also includes 50 parking spaces and utilities necessary to support the primary facility. Air Conditioning: 14 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 21,668 SF ADEQUATE: 15,968 SF SUBSTANDARD: 560 SF PROJECT: Construct a new facility to provide adequate space for communication and mobility training, equipment maintenance, and storage. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Project is required to overcome current space deficiencies and accommodate a 30 percent growth in authorized manning and equipment. Includes classrooms, administrative area, a radio maintenance shop, and communication and mobility equipment storage areas. A roof-mounted linear antenna is required. CURRENT SITUATION: Radio maintenance personnel work in an overcrowded area. No space exists in the Reserve area of the base for proper storage. Mobility equipment exceeds available storage space. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Lack of adequate training, administrative and storage space will continue to have a negative impact on the efficiency and effectiveness of training and will ultimately degrade the capability of the unit to perform its wartime mission.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA USAFR (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
AEROMEDICAL EVACUATION FACILITY	NVER870503	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 APR 15
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		100%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 10
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JAN 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		130
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		130
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		130
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE 1 SEP 92
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA					4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.86
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE UTILIZATION Facility is used daily. Unit training assemblies are two days per month and field training is conducted fifteen days per year.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS Army Reserve Unit Army National Guard Unit					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN START	DESIGN COMPLETE
211-152	Welding and Machine Shop	5,200 SF	600	1/92	3/93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Approved for unilateral construction.					
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED NONE					15 Mar 93 (Date)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	YEAR	
NONE					

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE 1 SEP 92	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 31 MAR 92							
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>PERMANENT</u>			<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>		
		<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	22	0	0	22	1027	127	900
ACTUAL	22	0	0	22	966	107	859
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>				<u>STRENGTH</u>			
				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
917th Fighter Wing				1027	966		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
<u>TYPE</u>				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
A-10A				33	44		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA			WELDING AND MACHINE SHOP		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
55396F	211-152	AWUB919801	600		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
WELDING AND MACHINE SHOP	SF	5,200	99	515	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				25	
UTILITIES	LS			(10)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(5)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(10)	
SUBTOTAL				540	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				27	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				567	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				34	
TOTAL REQUEST				601	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				600	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and slab on grade floor. CMU walls, steel frame and standing seam roof system. Extend and connect utilities. Provide fire protection system. Air Conditioning: 2 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 5,200 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct a 5200 SF welding and machine shop. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: To provide adequate facilities to train Reserve maintenance personnel and provide adequate welding/machine shop support for the assigned A-10 aircraft. CURRENT SITUATION: The present joint-use facility (960 SF) does not provide adequate space for the shop functions. Jet engines and aircraft support equipment are welded outside, in a fire lane, which violates safety codes and exposes pedestrian traffic to arc and gas welding dangers. Current inside shop area does not provide space for appropriate equipment and violates minimum distance criteria from operating machinery. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Unit personnel will continue to be exposed to health and safety hazards. The unit's ability to train maintenance personnel and support the flying mission will deteriorate.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
WELDING AND MACHINE SHOP	AWUB919801	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JAN 03
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		65%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 FEB 03
(d) Date Design Complete		93 MAR 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE 1 SEP 92
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND				4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.05	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE UTILIZATION Facility is used daily. Unit training assemblies are four days per month and field training is conducted fifteen days per year.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS Naval Reserve Unit Air National Guard Unit					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN START	DESIGN COMPLETE
113-321	Replace Aircraft Parking Apron	94,000 SY	13,373	5/92	7/93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Approved for unilateral construction via telephonic Board Meeting per OSD(RA).					
				12 Mar 93 (Date)	
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED NONE				NONE (Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	YEAR	
NONE					

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE 1 SEP 92
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND		
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF		
	<u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u> <u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	247 0 4 243	1,091 150 941
ACTUAL	236 0 4 232	1,107 160 947
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA		
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>	
	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>
459 Airlift Wing	1,091	1,107
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT		
<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>
C-141B	8	8

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55396P	6. CATEGORY CODE 113-321	7. PROJECT NUMBER AJXF929001	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 13,373		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
REPLACE AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	SY	94,000	115	10,810	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				660	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(620)	
STORM DRAINAGE	LS			(40)	
SUBTOTAL				11,470	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				1,147	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				12,617	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				757	
TOTAL REQUEST				13,374	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				13,373	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace existing apron with thicker portland cement concrete to support medium load aircraft.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 94,000 SY ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 94,000 SY PROJECT: Upgrade the AFRES parking apron by replacing the existing pavement to support continued strategic airlift operations. (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: Provide a portland concrete cement apron appropriate to support sustained operations of C-141B aircraft. CURRENT SITUATION: The pavement on the Reserve ramp was originally designed for C-130 operations. Since the Reserve airlift unit converted to eight C-141B aircraft the pavement has rapidly deteriorated. A survey by the Air Force Pavement Evaluation Team determined that the existing pavement is seriously under strength and will not support continued operations by the assigned aircraft. The existing pavement is breaking up and creating a serious FOD hazard to operations. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force personnel and aircraft will be exposed to increasingly severe levels of risk with the potential for catastrophic damage. ADDITIONAL: This project complies with the scope and design criteria of the Construction Manual 4270.0-M effective 1 Jan 87.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA USAFR (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON		5. PROJECT NUMBER AJXF929001
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 MAY 04
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		65%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 SEP 18
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 23
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		230
(b) All Other Design Costs		30
(c) Total		260
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		260
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE 1 SEP 92	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WESTOVER AIR FORCE BASE, MASSACHUSETTS				4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.16	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE UTILIZATION Facilities are used daily. Unit training assemblies are two days per month and field training is conducted fifteen days per year.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army Gaurd Unit 1 Naval Reserve Unit 1 Air National Guard Unit					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN START	DESIGN COMPLETE
171-443	Medical Training Facility	16,000 SF	2,600	1/92	3/93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Approved for unilateral construction.					
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED NONE				15 Mar 93 (Date)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	YEAR	
411-135	UST Upgrade Basewide	20 EA	1,000	95	
112-211	Replace Taxiway "G"	LS	5,100	95	
411-135	Jet Fuel Storage	10,000 BL	2,450	96	

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE 1 SEP 92		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WESTOVER AIR FORCE BASE, MASSACHUSETTS							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF							
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>PERMANENT</u>		<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>		
		<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	<u>13</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>249</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>150</u>
ACTUAL	<u>15</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>255</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>161</u>
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>				<u>STRENGTH</u>			
				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
74 Aeromedical Evacuation Squadron				150	161		
439 USAF Clinic				<u>99</u>	<u>94</u>		
TOTAL				249	255		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
<u>TYPE</u>				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
C-5A				14	16		

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)				2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WESTOVER AIR RESERVE BASE, MASSACHUSETTS			4. PROJECT TITLE MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55396F	6. CATEGORY CODE 171-443	7. PROJECT NUMBER YTPM929001	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 2,600		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	SF	16,000	120	1,920	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				405	
UTILITIES	LS			(125)	
TRANSFORMER	LS			(60)	
EMERGENCY POWER	LS			(15)	
SECURITY/EXTERIOR LIGHTING	LS			(15)	
COMMUNICATIONS	LS			(10)	
DEMOLITION (BUILDING)	LS			(60)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS/PAVEMENTS	LS			(95)	
HOLDING TANK (DECONTAMINATION)	LS			(25)	
SUBTOTAL				2,325	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				116	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,441	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				146	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,587	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,600	
<p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a medical training facility consisting of all structural, mechanical, electrical, plumbing, and masonry necessary to provide a complete functional facility. Air Conditioning: 50 Tons.</p> <p>11. REQUIREMENT: 16,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 11,307 SF PROJECT: Provide a facility for the AFRES host base medical support squadron. The facility includes space for administrative, examination, training clinics, storage, and mechanical space. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: The C-5A conversion requires an additional 40-50 medical personnel to support the increased size of the wing. This severely stresses the ability of the medical personnel to function efficiently in the existing building. CURRENT SITUATION: The original wooden structure built in 1953, and the concrete masonry addition built in 1963 does not accommodate the new mission. It will be demolished following the occupancy of this new facility. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The doubling of personnel creates impossible conditions within this deteriorated and outdated facility, and the C-5A missions will continue to be compromised.</p>					

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE 1 SEP 92	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO				4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.92	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE UTILIZATION Facility is used daily. Unit training assemblies are two days per month.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS Air National Guard Unit					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN START	DESIGN COMPLETE
171-443	Civil Engineering Training Facility	6,600 SF	900	4/89	11/92
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Approved for unilateral construction.					
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED NONE				28 Jan 93 (Date)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	YEAR	
NONE					

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE 1 SEP 92		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF							
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>PERMANENT OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>GUARD/RESERVE TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	2	0	0	2	125	6	119
ACTUAL	3	0	0	3	125	6	119
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>					<u>STRENGTH</u>		
					<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>	
925 Civil Engineering Squadron					125	125	
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
<u>TYPE</u> NONE				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>		

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO			4. PROJECT TITLE CIVIL ENGINEERING TRAINING FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55396F	6. CATEGORY CODE 171-443	7. PROJECT NUMBER MHMV919000	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 900		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
CIVIL ENGINEERING TRAINING FACILITY	SF	6,600	98	647	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				160	
UTILITIES	LS			(90)	
PREWIRING	LS			(20)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(30)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(20)	
SUBTOTAL				807	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				40	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				847	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				51	
TOTAL REQUEST				898	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				900	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construction consists of concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls, concrete and steel roof. Work includes all supporting utilities, pavements, and mechanical/electrical space. Evaporative cooling will be used.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 6,600 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 4,342 SF PROJECT: Construct a civil engineering training facility (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized and functionally configured facility is required to provide training classrooms, mobility storage, operations area and administrative space. CURRENT SITUATION: The 925th Civil Engineering Squadron currently occupies a deficient quonset hut warehouse. The facility has inadequate climate control and ventilation making the environment completely unsuitable for training. Mobility storage space and areas to hold squadron training sessions is non-existent. The building cannot be upgraded to meet the needs of the unit. It will be returned to the base upon completion of this project. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Lack of an adequate facility will hinder effective training and have a negative impact on the unit's readiness capability. The unit will not be able to safely secure its mission essential mobility equipment. ADDITIONAL: This project complies with the scope and design criteria of the Construction Manual 4270.1-M effective 1 Jan 87.					

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE CIVIL ENGINEERING TRAINING FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER MHMV919000
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		89 APR 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		90%
(c) Date 35% Designed		91 APR 01
(d) Date Design Complete		92 NOV 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		31
(b) All Other Design Costs		178
(c) Total		209
(d) Contract		31
(e) In-house		178
(4) Construction Start 93 DEC		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION			2. DATE 1 SEP 92	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NIAGARA FALLS IAP - AIR RESERVE STATION, NEW YORK					4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.04	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE UTILIZATION Facility is used daily. Unit training assemblies are two days per month and field training is conducted fifteen days per year.						
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS Air National Guard Army National Guard Unit						
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM						
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN START	DESIGN COMPLETE	
113-111	Base Communication Center	7,000 SF	1,300	6/92	1/93	
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION						
Approval for unilateral construction pending				18 Nov 92 (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED						
NONE				NONE (Number of Acres)		
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS						
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	YEAR		
211-179	Fuel Systems Maintenance Hangar	24,400	4,800	95		
211-159	Corrosion Control Facility	2,700	700	95		

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE 1 SEP 92							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION									
NIAGARA FALLS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT - AIR RESERVE STATION, NEW YORK									
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF									
	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width: 15%;"></th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">TOTAL</th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">PERMANENT OFFICER</th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">ENLISTED</th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">CIVILIAN</th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">GUARD/RESERVE TOTAL</th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">OFFICER</th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">ENLISTED</th> </tr> </table>		TOTAL	PERMANENT OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	GUARD/RESERVE TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED
	TOTAL	PERMANENT OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	GUARD/RESERVE TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED		
AUTHORIZED	18	0	0	18	61	2	59		
ACTUAL	16	0	0	16	43	1	42		
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA									
	UNIT DESIGNATION		STRENGTH						
	AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL							
914th Communication Squadron	61	43							
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT									
	TYPE	AUTHORIZED	ASSIGNED						
	NONE								

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NIAGARA FALLS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, NY			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE COMMUNICATIONS CENTER		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55396F	6. CATEGORY CODE 131-111	7. PROJECT NUMBER RVKQ919002	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 1,300		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE COMMUNICATIONS CENTER	SF	7,000	150	1,050	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				135	
UTILITIES	LS			(100)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(25)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(10)	
SUBTOTAL				1,185	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				59	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,244	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				75	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,319	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,300	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Masonry bearing wall structure with brick veneer, slab-on-grade, reinforced concrete foundation, sloped roof with asphalt shingles, all utilities and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 40 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 7,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct a facility for the Base Communications Center and Data Processing. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized facility of proper configuration is required to house collateral functions now located in two facilities. These functions will be incorporated into one building. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing facilities are inadequate to support the function and lack space for storage and supplies. Data Processing is being displaced by the installation of the Base Integrated Digital Distribution System (BIDDS) equipment. This base has been identified as a priority base for procurement and installation of a replacement for the current analog switch equipment which is operating near full capacity. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The functions affected by this consolidation will remain in inefficient facilities which lack adequate space. A severe shortage of space and inadequate facilities will continue to degrade the ability to operate effectively. Installation of the BIDDS equipment cannot be accomplished until the space is vacated.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA USAFR (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NIAGARA FALLS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, NY		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE COMMUNICATIONS CENTER	RVKQ919002	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 25
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		100%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 01
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JAN 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		70
(b) All Other Design Costs		107
(c) Total		177
(d) Contract		104
(e) In-house		73
(4) Construction Start		94 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION			2. DATE 1 SEP 92	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION YOUNGSTOWN MPT - AIR RESERVE STATION, OHIO					4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.99	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE UTILIZATION Facility is used daily. Unit training assemblies are two days per month and field training is conducted fifteen days per year.						
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS Naval Reserve Unit Army Reserve Unit Army National Guard Unit Marine Corps Reserve Unit						
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM						
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN START	DESIGN COMPLETE	
113-321	Widen Aircraft Parking Apron	11,500 SY	1,450	1/90	1/92	
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Re-Approved for unilateral construction.						
				10 JUN 92 (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED NONE				NONE (Number of Acres)		
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS						
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	YEAR		
880-233	AFFF System	2 EA	1,250	98		

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE 1 SEP 92	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION YOUNGSTOWN MUNICIPAL AIRPORT - AIR RESERVE STATION, OHIO							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF							
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>PERMANENT</u>		<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>		
		<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	<u>360</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>355</u>	<u>1020</u>	<u>131</u>	<u>889</u>
ACTUAL	<u>345</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>340</u>	<u>1075</u>	<u>131</u>	<u>944</u>
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>				<u>STRENGTH</u>			
				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
910th Airlift Group				1020	1075		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
<u>TYPE</u>				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
C-130H				8	8		

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION YOUNGSTOWN MUNICIPAL AIRPORT - AIR RESERVE STATION, OHIO			4. PROJECT TITLE WIDEN AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55396F	6. CATEGORY CODE 113-321	7. PROJECT NUMBER ZQEL929001	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 1,450		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
WIDEN AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	SY	11,500	100	1,150	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				170	
TAXIWAY LIGHTING	LF	1,500	57	(85)	
STORM DRAINAGE	LS			(15)	
DEMOLITION/UTILITIES RELOCATION	LS			(30)	
SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS			(30)	
PAVEMENT MARKINGS	LS			(10)	
SUBTOTAL				1,320	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				66	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,386	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				83	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,469	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,450	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete apron with non-frost susceptible aggregate base and elastomeric joint sealant. Work includes taxiway lighting, drainage, pavement demolition, water main and hydrant relocation, pavement markings, and site work. Pavement design shall match the adjacent medium-load pavement.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 64,058 SY ADEQUATE: 52,558 SY SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct a 11,500 square yard addition to the parking apron. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Adequate pavement area is required to provide space to taxi aircraft into and out of the designated parking spaces while maintaining standard wing tip clearances. Space is required to park eight C-130 aircraft. CURRENT SITUATION: The current apron is not wide enough to provide normal "taxi-in" and "taxi-out" parking spaces with standard Air Force taxiing clearances. On "taxi-out" procedures the aircraft must execute an immediate, minimum radius turn to exit the parking spot. This places severe side loads on the main landing gear and struts. Available pavement cannot be efficiently used due to the narrow dimensions of the parking apron. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Severe wear and tear will continue to reduce the life of aircraft tires and landing gear assemblies. Difficulty in maneuvering the aircraft will continue to exist because normal visual judgement of distance is not dependable from the angular aircraft position. Valuable pavement cannot be efficiently used.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA USAFR (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION YOUNGSTOWN MUNICIPAL AIRPORT - AIR RESERVE STATION, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE WIDEN AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	5. PROJECT NUMBER ZQEL929001	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		90 JAN 16
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		85%
(c) Date 35% Designed		90 MAY 29
(d) Date Design Complete		92 JAN 06
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		94
(b) All Other Design Costs		101
(c) Total		195
(d) Contract		94
(e) In-house		101
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE 1 SEP 92	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREATER PITTSBURGH IAP, RESERVE STATION, PENNSYLVANIA				4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.97	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE UTILIZATION Facilities are used daily. Unit training assemblies are two days per month and field training is conducted fifteen days per year.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Air National Guard Unit					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN START	DESIGN COMPLETE
179-475	Off Base Firing Range	24 FP	1,300	5/89	10/90
411-135	Jet Fuel Storage Complex	10,000 BL	4,300	8/92	11/93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Revalidated for unilateral construction.					
				14 Oct 92 (Date)	
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED NONE				NONE (Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	YEAR	
219-944	Base Civil Engineering Complex	30,275 SF	3,000	95	

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION					2. DATE 1 SEP 92
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREATER PITTSBURGH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT - AIR RESERVE STATION, PITTSBURGH						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF						
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>PERMANENT</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>		<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>	
AUTHORIZED	345	0	4	341	1248	195 1053
ACTUAL	328	0	4	319	1242	188 1054
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>				<u>STRENGTH</u>		
				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>	
911th Airlift Group				1248	1242	
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
<u>TYPE</u>				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>	
C-130H				8	8	

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREATER PITTSBURGH IAP, AIR RESERVE STATION, PENNSYLVANIA			4. PROJECT TITLE OFF BASE FIRING RANGE		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
55396F	179-475	JLSS919004	1,300		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
OFF BASE FIRING RANGE				332	
SMALL ARMS RANGE (M-16)	FP	21	8,000	(168)	
M-60 RANGE	FP	3	8,000	(24)	
TARGET STORAGE/RANGE FACILITIES BLDG	LS			(140)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				840	
ELECTRICAL/COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	LS			(10)	
PAVEMENTS/SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(795)	
WATER, SANITARY SEWAGE/STORM DRAINS	LS			(35)	
SUBTOTAL				1,172	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				59	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,231	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				74	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,305	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,300	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Clear and grub 5 acre site on Army property, cut and fill existing grade, construct earthen berms, firing platforms, small arms range canopy, control booths, range baffles, bullet deflectors, facility building, access road and parking lot. Install electrical distribution and supply system, telephone system, perimeter fencing, water well and sanitary facilities and all other support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 24 FP ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 24 FP					
PROJECT: Construct both a small arms rifle range with 21 firing positions and a M-60 firing range with 3 positions for the 911 Airlift Group (AG) and supporting components (Current Mission).					
REQUIREMENT: A dedicated facility is required to assure weapons training and proficiency for the 911 AG. The current annual training requirement of 1039 assigned personnel includes 745 aircrew mobility and support personnel, 66 security personnel, 10 range instructors, and 218 Prime BEEP members. Of these, approximately 1066 equivalent personnel require training on the M-16, 406 require 0.38 caliber training, 51 require 12-gauge shotgun training, and 39 require training on the M-60 machine gun annually.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The 911 AG currently uses either the Air National Guard's 8 point firing range or the Allegheny County Police Academy's (ACPA) 35 point firing range which is located approximately 25 miles away. Previous utilization of the Greater Pittsburgh Gun Club's 15 point facility had to be discontinued since the facility failed to meet current USAF safety criteria. Available ranges in the area are not sufficient to meet small arms requirements without severely impacting other training. Based on available firing points at the ANG and other local ranges, the 911 AG would have to use every weekend of the year on and as-available					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
GREATER PITTSBURGH IAP, AIR RESERVE STATION, PENNSYLVANIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
OFF BASE FIRING RANGE	JLSS919004	
<p>basis to fulfill its training requirements.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Peacetime and war readiness requirements cannot be supported. Continued use of limited (as-available) training facilities will negatively impact readiness and mission effectiveness of the 911 AG in providing confident and capably armed personnel. On a short range basis this unit will continue to utilizes limited facilities but will be unable to continue to meet USAFR training requirements in the long term without the use of a dedicated facility.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Site location is leased land controlled by the Department of the Army.</p>		

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREATER PITTSBURGH IAP, AIR RESERVE STATION, PENNSYLVANIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE OFF BASE FIRING RANGE		5. PROJECT NUMBER JLSS919004
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		89 MAY 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		100%
(c) Date 35% Designed		89 AUG 01
(d) Date Design Complete		90 OCT 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		210
(b) All Other Design Costs		67
(c) Total		277
(d) Contract		10
(e) In-house		267
(4) Construction Start 93 DEC		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE			
GREATER PITTSBURGH IAP, AIR RESERVE STATION, PENNSYLVANIA		JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
55396F	411-135	JLSS949004	4,300		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	BL	10,000	230	2,300	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,535	
UTILITIES	LS			(260)	
DEMOLITION	LS			(800)	
SITE PREPERATION/IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(80)	
SPECIAL FOUNDATIONS	LS			(55)	
FENCING/PAVEMENTS	LS			(225)	
SPECIAL FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEMS	LS			(25)	
SPILL PROTECTION SYSTEM	LS			(80)	
EMERGENCY EYEWASH & SHOWERS	LS			(10)	
SUBTOTAL				3,835	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				192	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,027	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				242	
TOTAL REQUEST				4,269	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,300	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct two above ground JP-4 jet fuel storage tanks, each with 5,000 barrel capacity. Construction will include internal floating pans, diking, interior and exterior coating, two truck fill stands, receiving/unloading fuel stands, all associated stainless steel piping and equipment, pumphouse and control buildings, a POL operations building, and demolition of existing POL site.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 10,000 BL ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 8,485 BL PROJECT: Construct a Jet Fuel Storage Complex. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Adequate, secure jet fuel storage, pumping, dispensing and distribution is required to support the C-130 aircraft mission at this base. The fuel storage area and piping should be located above ground for environmental reasons, and consolidated in one area so that minimal pumping is required to transport fuel to the refueling stations. It should also be in an area that provides reasonable security. CURRENT SITUATION: The jet fuel delivery system built in 1953/55 is out of commission because of electrical problems and possible fuel leaks. Neither fuel stand is operational. It is not economically feasible to repair the existing jet fuel delivery system. An interim project to provide a temporary POL facility has been developed, but it doesn't have provisions for replacing the existing above ground storage tanks, and cannot meet the security requirements. This interim makeshift system cannot meet requirements for adequate fuel delivery on a long term basis. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The base will be unable to deliver adequate supplies of jet fuel to aircraft severely affecting the mission.					

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREATER PITTSBURGH IAP, AIR RESERVE STATION, PENNSYLVANIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX		5. PROJECT NUMBER JLSS949004
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		65%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 20
(d) Date Design Complete		93 NOV 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		25
(b) All Other Design Costs		405
(c) Total		430
(d) Contract		400
(e) In-house		30
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE 1 SEP 92
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS (AFMC)					4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.88
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE UTILIZATION Facility is used daily. Unit training assemblies are two days per month and field training is conducted fifteen days per year.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS Lackland Air Force Base Medina Army Training Post Brooks Air Force Base Fort Sam Houston 1 Air National Guard Unit 4 Army Reserve Units					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN START	DESIGN COMPLETE
219-944	RED HORSE Structural/ Utility Facility	20,800	2,300	9/92	10/93
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION					4 Dec 92 (Date)
Approved for unilateral construction.					
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED NONE					NONE (Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	YEAR	
NONE					

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE 1 SEP 92
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 31 MAR 92		
	TOTAL PERMANENT OFFICER ENLISTED CIVILIAN	GUARD/RESERVE TOTAL OFFICER ENLISTED
AUTHORIZED	4/15 0 0 4/15	379/219 10/10 369/209
ACTUAL	4/18 0 0 4/18	379/219 10/10 369/209
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA		
UNIT DESIGNATION	STRENGTH	
307 RED HORSE CES	AUTHORIZED 219	ACTUAL 219
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT		
TYPE C-5A	AUTHORIZED 15	ASSIGNED 16

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TX			4. PROJECT TITLE RED HORSE STRUCTURAL/UTILITY FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55396F	6. CATEGORY CODE 219-944	7. PROJECT NUMBER MBPB929201	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,300		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
RED HORSE STRUCTURAL/UTILITY FACILITY	SF	20,800	86	1,789	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				270	
UTILITIES	LS			(90)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(90)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(90)	
SUBTOTAL				2,059	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				103	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,162	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				130	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,292	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,300	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: All work necessary to construct a building to include, but not limited to, a steel frame, concrete/masonry walls and concrete slab. Areas include administration, and 11 general shop assignments. Add a dust collection system. This unit collects all manufactured dust in the carpentry shop area. Additional description of work to include built-up roof and spanish tile facia.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 20,800 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct a RED HORSE Structural/Utility Facility. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Provide a facility to house 11 civil engineering shops, benchstock and shop stock. Also to provide a holding area for job and work orders in progress. CURRENT SITUATION: A World War 2 facility has been slightly modified to serve as the current RED HORSE structural/utility facility. The building is completely unsuitable for the requirements necessary. Most of the 11 civil engineering shops are required to perform their functions in areas that are entirely too small to accommodate not only themselves but their equipment. There is not enough space to maintain equipment that should be stored inside, this equipment is being maintained outside. A variety of hazards also provide constant concern to management. The close quarters that the shops must work in provides both personal, and auditory safety hazards. In addition, the wood trusses that hold up the roof are continously being evaluated. These trusses have been identified for possible failure. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The worldwide mission of the 307th Civil Engineering Squadron RED HORSE will continue to be severely impaired. The current facility does not provide the space necessary to properly train					

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TX		
4. PROJECT TITLE RED HORSE STRUCTURAL/UTILITY FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER MBPB929201
<p>personnel during each Unit Training Assembly (UTA). This will continue to hamper individuals being fully qualified to perform their wartime missions. Truss failure leading to roof collapse could result in property damage, injuries or possible loss of life.</p>		

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TX		
4. PROJECT TITLE RED HORSE STRUCTURAL/UTILITY FACILITY		5. PROJECT NUMBER MBPB929201
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Project to be accomplished by one step turn key procedures (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Design Allowance (4) Construction Start <div style="text-align: right;">93 OCT</div> b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

DD Form 1390 S/1
1 MAY 78

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION					2. DATE 1 SEP 92	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GENERAL MITCHELL INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT - WISCONSIN							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 31 MAR 92							
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>PERMANENT</u>		<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>		
		<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	73/26	0/0	0/0	73/26	172/62	3/25	169/37
ACTUAL	81/29	0/0	0/0	81/29	172/62	3/25	169/37
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>				<u>STRENGTH</u>			
				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
440 Maintenance Squadron				172	172		
440 Airlift Wing				62	62		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
<u>TYPE</u>				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
C-130				8	8		

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GENERAL MITCHELL INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, AIR RESERVE STATION, WISCONSIN			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD FIRE PROTECTION TO AIRCRAFT HANGARS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55396F	6. CATEGORY CODE 880-232	7. PROJECT NUMBER HTUX929001	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 1,500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ADD FIRE PROTECTION TO AIRCRAFT HANGARS	EA	2	368,000	736	
UNDERWING AFFF SYSTEMS, BLDGS 217&302	LS			(390)	
WET PIPE SPRINKLER SYSTEM, BLDG 217	LS			(189)	
WET PIPE SPRINKLER SYSTEM, BLDG 302	LS			(31)	
TRENCH DRAIN/WASTE STORAGE LAGOON	LS			(126)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				550	
ASBESTOS ABATEMENT	LS			(90)	
ELECTRICAL	LS			(15)	
PUMPS/DRIVERS/PIPING/PUMPHOUSE	LS			(420)	
SANITARY SEWER/PAVEMENTS/LANDSCAPING	LS			(25)	
SUBTOTAL				1,286	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				129	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,415	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				85	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,500	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,500	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Install underwing aqueous film-forming foam (AFFF) fire suppression systems in aircraft maintenance areas of hangars, (buildings 217 and 302) and wet pipe sprinkler systems in the adjacent offices and shops. Includes infrared and ultraviolet fire sensors, alarms and signals to fire department, and an environmentally approved collection system.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 2 EA ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Installation of AFFF and wet pipe fire suppression systems in two aircraft maintenance hangars. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Fire suppression systems are required to correct fire safety deficiencies, provide a safe workplace, and protect high-value aircraft. CURRENT SITUATION: C-130 and KC-135 aircraft are at risk during hangar maintenance operations. Both hangars, which house a total of three C-130 aircraft, or one KC-135 and one C-130, lack adequate fire detection and protection. Portable fire extinguishers provide the only fire suppression capability. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The potential for catastrophic loss of life, aircraft and equipment will continue to exist.					

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GENERAL MITCHELL INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, AIR RESERVE STATION, WISCONSIN		
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD FIRE PROTECTION TO AIRCRAFT HANGARS		5. PROJECT NUMBER HTUX929001
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		90 MAR 28
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		100%
(c) Date 35% Designed		91 JAN 16
(d) Date Design Complete		91 DEC 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		182
(b) All Other Design Costs		13
(c) Total		195
(d) Contract		13
(e) In-house		182
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GENERAL MITCHELL INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT AIR RESERVE STATION, WISCONSIN			4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE BASE FUELS COMPLEX		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55356F		6. CATEGORY CODE 120-000	7. PROJECT NUMBER HTUX939001	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 1,800	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UPGRADE BASE FUELS COMPLEX		GL	20,000	44	877
WIDEN/RECONFIGURE SERVICE DRIVE		LS			(375)
REFUELER PARKING		LS			(140)
POL PIPING AND EQUIPMENT		LS			(186)
VEHICLE FUEL STORAGE TANKS		LS			(83)
VEHICLE FUELING STATION		LS			(93)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					665
UTILITIES/OIL WATER SEPARATORS		LS			(315)
DEMOLITION		LS			(50)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS/PRODUCT RECOVERY SYS		LS			(300)
SUBTOTAL					1,542
CONTINGENCY (10%)					154
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,696
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					102
TOTAL REQUEST					1,798
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,800
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace underground POL piping with aboveground. Widen truck drive & refueler parking. Relocate off-loading headers, utilities, and fencing. Provide curbs, gutters, & catch basins to control drainage runoff. Install additional off-loading headers & piping. Demolish underground tanks and service island. Construct two above ground 10,000 gal fuel tanks with environmental controls.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 20,000 GL ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 20,000 GL PROJECT: Upgrade existing old inefficient jet fuel and government service station fuel system to support the flying mission of the 440th Airlift Wing (AW) at General Mitchell IAP - Air Reserve Station. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: An environmentally safe and efficient base fuel complex is needed to support both ground vehicles and flying training activities on a daily basis. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing complex was originally constructed in the mid 50s. The pumps and much of the underground piping was replaced in 1991. The existing pavement area is in poor condition with many broken and upheaved slabs. In the paved service areas, there is no runoff containment system for fuel spills. Runoff drains in several directions directly into nearby ditches and eventually into local streams without passing through oil/water separators. Access drives are narrow and difficult to negotiate with tractor-trailer rigs and today's larger refueler trucks. Traffic flow is congested. Deliveries can be accepted from only one fuel truck at a time. Refueler vehicle parking causes further congestion. The location of the vehicle fueling island conflicts with the receipt and issue of jet fuel. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without a complete upgrade to the base fuels complex, safe and efficient fueling operations are threatened. Fuel					

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GENERAL MITCHELL INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT AIR RESERVE STATION, WISCONSIN		
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE BASE FUELS COMPLEX		5. PROJECT NUMBER HTUX939001
<p>spills will have an unacceptably high potential for causing environmental damage.</p>		

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GENERAL MITCHELL INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT AIR RESERVE STATION, WISCONSIN		
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE BASE FUELS COMPLEX		5. PROJECT NUMBER HTUX939001
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 AUG 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		65%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 30
(d) Date Design Complete		93 MAY 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		180
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		180
(d) Contract		120
(e) In-house		60
(4) Construction Start		93 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE 1 SEP 92	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION AFR 51						4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.05	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE UTILIZATION Facility is used daily.							
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS							
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM							
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN START	DESIGN COMPLETE		
113-321	Construct Aircraft Parking Apron	55,000 SY	8,000	7/92	7/93		
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION						1993	
Pending Joint State Reserve Forces Facility Board Meeting 14 Oct 92, or public announcement.						(Date)	
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED						NONE	
NONE						(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS							
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	YEAR			
NONE							

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE 1 SEP 92
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION AFR 51		
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF		
	<u>TOTAL</u> <u>PERMANENT</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u> <u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	247 0 4 243	1091 150 941
ACTUAL	236 0 4 232	1107 160 947
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA		
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u> <u>AUTHORIZED</u> <u>ACTUAL</u>
AFR 51		1091 1107
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT		
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u> <u>ASSIGNED</u>
	C-141B	8 8

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CONUS CLASSIFIED			4. PROJECT TITLE CONSTRUCT AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55396F	6. CATEGORY CODE 113-321	7. PROJECT NUMBER XPRF92900351	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 8,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
CONSTRUCT AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	SY	55,000	115	6,325	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				550	
DEMOLITION	LS			(380)	
UTILITIES	LS			(55)	
ASPHALT PAVEMENT	LS			(115)	
SUBTOTAL				6,875	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				688	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				7,563	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				454	
TOTAL REQUEST				8,017	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				8,000	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct parking apron to support increase in mission aircraft.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 55,000 SY ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct appropriate portland concrete cement apron to support the addition of four C-141B type aircraft (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: The Reserve airlift unit currently has eight C-141B aircraft assigned. Four additional aircraft are proposed. This project provides ramp space appropriately designed and located to support the increase. CURRENT SITUATION: Available ramp space is insufficient to support four additional aircraft with required taxi and parking clearances. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The Reserve airlift unit will be unable to support the Air Force directed increase in assigned aircraft. ADDITIONAL: This project complies with the scope and design criteria of the Construction Manual 4270.0-M effective 1 Jan 87.					

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CONUS CLASSIFIED		
4. PROJECT TITLE CONSTRUCT AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	5. PROJECT NUMBER XPRF92900351	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 JUL 02
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 93		65%
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 OCT 15
(d) Date Design Complete		93 JUL 30
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start 93 DEC		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS		4. PROJECT TITLE PLANNING AND DESIGN (CURRENT MISSION)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 5.53.96	6. CATEGORY CODE 010-211	7. PROJECT NUMBER PAYZ940000	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 3,400	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PLANNING AND DESIGN (CURR/NEW MISSION)	LS			3,400
SUBTOTAL				3,400
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,400
TOTAL REQUEST				3,400
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,400
10. Description of Proposed Construction:				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.				
PROJECT: N/A				
REQUIREMENT: Funds for architectural and engineering services and construction provide for the completed design of facilities and evaluation of designs in terms of technical adequacy and estimated costs. In addition, these funds are required to prepare site surveys, develop master plans, working drawings, specifications, project planning reports and design required for those construction projects included in the Air Force Reserve Military Construction Program. The age and continued deterioration of the Air Force Reserve physical plant has generated numerous facility requirements requiring these architectural and engineering services for design. It is vital that the Air Force Reserve be funded at the requested level to ensure that operational readiness is not hampered or degraded due to inadequate facilities.				
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Continued design on this Fiscal Year program, as well as future year MILCON programs, will not be possible				

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
AIR FORCE RESERVE
JUSTIFICATION OF ESTIMATES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1994**

APPROPRIATION: MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE RESERVE

PROGRAM 341.020 UNSPECIFIED MILITARY CONSTRUCTION \$3,904,000

PART I - PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The funds requested for unspecified military construction will finance new construction projects having cost estimates greater than \$300,000 but not in excess of \$400,000.

PART II - JUSTIFICATION OF FUNDS REQUESTED

The funds requested for unspecified military construction will finance unforeseen projects generated during the year and are necessary to support mission requirements.

1378



3 9999 05018 539 4

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS				4. PROJECT TITLE UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 5.53.96	6. CATEGORY CODE 010-211	7. PROJECT NUMBER PAYZ940003	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 3,904			
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION		LS			3,904	
SUBTOTAL					3,904	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					3,904	
TOTAL REQUEST					3,904	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					3,904	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Various minor construction projects having costs of over \$300,000 but less than \$400,000.						
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: N/A REQUIREMENT: This appropriation provides a lump sum amount for unspecified minor construction projects, not otherwise authorized by law, having a funded cost of \$400,000 or less, including construction, alteration or conversion of temporary facilities, in accordance with Title 10, USC 2233 and 2233a. These projects are not now identified but are expected to arise during FY 94. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: No means to accomplish exigent projects between \$300,000 and \$400,000 will exist, severely degrading the ability of the Air Force Reserve to efficiently and effectively address facility modification, alteration and conversion requirements.						

